

COORDINATED CONTROL AND STABILITY ANALYSIS OF DC MICROGRIDS

Shivraman Mudaliyar



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

October 2021

COORDINATED CONTROL and STABILITY ANALYSIS of DC MICROGRIDS

by

Shivraman Mudaliyar

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

October 2021

Dedicated to

My parents & My wife Anshu

Certificate

This is to certify that the dissertation entitled “**Coordinated Control and Stability Analysis of DC Microgrids**” being submitted by **Shivraman Mudaliyar** to the Department of Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** is the record of the bonafide research work carried out by him under my supervision. In my opinion, the thesis has reached the standards fulfilling the requirements of the regulations relating to the degree. The results contained in this thesis have not been submitted either in part or in full to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

.....

Prof. Sukumar Mishra

Department of Electrical Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

Hauz Khas, New Delhi 110016

India

Date:

Acknowledgements

I was able to complete this doctoral thesis with the support of many people. I would like to express my deep sense of gratitude and tribute to all of them. First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere thanks and deepest gratitude to my thesis advisor **Prof. Sukumar Mishra** for his valuable guidance, support and consistent encouragement throughout my doctoral studies. It was his encouragement that enabled me to come up with my own original ideas leading to the formulation of meaningful research problems. His profound technical knowledge, passion towards research, attention to detail and diligence helped me in shaping up my vision for future research. I am deeply indebted for his inspiration, motivation and guidance. I would also like to thank my research committee members Prof. Nilanjan Senroy, Prof. Abhijit R. Abhyankar, and Prof. Ashu Verma for their useful interactions, invaluable comments and suggestions. The constructive criticism provided by the research committee members helped me to explore possible problems and to develop a broader perspective for my thesis. I am also very thankful to other professors at the Department of Electrical Engineering especially Prof. Bhim Singh, Prof. B.K. Panigrahi from whom I had the opportunity of understanding the fundamentals.

I would also like to thank the IIT Delhi organization for helping in many of my administrative works and providing a better place to focus on my research work. I specially want to thank Dean Academics, Prof. Shantanu Roy, and Head of the department, Prof. Jayadeva for their support in all needs. A special mention to Mr. Satish Sah, Mr. Yatindra Mani Tripathy, Mr. Anand Sansanwal, and Mr. Gaurav Kumar for their assistance in all the administrative works.

My stay at IIT Delhi would not have been so memorable without the friends that

I have made along the journey. I would like to extend my special thanks to Rajiv Jha, Ayesha Firdaus, Rishi kant Sharma, Dr.Dushyant Sharma and Dr. Deepak Reddy Pullaguram who have been with me through thick and thin and all other friends I made at IIT Delhi including , T. Sathiyarayanan, Surya Prakash, Dr. Anuradha Tomar, Rubi Rana, Dr. Subham Sahoo, Dr. Sayari Das, and Abdul Mir Saleem for carrying out many technical discussions and their help at various stages during my stay at IIT Delhi.

I also thank my teachers and graduate professors who gave me all the basics that are required to be a good professional. I deeply thank my parents, Late Mr. Dandpani Mudaliyar, and Mrs. Thenmozhi Mudaliyar, my wife Anshu and my brothers, Jai Kumar and Arun kumar and all other family members whose love, blessings, motivation and patience have been my strength throughout my doctoral journey.

Finally, I would like to express my sense of gratitude to one and all who, directly or indirectly, have bestowed their wishes and blessings on me.

Date:

Shivraman Mudaliyar

Abstract

The practical implementation and control of geographically dispersed microgrids bring its own challenges and issues. One of the major issues that can impact is the issue of poor voltage regulation across different buses in the network. The rate and amount of power generation and absorption at different buses can introduce significant voltage deviations. This problem can be further aggravated if the dc lines are connected such that it forms a ring-topology. In networked dc microgrids (DCMG), the voltage is influenced by power flows and vice-versa. Since the load behaviour is also linked with voltage, the control becomes more challenging. Moreover, development of scenarios such as increasing penetration of intermittent renewable energy sources (RES) e.g., photovoltaic (PV) and uncoordinated charging and discharging patterns of plugged-in electric vehicles can further worsen the voltage regulation issue.

First, a supervisory voltage control scheme based on centralized communication is proposed for a grid-connected DCMG with ring topology. In order to study the voltage regulation problem, the loads and PV based RES are placed at different buses. With three different types of controllable sources available in the system, a suitable optimization strategy is proposed to determine the appropriate set-points. Energy storage systems (ESS) with only battery stacks are limited in operation with respect to their state of charge (SoC) status. In order to increase the availability of battery-based ESS, an energy hub (EH) concept is proposed which consists of fuel cell (FC) and an electrolyzer connected in parallel with the battery stack. The proposed combination of EH extends the range of bidirectional capability and provides more participation in the optimization process compared to the case if we consider the battery stack alone. Then, different simulation studies were conducted considering variations in PV and

load powers to verify and validate the performance of proposed supervisory voltage control. The simulation results demonstrate the effectiveness of voltage control strategy in minimizing the load voltage deviations.

Typically, the ring topology of any distribution system is created by connecting the mechanical isolators at the remote end of the feeders. Now if the ring configuration is desired by the network operator, then it can be done either by connecting the isolator in the off-load condition of the feeders or on load. If the switching is performed on load then it can generate a propagating disturbance in both the feeders even if the voltage difference is minimal. Therefore, in order to overcome this problem, this thesis proposes a power electronic converter based solution to provide seamless and flexible connection of remote ends of the dc feeders. The proposed power electronic converter is termed as soft open point (SOP) based on its capability of providing soft switching compared to the hard switching associated with isolators. The proposed SOP is then designed to connect three radial feeders at the remote end and the voltage regulation problem of the overall system is studied with and without the SOP. Steady state analysis is performed on the study system considering a 24 hours horizon with different profiles of PV and electric vehicle charging. The results show significant improvement in voltage profile of the system thereby allowing more penetration for plugged-in electric vehicles compared to the case when feeders are connected through mechanical isolator. The simulation results obtained from real-time implementation and experimental set-up also demonstrate the effectiveness of supervisory voltage control strategy in minimizing the voltage deviations at load terminals when subjected to changes in operating conditions.

Generally, stability related issues are not a major concern when the feeders of dc microgrids are connected to a relatively strong grid. However, during the autonomous operation, stability issues arising due to negative incremental resistance offered by increased penetration of constant power loads (CPL) along with voltage weak network characteristics cannot be ignored. Therefore, four of the important factors that can affect the stability of autonomous dc microgrids are investigated such as limited control bandwidth of parallel connected sources, presence of CPL, high inductance to resistance ratios and low terminal capacitances. Detailed modeling of converters and controls

based on their average behavior representation is presented. In order to improve the stability under these circumstances a power oscillation damper (POD) function is also proposed which can be integrated with SOP local control strategy. Detailed analysis with regard to the selection of input signals to POD is discussed. Finally, small-signal stability analysis and time-domain simulations are presented to provide useful insights on the stability factors and validate the performance of studied system with and without the POD.

When microgrids belong to the same utility, sharing of power and resources through interconnected lines increases reliability and security of power supply and further provides economic benefits to the utility. However, if the microgrids are owned by different utilities then it would be desirable that each individual microgrid is responsible to manage its own load at steady state. One way to ensure that is to regulate the power flow through the lines connecting the boundary buses of these microgrids at a predefined and mutually agreed schedule. This way it can be ensured that the steady state impact of load or any voltage changes in one microgrids won't affect the generation cost in other microgrid. To this end, a unifying hierarchical control scheme based on distributed communication is proposed where the tie-line power flow control based on a pinning control strategy is unified with the distributed optimization and average voltage regulation control loops. The distributed optimization utilizes economic dispatch to minimize the operating costs of the dispersed generation, while the average voltage control regulates the microgrid's average voltage at its nominal value. With the application of this approach, the responsibility of tie-line regulation is distributed in an economic manner without degrading the voltage quality in the system. At first the proposed philosophy is tested on a two microgrid system connected through tie-line. Real-time simulation and experimental platforms are employed to demonstrate the implementation and efficacy of proposed distributed tie-line control. Then the proposed control is extended for three-microgrid systems connected through three tie lines.

सार

भौगोलिक रूप से फैले हुए माइक्रोग्रिड का व्यावहारिक कार्यान्वयन और नियंत्रण की अपनी चुनौतियाँ और मुद्दे हैं। प्रमुख मुद्दों में से एक जो प्रभावित कर सकता है वह है नेटवर्क में विभिन्न बसों में खराब वोल्टता विनियमन की समस्या। बिजली उत्पादन और अवशोषण की दर और राशि विभिन्न बसों में महत्वपूर्ण वोल्टता विचलन पेश कर सकता है। अगर डिष्ट- धारा (डी.सी) लाइन को इस तरह से जोड़ा जाए कि यह एक गोल-टोपोलॉजी बन जाये तो यह समस्या और बढ़ सकती है। डी.सी माइक्रोग्रिड में वोल्टता से शक्ति-प्रवाह प्रभावित होती है और शक्ति-प्रवाह से वोल्टता । चूंकि लोड व्यवहार भी वोल्टता से जुड़ा हुआ है, इसलिए नियंत्रण अधिक चुनौती पूर्ण हो जाता है।

सबसे पहले, केंद्रीकृत संचार पर आधारित एक पर्यवेक्षी वोल्टता नियंत्रण योजना गोल-टोपोलॉजी के साथ ग्रिड से जुड़े डीसी माइक्रोग्रिड के लिए प्रस्तावित है। वोल्टता विनियमन समस्या का अध्ययन करने के लिए, लोड और प्रकाश वोल्टीय (पी.वी) तंत्र को अलग-अलग बसों में रखे गए हैं। सिस्टम में उपलब्ध तीन अलग-अलग प्रकार के नियंत्रणीय स्रोत रखे गए हैं। इसलिए उनके उपयुक्त सेट-पॉइंट निर्धारित करने के लिए, एक उपयुक्त अनुकूलन रणनीति प्रस्तावित है। प्रभार की सीमित अवस्था के कारण, केवल बैटरी स्टैक के साथ ऊर्जा भंडारण प्रणालियों (ई.एस.एस) का संचालन प्रतिबंधित होता है। बैटरी आधारित ई.एस.एस की उपलब्धता बढ़ाने के लिए, एक ऊर्जा हब (ई.एच) अवधारणा प्रस्तावित है। प्रस्तावित ई.एच में ईंधन सेल (एफ.सी) और एक इलेक्ट्रोलाइज़र, बैटरी स्टैक के साथ समानांतर में जुड़ा है। प्रस्तावित संयोजन ई.एच में द्विदिश क्षमता की सीमा का विस्तार करता है और अनुकूलन प्रक्रिया में अधिक भागीदारी प्रदान करता है। फिर, प्रस्तावित पर्यवेक्षी वोल्टता नियंत्रण के प्रदर्शन को सत्यापित करने और मान्य करने के लिए पी.वी और लोड शक्तियों में भिन्नता पर विचार करते हुए विभिन्न सिमुलेशन अध्ययन किए गए।

यह शोध डी.सी फीडरों के दूरस्थ सिरों को निर्बाध और लचीला संबंध प्रदान के लिए एक पावर इलेक्ट्रॉनिक कन्वर्टर आधारित समाधान प्रस्तावित करता है। सॉफ्ट स्विचिंग प्रदान करने की क्षमता के कारण,

प्रस्तावित पावर इलेक्ट्रॉनिक कन्वर्टर को इस शोध में सॉफ्ट ओपन पॉइंट (एस.ओ.पी) कहा गया है। समग्र प्रणाली में वोल्टता विनियमन समस्या का अध्ययन एस.ओ.पी के साथ और उसके बिना गया है।

आम तौर पर, स्थिरता संबंधी मुद्दे एक प्रमुख चिंता का विषय नहीं होते हैं जब डी.सी. माइक्रोग्रिड के फीडर अपेक्षाकृत मजबूत ग्रिड से जुड़े होते हैं। हालांकि, स्वायत्तता संचालन के दौरान, स्थिरता के मुद्दों को नजरअंदाज नहीं किया जा सकता है, क्योंकि नेटवर्क की कमजोर वोल्टता विशेषताएं और निरंतर बिजली भार उसकी स्थिरता को प्रभाव करता है। कन्वर्टर्स और नियंत्रणों के औसत व्यवहार प्रतिनिधित्व के आधार पर विस्तृत मॉडलिंग प्रस्तुत किया गया है। इन परिस्थितियों में स्थिरता में सुधार के लिए एक पावर ऑसीलेशन डैम्पर (पी.ओ.डी) फलन भी प्रस्तावित है जिसे एस.ओ.पी स्थानीय नियंत्रण रणनीति के साथ एकीकृत किया गया है।

इस शोध में विभिन्न संस्थाओं से संबंधित स्वायत्त माइक्रोग्रिड का भी अध्ययन किया गया है। यह वांछनीय होगा कि प्रत्येक व्यक्तिगत माइक्रोग्रिड स्थिर अवस्था में अपने स्वयं के भार का प्रबंधन करने के लिए स्वयं जिम्मेदार हो। यह सुनिश्चित करने का एक तरीका है कि पावर के प्रवाह को इन माइक्रोग्रिड की सीमा बसों को जोड़ने वाली लाइनों में पूर्वनिर्धारित और पारस्परिक रूप से सहमत अनुसूची के अनुसार नियंत्रित किया जाए। इस तरह यह सुनिश्चित किया जा सकता है कि एक माइक्रोग्रिड में लोड या किसी भी वोल्टता परिवर्तन का स्थिर स्थिति प्रभाव अन्य माइक्रोग्रिड में उत्पादन लागत को प्रभावित नहीं करेगा। इसके लिए, वितरित संचार पर आधारित एक एकीकृत पदानुक्रमित नियंत्रण योजना प्रस्तावित है। यहां, टाई-लाइन पावर प्रवाह नियंत्रण, वितरित अनुकूलन और औसत वोल्टता विनियमन नियंत्रण लूप के साथ एकीकृत है। इस दृष्टिकोण के आवेदन के साथ, टाई-लाइन विनियमन की जिम्मेदारी को बिना सिस्टम में वोल्टता की गुणवत्ता में गिरावट के आर्थिक तरीके से वितरित कर सकते हैं।

Table of Contents

Certificate	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Abstract	iv
List of Figures	xiv
List of Tables	xxi
Abbreviations	xxii
List of Symbols	xxiv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.1.1 DC Microgrid Topologies	2
1.1.2 Comparison of AC lines and DC lines	4
1.1.3 Constant Power Loads & the Problem of Instability	6
1.1.4 Control schemes of DC microgrids	8
1.2 Literature Review	11
1.2.1 Coordinated Voltage Control in Ring DC Microgrid	11
1.2.2 Coordinated control and interconnection of remote ends of DC radial feeders	13
1.2.3 Stability analysis and improvement of voltage weak DC microgrids	14

1.2.4	Tie-line Power flow control of multi-bus interconnected DC microgrids	16
1.3	Research Objectives	18
1.4	Summary of Research Work	19
1.4.1	Coordinated voltage control strategy in a ring-bus DC microgrid	19
1.4.2	A soft open point (SOP) for multi-bus DC microgrids	20
1.4.3	Stability improvement of Autonomous Voltage Weak networked DC microgrid	21
1.4.4	Distributed tie-line power flow control strategy for multi-bus interconnected DC microgrid clusters	21
1.5	Outcomes of the Research	22
1.6	Outline of the Thesis	23
2	Coordinated Voltage Control of a Ring DC Microgrid	25
2.1	Introduction	25
2.2	System description and Modelling	26
2.2.1	Photovoltaic Energy Conversion System	27
2.2.2	Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell System	28
2.2.3	Bidirectional VSC control	29
2.2.4	Energy Hub	32
2.3	Proposed supervisory load voltage control	35
2.3.1	Problem Formulation	36
2.4	Results and Discussion	39
2.4.1	Change in PV power	40
2.4.2	Response to Step change in load	42
2.4.3	Effect of communication delay	44
2.5	Summary	45
3	Real-time Coordinated Control of DC Microgrid with Soft Open Point	47
3.1	Introduction	47

3.2	System Description and modeling	49
3.3	Control & Operation of SOP	50
3.3.1	<i>OPMode-0</i> : Supervisory Control	51
3.3.2	<i>OPMode-1</i> : DC link Voltage Control	53
3.3.3	<i>OPMode-2</i> : Terminal Voltage Control	53
3.3.4	Selection of PI parameters	54
3.4	Steady State analysis of SOP	56
3.4.1	Problem Statement	56
3.4.2	Proposed Supervisory control	57
3.4.3	Steady State Results and analysis	62
3.4.4	Effect of parameters α_1 and α_2	66
3.5	Real Time Simulation Results	67
3.5.1	Online Optimization and Real-time Control	68
3.5.2	Case-1: Seamless mode transition from radial to ring	69
3.5.3	Case-2: Responses to system disturbances	71
3.5.4	Case-3a: Operation of SOP under fault condition	73
3.5.5	Case-3b: Operation of SOP under communication failure	74
3.5.6	Case-4: Effect of variation in network resistance	76
3.6	Experimental results and discussion	78
3.7	Start and Stop sequence operation of SOP	81
3.7.1	Start sequence of SOP	82
3.7.2	Stop sequence of SOP	83
3.8	Summary	83
4	Stability Analysis and Improvement of Voltage Weak DC Microgrids	85
4.1	Introduction	85
4.2	System Description and Modeling	87
4.2.1	Modeling of Hybrid PV-BESS-DG System	88
4.2.2	Modeling of the Soft Opening Point	94
4.2.3	Modeling of the Network Line	97

4.3	Stability Analysis of the Hybrid System in Standalone mode	98
4.4	Small-Signal Stability Analysis of the DC microgrid with SOP	101
4.5	Operation Philosophy of the Proposed Power Oscillation Damper	104
4.6	Simulation Results and Discussion	106
4.6.1	Case-a: Lightly Damped Oscillations	106
4.6.2	Case-b: Un-damped Oscillations	108
4.7	Summary	110
5	Distributed Tie-line Power Flow Control of Multiple DC Microgrid Clusters	112
5.1	Introduction	112
5.2	System Description	114
5.3	Proposed Hierarchical Control Scheme for Tie-line Power Control . . .	115
5.3.1	Unifying Optimization with Real-time Voltage and Tie-line Power Control	118
5.3.2	Average Voltage Regulator	119
5.3.3	Economic Regulator	120
5.3.4	Tie-line controller	123
5.4	Design and Justification of Unified Control	124
5.5	Small Signal Stability Analysis	125
5.5.1	Modeling of i^{th} converter and control in VRM	126
5.6	Dynamic modeling and steady state analysis of Distributed controllers .	131
5.7	Simulation Results and discussion	136
5.7.1	Case-1: Controller Performance under Normal Operation	137
5.7.2	Case-2: Effect of ER on the Tie-Line Controller	139
5.7.3	Case-3: Case-1 and Case-2 combined	140
5.7.4	Case-4: Performance of Distributed Controllers under Fault Conditions	140
5.8	Extension to Multi-microgrid scenario	142
5.9	Real Time Simulation Results	145

5.10	Experimental Validation	147
5.10.1	Controller Performance under Normal Operation	149
5.10.2	Effect of ER on Tie-line Control	150
5.10.3	Effect of Delay on Tie-line Control	151
5.11	Summary	153
6	Conclusions and Future Work	155
6.1	Conclusions	155
6.2	Scope for Future Research	157
	Appendix A	160
	Appendix B	163
	References	168
	Publications Based on this Thesis	177
	Bio-data	179

List of Figures

1.1	Typical DC microgrid topologies. (a) Common bus DC topology with unipolar power supply (b) Common bus topology with bipolar power supply	2
1.2	Schematic representation of Multi-bus DC microgrid topology	3
1.3	DC microgrid Reconfigurable topology based on ring bus.	3
1.4	DC line configurations converted from AC line [1]. (a) symmetrical configuration with neutral wire (b) symmetrical without neutral wire and (c) asymmetrical configuration with a neutral wire	5
1.5	Example of a typical CPL [2].	6
1.6	$v-i$ characteristics. (a) Negative impedance behavior of CPL's (b) $v-i$ characteristics of typical voltage sources and CPL's (c) $v-i$ characteristics of typical voltage sources and resistive loads [2].	7
1.7	Schematic representation of different control levels in a microgrid [3] . .	9
1.8	Typical control structure of microgrid [3]. (a) Centralized (b) Decentralized (c) Distributed (d) Hierarchical	9
2.1	Single line diagram of the studied 6-bus Ring DC microgrid	27
2.2	Implementation of the DC bus voltage control	30
2.3	Control block diagram of DC-bus voltage controller based on the linearized model.	31
2.4	Bode plot of the open-loop gain of the DC-bus voltage controller. . . .	32
2.5	(a) Schematic representation of the Energy Hub system (b) Flowchart of the control logic	33

2.6	Steady state circuit representation of the studied ring DCMG in grid connected mode.	36
2.7	Simulation results showing responses for a step decrease in PV power at $t = 5$ s. (a) Power flow scenario. (b) Load terminal voltages (c) Battery voltage variation. (d) Bus 1 voltage (left y-axis) and EH net current(right y-axis).	41
2.8	Simulation results showing responses for a step increase in load power at $t = 4$ s. (a) Load powers. (b) Power flow scenario. (c) Load sharing between PEMFC and Battery of EH system. (d) Battery voltage variation	42
2.9	Simulation results showing responses for a step increase in load power at $t = 4$ s. (a) Voltage responses of bus 2 and bus 5 (left y-axis) (b) Responses of bus 1 voltage(left y-axis) and EH net current(right y-axis).	43
2.10	Simulation results showing the effect of communication delay in one of the channels. (a) Responses of bus 2 voltage for different time delays. (b) Reception of updated set-points for bus 1 voltage and Energy Hub at $t = 4$ s, with communication delay in the channel from CC to EH. .	45
3.1	Study system with the proposed soft opening point (SOP)	50
3.2	Back-to-Back connection of 'n' DC-DC bidirectional converters representing a generalized form of the proposed soft opening point (SOP) . .	51
3.3	Schematic representation of SOP control.	52
3.4	Average model of the SOP converter-1 in buck mode for tuning the terminal voltage controller.	55
3.5	Average model of the SOP converter-1 in boost mode for tuning the DC-link voltage controller.	55
3.6	Bode plot for the closed loop transfer functions	56
3.7	Schematic representation of the supervisory control based on the centralized communication system.	57
3.8	Equivalent circuit representation of the study system (a) without SOP (b) with SOP	60
3.9	Case-1: Low insolation with high PEV loading.	63

3.10	Case 1: Voltage responses of the DC distribution system with and without coordination, (a) All bus voltages; (b) terminal voltages of the load buses	64
3.11	Case 2: High insolation with low PEV loading.	65
3.12	Case 2: Voltage responses of the DC distribution system with and without coordination, (a) All bus voltages; (b) terminal voltages of the load buses.	66
3.13	Responses of the optimization process highlighting the effects of different weights of α_1 and α_2	67
3.14	Schematic representation of the real-time simulation set-up. The DC distribution network is modeled in OPAL-RT 5600 real-time simulator (server) and the optimization is carried out in a host computer (client) employing Ethernet based TCP/IP communication.	69
3.15	Case-1: Real-time simulation responses for changes in SOP control modes. (a) terminal voltages at load buses; (b) reference voltage deviations of the VSCs; (c) reference currents of BESS; (d) reference currents of SOP.	70
3.16	Case-2: Real-time simulation responses for system disturbances. (a) current perturbations; (b) reference voltage deviations of the VSCs; (c) reference currents of BESS; (d) reference currents of SOP; (e) terminal voltage deviations at load buses with coordination and SOP; (f) terminal voltage deviations at load buses without coordination and SOP	72
3.17	Case-3a: Operation of SOP under abnormal conditions. (a) SOP output currents ($I_{SOP}^a, I_{SOP}^b, I_{SOP}^c$) and branch current, I_{12}^c ; (b) SOP terminal voltage deviations ($\Delta V_{sop}^a, \Delta V_{sop}^b, \Delta V_{sop}^c$) and SOP common DC-link voltage deviation (ΔV_{dc})	73
3.18	Case-3b: System Responses for communication failure. (a) SOP output currents ($I_{SOP}^a, I_{SOP}^b, I_{SOP}^c$) and communication FLAG signal; (b) load terminal voltage deviations; (c) BESS output currents; (d) SOP terminal voltage deviations ($\Delta V_{sop}^a, \Delta V_{sop}^b, \Delta V_{sop}^c$) and SOP common DC-link voltage deviation (ΔV_{dc})	75

3.19	Simulation responses highlighting the effects of increasing values of K. .	77
3.20	(a) Experimental set-up of the proposed SCP operation. (b) Schematic representation of the experimental set-up.	78
3.21	Experimental responses highlighting the performance of real-time supervisory control in the presence of step load disturbances.(a) load voltage deviations; (b) SOP output currents ($I_{SOP}^a, I_{SOP}^b, I_{SOP}^c$) and SOP DC-link voltage deviation; (c) VSC voltage deviations; (d) Battery currents	80
3.22	Example three-feeder SOP connected to feeders through breakers. . . .	82
4.1	Single-line representation of the two-feeder autonomous DC microgrid study system with the soft opening point (SOP) connecting the remote end of the feeders.	88
4.2	Schematic representation of the hybrid PV-BESS-DG system	89
4.3	Control schematic of the single-phase DG-VSC unit.	90
4.4	Detailed control block diagram of the DG-VSC unit	91
4.5	Control schematic of the BESS unit.	93
4.6	(a) Typical PV boost converter closed loop Control schematic. (b) Simplified model of the PV as constant power source	94
4.7	Block diagram representation of the control scheme for SOP.	95
4.8	Detailed control implementation of the control scheme for SOP. (a) Conv-1 terminal voltage controller. (b) Conv-2 DC-link voltage controller	96
4.9	Modeling of the network line and the bus	98
4.10	Time-domain simulation of the hybrid PV-BESS-DG system in standalone mode. At $t = t_1$, PV power is ramped up; at $t = t_2$, step change in load of 5 kW is applied; at $t = t_3$, step change in load of 5 kW is applied; at $t = t_4$, step change in load of 3 kW is applied	99
4.11	Bode diagram of the closed-loop transfer function $\frac{V_{out}(s)}{V_{ref}(s)}$ of the hybrid PV-BESS-DG system in standalone mode	100

4.12	Eigenvalue trajectories showing sensitivity of modes when (a) K is varied from 0 to 1; (b) network line inductance is increased from 0.01 mH to 2.5 mH; (c) network capacitance is decreased from $4700\mu F$ to $1400\mu F$ and (d) POD gain K_{stab} is varied from 0 to 1.5	103
4.13	Example two-bus DC system.	104
4.14	Time-domain simulation performed in Simulink on the example two-bus DC system model	105
4.15	Comparative simulation responses with and without POD of case-a. (a) Total power ($P_{DG}+P_{BESS}$) of Feeder-A (b) Voltage response of bus-3, V_3^a (c) DC-link voltage of SOP (d) Output signal of POD, d^{stab}	107
4.16	Comparative simulation responses with and without POD of case-b. (a) Total power ($P_{DG}+P_{BESS}$) of Feeder-A (b) Voltage response of bus-4, V_4^a (c) DC-link voltage of SOP (d) Output signal of POD, d^{stab}	109
5.1	Single-line diagram representation and associated cyber graphs of two independently owned DCMG networks (MG_A & MG_B) interconnected by a tie-line.	115
5.2	Proposed distributed control schematic for an i^{th} generator operating in voltage regulation mode where $i \in (DG \& BESS)$	116
5.3	Proposed distributed control schematic for the fuel-cell (FC) unit operating in the current control mode.	117
5.4	Primary loop with inner voltage and current control block diagrams for (a) diesel-generator (DG), (b) battery energy storage system (BESS).	118
5.5	Detailed control schematic of the distributed controllers highlighting the interconnections among them.	120
5.6	(a) Proposed control integration structure highlighting the methodology of interconnecting different voltage shifts (b) typical hierarchical control structure where the voltage shifts are summed up together.	125
5.7	Eigenvalues of the complete system.	127

5.8	Responses of eigenvalue trajectories for (a) variation in communication weight, z_{ij} of MG_A from 0.5 to 20, (b) variation in communication weight, z_{ij} of MG_B from 1 to 20, (c) variation in communication weight, x_{ij} of the tie-line controller from 1 to 20, (d) variation in integral gain of the tie-line leader node from 0.0021 to 0.05.	129
5.9	Responses of eigenvalue trajectories for (a) variation in in tie-line resistance and inductance from 0.9879Ω TO 0.0329Ω and 1.5 mH to $50 \mu\text{H}$, (b) variation in network resistance and inductance in MG_A from three times the base value to one percent of the base value. (c) response of low-frequency critical modes with increasing communication delay from 0.01 s to 1.2 ms	131
5.10	Simulation results of case-1. (a) Tie-line power, (b) MG_A powers, (c) MG_B powers, (d) Average voltages of both microgrids, (e) Incremental costs of MG_A , and (f) Incremental costs of MG_B	138
5.11	Simulation results of case-2. (a) Tie-line power, (b) MG_A powers, (c) MG_B powers, (d) Incremental costs of MG_A , (e) Incremental costs of MG_B , and (f) Total operating costs of MG_A & MG_B	139
5.12	Simulation results of case-3 combining case-1 and case-2 (a) Tie-line power, (b) MG_A powers, (c) MG_B powers, (d) Average voltages of both microgrids, (e) Incremental costs of MG_A , (f) Incremental costs of MG_B ,.	141
5.13	Simulation results of case-4. (a) Tie-line power, (b) MG_A powers, and (c) Incremental costs of MG_A (left y-axis), Total operating costs of MG_A (right y-axis).	142
5.14	Single line diagram representation of the studied three MG cluster where $P_{tieA,B}$ indicates positive power flow from MG_A to MG_B , $P_{tieB,C}$ indicates power flow from MG_B to MG_C and $P_{tieC,A}$ indicates power flow from MG_C to MG_A	143
5.15	Simulation results showing responses of three mg cluster. (a) tie-line power, (b) pv power of MGA, remote load power in MGB and remote load power in MGC, (c) average voltages of three microgrids	144

5.16	Real-time simulation of the DC MG cluster using OPAL-RT real-time simulator with TCP/IP communication. The DC microgrids, MG_A and MG_B are modeled in OPAL-RT while the communication cyber-graph is implemented using MATLAB scripts in two different host computers.	145
5.17	Real-time simulation results with the distributed communication implemented using Ethernet based TCP/IP communication (a) Tie-line power, (b) MG_A powers, (c) Incremental costs of MG_A , (d) consensus variables of the tie-line controller, (e) consensus variables of the AVR, and (f) MG_A average voltage deviation.	147
5.18	Experimental set-up of two 110 V DC microgrids interconnected by a tie-line.	148
5.19	Case-1: Experimental responses for step changes in tie-line references at $t = t_1$ & t_2 ; (a) Tie powers $P_{tieA,B}$ where suffix A,B corresponds to power flow from MG_A to MG_B , (b & c) MG_A Powers & MG_B Powers, (d) voltage deviations of the average voltage from the nominal value, (e & f) incremental costs of MG_A and MG_B respectively	149
5.20	Case-2: Responses of the system when ER of MG_A is enabled at $t = t_1$ & ER of MG_B is enabled at $t = t_2$; (a) Tie powers $P_{tieA,B}$, (b & c) MG_A Powers & MG_B Powers, (d) Total costs, (e & f) incremental costs of MG_A and MG_B respectively	151
5.21	Experimental responses of the tie-line power for different time delays in the communication channel 2-3 of the tie-line cyber graph. Red line indicates the affected communication channel due to delay.	152
A.1	Tie-line cyber graph of MG_A	160
A.2	(a) First order approximation of the time delay using Pade approximation (b) Equivalent representation of the delay transfer function shown in (a).	162

List of Tables

2.1	Simulation results for maximum latencies in different transmission medium	45
3.1	Case-2: Values of measurements before and after the time events	71
3.2	Experimental set-up parameters	79
4.1	Eigenvalue and Dominant State Variables	102
5.1	Critical Eigenvalues and Dominant State Variables	128
B.1	Parameters of the simulation cases in Chapter 2	163
B.2	Parameters of the simulation cases in Chapter 3	164
B.3	Parameters corresponding to simulation cases in Chapter 4	165
B.4	Parameters of simulation cases in Chapter 5	166
B.5	Experimental Set-up Parameters of Chapter 5	167

Abbreviations

MG	Microgrid
DCMG	Direct current microgrid
LVDC	Low voltage direct current
DSO	Distribution system operator
PV	Photovoltaic
CEI	Customer end interface
FC	Fuel cell
RES	Renewable energy sources
POL	Point of load
PEV	Plugged-in-electric vehicle
SoC	State of Charge
ESS	Energy storage system
DG	Distributed generation
DER	Distributed energy resources
BESS	Battery energy storage system
EH	Energy Hub
SOP	Soft open point
CPL	Constant power load
POD	Power oscillation damper
PEMFC	Proton exchange membrane fuel cell
CC	Central controller

TCP/IP	Transmission control protocol/Internet protocol
INC	Incremental conductance
EMS	Energy Management system
RTU	Remote terminal unit
VSI	Voltage source inverter
PWM	Pulse width modulation
BDC	Bidirectional converter
BC	Boost converter
AVR	Average voltage regulator
ER	Economic regulator
EQ	Equalizer
VSC	Voltage source converter
MPPT	Maximum power point tracking
B2B	Back to Back
VWDCMG	Voltage weak dc microgrid

List of Symbols

Symbols

A_{eq}	resistance matrix
A_{sys}	system matrix
B_{eq}	input column vector
f	objective function
C_4^a	filter capacitance of Conv-1 SOP
d^{stab}	output of POD
d_A	duty ratio of Conv-1 SOP
I_{dc}	DC current flowing through the line
I_{ac}	AC current flowing through the line
I_{pv}	output current of the PV panel
I_{pv}^a	output current of the PV system connected in feeder A
I_{sop}^a	output current of Conv-1 SOP
I_{sop}^b	output current of Conv-3 SOP
I_{sop}^c	output current of Conv-2 SOP
I_{bess}^a	output current of battery bank connected in feeder A
I_{bess}^b	output current of battery bank connected in feeder B
I_{bess}^c	output current of battery bank connected in feeder C
I_{43}^a	line current flowing from bus 4a to bus 3a of feeder a
NL	no. of loads
$OPMode$	operating mode of the SOP
P_{ext}	DC power input to the dc-link
P_{dc}	DC power output of the dc-link

Symbols

P_{loss}	power loss in the VSC
P_t	real power input to the LC filter
P_s	real power output of the LC filter
P^{stab}	input power to the POD
Q_s	reactive power output of the LC filter
R_d	droop coefficient
R_{eq}	Resistance matrix
$\mathbb{R}$	set of real numbers
SoC_{min}	minimum state of charge
SoC_{max}	maximum state of charge
w_c	filter cutoff frequency in rad/s
V_{DC}	DC voltage
V_{dc}	dc-link voltage
V_{ac}	AC voltage
α_1	weight of the objective function
α_2	weight of the objective function