

TOTAL VALUE CONTROL IN CONSTRUCTION THROUGH MULTIPLE CRITERIA DECISION MAKING (MCDM)

by

MANOJ KULSHRESTHA
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DELHI
HAUZ KHAS, NEW DELHI - 110 016, INDIA

August, 1997

Dedicated to
my parents
and
Rashmi, my wife.

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled "**Total Value Control in Construction through Multiple Criteria Decision Making (MCDM)**" being submitted by **Mr. Manoj Kulshrestha** to the Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, India, in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy**, is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him under my guidance and supervision.

To the best of my knowledge, the thesis has reached the requisite standard. The research report and the results presented in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or full, to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

V. B. Deshpande

(Dr. V. B. Deshpande)

Professor, Civil Engineering Department
Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi
New Delhi - 110 016.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Research, in true sense, is examination of patience, hardship, co-operation on one hand and a learning process, excitement and pleasure in uncovering the hidden on the other. In both these tasks, the vital and important role is played by the supervisor, who is a real navigator and inspirer and for me, he is Prof. V. B. Deshpande in the Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi. I express my deep sense of gratitude for his valuable guidance and encouragement during all phases of this work. I am also indebted to him for his kind help and support.

I take this opportunity to thank the Indira Gandhi National Open University authorities and specially to Prof. Ram G. Takwale, Vice-Chancellor, for permitting me to continue the research while serving the University; Prof. Vijay V. Mandke, Director, School of Engineering and Technology, for inspiring and encouraging me for completing the research work and Prof. M. K. Magazine and Dr. Subhasis Maji for their help and understanding attitude, enabling me to carry out my work efficiently.

I am also indebted to Er. A. K. Saxena, Executive Engineer, Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan and Mr. P. Krishnan, Former Director General, CPWD, for having provided me with vital literature and data of KVS and CPWD for my research work and for extending their valuable help whenever needed.

I had the privilege of having good friends to help me during the course of this work. Notably among them are Mr. Pankaj Khare, Mr. Jitander Sethi, Mr. T. Ravindra, Dr. R. N. Shrestha, Dr. R. S. Adhikari, Dr. R. K. Shrivastava, Mr. Bharat Suneja and Mr. Pankaj Prakash.

This work of mine could not have taken the present shape had Mr. Yadhu Nath Sharma, Mr. R. Ramesh Shanker, Mr. Praveen Chauhan not assisted me with their untiring and sincere secretarial work. I am very thankful to them.

And last, but not the least, I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to my wife Rashmi, who has the understanding to bear with a separation scientist. I would also like to express my gratitude to my parents who gave me their valuable support inspite of missing my company for long hours.


(Manoj Kulshrestha)

ABSTRACT

Construction is one of the largest industries in the world. It is the second largest economic activity in India, only next to agriculture, both in terms of the capital investment and manpower employment. It forms the very foundation of the nation's development and is a measure of its economy and progress.

In India, a large number of construction projects are being implemented under the five year plan programmes and a huge capital investment is being made in these projects. The spiraling construction costs and ever increasing tightening of money have fostered a need for obtaining the full value of every rupee spent on these projects. Value Engineering, is the technique which is normally, employed for this purpose. It analyses the value and reliably provides the essential performance of the project at the optimal cost.

In this study, a purposeful attempt to look at value in a more scientific and realistic manner, has been made. Value has been viewed as one parameter, which encompasses the time, quality and cost components, the three vital factors of any construction project from control point of view.

Total value control, in this study, includes the consideration of some non-economic attributes, such as functional and performance attributes to arrive at the optimum value. In this process, one encounters the complexity of the decision process by the conflicting multiplicity of these attributes. The study, presents some concepts of multiple criteria decision making (MCDM) to deal with the situation.

Further, for this purpose, a value control implementation model involving multiple criteria decision making techniques has been developed which replaces the present subjectivity in alternative evaluation by providing objectivity in subjective decision making. This model is implemented through specifically designed multiattribute action plan (MAAP) developed for this purpose. The model has been termed as "Multiple Criteria Value Management (MCVM)."

In this study, two of the MCDM techniques namely, analytical hierarchy process (AHP) and fuzzy set methodology (FSM) have been discussed in detail supported by case studies/examples for the MCVM implementation.

In the second aspect of the study, a matrix based methodology for analysis in VE has been developed. The technique is capable of determining and/or verifying the basic function(s) of complex components of construction projects and provides with a means of separating those functions which are there in the element because of the present design approach. Thus, helping in identifying the potential areas for VE application in the system.

Finally, to consider time component of value, a project monitoring system with the use of VE-MCDM combine has been developed. A case study of a school building construction project of Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan (KVS) has been included to illustrate the technique.

CONTENTS

Certificate	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract	v
Contents	vii
List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables	xvii
List of Appendices	xxi
Chapter 1 : Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Value Control : An Integrated Project Control	2
1.3 Objectives of the Study	6
1.4 Scope for a New Approach	7
1.5 Organisation of Thesis	8
Chapter 2 : Literature Review	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Value Engineering	12
2.2.1 Definition of VE	12
2.2.2 Historical Development of VE	15
2.2.3 VE Advent in the Indian Scene	17
2.2.4 VE in Construction	17
2.2.5 VE in Project Management	22
2.2.6 Review of Literature on other VE Parameters	23
2.3 Multiple Criteria Decision Making (MCDM)	38
2.3.1 Brief History of MCDM	39
2.3.2 Multiple Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) Techniques	42
2.3.3 Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)	43

2.3.4	Fuzzy Set Methodology	48
2.3.5	Multiattribute Utility Theory (MAUT)	52
2.3.6	Multicriterion Q-Analysis-II (MCQA-II)	53
2.3.7	ELECTRE Method	55
2.4	Project Monitoring System	56
2.5	Conclusions	58
Chapter 3 : Value Engineering Implementation through MCDM		59
3.1	Introduction	59
3.2	Present Procedure of Value Engineering Implementation	61
3.2.1	Value Engineering (VE) Technique	61
3.2.2	The VE Job Plan	62
3.3	Multiple Criteria Decision Making (MCDM)	76
3.4	Multiple Criteria Value Management (MCVM)	82
3.4.1	Importance of Attributes in VE	83
3.4.2	Definition of MCVM	88
3.4.3	Group Dynamics in MCVM	88
3.5	Multiattribute Action Plan (MAAP)	90
3.5.1	Information Phase	93
3.5.2	Function Analysis Phase	95
3.5.3	Creative Speculation Phase	98
3.5.4	Multicriteria Modelling Phase	100
3.5.5	Recommendation and Presentation Phase	101
3.6	Conclusions	102
Chapter 4 : Functional Analysis through Eigenvector Approach		103
4.1	Introduction	103
4.2	The Functional Approach	104
4.2.1	Defining the Functions	105
4.2.2	Evaluation of Functional Relationships	107
4.2.3	An Overview of Conventional Evaluation Techniques	107
4.3	Decision Matrix Analysis (Eigenvector Approach)	109
4.3.1	Construction of Square Decision Matrix	110
4.3.2	Analysis of Consistency in Decision Making	116

4.3.3	Computation of Priority Vector	117
4.4	Step-by-step Procedure of the Methodology	118
4.5	Analysis of Results	121
4.6	Numerical Evaluation through Decision Time Scale	123
4.7	Case Study	126
4.7.1	Introduction	126
4.7.2	About the Project	126
4.7.3	Organisational Setup	126
4.7.4	Functional Identification and Definition	127
4.7.5	Functional Evaluation	128
4.7.6	Results and Discussions	130
4.8	Conclusions	142
Chapter 5 : Multiple Criteria Modelling		143
5.1	Introduction	143
5.2	Scales of Measurement	145
5.3	Methods for Assessing Weight of Attributes	146
5.3.1	Eigenvector Method	147
5.3.2	Weighted Least Square Method	150
5.3.3	Approximation Approaches of Eigenvector Method	152
5.3.4	Consensus Weight Scheme for Attributes	154
5.4	Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)	155
5.4.1	The Principle of Identity and Decomposition	156
5.4.2	An Illustrative Example	157
5.4.3	The Principle of Discrimination and Comparative Judgement	160
5.4.4	Synthesis of Priorities	168
5.5	Case Study	177
5.5.1	Information Phase	178
5.5.2	Function Analysis Phase	181
5.5.3	Creative Speculation Phase	181
5.5.4	Multi-criteria Modelling Phase	183
5.5.5	Recommendation and Presentation Phase	194
5.5.6	Results and Discussions	194
5.5.7	Comparison of AHP with Other Methods	196

5.6	Fuzzy Set Methodology (FSM)	197
5.6.1	Fuzzy Set Theoretic Approach	198
5.6.2	The Fuzzy Set Concept	198
5.6.3	Fuzzy Theoretic Methodology for Multi-criteria Evaluation	201
5.7	Case Example	205
5.7.1	Information Phase	205
5.7.2	Function Analysis Phase	206
5.7.3	Creative Speculation Phase	207
5.7.4	Multi-criteria Modelling Phase	210
5.7.5	Recommendation and Prsentation Phase	215
5.8	Results and Discussions	215
5.9	Conclusions	215

Chapter 6 : Project Monitoring through VE-MCDM 217

6.1	Introduction	217
6.2	Monitoring of Physical Progress of Work	220
6.2.1	Introduction	220
6.2.2	Commonly Adopted Approaches	220
6.3	Proposed Progress Monitoring System	221
6.3.1	Physical Progress through Decision Matrix Analysis	221
6.3.2	Milestone Bar Chart	224
6.3.3	Overall Planned Progress	225
6.3.4	Overall Planned Expenditure	229
6.3.5	Progress Value Index	229
6.3.6	Weighted Progress Value Index	230
6.4	Updating	231
6.4.1	Introduction	231
6.4.2	Updating Capabilities of the Methodology	231
6.4.3	Updating Frequency	232
6.5	Case Study	233
6.5.1	Introduction	233
6.5.2	Cost of School Building Projects	234
6.5.3	Size of Kendriya Vidyalayas	235
6.5.4	Components of School Building Project	235

6.5.5	Technical Details of the Project	235
6.5.6	Calculations of Indices	236
6.5.7	Results and Discussions	238
6.5.8	Reporting Formats	238
6.6	Conclusions	239
	Proforma	240
	Chapter 7 : Conclusions and Recommendations	245
7.1	Introduction	245
7.2	Summary of Results	245
7.3	Conclusions	246
7.4	Recommendations	250
7.5	Scope for the Future Work	250
	Bibliography	251
	Appendices	265
	Bio-data	345