

PULLOUT BEHAVIOUR OF PILE ANCHORS IN SOFT SATURATED CLAY

by

NUSRAT ULLAH KHAN

Department of Civil Engineering

***THESIS SUBMITTED
IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY***



to the

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DELHI

NEW DELHI - 110016, INDIA

JULY, 1992

DEDICATED

TO MY

BELOVED PARENTS

1992-07-10 09:26 Ed.CIL N.DELHI.
07/08/92 15:41 503 725 4840
91118852541 XIII ICSMFE-94

PSU EXT STUDIES
07/08/92 10:32

91 11 6847861 P.01

P01

001

TO: Dr. M. Datta. I.I.T. Delhi	FROM: S. GULHATI	DATE: July 9 / 92 PAGES INCLUDING THIS PAGE: 1
FAX #: 91 11 6847861	FAX #: 503 725 4840	PHONE #:

91 11 6847861

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, **PULLOUT BEHAVIOUR OF PILE ANCHORS IN SOFT SATURATED CLAY** being submitted by **DR. MANOJ DATTA** to the Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, India, for the award of the degree of **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**, is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him under our supervision and guidance. The thesis work, in our opinion, has reached the standard, fulfilling the requirements for **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY** degree. The research report and the results presented in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or full, to any other University or Institute, for the award of any degree or diploma.

(DR. MANOJ DATTA)
Associate Professor,

Shashi K. Gulhati
(DR. SHASHI K. GULHATI)
Professor,

Civil Engineering Department
Indian Institute of Technology
New Delhi-110016

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, **PULLOUT BEHAVIOUR OF PILE ANCHORS IN SOFT SATURATED CLAY** being submitted by Mr. **NUSRAT ULLAH KHAN** to the Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, India, for the award of the degree of **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**, is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him under our supervision and guidance. The thesis work, in our opinion, has reached the standard, fulfilling the requirements for **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY** degree. The research report and the results presented in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or full, to any other University or Institute, for the award of any degree or diploma.


(DR. MANOJ DATTA)
Associate Professor,


(DR. SHASHI K. GULHATI)
Professor,

Civil Engineering Department
Indian Institute of Technology
New Delhi-110016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my deep sense of gratitude and indebtedness to my supervisor Dr. Shashi K. Gulhati, Professor, Civil Engineering Department, I.I.T., Delhi and Managing Director - Educational Consultants India Ltd., who was always available and willing for guidance and advise at any time. It is needless to say that without his help this work would not have come to this stage.

I also express my sincere thanks to my co-supervisor Dr. Manoj Datta, Associate professor of Civil Engineering Department I.I.T., Delhi for his constant inspiration, invaluable guidance and deep interest throughout the progress of this work.

I am highly grateful to all the faculty members at the Civil Engineering Department of I.I.T., Delhi for their timely help as and when it was needed.

I also take this opportunity to acknowledge my debt of gratitude to my revered teacher, Mr. Jalaluddin, Principal- University Polytechnic , J.M.I., who has always been persuading, inspiring and encouraging me to go for higher studies and work on this project patiently.

I am deeply beholden to all my friends and the fellow faculty members at Jamia Millia Islamia for their kind co-operation and generous assistance during this work. It would be more appropriate to make a special mention of Prof. Iqbal H. Khan, Dean Faculty of Engineering and Technology, J.M.I., who has been

a constant source of encouragement and inspiration to accomplish this task.

All the staff members of the Soil Mechanics Laboratory, the Marine Geotechnology Laboratory and the Civil Engineering Workshop at I.I.T., Delhi deserve thanks for extending full co-operation and help during the experimental work.

I am grateful to Dr. C. Thangaraj, Incharge of Computer Laboratory, J.M.I., who arranged an access to the computer facility for the compilation of this thesis. Mr. Zafar Beg and Mr. Ghayas Uddin Khan deserve special thanks for their data entry and editing/formatting respectively in a very short period.

Mr. N. L. Arora cannot be missed to receive my thanks for excellent tracing of figures required for this work.

Here, a mention should also be made of the encouragement and support that I have received from my brother Dr. I. U. Khan and other family members in carrying out this work. Lastly, I wish to express my gratefulness and appreciations to my wife Farida Khar who had to face many hardships and missed my company for long hours during this work.

NUSRAT ULLAH KHAN

ABSTRACT

The recovery of petroleum products from offshore environment is moving into regions of greater water depths. New platform concepts have emerged in the form of compliant structures since jacket type fixed offshore structures are exorbitantly expensive in deeper waters. One type of compliant structure that is finding increasing acceptability is the tension-leg platform (TLP).

The TLP requires anchoring of its foundations to the seabed in order to resist sustained static tension as well as wave-induced cyclic tension. Piles are considered to be a viable means of providing such anchorage.

Presence of significant petroleum resources has been registered off the east coast of India - at the mouth of the river Godavari where water depths are of the order of 200 metres and the seabed soil comprises of very soft to soft clay. TLPs with pile foundations are envisaged as one feasible type of production structure for this location. An understanding of the pullout behaviour of offshore piles in very soft to soft clays, under static as well as cyclic uplift loading is, therefore, of great interest for the design of TLP foundations in the Indian context.

A review of literature indicates that:

- (i) Pullout behaviour of piles in very soft clays has not been a subject of detailed study,
- (ii) Under static pullout loading, the influence of loading
- (iv)

- rate, the influence of pile surface characteristics and the influence of variation in water content on pullout behaviour requires further investigation, and
- (iii) Under one-way stress-controlled cyclic tension loading there are no studies reported on pullout behaviour of piles in soft to very soft clays.

In view of the prohibitive cost of full scale pile load tests in the marine environment, research must begin with laboratory model tests. The present research work is, therefore, directed to understanding the pullout behaviour of pile anchors under static and cyclic loading conditions in very soft clays by means of model tests in the laboratory.

The objectives of the present study were as follows:

- (i) to understand the load-displacement behaviour of model pile anchors - embedded in very soft clay under static vertical pullout loads; and to identify the influence of various parameters such as pullout rate and water content on the soil-pile adhesion factor, α ,
- (ii) to understand the influence of wave-induced cyclic loading on pullout behaviour; particularly to identify (a) the movement of piles under cyclic loading, and (b) the influence of the cyclic loading on subsequent static pullout behaviour,
- (iii) to compare the static and cyclic pullout behaviour of pile anchors with that of plate anchors - a type of anchor which has been extensively studied by various investigators.

Model pile anchors were buried in very soft clay in test tanks and subjected to static and cyclic pullout loads using a specially designed and fabricated mechanical - pneumatic loading frame. Over 200 model tests were conducted in the present study. Circular steel tanks of 30 cm internal diameter and 50 cm height were used as model test tanks. Model pile anchors were made of tubular aluminium sections having an outside diameter of 50 mm, wall thickness of 5 mm and, lengths of 200 mm and 350 mm. Model piles with either smooth or knurled surfaces were used.

The static pullout tests were conducted at different water contents of soil, for different natures of applied loading (stress-controlled and strain-controlled), with different speeds of pullout and with varying surface characteristics of model piles.

Cyclic pullout tests were carried out at different water contents of soil, with varying the number of load cycles and using different cyclic stress levels.

The soil used for model tests was Dhanauri clay, a river bed clay deposit having liquid limit of 51 percent, plastic limit of 30 percent and plasticity index of 21 percent. The range of water content used for the tests varied from 30 to 45 percent. In this range the undrained strength of the soil varied from 0.016 to 0.07 kg per sq.cm.

Static pullout tests, both stress-controlled as well as strain-controlled, were conducted on model pile anchors at water

contents of approximately 30, 35, 40 and 45 percent. Under strain-controlled testing, the piles were pulled out at pullout strain rates of 0.2 and 5.0 mm/min, whereas in stress-controlled pullout tests, stress increments of 1/8 th of the pullout capacity obtained in strain-controlled tests were applied, each for a duration of 30 min.

From the results obtained in static model tests the following observations are made about the pullout behaviour of pile anchor under static loading:

- (i) The values of the adhesion factor, α , observed in laboratory tests are substantially less than 1.0 and lie in the range of 0.25 to 0.40. Data in literature corroborates this information.
- (ii) An increase in water content and the consequent decrease in undrained strength does not influence α values significantly.
- (iii) Increase in pullout rate does not appear to have an appreciable influence on α - values.
- (iv) Pullout loads are found to be comparable in both stress-controlled and strain-controlled tests.
- (v) Failure occurs at displacements of less than 10 percent of the pile diameter.

Cyclic tests consisted of applying 500 cycles of pullout load with a cyclic time period of 15 sec., between pre-specified maximum and minimum load limits and observing the corresponding upward movement of the pile. At the end of 500 cycles, the pullout load applied to the pile was reduced to zero. The pile

was then pulled out statically.

From the results obtained in cyclic model tests, the following observations are made about the pullout behaviour of pile anchors under cyclic loading:

- (i) The upward movement of model pile anchors at low cyclic stress levels was observed to be less than 0.4 percent of the pile diameter. Whereas at high stress levels, for same water contents, the pile moved-up rapidly to failure after showing low movement in the initial few load cycles.
- (ii) The critical maximum cyclic stress level beyond which failure of the pile occurs appears to be about 70 percent of its static pullout capacity in soils at low water content and about 85 percent at high water content for the range of water contents investigated.
- (iii) No degradation of pile capacity due to cyclic loading is observed in post-cyclic static behaviour so long as the pile does not actually fail under cyclic loading itself.

This thesis also presents a comparative study of the pullout behaviour of pile and plate anchors in soft to very soft clays under static as well as cyclic loading. Significant conclusions from this comparison are as follows:

- (i) When no suction forces develop below plate anchors, the behaviour of plate anchor is similar to that of pile anchor in that it is not influenced by water content or

pullout rate.

- (ii) The displacement that is necessary to generate maximum skin friction in case of pile anchors is very low as compared to a much larger displacement required for full mobilisation of the vertical breakout resistance in case of plate anchors.
- (iii) Plate anchors show much larger movement under cyclic loading than pile anchors.
- (iv) For both, plate and pile anchors, the total movement under cyclic loading is governed by the maximum cyclic stress level.
- (v) Pile anchors show low initial movement but in some cases, at some stage, the movement increases very rapidly leading to total failure. In contrast, plate anchors do not show sudden failure.
- (vi) One-way (tension) cyclic loading does not result in any degradation in the static pullout capacity of either pile or plate anchors so long as failure under cyclic loading does not occur.

The present study indicates that for the design of pile anchors of TLPs, one would have to use a factor of safety higher than that adopted for the design of pile foundations of steel jacket type fixed offshore platforms. To ensure that a pile anchor does not show any movement under cyclic uplift loading induced by environmental forces as well as that sudden pile failure does not occur, it is recommended that the static pullout capacity of such an anchor must be atleast 3.0 times the maximum cyclic load

induced during extreme environmental conditions. A factor of safety of 3.0 is thus the minimum acceptable factor of safety which must be adopted for pile anchors to resist vertical loads.

-*****-

LIST OF CONTENTS

	PAGE NOS.
CERTIFICATE	(i)
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	(ii)
ABSTRACT	(iv)
LIST OF CONTENTS	(xi)
LIST OF TABLES	(xvi)
LIST OF FIGURES	(xvii)
LIST OF SYMBOLS AND NOTATIONS	(xxiii)
 CHAPTER-1	
INTRODUCTION	
 1.1 The Context	 1
1.1.1 Civil Engineering Activity Offshore India	1
1.1.2 Occurrence of Soft Soils Offshore India	3
1.1.3 TLPs:A possible Alternative in Soft Soils	5
1.1.3.1 Loads on anchors	5
1.1.3.2 Anchor requirements	7
1.1.4 Piles as Anchors	11
1.2 Scope	16
1.2.1 Uncertainties in Pile Design	16
1.2.2 Overall Scope	17
1.2.3 Scope of the Present Work	17
1.3 The Objectives	18
1.4 The Approach	18

CHAPTER-2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Introduction	20
2.2	Behaviour Under Static Pullout Loading	21
2.2.1	Testing Techniques	21
2.2.2	Test Results	29
2.3	Behaviour Under Cyclic Pullout Loading	31
2.3.1	Testing Techniques	31
2.3.2	Test Results	39
2.4	Concluding Remarks	41
CHAPTER-3	EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS	
3.1	Model Tests	42
3.1.1	Static Tests	42
3.1.2	Cyclic Tests	42
3.2	Soil Used	44
3.3	Test Variables	49
3.3.1	Static Tests	49
3.3.2	Cyclic Tests	49
3.4	Test Apparatus	50
3.4.1	Model Test Tanks	50
3.4.2	Model Pile Anchors	50
3.4.3	Pullout System	52
	3.4.3.1 Static pullout	52
	3.4.3.2 Cyclic pullout	56
3.4.4	Vane Shear Equipment	60
3.4.5	Equipment for Pulverization of Soil	64

3.5	Test Procedures	64
3.5.1	Mixing Water With Soil	70
3.5.2	Filling of Test Tanks	70
3.5.3	Pile Installation	71
	3.5.3.1 Pushed piles	71
	3.5.3.2 In-place piles	71
3.5.4	Pullout Testing	71
	3.5.4.1 Static strain-controlled tests	71
	3.5.4.2 Static stress-controlled tests	76
	3.5.4.3 Cyclic pullout tests	76
3.5.5	Determination of Undrained Strength	77
3.5.6	Determination of Water Content	77
3.6	Improvements in Test Procedures	80
3.6.1	Pile Surface Characteristics	81
3.6.2	Pile Lengths	81
3.6.3	Pulling Systems	83
3.6.4	Pile Installation Techniques	89
3.6.5	Soil Surcharge	91
3.6.6	Drainage Conditions	92
3.6.7	Pile Embedment Time	94
3.6.8	Concluding Observations	96
3.7	Testing Programme	96
 CHAPTER-4	 STATIC TESTS	
4.1	Introduction	97
4.2	Evaluation of Adhesion Factor 'α'	98
4.3	Reproducibility of Test Results	98

4.4	Results and Discussion	100
4.4.1	α - Values	100
4.4.1.1	Influence of testing technique	100
4.4.1.2	Influence of water content	113
4.4.1.3	Influence of pullout rate	113
4.4.2	Pullout Load - Deformation Behaviour	113
4.4.2.1	Influence of loading conditions	113
4.4.2.2	Influence of surface characteristics	116
4.5	Concluding Remarks	125
 CHAPTER-5	 CYCLIC TESTS	
5.1	Introduction	126
5.2	Reproducibility of Test Results	126
5.3	Test Results and Discussion	130
5.3.1	Movement Under Cyclic Loading	130
5.3.1.1	Influence of cyclic stress level	130
5.3.1.2	Influence of water content	139
5.3.2	Static Behaviour After Cyclic Loading	139
5.3.2.1	Load-displacement behaviour	139
5.3.2.2	α - Values	146
5.4	Concluding Remarks	146
 CHAPTER-6	 COMPARISON OF PILE AND PLATE ANCHORS	
6.1	Introduction	149
6.2	Tests on Plate Anchors	151

6.3	Comparison of Results of Pile and Plate Anchors	153
6.3.1	Static Pullout Behaviour	153
6.3.1.1	Pullout load-deformation behaviour	153
6.3.1.2	Influence of water content	155
6.3.1.3	Influence of pullout rate	155
6.3.2	Behaviour Under Cyclic Loading	158
6.3.2.1	Movement with number of cycles	158
6.3.2.2	Influence of stress level	161
6.3.2.3	Influence of water content	164
6.3.3	Static Behaviour After Cyclic Loading	164
6.4	Summary of Comparison	164
CHAPTER-7	CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR DESIGN	
7.1	Conclusions	168
7.1.1	Static Behaviour of Pile Anchors	168
7.1.2	Behaviour of Pile Anchors Under Cyclic Loading	169
7.2	Implications for Design	170
7.2.1	Pile Anchors	170
7.2.2	Combined Anchors	171
REFERENCES		173
BIO - DATA		177

-*****-