

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AND CARBON FLUX
FROM
HYDROPOWER RESERVOIRS IN INDIA

MOUSUMI NATH



DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
NEW DELHI-110016, INDIA

JUNE 2016

**GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AND CARBON FLUX
FROM
HYDROPOWER RESERVOIRS IN INDIA**

by

**MOUSUMI NATH
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING**

*Submitted
in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy*

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

JUNE 2016

Dedicated

to

My Loving Children

Ushnik & Ushniha



CERTIFICATE

This is to that the thesis entitled “**Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Carbon Flux from Hydropower Reservoirs in India**” submitted by **Mousumi Nath** to the Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi India, for the award of the Degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** in Civil Engineering is a bonafide record of original research work carried out by her under our supervision in conformity with the results and regulations of the Institute.

The research reports and results presented in this thesis have not been submitted in part or full, to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

(Dr. Babu J. Alappat)
Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi
New Delhi-110016, India

(Dr. Arvind Kumar Nema)
Professor
Department of Civil Engineering Indian
Institute of Technology, Delhi
New Delhi-110016, India

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

With the grace of Almighty, I take this opportunity to acknowledge with immense pleasure the efforts and support of all the people who made this thesis possible.

First of all, I would like to express my special appreciation and gratitude to my supervisors **Prof. Babu J Alappat** and **Prof. Arvind Kumar Nema**, for their enthusiastic supervision and sharing their pearls of wisdom with me during the course of this research. Throughout my thesis-writing period, they provided encouragement, critical analysis, along with lots of good ideas, which helped to explain things clearly and simply. I would have been lost without them. I am also very much indebted to my many fellow research scholars, especially Mr. Sumanth, Ms. Shivali, Ms. Mayuri, et al. for providing a stimulating and critical reading environment in which to learn and grow. I would also like to thank my committee members, **Professor Viresh Dutta**, **Professor Mukesh Khare** and **Professor Sashi Mathur** for serving as my committee members even at hardship. I acknowledge my special thanks to Professor Mukesh Khare and his team especially Mr. Sunil Gulia for their support extended to obtain data from various sources.

A special thanks to my family for helping me get through the difficult times, and for all the emotional support, camaraderie, entertainment, and caring they provided, especially, my beloved husband Utpal Nath and our always positive and joyful children Ushnik and Ushniha, a powerful source of inspiration and energy. A special thought is devoted to my late father and recently departed mom-in-law for their unconditional love and support to grow as a woman of substance.

At the end I would like express appreciation to my inner-self to gain the confidence to complete the research work along with other crucial assignments of personal and professional life.

Place: IIT, Delhi

Date:

(MOUSUMI NATH)

ABSTRACT

Energy is the lifeline of any developing country, like India. Power sector development consisting hydropower is the core of its development policy. Considering the multi-fold benefits of hydropower e.g. Renewable, inflation free, higher efficiency (over 90%) compared to thermal (35%) and gas (around 50%), lower Cost of generation, operation and maintenance etc., its development is inevitable.

However, issue of greenhouse gas emissions from Hydropower reservoirs has been raised on various national and international platforms. Several studies have been done in this regard in countries like North America, Canada, and Brazil etc. They reveal that an interplay of various factors like the topography, climate, soil and geological conditions as well as land-use, reservoir operations etc. are the factors responsible for the ultimate fate of carbon in the reservoirs. There are several scientific uncertainties and complexities involved in the matter as the study/research done on the issue is still in a preliminary state. A better understanding of the CH₄ footprint of tropical reservoirs is also required.

The study of greenhouse gas emissions from the hydro power reservoirs in India is at a nascent stage. Only fewer studies like measurements done by Scientists of the CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography in eight dams-reservoirs. India is a signatory to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). That said, India is increasingly engaged in reducing carbon emissions and alleviating environmental degradation.

In the backdrop of the above scenario, the present research was undertaken to close the knowledge gaps and develop a better understanding of the process of GHG emissions from Hydropower reservoirs, in India.

Measurements usually made at specific point/time do not account for the transient nature of reservoir C flux and the heterogeneity in flux that occurs across different types of ecosystems inundated with water. Therefore, a process based model with proven track record was used to understand the emission characteristics of the reservoirs in India.

The research involved study of characteristics and distribution of hydropower reservoirs in India to give a comprehensive picture of the greenhouse gas emissions from them. The

research involved estimation of Power Density (i.e. watt per sq.m area for Dams of National Importance (i.e. dams with height 100 m and above or with storage capacity of 1Km³ and above completed). This was done in line with the threshold criteria developed by the CDM Executive board of UNFCCC.

The thesis deals with the estimation of greenhouse gas emissions and carbon flux from five different hydropower reservoirs in India located in different climatic conditions and having different characteristics using a process based model Wetland DNDC. The model was used after validation using Model Evaluation Techniques for comparison of the simulated and measured values.

Five case studies, representative of the country as a whole have been carried out i.e. Sardar Sarovar, Gujarat; Govind Sagar (Bhakra Nangal Dam) Himachal Pradesh; Supa, Karnataka; Gumti, Tripura and Govind Bhallabh Pant Sagar (Rihand Dam), Uttar Pradesh.

The model study helped in identifying the influencing parameters that play a vital role in deciding the fate of emissions from hydropower reservoirs. It has also revealed the range of GHG emissions that various hydropower reservoirs might experience in different parts of the country through representative case studies.

The model reveals the impact of climate change caused by variation in the temperature, rainfall etc. upon the GHG emissions from hydroelectric reservoirs through sensitivity analysis. The model also gives the effect of net emissions (i.e. NEE) due to the construction of hydroelectric reservoir apart from the impact of the GHG emissions on account of inundation of the surrounding land area.

Thus, in a country like India of continental proportion, use of process based model with proven track record can be greatly be helpful in closing our knowledge gaps and understanding the emission characteristics due to inundation on account of hydroelectric projects. This would assist in better planning and implementation of the hydropower projects in an informed and clean manner.

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	i
Abstract	ii
Contents	iv
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	x
Notations and Abbreviations	xiii
List of Appendixes	xv

	Page No
1. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 General	1
1.2 Understanding the matter of Gas Emission Process in the Reservoir of a Dam	3
1.2.1 Basic terminologies and concept of aquatic carbon cycle	3
1.2.2 CH ₄ and CO ₂ production in hydroelectric reservoirs	5
1.2.3 GHG flux between water and the atmosphere	7
1.2.4 Measurements	11
1.2.5 Potentiality of GHGs	12
1.3 Points of Significance	14
1.4 Indian Hydropower Scenario	16
1.5 Research Gaps	20
1.6 Motivation and Aim	21
1.6.1 Scope of the work	23
1.7 Thesis Outline	24
2 LITERATURE REVIEW AND MODEL CONCEPTULIZATION	
2.1 Tropical Hydropower Reservoirs	27
2.2 Literature Review in Indian Context	34
2.3 Model Studies	35
2.4 Key Issues of concern about GHG Emission from Reservoir	43
2.4.1 General issues	43

2.4.2	Specific issues	44
2.5	Conceptualization of the research and Road map for future work	45
2.6	Concepts of Wetland-DNDC	47
2.6.1	Basic Concepts	47
2.6.2	Mathematical Concepts of Wetland-DNDC	49
2.6.2.1	Hydrology	49
2.6.2.2	Soil Thermal Dynamics	54
2.6.2.3	Plant Growth (Mosses and Herbaceous Plants)	56
2.6.2.4	Anaerobic Processes	57
3	GENERAL METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Assessment of Distribution and Characteristics of Indian Reservoirs	61
3.2	Estimation of Power Density (W/m ²) of Indian Reservoirs	62
3.3	Procedure of Modelling	63
3.3.1	Wetland-DNDC	63
3.3.2	Model validation	66
3.3.3	Case studies	67
3.3.4	Sensitivity analysis	71
4	WETLAND-DNDC VALIDATION FOR INDIA	
4.1	Wetland-DNDC: A Model with Proven Track Record	74
4.2	DNDC for Indian Rice/Paddy field emissions	74
4.3	Model Validation Concerns	74
4.4	General Aspects of the Site Pichavram Mangroves	75
4.5	Inputs for Pichavram Mangroves	76
4.6	Model Validation Method	78
4.7	Model Evaluation Techniques	79
4.7.1	Statistical techniques	79
4.7.2	Graphical techniques	82
4.7.3	Two-sided paired 't-test'	82
4.8	Calculation and Results of Model Validation	83

5	UNDERSTANDING INDIAN HYDROPOWER RESERVOIRS- A PRACTICAL APPROACH	
5.1	Distribution and Characteristics of Indian Reservoirs-Appraisal	87
5.2	Power Density (W/m^2) Estimation of Dams of National Importance	92
6	CASE STUDIES: PART-I (NORTH AND WEST) SARDAR SAROVAR AND BHAKRA NANGAL RESERVOIRS	
6.1	Sardar Sarovar, Gujarat	102
	6.1.1 General aspects of Sardar Sarovar	102
	6.1.2 Input parameters for Sardar Sarovar	104
	6.1.3 Sample calculations of WTD for Sardar Sarovar	105
	6.1.4 Sensitivity analysis for Sardar Sarovar (with sample calculations)	105
6.2	Bhakra Nangal, (H.P)	107
	6.2.1 General aspects of Bhakra Nangal	107
	6.2.2 Input parameters for Bhakra Nangal	109
	6.2.3 Sensitivity analysis for Bhakra Nangal (with sample calculations)	111
7	CASE STUDIES: PART-II (SOUTH AND EAST) SUPA GUMTI AND RIHAND RESERVOIRS	
7.1	Supa Dam Karnataka	114
	7.1.1 General aspects of Supa	114
	7.1.2 Input parameters for Supa	116
	7.1.3 Sensitivity analysis for Supa	117
7.2	Gumti Dam Tripura	119
	7.2.1 General aspects of Gumti	119
	7.2.2 Input parameters for Gumti	119
	7.2.3 Sensitivity analysis for Gumti	121
7.3	Rihand Dam Uttar Pradesh (U.P.)	123
	7.3.1 General aspects of Rihand	123
	7.3.2 Input parameters for Rihand	125
	7.3.3 Sensitivity analysis for Rihand	127
8	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
8.1	Closing Knowledge Gaps Through Better Understanding and	129

Documentation	
8.2 Factors Affecting Variation of Gas Flux from Hydropower Reservoir	130
8.2.1 Seasonal variation of fluxes and NEE	131
8.2.2 Variation of GHG fluxes over long time period	134
8.2.3 Variation of GHG fluxes with WTD	136
8.2.4 Variation of NEE/ net emissions from reservoir with inundation	138
8.2.5 CO ₂ equivalent comparison	140
8.3 Sensitivity Analysis Results	142
8.4 Discussion	144
9 CONCLUSIONS AND SCOPE FOR FUTURE WORK	
9.1 Conclusions	148
9.2 Scope for Future Work	149
References	150
Appendices	158-239
Bio-Data	240

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page No
Figure 1.1	Aerobic / Anaerobic Respiration & Decomposition of Organic Matter	5
Figure 1.2	Emissions from Hydropower Reservoir	7
Figure 1.3	Stratified and Non-Stratified Lake	10
Figure 2.1	Wetland-DNDC – A Glimpse	48
Figure 2.2	Conceptual Model of GHG Emissions from Hydropower Reservoir	50
Figure 3.1	A Flow Diagram of the Methodology Adopted to Achieve Research Objectives	61
Figure 3.2	Conceptual Diagram of Wetland-DNDC for Use in C Simulations	64
Figure 3.3	Input Information for Location and Climate	65
Figure 3.4	Output Windows demonstrating Daily Dynamics of Water, C and N Pools and Fluxes During the Model Runs	67
Figure 3.5	Map showing Study Sites	68
Figure 4.1	Pichavaram Mangroves	76
Figure 4.2	WTD inputs for Pichavaram	78
Figure 4.3	Scatter plot of Measured v/s Simulated CH ₄ Fluxes at Cuddalore in 20 th Yr. with % Error	85
Figure 4.4	Time series Plot of Measured& Simulated CH ₄ Fluxes at Cuddalore in 20 th Yr.	85
Figure 5.1	Distribution of small, medium and large reservoirs in India	90
Figure 5.2	Emissions Vulnerability	95
Figure 6 .1	Index Map Sardar Sarovar Project (Gujarat)	103
Figure 6.2	Different WTDs Input for Sardar Sarovar	104
Figure 6.3	Distribution of Reservoirs in Himachal Pradesh Showing Govind Sagar Reservoir (Bhakra Nangal)	109
Figure 6.4	Input WTD Bhakra	110
Figure 7.1	Supa Dam/ Reservoir, Karnataka	115
Figure 7.2	WTD Input at Supa	116

Figure 7.3	Gumti Reservoir, Tripura	120
Figure 7.4	WTD Input at Gumti	121
Figure 7.5	Rihand Reservoir, Uttar Pradesh	126
Figure 7.6	WTD Input for Rihand	127
Figure 8.1	Daily C Gas Fluxes at Site Sardar Sarovar Dam (SSD) (15 th year simulation)	133
Figure 8.2	Daily N gas fluxes at site Sardar Sarovar Dam (SSD) (15 th year simulation)	133
Figure 8.3	CH ₄ gas fluxes at site Sardar Sarovar Dam (SSD) (15 th year)	134
Figure 8.4	Average Monthly Methane at the Site SSD (NGL-ve) in 5 th , 10 th and 15 th Year After Submergence.	135
Figure 8.5	Average Monthly N ₂ O at the Site SSD (NGL-ve) in 5 th , 10 th and 15 th Year After Submergence.	135
Figure 8. 6	WTD Variations in Wetland / Area Under Submergence	136
Figure 8.7	Monthly average Variation in CH ₄ with WTD at SSD (15 th year simulation)	137
Figure 8.8	Monthly average Variation in N ₂ O with WTD at SSD (15 th year simulation)	138
Figure 8.9	Daily Net Ecosystem Exchange at site SSD in 5 th , 10 th & 15 th year(-NGL-ve)	139
Figure 8.10	Daily Net Ecosystem Exchange at site SSD- Effect of Inundation (15 th year simulation)	139
Figure 8.11	Effect of Temperature Rise on Methane Emissions	143
Figure 8.12	Effect of Temperature Rise on N ₂ O Emissions	143
Figure 8.13	Effect of Temperature Rise on NET Emissions	144

LIST OF TABLES

Table No	Title	Page No
Table 1.1	GWP of GHGs	13
Table 1.2	Anticipated Power Supply Position in the Country During 2014-15-Region-wise	16
Table 1.3	Emission from Different Power Sources	18
Table 1.4	Range of Emission from Different Power Sources	18
Table 2.1	Milestones of GHG Emissions from Hydroelectric Reservoirs	30
Table 2.2	Model Studies for GHG Estimation/Climate Change	36
Table 3.1	Case Studies Undertaken for Modelling	69
Table 3.2	Permutations for Sensitivity Analysis	72
Table 4.1	Inputs for Pichavram Mangroves	77
Table 4.2	Observed WTD for Pichavaram	77
Table 4.3	Measured /Actual Methane Fluxes at Pichavaram	79
Table 4.4	Statistical & Graphical Analysis of CH ₄ Fluxes at Cuddalore in 20 th Yr	83
Table 4.5	T-Test: Paired Two Sample for Means (95%) at Cuddalore in 20 th Yr	84
Table 4.6	Model Evaluation Result	86
Table 5.1.	Distribution of Small, Medium and Large Reservoirs and Their Characteristics in India	88
Table 5.2	Distribution and Percentage of Reservoirs in Each State of India	90
Table 5.3	Vulnerability for emissions	95
Table 5.4	Power Density of Dams of National Importance	96
Table 6.1	Input parameters for Case-1: Sardar Sarovar	104
Table 6.2	Observed WTD at Sardar Sarovar (in m)	105
Table 6.3	Characteristics of Simulated Seasonal and Annual Rainfall and Mean Temperature for the West Coast Region as Simulated by PRECIS	106
Table 6.4	Climate Change Scenario at Sardar Sarovar for Temperature	106
Table 6.5	Sample Calculation at Sardar Sarovar for Temperature -T3	106
Table 6.6	Climate Change Scenario at Sardar Sarovar for Rainfall	107

Table 6.7	Sample Calculation at Sardar Sarovar for Rainfall -R1	107
Table 6.8	Input parameters for Bhakra Nangal	109
Table 6.9	Observed WTD for Bhakra	110
Table 6.10	Characteristics of Simulated Seasonal and Annual Rainfall and Mean Temperature for The Himalayan Region (baseline and A1B scenario) as simulated by PRECIS	111
Table 6.11	Climate Change Scenario at Bhakra for Temperature	111
Table 6.12	Sample Calculation at Bhakra for Temperature T1	112
Table 6.13	Climate Change Scenario at Bhakra for Rainfall	112
Table 6.14	Sample Calculation at Bhakra for Rainfall R1	113
Table 7.1	Input Parameters for Case 3-Supa	116
Table 7.2	Observed WTD at Joida in Uttara Kannada (in m)	117
Table 7.3	Characteristics of Simulated Seasonal and Annual Rainfall and Mean Temperature for the West Coast Region (baseline and A1B scenario) as Simulated by PRECIS.	117
Table 7.4	Climate Change Scenario at Supa for Temperature	118
Table 7.5	Climate Change Scenario at Supa for Rainfall	118
Table 7.6	Sample Calculation R1 for Supa	118
Table 7.7	Input parameters for Case 4-Gumti	120
Table 7.8	Observed WTD at Dhawaj nagar, Udaipur (Gumti) (in m)	121
Table 7.9	Characteristics of simulated seasonal and annual rainfall and mean temperature for the North East Region (baseline and A1B scenario) as Simulated by PRECIS.	122
Table 7.10	Climate Change Scenario at Gumti for Temperature	122
Table 7.11	Climate Change Scenario at Gumti for Rainfall	123
Table 7.12	Input Parameters for Case 5-Rihand	125
Table 7.13	Observed WTD at Dudhi in U.P (Rihand) (in m)	126
Table 7.14	Climate Change Scenario at Rihand for Temperature	127
Table 7.15	Climate Change Scenario at Rihand for Rainfall	128
Table 8.1	Sample Output (Gumti)	132
Table 8.2	GHG fluxes at site SSD in year 15 (CO ₂ eqv. Kg/ha/day)	134
Table 8.3	Average monthly gas fluxes at site Sardar Sarovar in year 15-observed+ve, observed mid +ve and observed NGL +ve	137
Table-8.4	Average monthly gas fluxes at site Rihand in year 15-	137

	observed+ve, observed mid +ve and observed NGL +ve	
Table 8.5	Comparison of Methane Concentration in Indian and Other Tropical Reservoirs	140
Table 8.6	Comparison of CO ₂ Equivalent for Different Cases of the Model	141
Table 8.7	Monthly Average Gas Fluxes at Site Gumti-GL +ve in year 10 Due to Rise in Temperature by 1°C	142

NOTATIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS

NOTATIONS

m	meter
W	Watt
MW	Megawatt
GW	Giga watt
TW	Terawatt
TWh	Terawatt hour
ha	Hectare
MT	Mega tonne
t	Metric ton
μM	Micro Molar(moles/litre)

ABBREVIATIONS

BGL	Below Ground Level
CD	Cumulative Density
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CEA	Central Electricity Authority
CWC	Central Water Commission
D	Depth of water table
DIC	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon
DOC	Dissolved organic Carbon
ES	Potential Soil Evapotranspiration
ET	Potential Evapotranspiration
FC	Field Capacity
GHG	Greenhouse Gases
GOI	Government of India
GPP	Daily Gross Photosynthesis
GWP	Global Warming Potential
HEP	Hydroelectric Project
IHA	International Hydropower Association
IMD	Indian Meteorological Department
JD	Julian day

K	Light extinction coefficient
LAI	Leaf Area Index
Max.	Maximum
Min.	Minimum
MOWR	Ministry of Water Resources
NEE	Net Ecosystem Exchange
NGL	Near Ground Level
NO_x	Mono nitrogen oxides
NPP	Net Primary Productivity
NRLD	National Register of Large Dams
NSE	Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency
OM	Organic Matter
P_a	Partial pressure of air
PBIAS	Percent bias
PD	Power Density
P_{int}	Plant Interception
PS	Porosity
P_w	Partial pressure of water
R	Rainfall
r	Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient
R²	coefficient of determination
RLD	Root Length density
RMSE	Root mean square error
RSR	RMSE-observations standard deviation ratio
SSD	Sardar Sarovar Dam
STDEV	Standard deviation
SW	Soil moisture
T	Temperature
TP	Potential plant Evapotranspiration
WT	Water table position
WTD	Water Table Depth

LIST OF APPENDIXES

Appendix-1	Table: Daily average (i.e. 2009-2011, from IMD Pune) max. & min temperatures and rainfall	158
Appendix-2.1	Table: Statistical & Graphical Analysis of CH ₄ fluxes at Cuddalore in 30 th Yr	163
Appendix-2.2	Table: t-test: Paired Two Sample for Means (95%) at Cuddalore in 30thYr	163
Appendix-2.3	Fig.: Scatter plot of Measured v/s Simulated CH ₄ fluxes at Cuddalore in 30thYr. with % error	164
Appendix-2.4	Fig.: Time series plot of Measured & Simulated CH ₄ fluxes at Cuddalore in 30 th Yr.	164
Appendix-2.5	Table: Statistical & Graphical Analysis of CH ₄ fluxes at Cuddalore in 30thYr	165
Appendix-2.6	Table: t-Test: Paired Two Sample for Means (95%) at Cuddalore in 30thYr	165
Appendix-2.7	Fig.: Scatter plot of Measured v/s Simulated CH ₄ Fluxes at Cuddalore in 50thYr. With % error	166
Appendix-2.8	Fig.: Time series plot of Measured& Simulated CH ₄ fluxes at Cuddalore in 50 th Yr.	166
Appendix-3.1	Fig.: Distribution of Small Reservoirs in India	167
Appendix-3.2	Fig.: Distribution of Medium Reservoirs in India-	168
Appendix-3.3	Fig.: Large Reservoirs in India	169
Appendix-3.4	Fig.: Distribution of Reservoirs (all categories) in India	170
Appendix-4	Table: Input Climatic Information for Sardar Sarovar	171
Appendix-5	Table: Daily WTD Interpolated at Sardar Sarovar (in cm)	175
Appendix-6	Table: Input Climatic Information Bhakra	180
Appendix-7	Table: Input Temperature and Rainfall for Supa	185
Appendix-8	Table: Input Max. & Min. Temperature and Rainfall for Gumti	190
Appendix-9	Table: Input Max. & Min. Temperature and Rain fall for Rihand	195

Appendix-10.1	Table: GHG fluxes at Site SSD in year 15 (CO ₂ eqv. Kg/ha/day)	200
Appendix-10.2	Fig.: Daily C Gas Fluxes at site Bhakra (15 th year simulation)	200
Appendix-10.3	Fig.: Daily N Gas Fluxes at site Bhakra (15 th year simulation)	201
Appendix-10.4	Fig.: CH ₄ Gas Fluxes at site Bhakra (15 th year)	201
Appendix-10.5	Table: GHG Fluxes at site Bhakra in year 15 (CO ₂ eqv. Kg/ha/day)	202
Appendix-10.6	Fig.: Daily C Gas Fluxes at site Supa (15 th year simulation)	202
Appendix-10.7	Fig.: Daily N Gas Fluxes at site Supa (15 th year simulation)	203
Appendix-10.8	Fig.: Daily N Gas Fluxes at site Supa (15 th year simulation)	203
Appendix-10.9	Table: GHG Fluxes at site Supa in year 15 (CO ₂ eqv. Kg/ha/day)	204
Appendix-10.10	Fig.: Daily N Gas Fluxes at site Supa (15 th year simulation)	204
Appendix-10.11	Fig.: Daily N Gas Fluxes at site Supa (15 th year simulation)	205
Appendix-10.12	Fig.: CH ₄ Gas fluxes at site Gumti (15 th year)	205
Appendix-10.13	Table: GHG Fluxes at site Gumti in year 15 (CO ₂ eqv. Kg/ha/day)	206
Appendix-10.14	Fig.: Daily C Gas Fluxes at site Rihand (15 th year simulation)	206
Appendix-10.15	Fig.: Daily N Gas Fluxes at site Rihand (15 th year simulation)	207
Appendix-10.16	Fig.: CH ₄ Gas Fluxes at site Rihand (15 th year)	207
Appendix-10.17	Table: GHG Fluxes at site Rihand in year 15 (CO ₂ eqv. Kg/ha/day)	208
Appendix-10.18	Fig.: Average Monthly Methane at the Site Bhakra (NGL-ve) in 5 th , 10 th and 15 th Year After Submergence.	208

Appendix-10.19	Fig.: Average Monthly N ₂ O at the Site Bhakra(NGL-ve) in 5 th , 10 th and 15 th Year After Submergence.	209
Appendix-10.20	Fig.: Average Monthly Methane at the Site Supa(NGL-ve) in 5 th , 10 th and 15 th Year After Submergence.	209
Appendix-10.21	Fig.: Average Monthly N ₂ O at the Site Supa (NGL-ve) in 5 th , 10 th and 15 th Year After Submergence.	210
Appendix-10.22	Fig.: Average Monthly Methane at the Site Gumti (NGL-ve) in 5 th , 10 th and 15 th Year After Submergence.	210
Appendix-10.23	Fig.: Average Monthly N ₂ O at the Site Gumti (NGL-ve) in 5 th , 10 th and 15 th Year After Submergence.	211
Appendix-10.24	Fig.: Average Monthly Methane at the Site Rihand(NGL-ve) in 5 th , 10 th and 15 th Year After Submergence.	211
Appendix-10.25	Fig.: Average Monthly N ₂ O at the Site Rihand (NGL – ve) in 5 th , 10 th and 15 th Year After Submergence.	212
Appendix-10.26	Fig.: Monthly Average Variation in CH ₄ with WTD at Bhakra (15 th year simulation)	212
Appendix-10.27	Fig.: Monthly average Variation in N ₂ O with WTD at Bhakra (15 th year simulation)	213
Appendix-10.28	Fig.: Monthly Average Variation in CH ₄ with WTD at Supa (15 th year simulation)	213
Appendix-10.29	Fig.: Monthly Average Variation in N ₂ O with WTD at Supa (15 th year simulation)	214
Appendix-10.30	Fig.: Monthly Average Variation in CH ₄ with WTD at Gumti (15 th year simulation)	214
Appendix-10.31	Fig.: Monthly Average Variation in N ₂ O with WTD at Gumti (15 th year simulation)	215
Appendix-10.32	Fig.: Monthly Average Variation in CH ₄ with WTD at Rihand (15 th year simulation)	215
Appendix-10.33	Fig.: Monthly Average Variation in N ₂ O with WTD at Rihand (15 th year simulation)	216
Appendix-10.34	Fig.: Daily Net Ecosystem Exchange at site Bhakra in 5 th 10 th & 15 th year (-NGL-ve)	216

Appendix-10.35	Fig.: Daily Net Ecosystem Exchange at site Bhakra- Effect of Inundation (15 th year simulation)	217
Appendix-10.36	Fig.: Daily Net Ecosystem Exchange at site Supa in 5 th , 10 th & 15 th year (-NGL-ve)	217
Appendix-10.37	Fig.: Daily Net Ecosystem Exchange at site Supa- Effect of Inundation (15 th year simulation)	218
Appendix-10.38	Fig.: Daily Net Ecosystem Exchange at site Gumti in 5 th , 10 th & 15 th year (-NGL-ve)	218
Appendix-10.39	Fig.: Daily Net Ecosystem Exchange at site Gumti- Effect of Inundation (15 th year simulation)	219
Appendix-10.40	Fig.: Daily Net Ecosystem Exchange at site Rihand in 5 th , 10 th & 15 th year (-NGL-ve)	219
Appendix-10.41	Fig.: Daily Net Ecosystem Exchange at site Rihand- Effect of Inundation (15 th year simulation)	220
Appendix-11	Tables: 1-100 (Sensitivity Analysis)	221-239
