

**RESOURCE ALLOCATION IN MULTI-CELL OTFS AND  
PRECODED ZAK-OTFS FOR LOW-COMPLEXITY  
RECEIVER EQUALIZATION**

**AMIT KUMAR PATHAK**



**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI  
FEBRUARY 2026**

© Indian Institute of Technology (IITD), New Delhi, 2026

# Resource Allocation in Multi-Cell OTFS and Precoded Zak-OTFS for Low-complexity Receiver Equalization

by

**Amit Kumar Pathak**

**Department of Electrical Engineering**

submitted

in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

*to the*



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

**February 2026**

Dedicated to  
My Family & Friends

# Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Resource Allocation in Multi-Cell OTFS and Precoded Zak-OTFS for Low-complexity Receiver Equalization**” being submitted by **Mr. Amit Kumar Pathak** to the Department of Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** is the record of bonafide research work carried out by him under my supervision. In my opinion, the thesis has reached the standards fulfilling the requirements of the regulations relating to the degree. The results contained in this thesis have not been submitted either in part or in full to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

**Dr. Saif Khan Mohammed**  
Professor  
Department of Electrical Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi  
Hauz Khas, New Delhi, 110016, India

Date:  
Place: New Delhi

# Acknowledgments

The journey leading to this thesis has been an extraordinary one, filled with challenges, learning experiences, and unexpected turns. The successful completion of this work would not have been possible without the support, encouragement, and unwavering belief of a few exceptional individuals. Their presence provided both comfort and strength during critical moments of this academic pursuit. I am deeply grateful for their contributions, and above all, I am thankful for the way the universe conspired to guide me toward this path.

I offer my deepest gratitude to God for blessing me with the strength, determination, confidence, energy, and insight needed to complete this journey.

First and foremost, I owe everything to my parents, Mr. Prakash Narayan Pathak and Mrs. Sushma Pathak, who have nurtured and shaped me into the person I am today. Your unwavering commitment to and passion for education have been a constant source of inspiration, motivating me to reach new academic heights. It is because of your enduring support, especially through long periods of struggle and challenge, that I have been able to achieve this milestone. You are my strength, my foundation, and at times, even my vulnerability. Throughout both this research journey and my life, you have been my steadfast supporters, my wisest advisors, and my closest companions. I am forever grateful, and I will continue to cherish and honor you, striving always to make you proud.

I would like to extend my deepest gratitude to my wife, Vibha Pathak, my elder son, Atharv Pathak and my younger son, Vaswik Pathak, your unwavering support, understanding, and constant encouragement have been invaluable throughout this journey. Your patience and love provided me with the strength and resilience needed

to navigate the many challenges I faced. Thank you for always believing in me and for being my steadfast partner every step of the way. To my son, Atharv Pathak, your joy and innocence have been a continuous source of inspiration. Your smiles and laughter have brought light to my most difficult days and reminded me of the value of perseverance and balance. Thank you for your understanding and for filling my life with immeasurable happiness. This thesis would not have been possible without the love, support, and presence of both of you. I am forever grateful and I dedicate this work to you both.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my Ph.D. advisor, Dr. Saif Khan Mohammed, for his invaluable guidance and instruction in the fundamental principles of academic research. His mentorship not only supported my research endeavors but also deepened my understanding across multiple disciplines. Dr. Saif's systematic approach to addressing complex problems greatly eased my transition into the world of research. His extensive academic and industry experience played a pivotal role in shaping my research direction, ensuring that it remained both rigorous and practically relevant. His insightful feedback and attention to detail significantly enriched the quality of this thesis. It has been a privilege to conduct research under his supervision, and I am profoundly thankful for the opportunity to learn from him. Dr. Saif's mentorship has been instrumental in nurturing my growth as a researcher and guiding me through every phase of this journey.

I am deeply grateful to my Student Research Committee: Prof. Shankar Prakriya (Chairman), Prof. Seshan Srirangarajan (Internal Expert), and Prof. Monika Aggarwal (External Expert), for their invaluable reviews and thoughtful guidance throughout my research journey. Your constructive feedback and critical insights at every stage have been instrumental in shaping the direction and quality of this thesis. I sincerely appreciate the time, effort, and expertise each of you has contributed to my work. Your commitment to academic excellence and your support have played a significant role in helping me refine my research and grow as a scholar.

I am fortunate to have had the support of an incredible group of friends during my time at IIT Delhi. I would like to sincerely thank Mr. Mohammed Ubadah, Mr.

Venkatesh Khammammettii, Mr. Imran Ali Khan, Mr. Rahul Kumar Jaiswal, Mr. Danish Nisar, Mr. Saurabh Kumar for their unwavering support, camaraderie, and encouragement throughout my research journey. Being part of this supportive and inspiring group has been a privilege.

I also extend my sincere gratitude to all those who have encouraged and supported me along this journey. Your belief in me has been a source of inspiration.

Amit Kumar Pathak

# Abstract

Emerging 5G and beyond wireless networks predominantly rely on OFDMA (Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access) based multi-cell systems. However, these systems are not robust in environments with high delay and Doppler spread, leading to degraded spectral efficiency (SE) and reliability. To address this, Multi-Carrier Orthogonal Time Frequency Space (MC-OTFS) modulation has been proposed. MC-OTFS maps information symbols in the delay-Doppler (DD) domain, where the wireless channel exhibits greater sparsity compared to the traditional time-frequency (TF) domain, offering improved robustness in high DD spread scenarios. While the performance of single-cell MC-OTFS under DD spread conditions has been studied, the analysis of multi-cell MC-OTFS systems in such environments remains limited. In our research, we investigated the spectral efficiency performance of multi-cell MC-OTFS in high DD spread channels and benchmarked it against traditional OFDM systems. Our findings showed that inter-cell interference (ICI) significantly limits the SE of multi-cell MC-OTFS.

To mitigate this limitation, we proposed a guard band (GB) scheme with reuse of DD resources across cells. This technique effectively reduces ICI in DD spread channels and enhances overall system spectral efficiency. Our evaluations demonstrated that the proposed GB scheme improves performance in multi-cell MC-OTFS systems under high delay and Doppler conditions. In the second part of our research, we addressed the challenge of low-complexity equalization in Zak-OTFS modulated systems. Although Zak-OTFS, a recently proposed variant, offers better robustness against DD spread compared to MC-OTFS, it requires joint DD-domain equalization, which is computationally intensive—particularly in downlink scenarios, where user

terminals (UTs) have limited processing capabilities. To overcome this challenge, we propose two low-complexity equalization techniques for Zak-OTFS, transmitter side precoding/pre-filtering and receiver side post-filtering. In transmitter side precoding, we introduce an optimized precoder at the Zak-OTFS transmitter. By selecting an appropriate filtering scheme, we enabled single-tap equalization at the receiver, eliminating the need for complex joint equalization and reducing computational overhead. In receiver side post-filtering technique, we design an optimal post filter at the receiver that leverages the sparsity of the DD-domain channel. Since the DD-domain channel is more stationary than the TF-domain counterpart, it enables less frequent channel estimation, reducing pilot overhead and enhancing energy efficiency. This allows the system to maintain reliable performance over longer time intervals without re-estimating the channel frequently. Together, these contributions advance the understanding and practical viability of OTFS-based systems in realistic, high-mobility wireless environments. The proposed methods not only improve spectral and energy efficiency but also reduce system complexity, making them well-suited for future multi-cell deployments in 5G and beyond.

## सार

उभरते हुए पाँचवीं पीढ़ी तथा उससे आगे की वायरलेस संचार प्रणालियाँ मुख्यतः ऑर्थोगोनल आवृत्ति विभाजन बहु-प्रवेश आधारित बहु-सेल संरचनाओं पर आधारित हैं। तथापि, अधिक विलंब तथा डॉप्लर प्रसार वाले परिवेश में ये प्रणालियाँ अपेक्षित दृढ़ता प्रदर्शित नहीं कर पातीं, जिसके परिणामस्वरूप स्पेक्ट्रल दक्षता तथा प्रणाली की विश्वसनीयता में उल्लेखनीय हास होता है। इस समस्या के समाधान हेतु मल्टी-कैरियर ऑर्थोगोनल टाइम फ्रीक्वेंसी स्पेस मॉड्यूलेशन तकनीक प्रस्तावित की गई है।

इस तकनीक में सूचना प्रतीकों का मानचित्रण विलंब-डॉप्लर क्षेत्र में किया जाता है, जहाँ वायरलेस चैनल की संरचना पारंपरिक समय-आवृत्ति क्षेत्र की तुलना में अधिक विरल पाई जाती है। इस विशेषता के कारण अधिक विलंब एवं डॉप्लर प्रसार की स्थितियों में यह तकनीक बेहतर दृढ़ता प्रदान करती है। यद्यपि विलंब-डॉप्लर प्रसार वाले परिवेश में एकल-सेल प्रणालियों के प्रदर्शन का विश्लेषण पूर्ववर्ती शोधों में किया गया है, तथापि बहु-सेल प्रणालियों के संदर्भ में ऐसा अध्ययन अभी भी सीमित रूप में उपलब्ध है।

प्रस्तुत शोध में अधिक विलंब-डॉप्लर प्रसार वाले चैनलों के अंतर्गत बहु-सेल प्रणालियों की स्पेक्ट्रल दक्षता का विस्तृत विश्लेषण किया गया है तथा इसकी तुलना पारंपरिक ऑर्थोगोनल आवृत्ति विभाजन मल्टीप्लेक्सिंग प्रणालियों से की गई है। प्राप्त परिणामों से यह स्पष्ट रूप से सिद्ध होता है कि अंतर-सेल हस्तक्षेप बहु-सेल प्रणालियों की स्पेक्ट्रल दक्षता को महत्वपूर्ण रूप से सीमित करता है।

उक्त समस्या के निराकरण हेतु इस शोध में एक गार्ड बैंड आधारित योजना प्रस्तावित की गई है, जिसमें विभिन्न सेल्स के मध्य विलंब-डॉप्लर संसाधनों का पुनः उपयोग किया जाता है। यह प्रस्तावित योजना विलंब-डॉप्लर प्रसार वाले चैनलों में अंतर-सेल हस्तक्षेप को प्रभावी रूप से न्यूनतम करती है तथा प्रणाली की समग्र स्पेक्ट्रल दक्षता में वृद्धि करती है। सैद्धांतिक एवं संख्यात्मक विश्लेषण से यह प्रमाणित हुआ है कि प्रस्तावित योजना अधिक विलंब तथा डॉप्लर स्थितियों में बहु-सेल प्रणालियों के प्रदर्शन में उल्लेखनीय सुधार प्रदान करती है।

शोध के द्वितीय चरण में जैक-ऑर्थोगोनल टाइम फ्रीक्वेंसी स्पेस मॉड्यूलेटेड प्रणालियों में कम-जटिलता समानीकरण की समस्या का अध्ययन किया गया है। यद्यपि यह तकनीक मल्टी-कैरियर ऑर्थोगोनल टाइम फ्रीक्वेंसी स्पेस की तुलना में विलंब-डॉप्लर प्रसार के प्रति अधिक सक्षम है, तथापि इसमें संयुक्त विलंब-डॉप्लर क्षेत्र समानीकरण की आवश्यकता होती है, जो गणनात्मक दृष्टि से अत्यधिक जटिल है। यह समस्या विशेष रूप से डाउनलिनिक परिदृश्यों में अधिक गंभीर हो जाती है, जहाँ उपयोगकर्ता टर्मिनलों की प्रसंस्करण क्षमता सीमित होती है।

इस चुनौती के समाधान हेतु इस शोध में जैक-ऑर्थोगोनल टाइम फ्रीक्वेंसी स्पेस प्रणालियों के लिए दो कम-जटिलता समानीकरण तकनीकें प्रस्तावित की गई हैं, अर्थात् प्रेषक-पक्ष प्रीकोडिंग अथवा प्री-फ़िल्टरिंग तथा रिसीवर-पक्ष पोस्ट-फ़िल्टरिंग। प्रेषक-पक्ष प्रीकोडिंग विधि में ट्रांसमीटर पर एक अनुकूलित प्रीकोडर का प्रयोग किया गया है, जिसके परिणामस्वरूप रिसीवर पर केवल एकल-टैप समानीकरण पर्याप्त सिद्ध होता है। इससे संयुक्त समानीकरण की आवश्यकता समाप्त हो जाती है तथा गणनात्मक जटिलता में उल्लेखनीय कमी आती है।

रिसीवर-पक्ष पोस्ट-फ़िल्टरिंग तकनीक में रिसीवर पर एक इष्टतम फ़िल्टर का अभिकल्पन किया गया है, जो विलंब-डॉप्लर क्षेत्र चैनल की विरल संरचना का प्रभावी उपयोग करता है। चूँकि विलंब-डॉप्लर क्षेत्र चैनल समय-आवृत्ति क्षेत्र चैनल की तुलना में अधिक स्थिर होता है, अतः बार-बार चैनल अनुमान की आवश्यकता नहीं पड़ती। इसके परिणामस्वरूप पायलट ओवरहेड में कमी आती है तथा ऊर्जा दक्षता में वृद्धि होती है, जिससे प्रणाली दीर्घकाल तक विश्वसनीय प्रदर्शन बनाए रखने में सक्षम होती है।

समग्र रूप से, यह शोध उच्च गतिशीलता वाले यथार्थपरक वायरलेस परिवेश में ऑर्थोगोनल टाइम फ्रीक्वेंसी स्पेस आधारित प्रणालियों की सैद्धांतिक समझ एवं व्यावहारिक उपयोगिता को सुदृढ़ करता है। प्रस्तावित विधियाँ न केवल स्पेक्ट्रल एवं ऊर्जा दक्षता में सुधार करती हैं, अपितु प्रणाली की गणनात्मक जटिलता को भी न्यूनतम करती हैं, जिससे ये भविष्य की बहु-सेल वायरलेस संचार प्रणालियों हेतु अत्यंत उपयुक्त सिद्ध होती हैं।

# Contents

<b>Certificate</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 OFDM . . . . .	4
1.1.1 Limitations of OFDM in High-Mobility Channels . . . . .	5
1.1.2 Need for MC-OTFS in Future Wireless Systems . . . . .	6
1.2 MC-OTFS . . . . .	7
1.2.1 Advantages of Delay-Doppler Representation . . . . .	7
1.2.2 Resource Allocation in MC-OTFS . . . . .	9
1.2.3 MC-OTFS Modulation . . . . .	13
1.2.4 MC-OTFS Demodulation . . . . .	15
1.2.5 Energy Efficiency, Latency, and PAPR in MC-OTFS . . . . .	16
1.2.6 Limitations of Conventional Multi-Cell MC-OTFS and the Need for Guard-Band-Based MC-OTFS . . . . .	17
1.2.7 Limitations of MC-OTFS and the Need for Zak-OTFS . . . . .	20
1.3 Zak-OTFS . . . . .	23
1.3.1 Working of Zak OTFS . . . . .	24
1.3.2 Zak-OTFS Modulation . . . . .	27

1.3.3	Zak-OTFS Demodulation . . . . .	28
1.3.4	Zak-OTFS Input-Output (I/O) Relation . . . . .	29
1.3.5	Crystallization Condition and Predictability in Zak-OTFS . . . . .	29
1.3.6	Limitations of Zak-OTFS and the Need for Precoder at Transmitter . . . . .	30
1.3.7	PAPR In Zak-OTFS Systems . . . . .	33
1.3.8	Latency In Zak-OTFS Systems . . . . .	34
1.3.9	Limitations of Precoder in Zak-OTFS and the Need for Post-Filter at Receiver . . . . .	36
1.4	Motivation . . . . .	39
1.5	Research Objectives and Contributions . . . . .	40
1.5.1	Objective-1:Analysis of Spectral Efficiency (SE) performance of MC-OTFS based multi cell systems . . . . .	40
1.5.2	Objective-2: DD domain resource allocation to mitigate Inter Cell Interference in MC-OTFS based multi-cell systems . . . . .	41
1.5.3	Objective-3: Transmit Precoding in Zak-OTFS Systems to Enable Low Complexity Equalization at Receiver . . . . .	42
1.5.4	Objective-4: Post Filtering Based Low Complexity Equalization For Zak-OTFS Receiver . . . . .	42
1.6	Organisation of the Thesis . . . . .	43
1.6.1	Chapter I: . . . . .	43
1.6.2	Chapter II: . . . . .	44
1.6.3	Chapter III: . . . . .	44
1.6.4	Chapter IV: . . . . .	45
1.6.5	Chapter V: . . . . .	45
1.6.6	Chapter VI: . . . . .	45
<b>2</b>	<b>Multi-Cell MC-OTFS</b>	<b>46</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	46
2.2	System Model . . . . .	47
2.3	Signal Processing at UT . . . . .	48
2.4	Signal Processing at Base Station (BS) . . . . .	49

2.4.1	Time-Frequency Domain Transformation via Wigner Transform . . . . .	52
2.4.2	Delay-Doppler Domain Received Signal Term via Symplectic Finite Fourier Transform (SFFT) . . . . .	52
2.5	Numerical Results . . . . .	54
2.6	Conclusions . . . . .	58
<b>3</b>	<b>Multi-Cell MC-OTFS with Delay Domain Reuse</b>	<b>59</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	59
3.2	Main Idea and Motivation of the Proposed Allocation Scheme . . . . .	60
3.3	System Model . . . . .	61
3.4	Proposed Multi-Cell Delay-Domain Reuse . . . . .	61
3.5	Signal processing at the UT . . . . .	63
3.6	Signal processing at the BS . . . . .	64
3.7	Numerical Results . . . . .	65
3.8	Conclusions . . . . .	68
<b>4</b>	<b>Precoding in Zak-OTFS System</b>	<b>69</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	69
4.2	System Model . . . . .	70
4.3	Proposed Design of Precoding Filter . . . . .	73
4.4	Optimization of Precoding Filter . . . . .	74
4.5	Low-Complexity Equalization via Precoding . . . . .	75
4.5.1	Comparison of Proposed Precoded Zak-OTFS with Existing Methods . . . . .	81
4.5.2	Summary of Comparison . . . . .	82
4.6	Numerical Results . . . . .	83
4.7	Impact of Imperfect CSI and Synchronization on Pre-coder Design and its performance . . . . .	90
4.7.1	Impact of synchronization errors on error rate and spectral efficiency performance . . . . .	91
4.7.2	Imperfect CSI and Its Effect on the Precoder . . . . .	91
4.8	Conclusions . . . . .	92

<b>5</b>	<b>Low Complexity Equalization for Zak-OTFS Receiver</b>	<b>93</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	93
5.2	System Model . . . . .	94
5.3	Proposed Design of Post Filter . . . . .	98
5.4	Optimization of Post Filter . . . . .	98
5.5	Low-Complexity Equalization via Post Filtering . . . . .	100
5.5.1	Comparison of the Proposed Post-Filter Zak OTFS Scheme with Existing Methods . . . . .	103
5.5.2	Summary of Comparison . . . . .	104
5.6	Numerical Results . . . . .	105
5.7	Impact of Imperfect CSI and Synchronization on Post Filter Design .	108
5.7.1	Imperfect CSI and Its Effect on the Post Filter . . . . .	108
5.7.2	Synchronization Errors and Their Impact . . . . .	108
5.8	Comparison of the Transmitter-Side Precoding and Receiver-Side Post-Filtering Methods . . . . .	109
5.8.1	Location of Complexity Reduction . . . . .	109
5.8.2	Channel State Information Requirements . . . . .	109
5.8.3	Interference and Noise Characteristics . . . . .	110
5.8.4	Pilot Overhead and Channel Stability . . . . .	110
5.8.5	Practical Deployment Considerations . . . . .	110
5.9	Conclusions . . . . .	110
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Work</b>	<b>112</b>
6.1	Conclusions . . . . .	112
6.2	Future Work . . . . .	113
6.2.1	The characteristics and challenges of multi-user environments in Zak-OTFS systems . . . . .	113
6.2.2	Multi-Cell Zak-OTFS Systems . . . . .	115
6.2.3	To study precoding for Multi-Cell Zak-OTFS Systems . . . . .	115
6.2.4	Non-Linear Precoding in Zak-OTFS Systems (Tomlinson-Harashima Precoding (THP)) . . . . .	116
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>117</b>

<b>Appendices</b>	<b>125</b>
<b>A Chapter (2) Derivations</b>	<b>126</b>
A.1 Time-Frequency Domain Received Signal Term via Inverse Symplectic Finite Fourier Transform (ISFFT) . . . . .	126
A.2 Delay-Doppler Domain Received Signal Term via Symplectic Finite Fourier Transform (SFFT) . . . . .	131
<b>B Chapter (4) Derivations</b>	<b>137</b>
B.1 Theorem 1. . . . .	137
B.2 Theorem 2. . . . .	139
<b>List of Publications</b>	<b>141</b>
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>SRC Committee Members</b>	<b>144</b>

# List of Figures

- 1.1 Structure of the **Delay-Doppler (DD) Domain Grid** in the OTFS system. This is the fundamental processing domain where information symbols are initially placed. The vertical axis represents **Delay** ( $\tau$ ) with  $M$  discrete units, and the horizontal axis represents **Doppler Shift** ( $\nu$ ) with  $N$  discrete units. The channel response in this domain is quasi-stationary and compact, which is exploited by the system for highly reliable communication. Symbols from this DD grid are transformed to the Time-Frequency grid using the ISFFT. . . . . 10
  
- 1.2 This grid defines the physical transmission structure of an **MC-OTFS** system. The horizontal axis represents **Time** (OFDM Symbols,  $N$ ) and the vertical axis represents **Frequency** (Subcarriers,  $M$ ). The data symbols, which are first mapped and processed in the **Delay-Doppler (DD) Domain**, are transformed onto these Time-Frequency **Resource Elements (REs)** using the **Inverse Symplectic Finite Fourier Transform (ISFFT)**. . . . . 11
  
- 1.3 **Orthogonal Time Frequency Space (OTFS)** transceiver architecture. The transmitter utilizes the **Inverse Symplectic Finite Fourier Transform (ISFFT)** and the **Heisenberg Transform** to map the delay-Doppler (DD) domain data into the time-frequency (TF) domain for subsequent MC-OTFS modulation. The receiver performs the reverse mapping using the **Wigner Transform** and the **Symplectic Finite Fourier Transform (SFFT)** to restore the DD domain signal for detection and decoding. . . . . 13

1.4	Block diagram of the Zak-OTFS modulation and demodulation framework. The figure illustrates the mapping of information symbols onto the delay–Doppler (DD) domain, the application of twisted convolution with the transmit window, and the transformation to the time domain via the inverse Time–Zak transform. After propagation through the doubly dispersive channel, the received signal is converted back to the DD domain using the Zak transform, followed by receive-window-based twisted convolution and DD-domain sampling to recover the transmitted symbols. . . . .	23
1.5	CCDF of PAPR comparison between conventional Zak-OTFS and pre-coded Zak-OTFS. . . . .	33
1.6	Latency comparison between conventional Zak-OTFS and precoded Zak-OTFS for different frame sizes. . . . .	35
2.1	Illustration of the multi-cell MC-OTFS network layout showing the positions of base stations (BS) and user terminals (UT) in each hexagonal cell. The highlighted cell represents the $b = 1$ reference cell. . . . .	47
2.2	Spectral efficiency performance of MC-OTFS and OFDM systems with and without inter-cell interference (ICI) as a function of received SNR at the cell edge for $M = 24$ and $N = 12$ . Both analytical and simulation results are shown. . . . .	56
2.3	Variation of spectral efficiency with cell radius for MC-OTFS and OFDM systems considering inter-cell interference (ICI). Simulation results are shown for $M = 24$ and $N = 24$ . . . . .	57
3.1	Cell layout consisting of 21 hexagonal cells arranged in a multi-tier structure. Cells are grouped into three categories: Group 1 (green), Group 2 (red), and Group 3 (blue). Each circle represents a cell boundary, and the numbered labels denote individual cell indices. . .	61

3.2	Spectral efficiency comparison of conventional MC-OTFS and GB-based MC-OTFS for $M = 24$ and $N = 12$ . The curves show both simulation and analytical results for scenarios with and without inter-cell interference (ICI). The GB-based MC-OTFS method exhibits a noticeable SE improvement under ICI, highlighting its robustness at the cell edge across the considered SNR range. . . . .	66
3.3	Comparison of ratio of inter-cell interference (ICI) to the useful signal power for the conventional MC-OTFS and guard-band (GB) based MC-OTFS. . . . .	67
4.1	Block diagram of the Zak-OTFS transceiver with pre-coding. The figure illustrates the mapping of delay–Doppler domain information symbols, the application of a pre-coder prior to twisted convolution with the transmit window, and the subsequent inverse Time–Zak transform to generate the time-domain signal. At the receiver, the Zak transform, receive-window twisted convolution, and DD-domain sampling collaboratively recover the transmitted DD-domain symbols.	71
4.2	Comparison of delay–Doppler domain channel responses for conventional and precoded Zak-OTFS schemes with a maximum Doppler shift of $\nu_{\max} = 1$ kHz. . . . .	78
4.3	Comparison of delay–Doppler domain channel responses for conventional and precoded Zak-OTFS schemes with a maximum Doppler shift of $\nu_{\max} = 2$ kHz. . . . .	79
4.4	Comparison of delay–Doppler domain channel responses for conventional and precoded Zak-OTFS schemes with a maximum Doppler shift of $\nu_{\max} = 4$ kHz. . . . .	80
4.5	SINR performance of the precoded Zak-OTFS scheme versus SNR under different maximum Doppler shifts $\nu_{\max} \in \{0, 1, 5, 10\}$ kHz. The results illustrate the degradation in SINR as Doppler increases. . . . .	83

4.6	SER performance comparison of precoded Zak-OTFS and conventional Zak-OTFS as a function of SNR. The proposed precoded Zak-OTFS is evaluated under perfect channel knowledge and under imperfect CSI with PDR $\eta = -10$ dB using a single-tap equalizer. The results are compared with conventional Zak-OTFS employing joint equalization with PDR $\eta = 0$ dB with the knowledge of perfect CSI at the base station transmitter. . . . .	85
4.7	SER performance of the proposed Zak-OTFS precoder versus downlink PDR used to estimate $h_a[0, 0]$ . Perfect CSI at the transmitter and imperfect CSI at transmitter with different PDR levels used for channel estimation. Results are compared with conventional Zak-OTFS to illustrate performance degradation due to imperfect channel knowledge and the robustness of the proposed precoding scheme. Imperfect CSI at rx, with the x-axis being the PDR of the down-link frame used to estimate $h_a[0, 0]$ . . . . .	86
4.8	Symbol error rate (SER) performance versus maximum Doppler frequency $\nu_{\max}$ for pre-coded Zak-OTFS under perfect CSI and imperfect CSI conditions. The figure illustrates the robustness of precoded Zak-OTFS to Doppler variations and channel estimation errors. . . . .	87
4.9	Effective spectral efficiency versus maximum Doppler frequency $\nu_{\max}$ for precoded and conventional Zak-OTFS systems. The precoded scheme is evaluated under perfect CSI (PCSI) as well as imperfect CSI (ImpCSI) with different pilot-to-data ratios (PDRs). Results show the robustness of precoded Zak-OTFS to Doppler variations compared with the conventional Zak-OTFS baseline. . . . .	88
4.10	Normalized mean squared error (NMSE) performance versus maximum Doppler frequency $\nu_{\max}$ for precoded and conventional Zak-OTFS systems. The curves compare different pilot-to-data ratios (PDRs) and equalization strategies, including single-tap and joint equalizers, under various estimation configurations. . . . .	89

4.11 Normalized mean squared error (NMSE) versus pilot-to-data ratio (PDR) for precoded Zak-OTFS channel estimation at SNR = 20 dB. We assume perfect CSI at the transmitter. The NMSE performance of the precoded Zak-OTFS scheme using the estimated coefficient  $\hat{h}_a[0, 0]$  with a single-tap equalizer is compared with that of conventional Zak-OTFS employing full channel estimation  $\hat{h}[k, l]$  and a joint equalizer. Precoded Zak-OTFS exhibits small NMSE across a wide PDR range, while the conventional estimator achieves lower NMSE at high PDR. 90

5.1 Block diagram of the Zak-OTFS system incorporating a DD-domain post-filter. The transmitted DD-domain symbols  $s[k, l]$  are first shaped by a quasi-periodic impulse train and passed through a twisted convolution with the transmit kernel  $w_{\text{tx}}(\tau, \nu)$ . After the inverse time-Zak transform, the resulting waveform  $s_{td}(t)$  propagates through a doubly dispersive channel. At the receiver, the Zak transform converts the received signal  $r_{td}(t)$  back into the DD domain, followed by a twisted convolution with the receive kernel  $w_{\text{rx}}(\tau, \nu)$  to yield  $\tilde{y}_{dd}[k, l]$ . A DD-domain post-filter further suppresses residual interference, producing the refined samples  $\hat{y}_{dd}[k, l]$ . . . . . 95

5.2 Comparison of delay-Doppler domain channel responses for conventional and Zak-OTFS with Post Filter schemes with a maximum Doppler shift of  $\nu_{\text{max}} = 1$  kHz. . . . . 102

5.3 Symbol error rate (SER) versus maximum Doppler shift  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  for Zak-OTFS systems. The performance of Zak-OTFS with post filtering is shown for three cases: perfect knowledge of  $h_a[0, 0]$ , and estimated  $\hat{h}_a[0, 0]$  with pilot-to-data ratios (PDRs)  $\eta = -10$  dB and  $\eta = -15$  dB, all using a one-tap equalizer. These curves are compared with conventional Zak-OTFS employing joint equalization and full channel estimation  $\hat{h}[k, l]$  under PDRs  $\eta = 0$  dB and  $\eta = -5$  dB. . . . . 105

5.4	Effective spectral efficiency versus maximum Doppler shift $\nu_{\max}$ for Zak-OTFS systems. The plot compares Zak-OTFS with post-filtering using the estimated channel coefficient $\hat{h}_a[0, 0]$ under a pilot-to-data ratio (PDR) of $\eta = -10$ dB, with conventional Zak-OTFS employing full channel estimation $\hat{h}[k, l]$ at $\eta = 0$ dB. . . . .	106
6.1	Non-overlapping time–frequency resource allocation for multiple UTs.	114

# List of Tables

3.1	Guard-band allocation scheme in the delay domain for $M = 24$ and $N = 12$ . The table illustrates the placement of guard bands (GB) and the allocation of groups $G_1$ , $G_2$ , and $G_3$ across delay indices $M$ and Doppler indices $N$ , demonstrating how delay–Doppler resources are partitioned for interference mitigation. . . . .	62
4.1	Multipath Channel Parameters . . . . .	76

# Glossary

<b>3GPP</b>	3rd Generation Partnership Project
<b>AI</b>	Artificial Intelligence
<b>AWGN</b>	Additive White Gaussian Noise
<b>BER</b>	Bit Error Rate
<b>BPSK</b>	Binary Phase Shift Keying
<b>BS</b>	Base Station
<b>CDMA</b>	Code Division Multiple Access
<b>CP-OFDM</b>	Cyclic Prefix Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing
<b>CSI</b>	Channel State Information
<b>DD</b>	Delay-Doppler
<b>DSS</b>	Dynamic Spectrum Sharing
<b>DZT</b>	Discrete Zak Transform
<b>EDGE</b>	Enhanced Data Rates for GSM Evolution
<b>eMBB</b>	Enhanced Mobile Broadband
<b>F-OFDM</b>	Filtered Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing
<b>FDM</b>	Frequency Division Multiplexing
<b>FDMA</b>	Frequency Division Multiple Access
<b>FeMBB</b>	Further Enhanced Mobile Broadband
<b>FM</b>	Frequency Modulation
<b>Gbps</b>	Gigabits per second
<b>GMSK</b>	Gaussian Minimum Shift Keying
<b>GPRS</b>	General Packet Radio Service
<b>GSM</b>	Global System for Mobile Communications
<b>HSDPA</b>	High Speed Downlink Packet Access

<b>HSUPA</b>	High Speed Uplink Packet Access
<b>i.i.d</b>	Independent and Identically Distributed
<b>I/O</b>	Input/Output
<b>ICI</b>	Inter Carrier Interference
<b>IMT</b>	International Mobile Telecommunications
<b>IP</b>	Internet Protocol
<b>ISI</b>	Inter Symbol Interference
<b>LTE</b>	Long Term Evolution
<b>LDPC</b>	Low Density Parity Checking
<b>MA</b>	Multiple Access
<b>Mbps</b>	Megabits per second
<b>MC-OTFS</b>	Multi-carrier Orthogonal Time Frequency Space
<b>MIMO</b>	Multiple Input Multiple Output
<b>MIMO-OFDM</b>	Multiple Input Multiple Output Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing
<b>ML</b>	Machine Learning
<b>MMS</b>	Multimedia Messaging Service
<b>mMTC</b>	Massive Machine Type Communication
<b>mmWave</b>	Millimeter Wave
<b>muRLLC</b>	Massive Ultra Reliable Low Latency Communication
<b>NR</b>	New Radio
<b>OFDM</b>	Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing
<b>OFDMA</b>	Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access
<b>OTFS</b>	Orthogonal Time Frequency Space
<b>PAPR</b>	Peak-to-Average Power Ratio
<b>PDR</b>	Pilot to Data ratio
<b>PSD</b>	Power Spectral Density
<b>QAM</b>	Quadrature Amplitude Modulation
<b>QPSK</b>	Quadrature Phase Shift Keying
<b>RRC</b>	Root Raised Cosine
<b>RF</b>	Radio Frequency
<b>SMS</b>	Short Message Service
<b>SNR</b>	Signal to Noise ratio

<b>SER</b>	Symbol Error Rate
<b>TDMA</b>	Time Division Multiple Access
<b>TF</b>	Time Frequency
<b>TV</b>	Television
<b>UAV</b>	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
<b>umMTC</b>	Ultra-reliable and low-latency Machine Type Communication
<b>UMTS</b>	Universal Mobile Telecommunications System
<b>URLLC</b>	Ultra Reliable Low Latency Communication
<b>UT</b>	User Terminal
<b>Veh-A</b>	Vehicle Type A
<b>VoIP</b>	Voice Over Internet Protocol
<b>VoLTE</b>	Voice Over LTE
<b>W-CDMA</b>	Wideband Code Division Multiple Access