

**DEVELOPMENT OF COMPUTATIONAL TECHNIQUES FOR
SERVICE LOAD ANALYSIS OF STEEL-CONCRETE
COMPOSITE STRUCTURES**

M. P. RAMNAVAS



**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

JANUARY, 2016

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2016

**DEVELOPMENT OF COMPUTATIONAL TECHNIQUES FOR
SERVICE LOAD ANALYSIS OF STEEL-CONCRETE
COMPOSITE STRUCTURES**

by

M. P. RAMNAVAS

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

JANUARY, 2016

Dedicated

to those selfless, who silently contribute to the society

&

to the memories of my late parents

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, “**Development of Computational Techniques for Service Load Analysis of Steel-Concrete Composite Structures**” being submitted by **Mr. M. P. Ramnavas** to the **Indian Institute of Technology Delhi** for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** is a bonafide record of research work carried out by him under our supervision and guidance. The thesis work, in our opinion, has reached the requisite standard fulfilling the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

The results contained in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or full, to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Dr. A.K. Nagpal
Emeritus Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
Hauz Khas, New Delhi – 110016
India

Dr. Sandeep Chaudhary
Associate Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur
Jaipur - 302017
India

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my sincere gratitude to everyone who supported me during the course of my doctoral research.

I express my deepest gratitude to Prof. A. K. Nagpal for giving me an opportunity to carry out my research work under his supervision. I am thankful to him for his time, patience and professionalism in the research guidance and for his affection and blessings all through the programme.

I am very much thankful to my co-supervisor, Dr. Sandeep Chaudhary, for his excellent guidance in the research work. His patience in clarifying my doubts and the perfectionism he maintains in the work, have immensely helped me in the research.

I would also like to thank Prof. Alok Madan, Prof. D. K. Sehgal and Prof. A. K. Gosain for their constructive criticism and valuable suggestions during the interim reviews of the research work.

I also wish to thank Mr. N. R. Gehlot and Mr. Amit Bundela of the computational laboratory and Mr. Bikram Chand and Mr. Rajveer Aggarwal of the department office, for their support.

I am grateful to all my friends, especially to Kashyap, Hemant, Ankit, Kaustav, Satishkumar, Dilip, Amit, Raghavendra, Sureshkumar, Naseef, Safeer, Sushil Kumar and Maheswaran for providing the support I needed all the time, that augmented my confidence to successfully overcome the difficult times.

I record my sincere gratitude to the officers from Indian Railways Mr. P. K. Jain, Mr. M.S. Rana and Mr. Sanjay Mishra for their support, while joining this programme.

I gratefully remember my *alma mater*, the Kerala Govt. Polytechnic, Calicut at this juncture.

I am thankful to my wife for her support and our children for their forbearance, when I had to stay away from them for the research programme.

(M. P. RAMNAVAS)

ABSTRACT

Structural members with concrete slab placed above steel sections and connected together with shear connectors is a common form of steel-concrete composite beams with high structural efficiency in resisting flexure, and are used in buildings and bridges. Cracking of concrete slab occurs in the support regions of continuous composite beams due to hogging moments, under service loads. This cracking of the concrete at the service loads causes inelastic behaviour due to the reduction in the stiffness of members which results in redistribution of moments from the supports to the mid-span and increase in curvatures and deflections. Appropriate analysis of structures with composite beams, considering cracking of concrete is important. There are many numerical and analytical methods available in the literature, of which the numerical methods require large computational efforts whereas the analytical methods do not consider all the aspects. Therefore, there is need for procedures that require minimal computational effort but provides accuracy that is acceptable for practical applications.

In the present work, a cracked span length beam element has been proposed that accounts for cracking in the concrete slab, for the instantaneous analysis of composite beams and composite frames which are subjected to service loads. This element consists of two cracked zones at the ends and one uncracked zone between the cracked zones and has been used to develop an analytical-numerical procedure. The procedure is analytical at the element level, whereas it is numerical at the structural level. Analytical expressions have been obtained for flexibility and stiffness coefficients, end displacements, load vector and mid span deflections of the cracked span length beam element. To keep the procedure analytical at the element level, average tension stiffening characteristics are arrived at for the cracked zones. An iterative process is used to establish the cracked zone lengths. The procedure yields cracked lengths, interpolation coefficients (for tension stiffening) and inelastic deflections as well as

redistributed moments. The procedure with the proposed element has been validated by comparisons with experimental results available in literature and also by comparisons with the results from Finite Element Analysis. The procedure requires a fraction of the computational effort required for the numerical methods available and gives sufficiently accurate results. Therefore, the reduction in the computational efforts in the case of large composite structures, e.g. tall building frames would be considerable.

For rapid evaluation of various inelastic quantities considering cracking of concrete for multi-storey composite frames, a methodology based on neural network approach has also been proposed in the present work. Huge number of data sets required for training of neural networks have been generated using the analytical-numerical procedure mentioned above. Closed form expressions based on weights and biases of the neural networks, are used to predict the inelastic moments and deflections from the parameters obtained in the elastic analysis. These expressions have been validated with results obtained from the analytical-numerical procedure.

Similar closed form expressions, have also been developed for prediction of cracked lengths and interpolation coefficients (tension stiffening) in composite beams of multi-storey frames of various classifications viz. low rise, medium rise and high rise frames duly taking into account the effects of change in column sections between storeys and also the effects of differential axial shortening of columns.

A two stage procedure has also been proposed in the present work, by combining the analytical-numerical procedure and the methodology of predicting inelastic quantities mentioned above, for the analysis of multi-storey composite frames, considering cracking. In this procedure, the prediction models for cracked zone parameters (cracked lengths and interpolation coefficients) have been embedded in the analytical-numerical procedure. In the first stage, an elastic analysis is performed and from the results and using the prediction models

(i.e. closed form expressions based on neural networks), the cracked zone parameters are evaluated. In the second stage (cracked stage), iterations are performed starting from these predicted values which result in comparatively faster convergence. For using the two stage procedure for low rise, medium rise and high rise frames, the relevant closed form expressions, have been embedded in the procedure. The results from the two stage procedure have been compared with that obtained by the analytical-numerical procedure proposed in the present work, for four storey, twenty storey and forty storey example frames, and the results have been found to match exactly with very less number of iterations and thus the saving in computational efforts is considerable.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
LIST OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF NOTATIONS	xx
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
1.2.1 Cracking of concrete, tension softening and tension stiffening	7
1.2.2 Methods for analysis of composite structures	10
1.2.2.1 Methods applicable for analysis up to ultimate load stage	10
1.2.2.2 Methods applicable for analysis at service load stage	14
1.2.3 Provisions in Eurocode for cracking of concrete in composite beams	15
1.2.4 Applications of neural networks in structural engineering	16
1.2.4.1 Prediction of concrete behavior	17
1.2.4.2 Structural optimization	19
1.2.4.3 Structural monitoring / damage detection	20
1.2.4.4 Structural control and other areas	21
1.2.4.5 Structural analysis and design	22
1.2.5 Need for preliminary designs and tall building frames	24
1.2.5.1 Need for preliminary designs	24
1.2.5.2 Tall building frames and differential axial shortening of columns	25
1.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE PRESENT STUDY	26
1.4 ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS	27

CHAPTER 2.	CRACKED SPAN LENGTH BEAM ELEMENT AND ANALYTICAL-NUMERICAL PROCEDURE FOR SERVICE LOAD ANALYSIS OF BEAMS	31
2.1	INTRODUCTION	31
2.2	ANALYTICAL-NUMERICAL PROCEDURE	33
2.2.1	Cross-section analysis	33
2.2.2	Cracked span length beam element	37
2.2.3	Analysis of composite beams	41
2.2.3.1	Uniformly distributed load	42
2.2.3.2	Concentrated load	43
2.3	VALIDATION	45
2.3.1	Experimental results	45
2.3.2	Finite element analysis results	46
2.3.2.1	Uniformly distributed load	46
2.3.2.2	Concentrated load	49
2.4	CONCLUSIONS	49
 CHAPTER 3.	 CRACKED SPAN LENGTH BEAM ELEMENT AND ANALYTICAL-NUMERICAL PROCEDURE FOR SERVICE LOAD ANALYSIS OF FRAMES	 56
3.1	INTRODUCTION	56
3.2	ANALYTICAL-NUMERICAL PROCEDURE	57
3.2.1	Cross-section analysis of composite beam	57
3.2.2	Cracked span length beam element	58
3.2.3	Analysis of composite frames	63
3.2.3.1	Uniformly distributed load	65
3.2.3.2	Concentrated load	66
3.3	VALIDATION	68
3.4	CONCLUSIONS	69

CHAPTER 4.	CLOSED FORM EXPRESSIONS BASED ON NEURAL NETWORKS FOR PREDICTION OF MOMENTS AND DEFLECTIONS FOR FRAMES CONSIDERING CONCRETE CRACKING	76
4.1	INTRODUCTION	76
4.2	REDISTRIBUTION OF MOMENTS AND CHANGE IN DEFLECTIONS DUE TO CRACKING	77
4.2.1	Cracking on a floor and its effects on other floors	78
4.2.2	Significance of column stiffness on effects of cracking	79
4.3	NEURAL NETWORKS AND INPUT - OUTPUT PARAMETERS	82
4.4	DESIGNATIONS OF NEURAL NETWORKS	87
4.5	REPRESENTATIVE THREE BAY FOUR STOREY FRAME	88
4.6	GENERATION OF DATA SETS	88
4.7	TRAINING OF NEURAL NETWORKS AND CLOSED FORM EXPRESSIONS	91
4.8	VALIDATION OF CLOSED FORM EXPRESSIONS	93
4.8.1	Three bay four storey example frame (LREF1)	93
4.8.2	Five bay four storey example frame (LREF2)	94
4.9	CONCLUSIONS	96
CHAPTER 5.	TWO STAGE PROCEDURE FOR INELASTIC ANALYSIS WITH EMBEDMENT OF PREDICTION MODELS FOR LOW RISE COMPOSITE FRAMES	151
5.1	INTRODUCTION	151
5.2	TWO STAGE PROCEDURE WITH EMBEDMENT OF PREDICTION MODELS	152
5.3	PREDICTION OF CRACKED LENGTHS AND INTERPOLATION COEFFICIENTS	153
5.3.1	Input and output parameters	155
5.3.2	Designations of neural networks	157
5.3.3	Representative three bay four storey frame for data generation	158
5.3.4	Generation of data sets	158

5.3.5	Training of neural networks and closed form expressions	160
5.3.6	Validation of closed form expressions	161
5.4	EMBEDMENT OF FPREDICTION MODELS IN ANALYTICAL- NUMERICAL PROCEDURE	162
5.5	VALIDATION OF TWO STAGE PROCEDURE	166
5.6	CONCLUSIONS	168
CHAPTER 6.	TWO STAGE PROCEDURE FOR INELASTIC ANALYSIS WITH EMBEDMENT OF PREDICTION MODELS FOR MEDIUM RISE AND HIGH RISE COMPOSITE FRAMES	207
6.1	INTRODUCTION	207
6.2	TALL FRAMES AND DIFFERENTIAL AXIAL SHORTENING OF COLUMNS	208
6.2.1	Differential axial shortening of columns	208
6.2.2	Classification of tall frames	210
6.3	GENERATION OF DATA SETS CONSIDERING EFFECTS OF DIFFERENTIAL AXIAL SHORTENING	211
6.3.1	Simulation of differential axial shortening	211
6.3.2	Generation of data sets	214
6.3.2.1	Medium rise frames	214
6.3.2.2	High rise frames	215
6.4	TRAINING OF NEURAL NETWORKS AND CLOSED FORM EXPRESSIONS	217
6.4.1	Medium rise frames	217
6.4.2	High rise frames	218
6.5	VALIDATION OF CLOSED FORM EXPRESSIONS	219
6.5.1	Medium rise frames	219
6.5.2	High rise frames	221
6.6	EMBEDMENT OF FPREDICTION MODELS IN ANALYTICAL- NUMERICAL PROCEDURE	222
6.7	VALIDATION OF TWO STAGE PROCEDURE	223

6.7.1	Medium rise frames	223
6.7.2	High rise frames	223
6.8	CONCLUSIONS	224
 CHAPTER 7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTUTRE WORK		318
7.1	CONCLUSIONS	318
7.1.1	Cracked span length beam element and analytical-numerical procedure	318
7.1.2	Methodology for rapid evaluation of inelastic quantities using closed from expressions	319
7.1.3	Two stage procedure for inelastic analysis with embedment of prediction models	321
7.2	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	323
 REFERENCES		325
BIO-DATA		336

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. No.	Description	Page No.
1.1	Isometric view of composite beam.	29
1.2	Longitudinal view of composite beam with cracking in support regions	29
1.3	Cross section of composite beam (a) uncracked section; (b) cracked section	30
2.1	A typical intermediate span of a continuous composite beam with loads, bending moment and possible cracked and uncracked zones	51
2.2	Typical cross-section of a composite beam and strain distribution	51
2.3	Possible zones, cracked or uncracked, in a typical span of composite beam	51
2.4	Typical span length beam element (a) typical cracked or uncracked zone; (b) degrees of freedom; (c) releases; and (d) loads and end forces	52
2.5	(a) m_A (bending moment) and (b) m_B (bending moment) diagrams, due to the unit values of the moments at the supports A and B.	53
2.6	A typical span of a continuous member with (a) uniformly distributed load; and (b) concentrated load	53
2.7	Longitudinal profile of beams B1 and B2.	54
2.8	Typical stress-strain curve for concrete in tension	54
2.9	Comparison of mid-span deflections by analytical-numerical procedure using the proposed cracked span length beam element and by FEA in a two-span continuous beam with a uniformly distributed load	55
2.10	Comparison of mid-span deflections by analytical-numerical procedure using the proposed cracked span length beam element and by FEA in a two-span continuous beam with a concentrated load	55

3.1	A typical segment of a composite frame with loads, bending moments and possible cracked-uncracked zones	71
3.2	Typical bending moment diagram along the span lengths of beams at a floor level of composite frame	71
3.3	Typical span length beam element (for frame) (a) typical cracked or uncracked zone; (b) degrees of freedom; (c) releases; and (d) loads and end forces	72
3.4	(a) p_A (axial force); (b) m_A (bending moment); and (c) m_B (bending moment) diagrams	73
3.5	A typical span of a frame member with (a) uniformly distributed load; and (b) concentrated load	74
3.6	Validation frame VF	74
4.1	Five bay, eleven storey frame considered for the study of the effects of cracking with load varying on : (a) 3 rd bay beam of 6 th floor and very low constant load on all other beams; (b) beams of 6 th floor and very low constant load on all other floors, for various K_{col}/K_b ; and (c) 3 rd bay beam of 6 th floor and very low constant load on all other beams, for various K_{col}/K_b	100
4.2	Variation of $\delta_{3,5}^d$ and $\delta_{3,7}^d$ with load varying on 3 rd bay beam of 6 th floor and very low constant load on all other beams	101
4.3	Variation of $\delta_{3,6}^d$ with load varying on beams of 6 th floor and very low constant load on all other floors	101
4.4	Variation of $\delta_{3,6}^d$ with load varying on 3 rd bay beam of 6 th floor and very low constant load on all other beams	102

4.5	Variation of $\delta_{3,5}^d$ with load varying on 3 rd bay beam of 6 th floor and very low constant load on all other beams	102
4.6	Variations with load varying on 3 rd bay beam of 6 th floor and very low constant load on all other beams for (a) $\left\{ (M^e - M^i) / M^e \right\}_{2,6}^l$; and (b) $\delta_{2,6}^d$	103
4.7	Input parameters and their positions in a typical floor of a frame for the output parameter $R_{i,j}^l = (M^e / M^i)_{i,j}^l$	104
4.8	Input parameters and their positions in a typical floor of a frame for the output parameter $R_{i,j}^r = (M^e / M^i)_{i,j}^r$	105
4.9	Input parameters and their positions in a typical floor of a frame for the output parameter $\delta_{i,j}^d = (d^i - d^e) / d^{cr}$	106
4.10	Representative three bay four storey frame for data generation showing the designations of neural networks (only significant characters in the designations of neural networks are shown e.g. B01 for NetLB01 and D01 for NetLD01)	107
4.11	Flow chart showing a typical cycle for generating data set	108
4.12	Configuration of a typical neural network	109
4.13	Training of a typical neural network (a) performance; and (b) regressions	110
5.1	Flow chart showing the two stage procedure	170
6.1	Deflections in beams in the upper floors of tall frames due to the effects of differential axial shortening in columns	226
6.2	Differential axial shortening in a 20 storey frame	227
6.3	Differential axial shortening in a 40 storey frame	227

6.4	Effect of differential axial shortening on moment in various storeys for a 20 storey frame (a) left end of left bay beams; and (b) right end of left bay beams	228
6.5	Effect of differential axial shortening on cracked length ratio in various storeys for a 20 storey frame (a) left end of left bay beams; and (b) right end of left bay beams	229
6.6	Effect of differential axial shortening on moment in various storeys for a 40 storey frame (a) left end of left bay beams; and (b) right end of left bay beams	230
6.7	Effect of differential axial shortening on cracked length ratio in various storeys for a 40 storey frame (a) left end of left bay beams; and (b) right end of left bay beams	231
6.8	Moment at left end of middle bay beams (no differential axial shortening) in a symmetrical 20 storey frame	232
6.9	Moment at left end of middle bay beams (no differential axial shortening) in a symmetrical 40 storey frame	232
6.10	Typical combination of column sections in different storeys, in the representative frame (a) without effect of axial shortening; and (b) with effect of axial shortening	233

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Description	Page No.
3.1	Comparison of results obtained from the analytical-numerical procedure with proposed element and FEA	75
4.1	Description and designations of neural networks for moments and deflections in low rise frames	111
4.2	Ranges of input parameters for moments and deflections in low rise frames	111
4.3	Sampling points for the input parameters for moments and deflections in low rise frames	112
4.4	Number of training data sets for moments in low rise frames	113
4.5	Number of training data sets for deflections in low rise frames	113
4.6	Minimum and maximum values of input and output parameters in the data sets considered for training of neural networks for moments of (a) first floor; (b) intermediate floor; (c) penultimate floor; and (d) topmost floor, in low rise frames	114
4.7	Minimum and maximum values of input and output parameters in the data sets considered for training of neural networks for deflections of (a) first floor; (b) intermediate floor; (c) penultimate floor; and (d) topmost floor, in low rise frames	118
4.8	Parameters and functions used in MATLAB for training neural networks	122
4.9	Statistical parameters for training of neural networks for moments in low rise frames	123
4.10	Statistical parameters for training of neural networks for deflections in low rise frames	124

4.11	Weight values and biases for (a) NetLB01; (b) NetLB02; (c) NetLB03; (d) NetLB04; (e) NetLB05; (f) NetLB06; (g) NetLB07; (h) NetLB08; (i) NetLB09; (j) NetLB10; (k) NetLB11; and (l) NetLB12	125
4.12	Weight values and biases for (a) NetLD01; (b) NetLD02; (c) NetLD03; (d) NetLD04; (e) NetLD05; (f) NetLD06; (g) NetLD07; and (h) NetLD08	137
4.13	Input parameters for three bay four storey example frame (LREF1): (a) inertia ratio, stiffness ratios and load ratios; and (b) stress ratios, joint stiffness ratios and shortening parameters	145
4.14	Elastic and inelastic moments in example frame (LREF1)	146
4.15	Elastic and inelastic deflections in example frame (LREF1)	146
4.16	Input parameters for five bay four storey example frame (LREF2) (a) inertia ratio, stiffness ratios and load ratios; (b) stress ratios; (c) joint stiffness ratios; and (d) shortening parameters	147
4.17	Elastic and inelastic moments in example frame (LREF2)	149
4.18	Elastic and inelastic deflections in example frame (LREF2)	150
5.1	Description and designations of neural networks for cracked zone parameters in low rise frames	171
5.2	Ranges of input parameters for cracked zone parameters in low rise frames	172
5.3	Sampling points for the input parameters for cracked zone parameters in low rise frames	172
5.4	Number of training data sets for cracked zone parameters in low rise frames	173
5.5	Minimum and maximum values of input and output parameters in the data sets considered for training of neural networks for cracked zone parameters of (a) first floor; (b) intermediate floor; (c) penultimate floor; and (d) topmost floor, in low rise frames	174

5.6	Statistical parameters for training of neural networks for cracked length ratios in low rise frames	178
5.7	Statistical parameters for training of neural networks for interpolation coefficients in low rise frames	179
5.8	Weight values and biases for (a) NetLC01; (b) NetLC02; (c) NetLC03; (d) NetLC04; (e) NetLC05; (f) NetLC06; (g) NetLC07; (h) NetLC08; (i) NetLC09; (j) NetLC10; (k) NetLC11; and (l) NetLC12	180
5.9	Weight values and biases for (a) NetLT01; (b) NetLT02; (c) NetLT03; (d) NetLT04; (e) NetLT05; (f) NetLT06; (g) NetLT07; (h) NetLT08; (i) NetLT09; (j) NetLT10; (k) NetLT11; and (l) NetLT12	192
5.10	Cracked lengths and interpolation coefficients in four storey example frame (LREF1)	204
5.11	Elastic and inelastic quantities in four storey example frame (LREF1) for (a) moments; and (b) deflections	205
6.1	Description and designations of neural networks for cracked zone parameters in medium rise frames	234
6.2	Description and designations of neural networks for cracked zone parameters in high rise frames	235
6.3	Combinations of column sections in medium rise frames	235
6.4	Ranges of input parameters for cracked zone parameters in medium rise frames	236
6.5	Sampling points for the input parameters for cracked zone parameters in medium rise frames	236
6.6	Number of training data sets for cracked zone parameters in medium rise frames	237

6.7	Combinations of column sections in high rise frames	237
6.8	Ranges of input parameters for cracked zone parameters in high rise frames	238
6.9	Sampling points for the input parameters for cracked zone parameters in high rise frames	238
6.10	Number of training data sets for cracked zone parameters in high rise frames	239
6.11	Minimum and maximum values of input and output parameters in the data sets considered for training of neural networks for cracked zone parameters of (a) first floor; (b) intermediate floor; (c) penultimate floor; and (d) topmost floor, in medium rise frames	240
6.12	Statistical parameters for training of neural networks for cracked length ratios in medium rise frames	244
6.13	Statistical parameters for training of neural networks for interpolation coefficients in medium rise frames	245
6.14	Weight values and biases for (a) NetMC01; (b) NetMC02; (c) NetMC03; (d) NetMC04; (e) NetMC05; (f) NetMC06; (g) NetMC07; (h) NetMC08; (i) NetMC09; (j) NetMC10; (k) NetMC11; and (l) NetMC12	246
6.15	Weight values and biases for (a) NetMT01; (b) NetMT02; (c) NetMT03; (d) NetMT04; (e) NetMT05; (f) NetMT06; (g) NetMT07; (h) NetMT08; (i) NetMT09; (j) NetMT10; (k) NetMT11; and (l) NetMT12	258
6.16	Minimum and maximum values of input and output parameters in the data sets considered for training of neural networks for cracked zone parameters of (a) first floor; (b) intermediate floor; (c) penultimate floor; and (d) topmost floor, of high rise frames	270
6.17	Statistical parameters for training of neural networks for cracked length ratios in high rise frames	274

6.18	Statistical parameters for training of neural networks for interpolation coefficients in high rise frames	275
6.19	Weight values and biases for (a) NetHC01; (b) NetHC02; (c) NetHC03; (d) NetHC04; (e) NetHC05; (f) NetHC06; (g) NetHC07; (h) NetHC08; (i) NetHC09; (j) NetHC10; (k) NetHC11; and (l) NetHC12	276
6.20	Weight values and biases for (a) NetHT01; (b) NetHT02; (c) NetHT03; (d) NetHT04; (e) NetHT05; (f) NetHT06; (g) NetHT07; (h) NetHT08; (i) NetHT09; (j) NetHT10; (k) NetHT11; and (l) NetHT12	288
6.21	Input parameters for 20 storey example frame (MREF) (a) inertia ratio, stiffness ratios and load ratios; (b) stress ratios; (c) joint stiffness ratios; and (d) shortening parameters	300
6.22	Cracked zone parameters for 20 storey example frame (MREF) (a) cracked lengths; and (b) interpolation coefficients	303
6.23	Input parameters for 40 storey example frame (HREF) (a) stress ratios; (b) joint stiffness ratios; and (c) shortening parameters	305
6.24	Cracked zone parameters for 40 storey example frame (HREF) (a) cracked lengths; and (b) interpolation coefficients	308
6.25	Elastic and inelastic moments for 20 storey example frame (MREF) (a) end bay; and (b) interior bay	310
6.26	Elastic and inelastic deflections for 20 storey example frame (MREF) (a) end bay; and (b) interior bay	312
6.27	Elastic and inelastic moments for 40 storey example frame (HREF) (a) end bay; and (b) interior bay	314
6.28	Elastic and inelastic deflections for 40 storey example frame (HREF) (a) end bay; and (b) interior bay	316

LIST OF NOTATIONS

A, B, I	area, first moment of area and second moment of area, respectively, of the composite section
A_{sr}	area of the steel reinforcement in the slab
A_{ss}	area of the steel section
b, D_c, D_s, D_{na}	breadth and depth of the concrete slab, depth of the steel section and depth of the neutral axis of the steel section from its top fibre, respectively
b_h	bias between input layer and hidden layer for hidden neuron h
b_{out}	bias of output neuron
C_i	distance from the end A to the centre of the typical i^{th} zone
CS	Column section
$CS_1,$ $CS_2,$ CS_3	Column section for topmost storey of any frame, for bottom storey of 20 storey frame and bottom storey of 40 storey frame
$CS_{1,1}^{20,eq},$ $CS_{1,1}^{40,eq}$	Column section with an equivalent area to simulate the effects of differential axial shortening, with CS_1 in 1 st and 2 nd storeys and also in 3 rd and 4 th storeys, and the moment of inertia equal to that of CS_1 , in the case of 20 storey frame and 40 storey frame, respectively.
$CS_{2,1}^{20,eq},$ $CS_{2,1}^{40,eq}$	Column section with an equivalent area to simulate the effects of differential axial shortening, with CS_2 in 1 st and 2 nd storeys and CS_1 in 3 rd and 4 th storeys, and the moment of inertia equal to that of CS_2 , in the case of 20 storey frame and 40 storey frame, respectively.
$CS_{2,2}^{20,eq},$ $CS_{2,2}^{40,eq}$	Column section with an equivalent area to simulate the effects of differential axial shortening, with CS_2 in 1 st and 2 nd storeys and also in 3 rd and 4 th storeys, and the moment of inertia equal to that of CS_2 , in the case of 20 storey frame and 40 storey frame, respectively.
d^e, d^i	deflection at mid-span obtained in elastic and inelastic analysis, respectively
d^{cr}	deflection at mid-span of a fixed beam and carrying a uniformly distributed load just sufficient to cause cracking

d_m	mid-span deflection
d_{sr}	effective concrete cover to the steel reinforcement from the top fibre
$\{d^{er}\}, \{d^*\}$	error or difference in the displacement vector and the revised displacement vector, respectively
E	modulus of elasticity
E_c, E_s	modulus of elasticity of concrete and steel, respectively
$f_{ij}, k_{ij}, [k]$	flexibility coefficients, stiffness coefficients and stiffness matrix, respectively, of a skeletal member
f'_c	cylinder compressive strength of the concrete at 28 days
f_t	tensile strength of the concrete, respectively
I_{cr}	transformed second moment of area of cracked section (consisting of steel section and reinforcement only), about its neutral axis
I_{un}	transformed second moment of area of uncracked composite section about its neutral axis
I_g	input neuron g
K_{col}, K_b	stiffness of column and beam respectively, in a frame
L	span of the beam
L_i	length of the typical i^{th} zone (cracked or uncracked)
$L_{i,cr}$	length of the typical cracked i^{th} zone
n, m	number of degrees of freedom and half bandwidth, respectively
M	moment
M_A, M_B	moment at end A and end B, respectively
N	axial force
N_A, N_B	axial force at end A and end B, respectively
$\{p\}$	revised force vector of element
$\{P^0\}$	fixed end force vector for the uncracked beam
$\{P^{er}\}, \{p^{er}\}$	residual force vector of the structure and element, respectively
P_o	output parameter
p	distance between concentrated load and end A

r	number of input neurons
q	number of hidden neurons
R	reaction force
R_A, R_B	reaction force at end A and end B, respectively
$R_{i,j}^l, R_{i,j}^r$	inelastic moment ratio, at the left l or right r end respectively, of the beam in bay i and floor j
S_i	stiffness of beam in bay i
S_{i+1}/S_i	stiffness ratio
w, W	uniformly distributed load and concentrated load on the span, respectively
w^{cr}	uniformly distributed load at cracking of a beam
w_i	load on beam in bay i
w_i/w_{i+1}	load ratio
w_h	weights between hidden and output layers for hidden neuron h
w_{hg}	weights between input neuron g and hidden neuron h
u	axial displacement
x	distance of the cross-section from end A in a beam
x'	distance of the cross-section from C in a typical zone
y	distance from the reference axis
y_{act}, y_{min}	actual, minimum, maximum and normalized value respectively, of a parameter
y_{max}, y_{norm}	in the data set
$\alpha_{i,j}^l, \alpha_{i,j}^r$	stress ratio at the left l or right r end respectively, of the beam in bay i and floor j
$\beta_{i,j}^l, \beta_{i,j}^r$	joint stiffness ratio of a beam at left l or right r end of a beam, in bay i and floor j
$\gamma_{i,j}^l, \gamma_{i,j}^r$	shortening parameter at left l or right r end of a beam in bay i and floor j
$\delta_{i,j}^d$	inelastic deflection ratio for the beam in bay i and floor j
θ	end rotation
τ	tolerance value
ξ, η	average interpolation coefficients
ξ_i, η_i	average interpolation coefficients in a typical i^{th} zone

κ	coefficient that represents the influence of the duration of application or repetition of loading on the interpolation coefficient
$\lambda_{i,j}^l, \lambda_{i,j}^r$	cracked length ratio at the final cracked state at left l or right r end of a beam in bay i and floor j
$\rho, \varepsilon, \sigma$	curvature, strain and stress, respectively
$\sigma_0, \sigma_{un}, \sigma_{un,i}$	stress at the reference axis, stress at the tensile face (top fibre), average of the stress at the tensile face for i^{th} region respectively
$\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_u$	cracking strain and maximum tensile strain of concrete, respectively
$\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_y$	strain at a distance zero and y from the reference axis
w	transverse displacement

Subscript

A, B	ends A and B of a cracked span length beam element, respectively
b, col	Beam and column, respectively
c, s	concrete and steel, respectively
cr, un, ts	cracked state, uncracked state and tension stiffening, respectively
i	i^{th} zone of cracked span length beam element when used in analytical-numerical procedure & i^{th} bay of frame when used in neural networks
j	j^{th} storey/floor of frame
p	predicted value
ss	steel section
sr	steel reinforcement
y	distance from the reference axis

Superscript

C, D, E	locations in a typical zone
cr	cracking limit
e	elastic
i	inelastic
m, n	moment and axial force, respectively