

**LARGE AREA CVD GROWTH AND
CHARACTERIZATION OF MoS₂ LAYERS AND THE
EFFECT OF GAMMA IRRADIATION ON ITS
PROPERTIES**

ADITYA SINGH



**DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
JANUARY 2022**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2022

**LARGE AREA CVD GROWTH AND
CHARACTERIZATION OF MoS₂ LAYERS AND THE
EFFECT OF GAMMA IRRADIATION ON ITS
PROPERTIES**

by

ADITYA SINGH

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

Submitted

**in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
to the**



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

JANUARY 2022

*Dedicated to my parents for their unconditional love and
support*

Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis entitled **Large area CVD growth and characterization of MoS₂ layers and the effect of gamma irradiation on its properties** being submitted by **Mr. Aditya Singh** to **Indian Institute of Technology Delhi** for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him. He has worked under my guidance and supervision, and has fulfilled the requirements, which to our knowledge have reached the requisite standard for the submission of the thesis. The results contained in this thesis have not been submitted in part or full to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Prof. Rajendra Singh

Department of Physics

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

Hauz Khas, New Delhi - 110016, India

Date:

Acknowledgements

Since my school days, it was my dream to earn the highest academic degree and become a professor of physics. I consider myself truly fortunate to earn the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.). This journey was full of challenges, uncertainties, and failures, but the constructive approach, motivation, hard work, dedication, and patience have made it rewardable and achievable. The outcome of this thesis involves the support, criticism, and encouragement of lots of people. I express my sincere thanks to all the people and institutions that supported me directly or indirectly in accomplishing my thesis work.

First, I would like to express my utmost gratitude to my Ph.D. supervisor Professor Rajendra Singh. It was an absolute honor for me to work under his supervision and guidance. I appreciate his valuable time, devotion for research, discussing new ideas, arranging research funding and collaborations, making this thesis work valuable and productive. I am grateful to him for his suggestions, motivation for research, and for giving me the freedom to work on my research ideas. It has been a great time to learn and improve myself professionally as well as personally.

Besides my advisor, I wish to thank my Ph.D. research committee members Professor Sujeet Chaudhary, Professor Pankaj Srivastava, and Professor Vamsi Krishna Komarala, for their time to time evaluations, precise observations, timely feedback, comments, and appreciation. I would like to acknowledge the Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Delhi, for considering me a Ph.D. candidate.

My sincere gratitude to Professor Anchal Srivastava, University of Lucknow, for her consistent guidance, support, and motivation since my graduation days and for showing me the right path. I would like to thank the following scientists for their help in providing characterization facilities during my Ph.D. work:

- Dr. Ashok Kumar Kapoor, Solid State Physics laboratory (SSPL), New Delhi
- Dr. Raman Kapoor, Solid State Physics laboratory (SSPL), New Delhi

I would like to thank the University Grant Commission (UGC), India, for providing me a monthly stipend and contingency grant to execute my Ph.D. degree. I am thankful to the

IIT Delhi for providing funds to attend an international conference in France. I would like to acknowledge the Nano Research Facility (NRF) and Central Research Facility (CRF) of IIT Delhi for providing me characterization facilities for my research work.

I thank Indo French Centre for Promotion of Advanced Research (IFCPAR/ CEFIPRA) for awarding me Raman-Charpak Fellowship to perform a part of my thesis work at the Institut de Physique et Chimie des Matériaux de Strasbourg (IPCMS-CNRS) - Université de Strasbourg, France. I express my profound gratitude to Professor Stéphane Berciaud for hosting me as a visiting scholar. Unfortunately, due to covid-19, most of the time was spent in the lockdown, but he helped me at his best to learn new experiments, data analysis, and performing cutting-edge experiments. His passion for research, his way of guiding the students, and dealing with research problems always impressed me. I am indebted to him for his continuous support professionally and personally both. I thank Loïc Moczko, Luis Enrique Parra López, and other group members for teaching and helping me in experiments.

I am blessed to be a part of Advanced Semiconductor Materials and Devices Laboratory, IIT Delhi. I learned research culture, generating new research ideas, resolving research problems which made my stay at the lab learning and joyful. I would like to thank my seniors Dr. Sudheer Kumar, Dr. Mukesh Kumar, Dr. Chandra Shekhar Pathak, Dr. Manjari Garg, Dr. Monika Moun, Dr. Ravi Pathak, Dr. Bhera Ram Tak, Dr. Aravinda Barman, and Dr. Chandan Sharma, for their valuable suggestions, discussion, and support. I thank my colleagues, Kapil Narang, Shuchi Kaushik, Sahin Sorifi, Madan Pancholi, Hardhyan, Pallavi Agarwal, Sonika Singh, Hemant Sharma, Dr. Manikantha Babu, and former lab members, Prithu Bhatnagar, Anjali Chauhan, Aarti, Sukhdeep Gill, Mohd. Danish Ali, Swapnil, and Arun Kumar for the scientific and personal discussions. Once again, I thank all members for making my stay at IIT Delhi very pleasant and unforgettable.

This Ph.D. would have been more challenging without the good and bad times that I shared with Preetam Singh, Mohd. Yasir Khan, and Ankur Tiwari at IIT Delhi. I especially thank Preetam Singh for offering his unconditional support during the tough time, discussing new research ideas, and motivating me throughout this journey and beyond. I feel incredibly blessed and lucky to have seniors like Dr. Manjari Garg, Dr.

Monika Moun, Dr. Ravi Pathak, and Dr. Bhera Ram Tak, and I again thank them for extending their support and help beyond the lab. I will always cherish all the moments we spent together for movies, dinners, parties, Caffe Coffee Day, and Ludo. I thank Ayushi Trivedi, Dr. Saddam Khan, Dr. Sreekanth Maddaka, Deeksha Gupta, Arun Kumar, Nirmal Tiwari, Shubham Singh, Arnav Singh, Dr. Ritu Raj Mishra, Dr. Vinod Parmar, Sandhya Rathore, Manish Chandra Chaudhary, Avinash Chaturvedi, and Vickey K. Nandal for their friendship and encouragement. All the moments I shared with my friends at the tea-coffee session at Amul and Canteen are highly acknowledged and will be cherished forever. I thank all my badminton squad; without them, stay could not have been joyful.

Finally and most importantly, I express my reverence to my parents for their unconditional support, blessings, love, care, encouragement, patience, and sacrifices during all the stages of the Ph.D.

“Your assumptions are your windows on the world. Scrub them off every once in a while, or the light won’t come in.” — Isaac Asimov

Aditya Singh

Abstract

Two-dimensional (2D) materials, namely graphene, hexagonal boron nitride (hBN), and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), have gained incredible attention due to their unique optical, electrical, and mechanical properties. Graphene being a zero bandgap 2D-material, has limited applications in opto-electronics devices [1]. Possible ideal materials for replacement of graphene in the future optoelectronics are TMDs, depicted by MX_2 , where M and X are transition metal (Mo, W, Nb, Ti, etc.) and chalcogen (S, Se and Te), respectively, e.g., MoS_2 , MoSe_2 , MoTe_2 , etc [2]. In MX_2 type TMDs, a transition from an indirect bandgap to a direct bandgap has been observed as a number of layers decrease due to the quantum confinement effect [2] [3] [4]. Molybdenum disulfide (MoS_2), a member of the TMDs family, has been widely explored due to tunability of bandgap (1.3 eV in bulk and 1.8 eV in monolayer) [4], high elastic modulus (~ 170 N/m) [5], high on/off ratio ($\sim 10^8$) [6], high carrier mobility (40-480 $\text{cm}^2/\text{V}\cdot\text{s}$) [7] and lack of short channel effect [7], which makes it appropriate for application in flexible devices [5] [7], photodetectors [8] [9] [10] [11], field-effect transistors [7] [12] [13], space applications [14] [15], gas sensors [16], neuromorphic computing [17] [18] [19], biomimetic sensing [18] [19], etc.

There are various methods to synthesize TMDs, but powder-based chemical vapor deposition (CVD) has shown the potential to produce high-quality films at a lower cost. Synthesis of MoS_2 by CVD has already been reported, but there were several lackings where significant improvements in growth were required to utilize full potential of MoS_2 film. Precise control over precursors, temperature, substrate and boundary layer is paramount to achieve desired CVD growth of MoS_2 , but, unfortunately, these issues were not addressed adequately. Most of the reported work was focused and limited to low-pressure and high-temperature CVD, SiO_2/Si substrate, pre-growth treatment of the substrate, multi-zone CVD furnace, etc. However, these requirements make the growth process expensive, time-taking and less suitable for batch production in industry. In order to overcome some of the aforementioned limitations/challenges, in the first three objectives of the thesis, we addressed various questions and limitations like which precursors are best for CVD 1L- MoS_2 , reducing the growth temperature, extending CVD of 1L- MoS_2 on different types of substrates, low-temperature large-area growth of MoS_2 without do-

ing pre-growth treatment of substrates and understanding the role of the concentration boundary layer, etc.

Initial work primarily focused on finding the best-suited molybdenum (Mo) source precursor for high-quality CVD of 1L-MoS₂ and lowering the growth temperature. We did a quantitative comparison of CVD synthesis of MoS₂ on SiO₂/Si substrate using three different precursors/growth promoters viz., molybdenum trioxide (MoO₃), ammonium heptamolybdate (AHM) and tellurium (Te). A strategy based on growth temperature and the ratio of precursors was developed to control the thickness and growth area of MoS₂ flakes systematically. Highly crystalline large-sized 1L-MoS₂ flakes were obtained at S to MoO₃ particles ratio of $\sim 30:1$. Furthermore, using Raman spectroscopy, we proposed a three-step chemical reaction mechanism for the evolution of MoS₂ from MoO₃ micro-crystals. Our findings showed that for large-sized crystalline 1L-MoS₂ flakes, MoO₃ is a better choice than AHM and Te-assisted synthesis. Finally, with the introduction of Te, MoS₂ growth temperature was lowered down by $\sim 250^\circ\text{C}$, and monolayer and bulk MoS₂ flakes were obtained.

To reduce the cost of CVD synthesis, there was a pressing need to lower the growth temperature and achieve TMD growth over various substrates for different device applications. So, our second objective was the low-temperature synthesis of high-quality 1L-MoS₂ films over different substrates, particularly flexible mica. But Te-assisted growth was limited to SiO₂/Si only and over mica, Te-assisted growth produced bulk MoS₂ only. So, we replaced Te with sodium chloride (NaCl), which is very cheap compare to Te. NaCl-assisted growth of 1L-MoS₂ films and flakes were carried out on amorphous (SiO₂/Si and fused quartz), crystalline (sapphire and silicon) and layered substrates (mica). Significantly, we reduced the growth temperature from ~ 900 to 650°C , and the crystalline quality of film/flakes was enhanced. 1L-MoS₂ flakes grown over SiO₂/Si and sapphire substrate were large-sized and had sharp edges (up to $\sim 150 \mu\text{m}$).

These as-grown 1L-MoS₂ samples were characterized by various techniques, and Raman/PL showed that 1L-MoS₂/sapphire has relatively better optical properties and least structural disorder than 1L-MoS₂ on other substrates. In contrast to large-sized 1L-MoS₂ flakes grown over sapphire, a continuous film of 1L-MoS₂ was observed over the entire mica substrate, attributed to the mica substrate's layered nature, which has facili-

tated the growth. Furthermore, growth mechanism was discussed based on NaCl-assisted formation of the seeding layer of Na₂S and/or Na₂SO₄, which enhanced 2D planar nucleation. The study depicted that the NaCl assisted in forming seeding promoters such as a water-soluble layer of Na₂S and/or Na₂SO₄ on the substrate that helped in 2D planar nucleation of MoS₂. The formation of such intermediate seeding layers also helped in MoS₂ layer transfer owing to its easy water solubility.

After synthesizing high-quality 1L-MoS₂ film/flakes at low-temperature, our next goal was to achieve large-area growth of layered MoS₂, and for that, we developed a novel approach. Controlling the concentration boundary layer formation by tuning separation between the precursors and growing face of the substrate, we can control layer number, growth area coverage and nucleation density easily. So in our third objective, we synthesized high-quality large-area trilayer (3L)-MoS₂ (up to ≈ 4 cm²) at low temperature (650°C) by controlling the concentration boundary layer. The physical and chemical properties of 3L-MoS₂ were analyzed by optical microscopy (OM), Raman spectroscopy, atomic force microscopy (AFM), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), X-ray diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), etc. High uniformity and low roughness of large area 3L-MoS₂ were confirmed by Raman scattering and AFM measurements, respectively. UV-vis spectroscopy in reflectance mode was carried to calculate the bandgap of MoS₂ film, and it was found to be 1.7 eV, indicating the trilayer MoS₂. Our as-grown 3L-MoS₂ films were single crystalline as identified by XRD and TEM measurements. We believe that regulated control over concentration boundary can lead to high-quality wafer-scale growth of other TMDs for engineering future nanoelectronics.

After achieving significant improvement in the CVD of MoS₂, we focussed our attention on investigating the properties of as-grown 1L-MoS₂ flakes. Since these 2D-TMDs have a high surface-to-volume ratio and ultra-low thickness, fabricated devices are susceptible to surrounding environments such as air and radiation. This radiation may degrade device performance too. So, understanding the radiation hardness of 2D-TMDC was extremely important for space instrumentations. So, our fourth objective was to study the impact of gamma irradiation on 1L-MoS₂ flakes and corresponding changes in their chemical and structural properties. 1L-MoS₂ flakes on sapphire were irradiated by the Cobalt-60 gamma source (~ 1.25 MeV) at various doses (1-1000 kGy) with a dose rate ~ 3.2 kGy/hr.

We estimated that the temperature of MoS₂ increases by 1.3°C per kGy of gamma-ray dose. It was observed that up to 130 kGy, gamma exposure has no significant impact on the morphology and chemical properties of MoS₂. However, XPS showed that from 275 kGy, MoS₂ started converting into MoO_x. Raman spectroscopy showed that at low gamma doses, the crystallinity of MoS₂ was increased, while at higher doses, a traceable amount of MoS₂ started converting into amorphous MoS₂. Our findings showed that both E_{2g}¹ and A_{1g} Raman mode of MoS₂ show a blue shift as gamma irradiation dose increases owing to the combined effect of sulfur vacancies creation and strain caused by thermal expansion of MoS₂.

As graphene (Gr) is an atomically thin material, it has a very high surface-to-volume ratio that makes it prone to the surrounding environment. So to support or encapsulate graphene, we can use various substrates like Si- or Al-based oxides, but unfortunately, it incorporates surface roughness, charged impurities, dangling bonds, which ultimately cause ripples, disorder [20] and doping fluctuations [21] in graphene. Like graphene, TMDs are atomically thin and very flat materials with a high dielectric constant. So, in last thesis work, the idea was to use various TMDs as encapsulating layers for graphene and unravel the behavior of Raman 2D-mode of graphene under various dielectric environments, which ultimately led to understand the smearing of Kohn anomaly near Γ - and \mathbf{K} - points. We used different kinds of mechanically exfoliated verticle heterostructure samples like suspended 1L-WSe₂/Gr, which has four different interesting regions (suspended Gr on the hole, SiO₂/Gr, SiO₂/Gr/WSe₂ and suspended WSe₂/Gr); the second sample was hBN-supported 1L-MoSe₂/Gr and the third sample was hBN-capped 1L-MoSe₂/Gr.

Raman scattering measurements showed that unlike G-mode (ω_G), Raman 2D-mode (ω_{2D}) of graphene strongly depends upon the dielectric environment and incident photon energy. The higher the dielectric screening for graphene, the lesser the electron-phonon coupling, leading to the blue-shift in ω_{2D} . Dispersion of ω_{2D} increased as incident photon energy were increased. Also, at a particular incident photon energy, as the screening for graphene increases, ω_{2D} upshifts accordingly. Our Raman findings showed that a single layer of TMD is as effective as two layers of monolayer hBN.

सारांश

ग्राफीन, बोरॉन नाइट्राइड और संक्रमण धातु डाई चाल्कोजेनॉइड्स (TMDs) नामक द्विआयामी (2D) पदार्थों ने अपने अद्वितीय प्रकाशिक, वैद्युत और यांत्रिक गुणों के कारण अविश्वसनीय ध्यान आकर्षित किया। ग्राफीन के जीरो ऊर्जा अंतराल द्विआयामी (2D) पदार्थ होने के कारण प्रकाश-इलेक्ट्रॉनिक उपकरणों में सीमित उपयोग हैं [1]। भविष्य के प्रकाश-इलेक्ट्रॉनिकी में ग्राफीन के प्रतिस्थापन के लिए TMDs आदर्श पदार्थ हैं, जिन्हें MX_2 द्वारा दर्शाया जाता है। जहाँ M और X क्रमशः संक्रमण धातु (Mo, W, Nb, Ti, आदि) और चालकोजन (S, Se, Te, आदि) हैं ; उदाहरणार्थ: MoS_2 , $MoSe_2$, $MoTe_2$, इत्यादि [2]। MX_2 प्रकार के TMDs में क्वांटम कन्फाइनमेंट के कारण परतों की संख्या घटने से अप्रत्यक्ष ऊर्जा अंतराल से प्रत्यक्ष ऊर्जा अंतराल में संक्रमण देखा गया है [2] [3] [4]। TMDs परिवार के एक सदस्य, मोलिब्डेनम डाई सल्फाइड (MoS_2) को ऊर्जा अंतराल की अनुकूलता (बल्क में १.३ इलेक्ट्रॉन वोल्ट और एक परत में १.८ इलेक्ट्रॉन वोल्ट) [4], उच्च प्रत्यास्थता मापांक (लगभग १७० न्यूटन प्रति मीटर) [5], उच्च ऑन/ऑफ अनुपात (लगभग १०^६) [6], उच्च वाहक चालकता (४०-४८० वर्ग सेंटीमीटर प्रति वोल्ट-सेकंड) [7] और लघु चैनल प्रभाव [7]; जो कि इसे लचीले उपकरण [5] [7], फोटोडिटेक्टर [8] [9] [10] [11], फील्ड इफेक्ट ट्रांजिस्टर [7] [12] [13], अंतरिक्ष उपयोग [14] [15], गैस सेंसर [16], न्यूरोमॉर्फिक गणना [17] [18] [19] और बायोमिमेटिक संवेदन [18] [19] इत्यादि के लिए उपयुक्त बनाता है।

TMDs को संश्लेषित करने के विभिन्न तरीके हैं, लेकिन पाउडर-आधारित रासायनिक वाष्प निक्षेपण (CVD) ने कम लागत पर उच्च गुणवत्ता वाली फिल्म्स का उत्पादन करने की क्षमता दिखाई है। CVD के द्वारा MoS_2 के संश्लेषण की सूचना पहले ही दी जा चुकी है, लेकिन उनमें कई कमियाँ थीं, जहाँ MoS_2 फिल्म की पूर्ण क्षमता का उपयोग करने के लिए उसके विकास में महत्वपूर्ण सुधार की आवश्यकता थी। MoS_2 का CVD के द्वारा वांछित विकास प्राप्त करने के लिए प्रणोता, तापमान, सबस्ट्रेट और सीमावर्ती परत पर सटीक नियंत्रण सर्वोपरि है, लेकिन दुर्भाग्यवश इन मुद्दों को पर्याप्त रूप से सम्बोधित नहीं किया गया था। अधिकांश सूचित किये गए कार्य निम्न दाब और उच्च ताप CVD, SiO_2/Si सबस्ट्रेट, सबस्ट्रेट का

पूर्व-विकास उपचार, बहुक्षेत्रीय CVD भट्टी, इत्यादि, पर केंद्रित और सीमित थे। हालाँकि, ये आवश्यकताएँ विकास प्रक्रिया को महंगा, समय लगने वाला और उद्योग में थोक उत्पादन के लिए अनुपयुक्त बनाती हैं। उपरोक्त वर्णित कुछ सीमाओं/चुनौतियों को दूर करने के लिए थीसिस के पहले तीन उद्देश्यों में हमने विभिन्न प्रश्नों और सीमाओं को सम्बोधित किया; जैसे कि कौन से प्रणेतक एक परत (1L) MoS₂ CVD विकास के लिए सबसे अच्छे हैं, विकास तापमान को कम करने के लिए, विभिन्न प्रकार के सब्सट्रेटों पर 1L- MoS₂ का CVD विस्तार करना, सब्सट्रेट का पूर्व-विकास उपचार किये बिना निम्न ताप पर MoS₂ का व्यापक क्षेत्र पर विकास करना और सीमावर्ती परत सांद्रता की भूमिका समझना इत्यादि।

प्रारंभिक कार्य मुख्य रूप से 1L-MoS₂ के उच्च गुणवत्ता वाले CVD के लिए सबसे उपयुक्त मॉलिब्डेनम (Mo) स्रोत प्रणेतक प्राप्त करने और विकास ताप को कम करने पर केंद्रित था। हमने SiO₂/Si सब्सट्रेट पर MoS₂ के संश्लेषण की CVD विकास की परिणात्मक तुलना तीन विभिन्न प्रणेतक/विकास प्रवर्तकों मॉलिब्डेनम ट्राईऑक्साइड (MoO₃), अमोनियम हेप्टामॉलीब्डेट (AHM) और टेल्यूरियम (Te) का उपयोग करते हुए की। MoS₂ शल्क की मोटाई और विकास क्षेत्रफल को व्यवस्थित रूप से नियंत्रित करने के लिए विकास तापमान और प्रणेतक अनुपात पर आधारित एक रणनीति विकसित की गयी। अत्यधिक क्रिस्टलीय दीर्घाकार 1L-MoS₂ शल्क S और MoO₃ के ३०:१ कण अनुपात पर प्राप्त हुये। इसके आलावा, रमन स्पेक्ट्रोस्कोपी का उपयोग करते हुए हमने MoO₃ सूक्ष्म क्रिस्टल से MoS₂ के विकास के लिए तीन चरणीय रासायनिक अभिक्रिया तंत्र का प्रस्ताव रखा। हमारे अन्वेषण निष्कर्षों से पता चला है कि दीर्घाकार क्रिस्टलीय 1L-MoS₂ शल्क प्राप्त करने के लिए AHM और Te आधारित संश्लेषण कि तुलना में MoO₃ एक अच्छा विकल्प है। अंततः Te के परिचय के साथ MoS₂ का विकास तापमान २५० डिग्री सेंटीग्रेट तक कम कर लिया गया और एक परतीय और विस्तृत MoS₂ शल्क प्राप्त कर लिए गए। CVD संश्लेषण की लागत कम करने के लिए विकास तापमान को कम करने और विभिन्न उपकरणीय उपयोगों के लिए TMD का विभिन्न सब्सट्रेटों पर विकास प्राप्त करने की तत्काल आवश्यकता थी। इसलिए

किया। प्रणेता और सब्सट्रेट के विकासशील चेहरे के बीच दूरी के समंजन द्वारा सीमावर्ती सतह निर्माण की सांद्रता को नियंत्रित करते हुए हम आसानी से सतह संख्या, आवृत्त विकास क्षेत्र और केन्द्रीकरण घनत्व को नियंत्रित कर सकते हैं। इसलिए अपने तीसरे उद्देश्य में हमने सीमावर्ती सतह सांद्रता को नियंत्रित करते हुए निम्न ताप पर उच्च गुणवत्तापूर्ण दीर्घ क्षेत्र (४ वर्गसेंटीमीटर तक) त्रिस्तरीय (TL)-MoS₂ संश्लेषित किया। 3L-MoS₂ के भौतिक और रासायनिक गुण प्रकाशिक सूक्ष्मदर्शी (OM), रमन स्पेक्ट्रोस्कोपी, परमाणु बल सूक्ष्मदर्शी (AFM), X-किरण प्रकाश इलेक्ट्रॉन स्पेक्ट्रोस्कोपी (XPS), X-किरण विवर्तन (XRD), अपवर्तन इलेक्ट्रॉन सूक्ष्मदर्शी (TEM) इत्यादि के द्वारा विश्लेषित किये गए। 3L-MoS₂ की उच्च एकरूपता और निम्न रूक्षता की पुष्टि क्रमशः रमन प्रकीर्णन और AFM मापन द्वारा की गयी। MoS₂ के ऊर्जा अंतराल की गणना के लिए UV-VIS स्पेक्ट्रोस्कोपी परावर्तन तरीके में की गयी और यह १.७ eV प्राप्त हुआ जो कि 3L-MoS₂ के संगत है। हमारी यथा विकसित 3L-MoS₂ फिल्में एक क्रिस्टलीय थीं, जैसा कि XRD और TEM मापन के द्वारा पता लगाया गया। हमारा विश्वास है कि सीमावर्ती सांद्रता पर नियंत्रित नियंत्रण भविष्य में व्यावहारिक नैनो इलेक्ट्रॉनिकी के लिए उच्च गुणवत्ता पूर्ण अन्य TMDs के वेफर स्केल विकास को जन्म दे सकता है।

MoS₂ के CVD में महत्वपूर्ण सुधार प्राप्त करने के बाद हमने अपना ध्यान यथा विकसित 1L-MoS₂ शल्क के गुणों के अन्वेषण पर केंद्रित किया। चूँकि इन TMDs में उच्च सतह से आयतन अनुपात और अत्यंत अल्प मोटाई पायी जाती है और इनसे बने हुए उपकरण, परिवेश जैसे हवा और विकिरण से अति संवेदनशील हैं। ये विकिरण, उपकरण के प्रदर्शन को भी हानि पहुँचा सकता है। इसलिए 2D-TMDC की विकिरण कठोरता को समझना अंतरिक्ष उपकरणों के लिए अत्यंत महत्वपूर्ण था। इसलिए हमारा चतुर्थ उद्देश्य 1L-MoS₂ शल्क पर गामा विकिरण का प्रभाव और इसके संगत उनके रासायनिक और संरचनात्मक गुणों में होने वाले परिवर्तन का अध्ययन करना था। नीलम सब्सट्रेट पर 1L-MoS₂ शल्क Co-६० गामा स्रोत (लगभग १.२५ MeV) द्वारा विभिन्न खुराकों (लगभग १-१००० kGy) पर एक नियत खुराक दर (लगभग ३.२ kGy/ घंटा) से विकरित किये गए। हमने अनुमान लगाया कि MoS₂ का तापमान गामा

किरणों के प्रति एक kGy खुराक से १.३ °C तक बढ़ जाता है। यह देखा गया कि १३० kGy तक गामा अनावरण का MoS₂ के रूपात्मक और रासायनिक गुणों पर कोई महत्वपूर्ण प्रभाव नहीं हुआ। हालाँकि XPS ने दर्शाया कि २७५ kGy से MoS₂, MoO_x में परिवर्तित होना शुरू हो गया। रमन स्पेक्ट्रोस्कोपी ने दिखाया कि गामा की कम खुराकों पर MoS₂ की क्रिस्टलीयता बढ़ गयी थी जबकि उच्च खुराकों पर MoS₂ की एक अनुरेखणीय मात्रा आकारहीन MoS₂ में परिवर्तित होना शुरू हो गयी। हमारे निष्कर्षों से पता चला कि MoS₂ के दोनों रमन मोड गामा विकिरण की खुराक बढ़ने के साथ-साथ MoS₂ के तापीय विस्तार से उत्पन्न खिंचाव और सल्फर रिक्तियों के निर्माण के संयुक्त प्रभाव के कारण नीला खिसकाव दिखाते हैं।

जैसा कि ग्राफीन एक परमाणु के जितना पतला पदार्थ है, इसके सतह से आयतन का अनुपात अत्यंत उच्च है जो इसे आस-पास के परिवेश के लिए प्रवण बनाता है। इसलिए ग्राफीन के समर्थन अथवा एनकैप्सूलेशन के लिए हम सिलिकॉन या एल्युमीनियम आधारित ऑक्साइड के समान विभिन्न सबस्ट्रेटों का प्रयोग कर सकते हैं, लेकिन दुर्भाग्यवश इसमें सतह खुरदुरापन, आवेशित अशुद्धियाँ, डैंगलिंग बंधन शामिल हैं जो अंततः ग्राफीन में तरंग, विकार और अपमिश्रण उतर-चढ़ाव का कारण बनते हैं। ग्राफीन के समान ही TMDs उच्च डार्क इलेक्ट्रिक नियतांक युक्त अत्यंत समतल और परमाणु के समान पतले पदार्थ हैं। इसलिए थीसिस के अंतिम कार्य में विभिन्न TMDs को ग्राफीन के लिए एनकैप्सुलेटिंग तहों के रूप में प्रयोग करने और विभिन्न डार्क इलेक्ट्रिक परिवेश में ग्राफीन के 2D मोड के व्यवहार को उजागर करने की योजना थी, जिससे अंततः Γ - और K- बिंदुओं के पास कोन (Kohn) विसंगति के धब्बों को समझने में मदद मिली। हमने निलंबित 1L-WSe₂/Gr के समान विभिन्न प्रकार के यांत्रिक रूप से एक्सफोलिएटेड ऊर्ध्वाधर विषम संरचना युक्त नमूने प्रयुक्त किये, जिनमें चार विभिन्न दिलचस्प क्षेत्र (कोटर पर निलंबित Gr, SiO₂/Gr, SiO₂/Gr/WSe₂ और निलंबित WSe₂/Gr) हैं; द्वितीय नमूना hBN समर्थित 1L-MoSe₂/Gr था और तृतीय नमूना hBN छायाित 1L-MoSe₂/Gr था।

रमन प्रकीर्णन मापों से पता चला कि G-मोड (ω_G) के विपरीत, ग्राफीन के रमन 2D-मोड (ω_{2D}) आपतित फोटॉन की ऊर्जा और डार्क इलेक्ट्रिक परिवेश पर दृढ़तापूर्वक निर्भर करते हैं। ग्राफीन के लिए जितना अधिक डार्क इलेक्ट्रिक स्क्रीनिंग होगा उतना ही कम लेक्ट्रोन-फोनॉन युग्मन होगा जो कि ω_{2D} में नीले खिसकाव को परिणत करते हैं। जैसे ही फोटॉन की ऊर्जा बढ़ा दी गयी, ω_{2D} का विक्षेपण बढ़ गया। इसके अलावा एक विशेष आपतित फोटॉन ऊर्जा पर जैसे-जैसे स्क्रीनिंग बढ़ता है तदनुसार ω_{2D} ऊपर की ओर खिसकता है। हमारे रमन निष्कर्षों से पता चला है कि TMD की एक तह उतनी ही प्रभावी है जितनी कि एक सतही hBN की दो तह।

Contents

Certificate	i
Acknowledgments	iii
Abstract	vii
List of Figures	xvii
List of Tables	xxxii
List of Symbols and Abbreviations	xxxiii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 2D-materials : An overview	1
1.3 Graphene	3
1.3.1 Crystal structure	3
1.3.2 Raman spectroscopy of graphene	4
1.3.3 Kohn anomaly	6
1.4 Semiconducting transition metal dichalcogenides	6
1.4.1 Crystal structure	8
1.4.2 Indirect to direct bandgap transition	8

1.4.3	Vibrational properties	8
1.5	van der Waals epitaxy	10
1.6	Synthesis of 2D-materials	11
1.6.1	Chemical vapour deposition	12
1.6.2	Key parameters in CVD of 2D-materials	13
1.6.3	Progress in CVD of MoS ₂	17
1.7	Heterostructures	17
1.8	Radiation hardness of TMDs	18
1.9	Organization of the thesis	20
2	Experimental and characterization methods	23
2.1	Sample Preparation	23
2.1.1	Sample Cleaning	23
2.1.2	Chemical vapour deposition	24
2.1.3	Growth of MoS ₂ by chemical vapour deposition	24
2.1.4	Mechanical exfoliation method	25
2.1.5	Transfer of the 2D crystals	26
2.2	Sample characterization	27
2.2.1	Optical characterization	28
2.2.2	Scanning probe microscopy	31
2.2.3	Elemental characterization	32
2.3	Gamma irradiation	33
2.3.1	Gamma irradiation facility	33

3	Effect of different precursors on CVD growth of molybdenum disulfide	35
3.1	Introduction	35
3.2	Experimental	37
3.3	Results and discussion	38
3.3.1	Growth of MoS ₂ using S and MoO ₃	39
3.3.2	Growth of MoS ₂ using S and AHM	43
3.3.3	Tellurium-assisted growth of MoS ₂	44
3.3.4	Raman analysis	46
3.3.5	Photoluminescence analysis	47
3.3.6	Morphological study of as-synthesised MoS ₂ flakes	48
3.4	Conclusions	49
4	NaCl-assisted low-temperature CVD of MoS₂ on different substrates	50
4.1	Introduction	50
4.2	Experimental	52
4.3	Results and discussion	54
4.3.1	NaCl-assisted growth of MoS ₂	54
4.3.2	Topographical images of as-grown MoS ₂ triangular flakes	56
4.3.3	Raman spectroscopy	56
4.3.4	Photoluminescence	59
4.3.5	UV-Visible absorption	60
4.3.6	X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy	60
4.3.7	NaCl-assisted MoS ₂ growth mechanism	62
4.4	Conclusions	66

5	Large-area growth of trilayer MoS₂ and role of concentration boundary layer	67
5.1	Introduction	67
5.2	Experimental details	68
5.3	Results and discussion	70
5.3.1	Concentration boundary layer formation	70
5.3.2	Kelvin probe force microscopy	76
5.3.3	Raman scattering	77
5.3.4	Photoluminescence	80
5.3.5	UV-visible spectroscopy	80
5.3.6	X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy	80
5.3.7	X-ray diffraction	81
5.4	Conclusions	82
6	γ-ray irradiation-induced chemical and structural changes in CVD monolayer MoS₂	83
6.1	Introduction	83
6.2	Experimental	85
6.3	Results and discussion	85
6.3.1	Optical microscopy	87
6.3.2	X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy	89
6.3.3	Raman Spectroscopy	91
6.4	Conclusions	94
7	Dielectric screening of the Raman 2D-mode of Graphene by a monolayer TMD	95
7.1	Introduction	95

7.1.1	Dispersion of 2D-mode	97
7.2	Experimental details	98
7.3	Results and discussion	99
7.3.1	Characterization of BN-capped MoSe ₂ /Gr vertical van der Waals heterostructure	105
7.3.2	Dispersion of 2D-mode in BN-capped MoSe ₂ /Gr vertical van der Waals heterostructure	107
7.4	Conclusions	108
8	Summary and future perspective	110
8.1	Summary	110
8.2	Future perspectives	112
	Bibliography	112
	Publications in international journals	133
	International/national conferences presentations	135
	Biodata	137

List of Figures

- 1.1 *The world of two-dimensional materials which includes graphene and its analogues, such as hexagonal boron nitride (hBN); black phosphorus (BP); the III–VI family of semiconductors; and the transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDs). Together they span the full range of electronic properties. The four corner diagrams show the materials’ cross-sectional structures—most are not strictly planar. Figure is extracted from Ref. [22]. . . .* 2
- 1.2 *Energy levels of various 2D-materials compared with that of silicon. The numbers between the valence band (VB) and conduction band (CB) energies indicate the bandgaps of the materials. The energies with respect to the vacuum level (the work function or the electron affinity) are approximate and subject to experimental refinement. Figure is adapted from Ref. [23].* 2
- 1.3 *Real-space representation of honeycomb lattice structure of graphene with inequivalent atomic sites A and B, where a_1 and a_2 are real space lattice vectors. (b) Reciprocal space sketch of graphene lattice with four high-symmetry points, namely, Γ , K, K' and M. b_1 and b_2 are reciprocal space vectors. (c) Electronic dispersion of the graphene showing Dirac cone at the corners of Brillouin zones. Figures (a) and (b) reproduced from Ref. [24] and Figure. (c) is adapted from Ref. [1].* 3
- 1.4 *Typical Raman spectrum of defect-free graphene by 2.33 eV laser energy. (b) One-dimensional sketch of one phonon process of G-mode of graphene in momentum-energy space. Filled states are shown in grey, green arrow shows the absorption of an incident photon (ω_i), blue arrow shows the emission of phonon (Ω_G), red arrow shows the emission of the scattered light (ω_s), and horizontal dashed lines show virtual states. (c) One-dimensional sketch of two-phonon process of 2D-mode of graphene in momentum-energy space. A typical double-resonant process involves two real intermediate states and one virtual (horizontal dashed line). Figures. (b) and (c) are adapted from Ref. [25].* 4

- 1.5 *The two most common semiconducting TMDs polytypes. Transition metal atom coordination for (a) trigonal prismatic H and (b) octahedral T polytypes. The blue spheres represent transition metal atoms and orange ones chalcogen atoms. Top and lateral views of (c) 2Ha, (d) 2Hc and (e) 1T bulk polytypes. The primitive unit cells are highlighted with black diamonds in the top views and red dashed squares in the lateral ones. The primitive unit cell of 2H polytypes comprises six atoms, while the one of 1T polytypes has three atoms. The stacking orders are indicated in brackets. Figure adapted from Ref. [26].* 7
- 1.6 *(a) Top view of 2Hc TMDs. a_1 and a_2 are the in-plane primitive unit vectors. (b) Corresponding first Brillouin zone. b_1 and b_2 are the in-plane reciprocal primitive unit vectors. The main four points are labeled: Γ , K, K' and M. (c) Three-dimensional first Brillouin zone of bulk 2Hc TMDs. In addition to the four points depicted in (b), there is a fifth point labeled A. Figure has been adapted from Ref. [24].* 7
- 1.7 *(a) Photoluminescence spectra of suspended monolayer and bilayer MoS₂ recorded under ambient conditions. The inset shows the quantum yield (QY) for $N = 1$ to $N = 6$ MoS₂ layers. Figure adapted from Ref. [27]. (b) Calculated band structure of bulk, 4 layers, bilayer and monolayer MoS₂. The arrows indicate the lowest energy transitions. Figure extracted from Ref. [28] and Ref. [24].* 9
- 1.8 *The band structure of molybdenum disulfide in bulk (black and gray) and monolayer (red) forms. The valence-band maximum E_v and conduction-band minimum E_c correspond to different wavevectors in bulk but the same wavevector in the monolayer. (b) In the anisotropic band structure of black phosphorus, the effective carrier masses m^* in the x and y directions both differ from the electron mass m_0 . Figure extracted from Ref. [22].* 9
- 1.9 *Calculated phonon dispersion relation of monolayer MoS₂. Figure extracted from Ref. [29].* 10

- 1.10 *Raman characterizations of MoS₂ flakes using various lines. (a) Raman spectra of various thicknesses on sample using 325 nm lines. The left and right dashed lines indicate the positions of E_{2g}¹ and A_{1g} peaks in bulk MoS₂, respectively. (b) Thickness-dependent E_{2g}¹ and A_{1g} peak frequencies using 325, 488, and 532 nm lines, respectively. (c) Resonant Raman spectra using 632.8 nm line. (d) Peak fitting on the resonant Raman spectra between 360 and 490 cm⁻¹ of bilayer and bulk MoS₂. The solid lines are the fitting curves. The spectrum of monolayer MoS₂ is shown for comparison. “1 L”, “2 L”, “3 L”, and “4 L” indicate monolayer, bilayer, trilayer, and quadrilayer, respectively Figure is adapted from Ref. [30]. 11*
- 1.11 *(a) Interfaces connected by dangling bonds, van der Waals gap, and quasi van der Waals gap, adapted from Ref. [31].(b) Schematic illustration of the vdW gap and the vdW distance in a covalent-bonded system and a vdW system. (c) Comparison between layer spacing and calculated vdW gap in various layered 2D materials. b,c adapted from Ref. [32]. (d), (f) Cross-sectional images of vdW-contacting interfaces with various material dimensions: Bi₂Te₃/FeTe and Au/MoS₂. Figure (d) adapted from Ref. [33] and Figure (e) adapted from Ref. [34]. 12*
- 1.12 *Schematic illustration of CVD growth mechanism in five steps. Figure has been extracted from Ref. [35]. 13*
- 1.13 *Schematic illustration of impact of various parameters on thermodynamics and kinetics in the CVD of layered materials (adapted from Ref. [36]) . . . 14*
- 1.14 *Schematic illustration of key parameters required for controlled CVD growth of 2D single crystals (adapted from ref. [36]) 16*
- 1.15 *Fabrication of van der Waals heterostructures, (a) mechanically assembled stacks (b) large-scale growth by CVD or physical epitaxy. Figure has been extracted from Ref. [37]. 18*

1.16	<p>(a) Optical image of a monolayer graphene/monolayer MoSe₂ verticle van der Waals heterostructure fabricated onto a SiO₂/si substrate. The coupled part of the heterostructure is represented by the white dashed contour. (b) Height profiles measured by atomic force microscopy, along the dashed blue and red lines drawn in panel (a). (c) Cartoon of the heterostructure showing the coupled and decoupled regions. Photoluminescence (d) and Raman scattering (e) spectra recorded on the three spots shown in panel (a). Figures (a)-(e) have been adapted from Ref. [38] (f) Optical microscopy image of a triangular in-plane WS₂/MoS₂ heterojunction. (g) Raman spectra taken from the points marked by 1–3 in the inset, showing characteristic WS₂ (point 1) and MoS₂ (point 3) peaks in the outer and inner triangles, respectively, and their superposition at the interface region (point 2). The dashed lines are guides to the eye showing the position of the MoS₂ and WS₂ Raman peaks. (h) Combined Raman intensity mapping at 351 cm⁻¹ (yellow) and 381 cm⁻¹ (purple), showing the core-shell structure with WS₂ as the shell and MoS₂ as the core. Figures (f)-(h) have been adapted from Ref. [39].</p>	19
2.1	<p>(a) Picture of single-zone mini CVD system (MTI Corporation, USA) used for CVD growth of MoS₂. (b) Schematic of inside view of CVD tube and MoS₂ growth process.</p>	24
2.2	<p>(a) Pictures of standard ‘Scotch-tape method’ in six steps. (b) Schematic illustration of viscoelastic material (PDMS) based exfoliation and transfer of 2D crystal (modified Scotch-tape method) onto a target substrate. Fig (a) is adapted from Ref. [24], and Fig (b) is extracted from Ref. [40]</p>	26
2.3	<p>(a) Photo of home built transfer station used to transfer 2D crystal at a defined location (IPCMS-CNRS, France). (b) Picture of Nikon Eclipse LV100 optical microscope.</p>	27
2.4	<p>Schematic representation of the classical picture of Raman scattering depicting Stokes and Anti-Stokes Raman scattering. Schematic adapted from Ref [41].</p>	29
2.5	<p>Schematic representation of quantum picture of anti-Stokes and Stokes processes. ω_i, ω_s and Ω is the frequencies of the incident light, scattered light, and phonon, respectively. The Figure is adapted from Refs. [24] [42]. . . .</p>	29

2.6	(a) Picture of LabRam HR800 spectrometer (Horiba) used for Raman and photoluminescence measurements of CVD grown TMDs (NRF, IIT Delhi). (b) Picture of home-built Raman spectroscopy set up attached with cryostat used for measurements on 2D-materials based heterostructures (IPCMS-CNRS, France). Figure (b) has been adapted from Ref. [24].	30
2.7	Gamma irradiation chamber facility at IUAC, New Delhi	34
3.1	(a) Schematic illustration of the inside view of the quartz tube of single zone mini CVD setup. (b) CVD temperature-time programme graph for different Mo precursors. (c) Image of as-grown MoS ₂ samples on SiO ₂ /Si substrate showing large area growth. Black boxes represent regions of as-grown MoS ₂ flakes while white boxes show MoO ₂ and MoOS ₂ grown regions.	37
3.2	Optical microscopy (OM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of different MoS ₂ phase synthesised by MoO ₃ as Mo source. (a) OM image of as-grown oxy-phase MoO ₂ , (b) MoOS ₂ , and (c) 1L-MoS ₂ , depicting the effect of precursors ratio and growth temperature on MoO ₃ . (d)-(i) SEM images of the evolution of MoS ₂ from MoO ₂ to highly crystalline 1L-MoS ₂ flakes. Images (g) and (h) are captured at different locations of the same sample.	39
3.3	Optical and SEM images representing different MoS ₂ phases synthesised by AHM precursor as Mo source. (a) OM image of round shape oxy-phase MoS ₂ micro-crystals acting as a nucleation centres for growth. (b) Bulk and 1L-MoS ₂ flakes. (c) Large-sized crystalline uniform 1L-MoS ₂ flakes. (d)-(f) SEM images corresponding to OM images showing the evolution of MoS ₂ from MoO ₂ to highly crystalline monolayer synthesised by AHM precursor as Mo source.	44
3.4	Optical and SEM images of Te-assisted MoS ₂ flakes. (a)e(c) optical micrographs and (d)-(f) are their corresponding SEM images of highly crystalline Te-assisted MoS ₂ flakes.	45

- 3.5 *Raman and photoluminescence measurements characterised by 532 nm laser. (a) and (b) Raman spectra of micro particles of MoO₂ and MoOS₂, respectively. (c) Raman spectrum of crystalline 1L, 2L, and bulk MoS₂ synthesised using MoO₃ precursor at 900° C. E_{2g}¹ and A_{1g} Raman modes observed at 384 and 404.2 cm⁻¹ ($\Delta\omega = 20.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). (d) and (e) Raman spectra of CVD MoS₂ synthesised by AHM powder and Te-assisted growth, respectively. (f) PL spectrum of MoS₂ triangular MoS₂ flakes grown via a different combination of precursors. PL spectra (1), (2), and (3) correspond to MoS₂ synthesised by MoO₃, AHM and Te assisted, respectively. In all these three PL spectrums, higher and lower energy peak corresponds to B exciton and A exciton, respectively. PL spectra have been recorded by 1800 grooves/mm grating at $\sim 100 \mu\text{W}$ at room-temperature.* 47
- 3.6 *AFM images and height profiles of as-grown MoS₂ flakes. AFM height profiles of corresponding AFM images are inserted in respective figures and white line in AFM images show the path of height profile measurements. (a) Triangular crystalline, uniform 1L-MoS₂ synthesised using MoO₃ having a layer thickness of 0.88 nm. (b) Crystalline truncated 1L-MoS₂ triangle of 1.05 nm grown by AHM. (c) Te-assisted pine shape triangular flake of 1L-MoS₂ (thickness $\sim 1.25 \text{ nm}$) grown at lower temperature (750° C).* 48
- 4.1 *Optical microscopy (OM) images of MoS₂: w/o-NaCl CVD MoS₂ on (a), (b) sapphire, (c) mica, (d) quartz, and (e) SiO₂/Si substrate. (f) optical image of Te-assisted CVD (650° C) of MoS₂ on SiO₂/Si substrate showing mono- and multi-layer MoS₂. (g) optical image of 1L or 2L-MoS₂ on bare Si substrate.* 52
- 4.2 *Schematic of (a) typical single-zone CVD setup and (b) grown MoS₂ flakes on the water-soluble sacrificial layer of Na₂S/Na₂SO₄ on the substrate.* 53
- 4.3 *OM image of (a)-(c) continuous film of 1L-MoS₂ on sapphire, mica and SiO₂/Si substrate, respectively and (d), (e) 1L- and few-layer MoS₂ on fused quartz and bare silicon, respectively. (f)-(i) SEM images of 1L-MoS₂ triangle on sapphire, mica, SiO₂/Si, and quartz. Scale bar (a)-(e) is 100 μm and (f)-(i) 2 μm* 55
- 4.4 *AFM images of 1L- MoS₂ on (a) sapphire, (b) mica (c) quartz (d) SiO₂/Si and few-layer MoS₂ on (e) bare Si substrates.* 56

- 4.5 Raman spectroscopy analysis of *w*-NaCl CVD 1L-MoS₂ grown on different substrates: (a) Position of A_g^1 and E_{2g}^1 Raman modes at various points on suspended CVD 1L-MoS₂ on TEM grid and inserted schematic shows points (1-5) where corresponding measurements were done. (b) Shift of A_g^1 and E_{2g}^1 modes after transfer to same type substrate with respect to as-grown *w*-NaCl CVD 1L-MoS₂. (c) Raman spectrum of 1L-MoS₂ grown on SiO₂/Si, sapphire, mica, quartz and few-layer MoS₂ on bare Si. (d) Shift of A_g^1 and E_{2g}^1 modes of *w*-NaCl CVD 1L-MoS₂ from suspended 1L-MoS₂ ($E_{2g}^1 \sim 383.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $A_g^1 \sim 403.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and (e) FWHM of A_g^1 Raman mode for different substrates. 57
- 4.6 Ratio of Intensity of A_{1g} and E_{2g}^1 Raman Modes for different substrates. 58
- 4.7 Photoluminescence (PL) spectra of 1L-MoS₂ on different substrates: 1L-MoS₂ on (a) sapphire, (b) mica, (c) quartz, and (d) SiO₂/Si substrate. (e) Variation of FWHM of A exciton and ratio of A and B exciton with substrates. 59
- 4.8 UV-vis spectra of 1L-MoS₂ on (a) sapphire (b) mica, and (c) quartz substrate. 60
- 4.9 UV-vis absorption spectrum of 1L-MoS₂ grown on SiO₂/Si substrate. 60
- 4.10 XPS of directly grown 1L-MoS₂ on SiO₂/Si substrate: (a) survey spectrum, (b) core level C1s peak, (c) Mo3d peaks for the orbitals 3d_{5/2} and 3d_{3/2} are centered at 230.0 and 233.1 eV, respectively. Peak positioned at 227.2 eV belongs to oxidation of sulfur with -2 , and low-intensity peak at 235.9 eV belongs to Mo⁶⁺ state. (d) S2p peaks for the orbitals 2p_{3/2} and 2p_{1/2} are centered at 162.9 and 164.2 eV, respectively. (e) Na1s core-level spectra of NaCl-assisted growth of MoS₂ signifies the presence of Na₂S or/and Na₂SO₄ underneath of MoS₂ flakes. (f) No Na1s core-level spectra observed in transferred NaCl-assisted grown MoS₂. 61
- 4.11 XPS of directly grown 1L-MoS₂ on sapphire substrate: (a) survey spectrum, (b) S2p peaks for the orbitals 2p_{3/2} and 2p_{1/2} are centered at 162.9 and 164.2 eV, respectively. (c) Mo3d peaks for the orbitals 3d_{5/2} and 3d_{3/2} are centered at 229.4 and 232.7 eV, respectively. Peak positioned at 226.5 eV belongs to oxidation of sulfur with -2 62

- 4.12 (a) Raman spectrum of the as-grown film when only S and NaCl were used. Raman peaks at 152, 182, and 218 cm^{-1} correspond to Na_2S whereas peaks at 647, 980, and 1152 cm^{-1} correspond to Na_2SO_4 . (b) Raman spectrum of S and NaCl treated sample exposed under MoO_3 powder, shows sign of MoS_2 , Na_2S , and Na_2SO_4 . (c) Raman spectrum of MoS_2 /sapphire transferred from SiO_2/Si substrate shows no sign of Na_2S and Na_2SO_4 . (d) Raman spectrum of SiO_2/Si substrate from where MoS_2 film was transferred. Peaks at 520 and 955 cm^{-1} correspond to first and second order Si Raman peaks. (e) HRTEM image of 1L- MoS_2 acquired at circled location in TEM image (top-left) and corresponding SAED pattern (top-right). 63
- 5.1 (a) Schematic illustration of typical CVD process for NaCl-assisted 3L- MoS_2 growth in single-zone CVD setup. Growing substrate is placed in face-down manner. (b) Schematic illustration of definition of s, h, and d. Black circle shows central axis of CVD tube/boundary layer which is perpendicular to the page. Grey line is drawn to show how d is calculated from central axis. (c) Schematic of the reactant concentration gradient and its boundary layer formation in CVD tube. Yellow and white region inside the tube represent laminar flow of the reactants and boundary layer region, respectively. The concentration of sulfur is increasing in the direction of flow. (d) Schematic illustration of A, B, and C point on the substrate over which optical images are recorded in Figure 5.4 69
- 5.2 Optical image of crucibles (boats) used in the synthesis of MoS_2 for controlling the s, d and h to play with concentration boundary layer. 69
- 5.3 Optical microscopy images as an initial tool for MoS_2 layer number estimation. (a) Light brown and green triangles are identified as 1L- and few-layer MoS_2 , respectively. (b) Light green continuous film has been identified as 1L- MoS_2 . (c) 2L and bulk MoS_2 flakes and (d) 2L- MoS_2 film. (e) Dark green film found to be bulk MoS_2 . Scale bar is 20 μm 70
- 5.4 Optical images of the evolution of as-grown MoS_2 over the points A, B, and C on the substrates as the s and d are changing. Definition of points A, B, and C is shown schematically in figure 5.1(d). Scale bar is 50 μm 72

- 5.5 (a) Picture of as-grown 3L-MoS₂ when single SiO₂/Si wafer of 7×2.5 cm² area was placed (sample SA). The white region shows unreacted molybdenum crystals, and corresponding EDAX measurements data is provided in Figure 5.7. (b) Optical image taken over the marked region in (a). Optical images at different locations on this sample are shown in Figure 5.6. (c) Picture of as-grown 3L-MoS₂ when five SiO₂/Si substrates (area ≈ 2-3 cm²) were placed alongside. (d) FE-SEM image of 3L-MoS₂ taken from the marked region in (b). 3L-MoS₂ shows no grain boundary at the micro-level. (e) Optical image of 3L-MoS₂ captured from the marked region in (b). (f) An enlarged optical image is taken from the marked area in (e). Schematics of (g) effect of separation between MoO₃ + NaCl precursors and growing substrate and (h) impact on growth by placing a single large-sized wafer versus many substrates altogether. 73
- 5.6 Optical images of 3L-MoS₂ sample SA (shown in Figure 5.5(a)) at different locations over whole wafer. Image 1 was captured at 5× while 2-6 at 20×. Scale bar is 50 μm. 74
- 5.7 (a) FE-SEM image of salt look like molybdenum crystals left after the CVD growth of sample SA. These crystals are found over the sample for which d= 0.4 cm (Figure 5.5(a)). (b) Enlarged view of crystal of (a). (c) Marked pink square show region where EDAX measurements done only for Mo, S, Na and Cl. (d) Elemental scan mapping of whole area shown in Figure (c). 75
- 5.8 Atomic force microscopy (AFM) image of 3L-MoS₂ captured from marked region in sample SA, Figure 5.5(a). (c) AFM topography and (d) height profile on white line of 3L-MoS₂ film sample, when substrates were put together (sample/case SB). The 3L-MoS₂ film is damaged/scratched by twizzer. 76
- 5.9 (a) Raman spectrum of 3L-MoS₂ measured at region marked in Figure 5.5(a). (b) Spatial distribution of E_{2g}¹ and A_{1g} Raman modes of MoS₂, measured over the various positions on the sample. (c) Integrated intensity ratio of A_{1g} and E_{2g}¹ Raman modes i.e. (I_A/I_E) and their separation Δω = A_{1g} - E_{2g}¹. 77

- 5.10 (a) X-ray diffraction (XRD) of 3L-MoS₂ of sample shown in Figure 4(a). Peaks marked with star belongs to MoO_{3-x}1. (b) XPS spectra of Na core-level positioned at 1068.4 eV. (c) TEM image of 3L-MoS₂ of sample shown in Figure 4(a) and marked white rectangle shows region where selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern was taken and shown in (d). Blurred diffraction pattern indicates the presence of unreacted MoO_{3-x} particles over 3L-MoS₂2. 78
- 5.11 (a) AFM image and (b) surface potential mapping captured by KPFM image of 3L-MoS₂ captured from the marked region in Figure 5.5(c) of sample/case SA. Gaussian distribution of contact potential difference (V_{CPD}) of 3L-MoS₂. 78
- 5.12 (a) Raman spectrum of 3L-MoS₂ (sample SB) measured at region marked in Figure 5.5(c). (b) Spatial distribution of E_{2g}^1 and A_{1g} Raman modes of MoS₂, measured over the various positions on the sample as shown in the inset schematic. (c) The integrated intensity ratio of A_{1g} and E_{2g}^1 Raman modes (I_A/I_E) and their peak position separation $\Delta\omega = A_{1g} - E_{2g}^1$ (d) Spectrum between $[F(R)h\nu]^2$ and photon energy ($h\nu$) for 3L-MoS₂, where bandgap was calculated by translating reflectance curve (inset) to K-M function $F(R)$ 79
- 5.13 Photoluminescence from 3L-MoS₂ measured using 405 nm laser at three spots on the sample. 80
- 5.14 (a) XPS survey spectrum of 3L-MoS₂, sample SB. Core level XPS spectrum of (b) molybdenum and (c) sulfur. (d) XRD of 3L-MoS₂ grown over SiO₂/Si substrate. (e) TEM image of 3L-MoS₂ and marked white triangle show region where SAED pattern was taken and depicted in (f). 81
- 6.1 Optical microscopy (OM) images of 1L-MoS₂ before and after irradiation: (a)-(c) before and (d)-(f) after gamma irradiation with dose values of 0, 55, and 130 kGy, respectively. Up to 130 kGy, no significant change in the morphology of MoS₂ flakes was observed, as can be seen in corresponding encircled triangles. (a)-(f) The scale bar is 10 μ m. 88

- 6.2 OM images of 1L-MoS₂ before and after irradiation: (a)-(c) before and (d)-(f) after gamma irradiation with dose values of 275, 500, and 725 kGy, respectively. From 275 kGy onwards, a significant change in morphology of MoS₂ flakes was observed, as can be seen in corresponding encircled triangles. (c), (f). Triangular monolayer flakes almost destroyed (black circles) while bulk MoS₂ flakes retain the morphology even at high dose of 725 kGy (red circle). (a)-(f) The scale bar is 10 μm. 89
- 6.3 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) of pristine and irradiated MoS₂. 90
- 6.4 Raman spectra of pristine and irradiated 1L-MoS₂: (a) Raman spectra of 1L-MoS₂ under 0, 10, 55, 130, 275, 500, and 725 kGy. Variation in intensity and position of E_{2g}¹ and A_{1g} Raman modes of MoS₂ could be easily seen. (b)-(e) Raman spectra of MoS₂ under 0, 130, 275, and 500 kGy are plotted separately to observe the change in peak symmetry and comparing the peak intensity. 92
- 6.5 Raman spectra analysis of pristine and irradiated 1L-MoS₂: (a) Variation of peak counts of E_{2g}¹ and A_{1g} Raman modes of MoS₂ as the dose of γ-ray increases. (b) The ratio of the intensity of A_{1g} and E_{2g}¹ modes with gamma irradiation. (c) fwhm of E_{2g}¹ and A_{1g} modes and (d) position of E_{2g}¹ and A_{1g} Raman modes versus gamma dose. 93
- 7.1 Sketch of laser on graphene residing on hBN and SiO₂ (upper). Optical image of graphene partially residing on hBN and SiO₂ both (lower). (b) Raman spectrum of 2D-mode of graphene at the position A (on hBN) and D (on SiO₂) in (a). (c) Schematic illustration of highest-optical phonon branch of suspended graphene (yellow) and hBN encapsulated graphene (blue) around K. (d) Raman 2D-mode of graphene on SiO₂, on hBN, and encapsulated by hBN. Fig. is adapted from Ref. [43] 96
- 7.2 Variation of 2D-mode peak frequency with an incident photon (dispersion of graphene 2D-mode) on suspended and supported part. The solid lines are second-order polynomial fits. Fig. adapted from Ref. [44] 98
- 7.3 (a) Optical image of suspended monolayer graphene/monolayer WSe₂ vertical van der Waals heterostructure fabricated on Si/SiO₂ substrate with pre-patterned holes. (b) Photoluminescence (PL) intensity mapping of the WSe₂ corresponds to the dashed white rectangle in (a). (c) Typical PL spectra of WSe₂ (cyan star), SiO₂-supported graphene/WSe₂ (purple triangle) and freestanding graphene/WSe₂ (orange circle). 100

- 7.4 (a) Hyperspectral Raman map of the 2D-mode frequency (ω_{2D}) of the same sample shown in figure 7.3a. The mapping area corresponds to the continuous white line of Figure 7.3a. (b) Typical Raman spectra of SiO₂-supported graphene (black diamond) and graphene/WSe₂ (purple diamond), and freestanding graphene (black circle), and graphene/WSe₂ (orange circle). Data are shown together with their fit. (c) The shift of the spatially averaged 2D-mode frequency compared to the ω_{2D} of freestanding graphene. The error bars correspond to the spatial standard deviation. The two vertical dashed arrows give the difference of averaged 2D-mode frequency on freestanding Gr/WSe₂ (with respect to freestanding Gr) and SiO₂-supported Gr/WSe₂ (with respect to SiO₂-supported Gr) to isolate the effect of the monolayer WSe₂ in each case. 101
- 7.5 (a) Measurement of Raman 2D-mode of the sample by different laser energies: 1.58 eV (black), 1.96 eV (red) and 2.33 eV (green). Data are shown together with their fit. (b) Frequency of the 2D-mode depending on the laser wavelength for each different region of the sample. (c) The shift of the spatially averaged 2D-mode frequency (with respect to the freestanding graphene) for different laser energies, depending on the graphene environment. 102
- 7.6 (a) Optical image of BN-supported monolayer MoSe₂/monolayer graphene van der Waals heterostructure fabricated on Si/SiO₂ substrate. Blue circle and blue star show BN/Gr and BN/MoSe₂/Gr regions, respectively, over which measurements are done by various lasers. (b) Dispersion of the 2D-mode depending on the laser energy for each different region of the sample. (c) The shift of the spatially averaged 2D-mode frequency (with respect to BN-supported Gr). 103
- 7.7 Merging dispersion effect from both suspended monolayer WSe₂/Gr and BN-supported Gr samples. (a) Change in frequency of ω_{2D} and (b) relative shift in 2D-mode frequency $\Delta\omega_{2D}$ for the different dielectric environments for all three lasers. (c) Dispersion of 2D-mode for the different dielectric environments on graphene. 104

7.8	(a) Optical image of BN-capped monolayer MoSe ₂ /monolayer graphene (SiO ₂ /BN/MoSe ₂ /Gr/BN) van der Waals heterostructure fabricated on a Si/SiO ₂ substrate. White dashed line show monolayer graphene while the black dashed line show 1L-MoSe ₂ . Red star and blue circle show BN/Gr/BN and BN/MoSe ₂ /Gr/BN points, respectively, over which Raman measurement is done by 532 nm laser, and their spectra are shown in Figure (b). (c) Photoluminescence (PL) mapping of the sample by 532 nm (2.33 eV) laser where BN-capped MoSe ₂ /Gr (red circle) shows PL intensity quenching of ~ 7 times compared to BN-capped MoSe ₂ (white circle) due to charge transfer to graphene layer and their typical PL spectra are plotted in (d).	105
7.9	(a) Optical image of the approximate region over which Raman mapping is done by 532 nm laser on the same sample as shown in Fig. 7.9a. (b)-(f) Hyperspectral Raman maps of (b) intensity of 2D-mode I _{2D} , (c) frequency of G-mode ω _G , (d) frequency of 2D-mode ω _{2D} , (e) FWHM of 2D-mode Γ _{2D} , (f) shift in 2D-mode Δω _{2D}	106
7.10	(a)-(c) Line scan measurement data of BN-capped MoSe ₂ /Gr sample recorded along the black arrow shown in Fig. 7.10 (a). (a) Integrated intensity ratio of 2D- and G-mode (I _{2D} /I _G), (b) frequency of G-mode ω _G , (c) frequency of 2D-mode ω _{2D} , (d) correlation between ω _{2D} and ω _G	107
7.11	2D-mode dispersion measurements on BN-capped MoSe ₂ /Gr sample. (a) Optical image of the sample where blue circle and blue star show BN/Gr/BN and BN/MoSe ₂ /Gr/BN regions, respectively over which dispersion measurements are recorded by 532 and 633 nm lasers. (b) Dispersion of the 2D-mode and (c) relative shift in 2D-mode frequency (Δω _{2D}) for the different dielectric environments for graphene.	108

List of Tables

3.1	<i>For MoO₃ as Mo source, growth optimization of MoS₂ on SiO₂/Si substrate</i>	41
3.2	<i>Optimization of MoS₂ growth on SiO₂/Si substrate for MoO₃, AHM and Te precursors/growth promoters. The values of variables are as pressure = 1 atm, distance between precursors = 16 cm, and Ar flow = 60 ml/min.</i>	42
4.1	<i>Comparison of direct CVD MoS₂ growth on various substrates</i>	65
6.1	<i>Tabulation of rise in temperature of MoS₂ with the corresponding dose of γ-ray</i>	87
7.1	<i>Experimental condition/parameters for the Raman scattering dispersion measurement for all three lasers. The spectral resolution/accuracy of 600 grooves/mm grating for 532 and 633 nm lasers are ~ 2.0 and ~ 1.33 cm⁻¹, respectively, while for 150 grooves/mm grating for 785 nm laser is ~ 3.53 cm⁻¹.</i>	99

List of Symbols and Abbreviations

Symbols

q	Charge
h	Plank constant
ν	Frequency of photon
c	Speed of light
λ	Wavelength
T	Temperature
E_g	Energy bandgap
γ	Gamma
\AA	Angstrom
m_0	Rest mass of electron
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	Degree Celsius
K	Kelvin
J	Joule
θ	Incident angle of x-ray with crystal plane
Φ	Rotation angle with normal to the crystal plane
V_{CPD}	Contact potential difference
ϕ_{tip}	Work function of the tip
ϕ_{sample}	Work function of the sample
kGy	Kilo Gray

Abbreviations

2D	Two-dimensional
3D	Three-dimensional
CVD	Chemical vapor deposition
MOCVD	Metal-organic chemical vapor deposition
1L/2L/3L	monolayer/bilayer/trilayer
AHM	Ammonium heptamolybdate
TMDs	Transition metal dichalcogenides
FET	Field effect transistor
MSM	Metal-semiconductor-metal
MOS	Metal oxide semiconductor
VBM	Valence band maximum
PLD	Pulsed laser deposition
TEM	Transmission electron microscope
HRTEM	High resolution transmission electron microscope
SAED	Selected-area electron diffraction
XRD	X-ray diffraction
OM	Optical microscopy
UV-vis	UV-Visible absorption
PL	Photoluminescence
SPM	Scanning probe microscopy
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
FESEM	Field-emission scanning electron microscopy
AFM	Atomic force microscopy
KPFM	Kelvin probe force microscopy
PL	Photoluminescence
XPS	X-ray photoelectron microscopy
FWHM	Full-width-at-half-maximum