

**DESIGN, ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS OF
INTEGER AND NON - INTEGER ORDER DIGITAL
INTEGRATORS AND DIFFERENTIATORS**

MADHU JAIN



**INSTRUMENT DESIGN DEVELOPMENT CENTRE
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
HAUZ KHAS, NEW DELHI - 110016
INDIA
APRIL 2015**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2015

**DESIGN, ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS OF
INTEGER AND NON - INTEGER ORDER DIGITAL
INTEGRATORS AND DIFFERENTIATORS**

by

MADHU JAIN

INSTRUMENT DESIGN DEVELOPMENT CENTRE

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

to the



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
HAUZ KHAS, NEW DELHI - 110016
INDIA
APRIL 2015**

Dedicated To My Son
Shreyansh

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, “**Design, Analysis and Applications of Integer and Non -Integer Order Digital Integrators and Differentiators,**” being submitted by **Ms Madhu Jain** to Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** is a record of bonafide research work carried out by her under our guidance and the supervision. In our opinion, the thesis has reached the standards of fulfilling the requirements of the regulations relating to the degree.

The results obtained here in have not been submitted in part or full to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

(Dr. N. K. Jain)

**Chief Design Engineer (SG)
Instrument Design Development Centre
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
New Delhi - 110016, INDIA**

(Prof. Maneesha Gupta)

**Professor
Division of Electronics and
Communication Engineering
Netaji Subhas Institute of Technology
New Delhi - 110075, INDIA**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It gives me immense pleasure to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. N. K. Jain, Chief Design Engineer (SG), Instrument Design Development Centre, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi and Dr. Maneesha Gupta, Professor, Electronics and Communication Engineering Department, Netaji Subhas Institute of Technology Delhi for their invaluable guidance and encouragement throughout the course of this Ph.D. work.

Dr. N.K. Jain and Prof. Maneesha Gupta have guided me at every stage of the research. Their support in the form of thought provoking material and guidance has been invaluable and has always been a source of constant inspiration and moral support. It is my privilege to have worked under their supervision.

I am also thankful to Head, Instrument Design Development Centre, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the facilities he provided during this work. I owe my deepest gratitude to my student research committee members, Dr. A. K. Agarwala, Prof. D. T. Shahani, and Prof. G. S. Visweswaran who have given me valuable suggestions and advice to improve the quality of work from time to time during the period of this work.

I am grateful to the staffs of PG section, Central library, and Instrument Design Development Centre library at Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for their valuable co-operation.

I wish to express my sincere thanks to Head, Electronics and Communication Engineering Department, Jaypee Institute of Information Technology, Noida for providing a congenial environment at my workplace.

I am also deeply grateful to all my colleagues and friends of Electronics and Communication Engineering Department at Jaypee Institute of Information Technology for their helpful attitude during the entire course of this work.

I am extremely grateful to lab staff of Project Lab, Electronics and Communication Engineering Department, Jaypee Institute of Information Technology, Noida for providing me immense facilities and assistance to carry out my research work.

I thank the Almighty for showering their blessings to help me in raising my academic level to this stage. They gave me the courage to face the complexities of life and also show me the light of strength, knowledge, wisdom and determination to achieve what I have achieved so far.

I am grateful to my teachers from childhood who laid seeds of enthusiasm and passion in my pursuit of knowledge.

My deepest gratitude is to my parents Mr. P.C. Jain and Mrs. Kamlesh Jain. Their love, affection and inspiration have given me the courage in difficult times.

I gratefully acknowledge my brother Mr. Arun, sister - in - law Ms. Anindya, sister Ms. Raj and brother - in - law Mr. Rajiv for their unconditional love and support.

My special thanks are due to my parent's - in - law Mr. Vimal Jain and Mrs. Chanchal Jain for their help and understanding shown to me.

I cordially thank my husband Mr. Anant for his support and motivation, during the course of work. I am eternally grateful to him for always encouraging and being with me where ever and whenever I needed him.

Finally, I wish to express my special thanks to my son Shreyansh for his support throughout this uphill task. He has been very patient and loving when I needed it most.

Date: April, 2015

Place: New Delhi

MADHU JAIN

ABSTRACT

The investigation in this research work deals with the design, analysis and applications of integer and non-integer or fractional order recursive digital operators (integrators or differentiators). Integrators and differentiators are two most important components of both integer order and fractional order calculus. These operators are the basic parts of many systems like signal processing, control, radar, sonar, communication and medical applications. Integer order operators are useful for those systems which can be modeled using integral calculus. However, for various dynamic systems, integer order operators do not prove adequate to represent the characteristics accurately. For these types of systems, fractional order operators prove more useful as compared to the integer order ones. To effectively analyze complicated systems with fractional elements, it is necessary to develop approximations to the fractional operators using the standard integer order operators.

Main challenge in design of both digital integer order and fractional order integrators and differentiators is to realize ideal magnitude response with linear phase characteristics. Many methods have been developed to design integer and fractional order operators but they are not able to provide the requisite response characteristics. There is still immense scope of improvement in terms of magnitude and phase response.

In this work, an attempt is made to design integer and fractional order operators with magnitude response close to ideal one and linear phase response. New techniques are applied to design a family of integrators up to fourth order. Some of the existing integrators are linearly interpolated to develop a new integrator of third order. Genetic Algorithm (*GA*) optimization is used to develop digital integrators up to third order from a recursive transfer function with unknown coefficients. A hybrid optimization method of minimax and pole zero and constant (*PZC*) optimization is also used to develop integer order digital integrators from a recursive transfer function with unknown coefficients. The minimax

optimization algorithm based method have tendency that the result may be trapped in the local minimum. Therefore, it is worthwhile to further develop an efficient procedure which attempts to locate the global minimum. Here, pole zero and constant (*PZC*) optimization is applied on the result of minimax optimization to improve the performance of designed integrators. For this, constant, zeros and poles of the transfer function are rewritten by adding a variable parameter in each. These parameters are varied within a defined lower and upper bound and their optimum values are calculated. This result in integrators with improved magnitude and phase response compared to minimax optimized integrators. *GA* has an advantage that it can efficiently search large solution spaces due to its parallel structure. However, it suffers from disadvantages of lack of global search ability and premature convergence. The limitation of *GA* is overcome by *PZC* optimization which improves the efficiency of the designed integrators. A half delay is also introduced in the trapezoidal integration rule to design integrators up to fourth order. These new integrators are much more efficient compared to the trapezoidal integrator in terms of magnitude and linear phase response. New integer order differentiators are also obtained by inverting and modifying the transfer function of proposed integrators.

Proposed integer order integrators and differentiators are analyzed in time domain by observing their square and triangular wave responses, respectively. Simulation results show that the proposed operators outperformed the existing ones in time and frequency domain analysis.

Fractional order integrators are designed by applying direct and in direct discretization on some of the existing integer order operators using continued fraction expansion. Integer order operators, designed in this work having excellent performance are chosen for half order integrators design. Their frequency responses are compared and operator producing half order integrator with highest efficiency is selected to design integrators of other

fractional powers also. For this, not only continued fraction expansion but other techniques like Taylor series expansion and rational Chebyshev approximation are also used. New fractional order differentiators are also obtained by using the transfer function of proposed fractional order integrators appropriately.

Comparison of the suggested fractional order operators with the existing ones show remarkable improvement in frequency response. Square wave response for integrators and triangular wave response of differentiators are also observed to show their effectiveness.

Applications of proposed integer and fractional order operators for image processing and control are also investigated in this thesis. Proposed integer order differentiators are tested for edge detection. It is found that these perform well for noiseless images. However, for images having strong noise content, their performances gets degraded. Solution is also provided in this work by proposing a new edge detection algorithm based on the combination of fractional order integrators and differentiators. Simulation results show that the proposed algorithm is effective in both noiseless and noisy conditions. Its performance is also better than that of other algorithms for noisy images. The same is successfully implemented on hardware *DSK TMS320C6713* for non real time images. However, same procedure can be used for real time images also.

Simulation results also show the effectiveness of proposed integer and fractional order Proportional-Integral-Derivative (*PID*) controllers for speed control of *DC* motor with excellent steady state and transient response. The designed *PID* controllers are also implemented using *Arduino mega 2560*, and it can be seen that their performance validates the results obtained using simulation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF TABLES	xxiii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxvii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background and Motivations	1
1.2 Scope of Proposed Research Work	6
1.3 Organization of Thesis	8
1.4 Summary of Dissertation Contribution	9
2. LITERATURE SURVEY	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Integer Order Calculus	11
2.2.1 Design Methods for Digital Integer Order Integrators	12
2.2.1.1 Numerical Integration Rules Based Methods	12
2.2.1.2 Interpolation Methods	14
2.2.1.3 Fractional Delay Methods	14
2.2.1.4 Optimization Based Methods	15
2.2.2 Design Method for Digital Integer Order Differentiators	16
2.2.3 Existing Integer Order Differintegrators	17
2.3 Fractional Order Calculus	24
2.3.1 Design Method for Digital Fractional Order Differintegrators	25
2.3.1.1 Direct Discretization Method	26
2.3.1.2 Indirect Discretization Method	26
2.3.2 Existing Fractional Order Digital Differintegrators	26

2.4	Image Processing and System Control Applications	30
2.4.1	Edge Detection	30
2.4.1.1	First Order Derivative Based Edge Detection Methods	30
2.4.1.2	Gaussian Based Edge Detectors	30
2.4.1.3	Edge Detection Using Artificial Intelligence, Morphological and Wavelet Methods	31
2.4.1.4	Edge Detection Using Integer / Fractional Order Differentiators	32
2.4.2	Speed Control of <i>DC</i> Motor	33
2.4.2.1	Conventional Controllers	34
2.4.2.2	Controllers Based on Evolutionary Algorithms	36
2.5	Conclusions	37
3.	DESIGN OF NEW INTEGER ORDER DIFFERINTEGRATORS	39
3.1	Introduction	39
3.2	Linear Interpolation Method	40
3.3	Genetic Algorithm Optimization Method	48
3.4	Minimax and Pole, Zero & Constant (<i>PZC</i>) Optimization Method	58
3.5	<i>GA</i> and <i>PZC</i> Optimization Method	69
3.6	Fractional Delay Method	74
3.7	Comparison of Proposed Integer Order Integrators With Existing Ones	82
3.7.1	Frequency Domain Analysis	83
3.7.2	Time Domain Analysis	89
3.8	Comparison of Proposed Integer Order Differentiators With Existing Ones	90
3.8.1	Frequency Domain Analysis	91
3.8.2	Time Domain Analysis	96
3.9	Conclusions	99
4.	DESIGN OF NEW NON- INTEGER (FRACTIONAL) ORDER DIFFERINTEGRATORS	103
4.1	Introduction	103
4.2	Design of <i>HODI</i> Models Using <i>CFE</i> Based Indirect Discretization Method	104

4.2.1	Design of <i>HODI</i> Models Using Existing Integer Order Differentiators	106
4.2.1.1	Design of <i>HODIs</i> Using Gupta-Jain-Kumar 1 Differentiator	106
4.2.1.2	Design of <i>HODIs</i> Using Upadhyay et al. Differentiator	112
4.2.2	<i>HODI</i> Models Based on Discretization by Proposed Integer Order Differentiators	115
4.2.2.1	Design of <i>HODIs</i> Using <i>GA+PZC</i> Optimized First Order Differentiator	115
4.2.2.2	Design of <i>HODIs</i> Using <i>GA+PZC</i> Optimized Second Order Differentiator	119
4.2.2.3	Design of <i>HODIs</i> Using <i>MO+PZC</i> Optimized Second Order Differentiator	123
4.2.2.4	Design of <i>HODIs</i> Using <i>GA+PZC</i> Optimized Third Order Differentiator	126
4.2.2.5	Design of <i>HODIs</i> Using <i>MO+PZC</i> Optimized Third Order Differentiator	130
4.2.2.6	Design of <i>HODIs</i> Using <i>MO+PZC</i> Optimized Fourth Order Differentiator	133
4.3	<i>HODI</i> Models Using Direct Discretization Method	136
4.3.1	<i>HODI</i> Models Based on Discretization by Existing Integer order Integrators	136
4.3.1.1	Design of <i>HODIs</i> Using Gupta-Jain-Kumar 1 Integrator	137
4.3.1.2	Design of <i>HODIs</i> Using Upadhyay et al. Integrator	141
4.3.2	<i>HODI</i> Models Based on Discretization by Proposed Integer Order Integrators	145
4.3.2.1	Design of <i>HODIs</i> Using <i>GA+PZC</i> Optimized First Order Integrator	145
4.3.2.2	Design of <i>HODIs</i> Using <i>GA+PZC</i> Optimized Second Order Integrator	150
4.3.2.3	Design of <i>HODIs</i> Using <i>MO+PZC</i> Optimized Second Order Integrator	154
4.3.2.4	Design of <i>HODIs</i> Using <i>GA+PZC</i> Optimized Third Order Integrator	158
4.3.2.5	Design of <i>HODIs</i> Using <i>MO+PZC</i> Optimized Third Order Integrator	162
4.3.2.6	Design of <i>HODIs</i> Using <i>MO+PZC</i> Optimized Fourth Order Integrator	166

4.4	Comparison Between the Results of Direct and Indirect Discretization Methods	169
4.5	Design of <i>FODI</i> Models Using <i>MO+PZC</i> Optimized Third Order Integrator by Direct Discretization Method	175
4.5.1	<i>FODI</i> Models via <i>CFE</i>	175
4.5.2	<i>FODI</i> Models via <i>TSE</i>	181
4.5.3	<i>FODI</i> Models via <i>RCA</i>	186
4.6	Comparison of Proposed <i>FOIs</i> With Existing Ones	192
4.6.1	Frequency Domain Analysis	192
4.6.2	Time Domain Analysis	195
4.7	Comparison of Proposed <i>FODs</i> With Existing Ones	197
4.7.1	Frequency Domain Analysis	197
4.7.2	Time Domain Analysis	200
4.8	Conclusions	204
5.	APPLICATION OF PROPOSED OPERATORS IN IMAGE PROCESSING AND CONTROL	207
5.1	Introduction	207
5.1.1	Image Edge Detection	207
5.1.2	Proportional - Integral - Derivative (<i>PID</i>) Controller	208
5.2	Application in Edge Detection	209
5.2.1	Analysis of Proposed Integer Order Differentiators for Edge Detection	209
5.2.2	Design and Analysis of New Edge Detection Algorithm	216
5.2.3	Visual and Quantitative Comparison of Proposed Edge Detection Algorithm with Existing Algorithms	223
5.2.4	Hardware Implementation of Proposed Edge Detection Algorithm on <i>DSK TMS320C6713</i>	238
5.3	Application in Control System	242
5.3.1	Design of <i>PID</i> Controllers Using Integer and Fractional Order Differentiators for Speed Control of <i>DC</i> Motor	242
5.3.2	Mathematical Model of <i>DC</i> Motor	243
5.3.3	Design and Analyses of Proposed Integer and Fractional Order <i>PID</i> Controllers	247

5.3.4	Hardware Implementation of Proposed <i>PID</i> Controllers on Hardware Arduino Mega 2560	256
5.4	Conclusions	259
6.	CONCLUSION	261
6.1	General	261
6.2	Main Conclusions	261
6.3	Future scope	266
	REFERENCES	267
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	283
	BIODATA	285

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1: Flow chart for interpolation method.....	42
Figure 3.2: (a) Magnitude response, (b) <i>PARE</i> response and (c) Phase response of designed integrators: $H_{11}(z)$, $H_{12}(z)$, $H_{13}(z)$, $H_{14}(z)$ and $H_{15}(z)$..	44
Figure 3.3: Group delay response of designed integrators; $H_{11}(z)$, $H_{12}(z)$, $H_{13}(z)$, $H_{14}(z)$ and $H_{15}(z)$	45
Figure 3.4: Frequency response of designed differentiators; $D_{11}(z)$, $D_{12}(z)$, $D_{13}(z)$, $D_{14}(z)$ and $D_{15}(z)$	47
Figure 3.5: Flow chart of Genetic Algorithm optimization method	49
Figure 3.6: Frequency response of <i>GA</i> designed first order integrators	53
Figure 3.7: Frequency response of <i>GA</i> designed second order integrators.....	54
Figure 3.8: Frequency response of <i>GA</i> designed third order integrators.....	55
Figure 3.9: Frequency response of <i>GA</i> designed differentiators; $D_{GA1}(z)$, $D_{GA2}(z)$ and $D_{GA3}(z)$	57
Figure 3.10: Flow chart of <i>MO</i> and <i>PZC</i> optimization method.....	58
Figure 3.11: Flow chart of the applied minimax optimization method	59
Figure 3.12: Frequency response of designed integrators; $H_{MO2}(z)$, $H_{MO3}(z)$, $H_{MO4}(z)$, $H_{MO+PZC2}(z)$, $H_{MO+PZC3}(z)$ and $H_{MO+PZC4}(z)$	66
Figure 3.13: Frequency response of designed differentiators; $D_{2MO}(z)$, $D_{3MO}(z)$, $D_{4MO}(z)$, $D_{2MO+PZC}(z)$, $D_{3MO+PZC}(z)$ and $D_{4MO+PZC}(z)$	68
Figure 3.14: Flow chart of <i>GA</i> and <i>PZC</i> optimization method.....	69
Figure 3.15: Frequency response of designed integrators; $H_{GA1}(z)$, $H_{GA2}(z)$, $H_{GA3}(z)$, $H_{GA+PZC1}(z)$, $H_{GA+PZC2}(z)$ and $H_{GA+PZC3}(z)$	71
Figure 3.16: Frequency response of designed differentiators; $D_{GA1}(z)$, $D_{GA2}(z)$, $D_{GA3}(z)$, $D_{GA+PZC1}(z)$, $D_{GA+PZC2}(z)$ and $D_{GA+PZC3}(z)$	73
Figure 3.17: Flow chart of linear programming optimization method for designing integrators with half sample delay.....	77
Figure 3.18: Frequency response of proposed half sample delay integrators; $H_{FD1}(z)$, $H_{FD2}(z)$, $H_{FD3}(z)$ and $H_{FD4}(z)$ and trapezoidal integrator $H_T(z)$	79
Figure 3.19: Frequency response of proposed half sample delay differentiators; $D_{FD1}(z)$, $D_{FD2}(z)$, $D_{FD3}(z)$ and $D_{FD4}(z)$..	81

Figure 3.20: <i>PARE</i> response of (a) Proposed and (b) Existing integer order integrators [14, 18, 34 - 35, 37, 39].....	84
Figure 3.21: Group delay response of (a) Proposed and (b) Existing integer order integrators [14, 18, 34 - 35, 37, 39].....	87
Figure 3.22: Square wave response of (a) Proposed and (b) Existing integer order integrators [14, 18, 34 - 35, 37, 39].....	90
Figure 3.23: <i>PARE</i> response of (a) Proposed and (b) Existing integer order differentiators [14, 33 - 34, 37, 39].....	92
Figure 3.24: Group delay response of (a) Proposed and (b) Existing integer order differentiators [14, 33 - 34, 37, 39].....	95
Figure 3.25: Triangular wave response of (a) Proposed and (b) Existing integer order differentiators [14, 33 - 34, 37, 39].....	97
Figure 3.26: Impulse response of (a) Proposed and (b) Existing integer order differentiators [14, 33 - 34, 37, 39].....	98
Figure 4.1: (a) Magnitude and (b) Phase response of third order Gupta-Jain-Kumar 1 differentiator [34] based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_GJK1_3}(z)$, $H_{0.5_GJK1_5}$	108
Figure 4.2: (a) <i>PARE</i> and (b) Group delay response of third order Gupta-Jain-Kumar 1 differentiator [34] based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_GJK1_3}(z)$ and $H_{0.5_GJK1_5}(z)$	109
Figure 4.3: Frequency response of third order Gupta-Jain-Kumar 1 differentiator [34] based <i>HODs</i> ; $D_{0.5_GJK1_3}(z)$ and $D_{0.5_GJK1_5}(z)$	111
Figure 4.4: Frequency response of second order Upadhyay et al. differentiator [37] based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_US_2}(z)$, $H_{0.5_US_4}(z)$ and $H_{0.5_US_5}(z)$	113
Figure 4.5: Frequency response of second order Upadhyay et al. differentiator [37] based <i>HODs</i> ; $D_{0.5_US_2}(z)$, $D_{0.5_US_4}(z)$ and $D_{0.5_US_5}(z)$	114
Figure 4.6: Frequency response of <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized first order differentiator based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_GA+PZC1_1}(z)$, $H_{0.5_GA+PZC1_2}(z)$, $H_{0.5_GA+PZC1_3}(z)$, $H_{0.5_GA+PZC1_4}(z)$ and $H_{0.5_GA+PZC1_5}(z)$	117
Figure 4.7: Frequency response of <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized first order differentiator based <i>HODs</i> ; $D_{0.5_GA+PZC1_1}(z)$, $D_{0.5_GA+PZC1_2}(z)$, $D_{0.5_GA+PZC1_3}(z)$, $D_{0.5_GA+PZC1_4}(z)$ and $D_{0.5_GA+PZC1_5}(z)$	118
Figure 4.8: Frequency response of <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized second order differentiator based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_GA+PZC2_2}(z)$, $H_{0.5_GA+PZC2_4}(z)$ and $H_{0.5_GA+PZC2_5}(z)$	120
Figure 4.9: Frequency response of <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized second order differentiator based <i>HODs</i> ; $D_{0.5_GA+PZC2_2}(z)$, $D_{0.5_GA+PZC2_4}(z)$ and $D_{0.5_GA+PZC2_5}(z)$	122
Figure 4.10: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized second order differentiator based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_MO+PZC2_2}(z)$, $H_{0.5_MO+PZC2_4}(z)$ and $H_{0.5_MO+PZC2_5}(z)$	124

Figure 4.11: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized second order differentiator based <i>HODs</i> ; $D_{0.5_MO+PZC2_2}(z)$, $D_{0.5_MO+PZC2_4}(z)$ and $D_{0.5_MO+PZC2_5}(z)$	125
Figure 4.12: Frequency response of <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized third order differentiator based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_GA+PZC3_3}(z)$ and $H_{0.5_GA+PZC3_5}(z)$	127
Figure 4.13: Frequency response of <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized third order differentiator based <i>HODs</i> ; $D_{0.5_GA+PZC3_3}(z)$ and $D_{0.5_GA+PZC3_5}(z)$	129
Figure 4.14: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized third order differentiator based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_MO+PZC3_3}(z)$ and $H_{0.5_MO+PZC3_5}(z)$	131
Figure 4.15: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized third order differentiator based <i>HODs</i> ; $D_{0.5_MO+PZC3_3}(z)$ and $D_{0.5_MO+PZC3_5}(z)$	132
Figure 4.16: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized fourth order differentiator based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_MO+PZC4_4}(z)$ and $H_{0.5_MO+PZC4_5}(z)$	134
Figure 4.17: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized fourth order differentiator based <i>HODs</i> ; $D_{0.5_MO+PZC4_4}(z)$ and $D_{0.5_MO+PZC4_5}(z)$	135
Figure 4.18: Frequency response of third order Gupta-Jain-Kumar 1 integrator [34] based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_GJK1_d3}(z)$, $H_{0.5_GJK1_d4}(z)$ and $H_{0.5_GJK1_d5}(z)$	139
Figure 4.19: Frequency response of third order Gupta-Jain-Kumar 1 integrator [34] based <i>HODs</i> ; $D_{0.5_GJK1_d3}(z)$, $D_{0.5_GJK1_d4}(z)$ and $D_{0.5_GJK1_d5}(z)$	140
Figure 4.20: Frequency response of second order Upadhyay et al. integrator [37] based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_US_d2}(z)$, $H_{0.5_US_d3}(z)$, $H_{0.5_US_d4}(z)$ and $H_{0.5_US_d5}(z)$	142
Figure 4.21: Frequency response of second order Upadhyay et al. integrator [37] based <i>HODs</i> ; $D_{0.5_US_d2}(z)$, $D_{0.5_US_d3}(z)$, $D_{0.5_US_d4}(z)$ and $D_{0.5_US_d5}(z)$	144
Figure 4.22: Frequency response of <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized first order integrator based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_GA+PZC1_d1}(z)$, $H_{0.5_GA+PZC1_d2}(z)$, $H_{0.5_GA+PZC1_d3}(z)$, $H_{0.5_GA+PZC1_d4}(z)$ and $H_{0.5_GA+PZC1_d5}(z)$	147
Figure 4.23: Frequency response of <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized first order integrator based <i>HODs</i> ; $D_{0.5_GA+PZC1_d1}(z)$, $D_{0.5_GA+PZC1_d2}(z)$, $D_{0.5_GA+PZC1_d3}(z)$, $D_{0.5_GA+PZC1_d4}(z)$ and $D_{0.5_GA+PZC1_d5}(z)$	149
Figure 4.24: Frequency response of <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized second order integrator based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_GA+PZC2_d2}(z)$, $H_{0.5_GA+PZC2_d3}(z)$, $H_{0.5_GA+PZC2_d4}(z)$ and $H_{0.5_GA+PZC2_d5}(z)$	151
Figure 4.25: Frequency response of <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized second order integrator based <i>HODs</i> ; $D_{0.5_GA+PZC2_d2}(z)$, $D_{0.5_GA+PZC2_d3}(z)$, $D_{0.5_GA+PZC2_d4}(z)$ and $D_{0.5_GA+PZC2_d5}(z)$	153
Figure 4.26: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized second order integrator based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_MO+PZC2_d2}(z)$, $H_{0.5_MO+PZC2_d3}(z)$, $H_{0.5_MO+PZC2_d4}(z)$ and $H_{0.5_MO+PZC2_d5}(z)$	155

Figure 4.27: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized second order integrator based <i>HODs</i> ; $D_{0.5_MO+PZC2_d2}(z)$, $D_{0.5_MO+PZC2_d3}(z)$, $D_{0.5_MO+PZC2_d4}(z)$ and $D_{0.5_MO+PZC2_d5}(z)$	157
Figure 4.28: Frequency response of <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized third order integrator based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_GA+PZC3_d3}(z)$, $H_{0.5_GA+PZC3_d4}(z)$ and $H_{0.5_GA+PZC3_d5}(z)$	159
Figure 4.29: Frequency response of <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized third order integrator based <i>HODs</i> ; $D_{0.5_GA+PZC3_d3}(z)$, $D_{0.5_GA+PZC3_d4}(z)$ and $D_{0.5_GA+PZC3_d5}(z)$	161
Figure 4.30: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized third order integrator based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_MO+PZC3_d3}(z)$, $H_{0.5_MO+PZC3_d4}(z)$ and $H_{0.5_MO+PZC3_d5}(z)$	163
Figure 4.31: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized third order integrator based <i>HODs</i> ; $D_{0.5_MO+PZC3_d3}(z)$, $D_{0.5_MO+PZC3_d4}(z)$ and $D_{0.5_MO+PZC3_d5}(z)$	165
Figure 4.32: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized fourth order integrator based <i>HOIs</i> ; $H_{0.5_MO+PZC4_d4}(z)$ and $H_{0.5_MO+PZC4_d5}(z)$	167
Figure 4.33: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized fourth order integrator based <i>HODs</i> ; $D_{0.5_MO+PZC4_d4}(z)$ and $D_{0.5_MO+PZC4_d5}(z)$	168
Figure 4.34: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized third order integrator based <i>FOIs</i> using <i>CFE</i> ; $H_{PC,0.1}(z)$, $H_{PC,0.2}(z)$, $H_{PC,0.3}(z)$, $H_{PC,0.4}(z)$, $H_{PC,0.5}(z)$, $H_{PC,0.6}(z)$, $H_{PC,0.7}(z)$, $H_{PC,0.8}(z)$ and $H_{PC,0.9}(z)$	178
Figure 4.35: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized third order integrator based <i>FODs</i> using <i>CFE</i> ; $D_{PC,0.1}(z)$, $D_{PC,0.2}(z)$, $D_{PC,0.3}(z)$, $D_{PC,0.4}(z)$, $D_{PC,0.5}(z)$, $D_{PC,0.6}(z)$, $D_{PC,0.7}(z)$, $D_{PC,0.8}(z)$ and $D_{PC,0.9}(z)$	180
Figure 4.36: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized third order integrator based <i>FOIs</i> using <i>TSE</i> ; $H_{PT,0.1}(z)$, $H_{PT,0.2}(z)$, $H_{PT,0.3}(z)$, $H_{PT,0.4}(z)$, $H_{PT,0.5}(z)$, $H_{PT,0.6}(z)$, $H_{PT,0.7}(z)$, $H_{PT,0.8}(z)$ and $H_{PT,0.9}(z)$	184
Figure 4.37: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized third order integrator based <i>FODs</i> using <i>TSE</i> ; $D_{PT,0.1}(z)$, $D_{PT,0.2}(z)$, $D_{PT,0.3}(z)$, $D_{PT,0.4}(z)$, $D_{PT,0.5}(z)$, $D_{PT,0.6}(z)$, $D_{PT,0.7}(z)$, $D_{PT,0.8}(z)$ and $D_{PT,0.9}(z)$	185
Figure 4.38: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized third order integrator based <i>FOIs</i> using <i>RCA</i> ; $H_{PR,0.1}(z)$, $H_{PR,0.2}(z)$, $H_{PR,0.3}(z)$, $H_{PR,0.4}(z)$, $H_{PR,0.5}(z)$, $H_{PR,0.6}(z)$, $H_{PR,0.7}(z)$, $H_{PR,0.8}(z)$ and $H_{PR,0.9}(z)$	188
Figure 4.39: Frequency response of <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized third order integrator based <i>FODs</i> using <i>RCA</i> ; $D_{PR,0.1}(z)$, $D_{PR,0.2}(z)$, $D_{PR,0.3}(z)$, $D_{PR,0.4}(z)$, $D_{PR,0.5}(z)$, $D_{PR,0.6}(z)$, $D_{PR,0.7}(z)$, $D_{PR,0.8}(z)$ and $D_{PR,0.9}(z)$	190
Figure 4.40: (a) <i>PARE</i> and (b) Group delay response of existing <i>FOIs</i> [60, 62 - 64].....	193
Figure 4.41: Square wave response of (a) Proposed <i>RCA</i> based <i>FOIs</i> , (b) Proposed <i>CFE</i> based <i>FOIs</i> and (c) Existing <i>FOIs</i> [60, 62 - 64]	196
Figure 4.42: (a) <i>PARE</i> and (b) Group delay response of existing <i>FODs</i> [60, 61, 63].....	198

Figure 4.43: Triangular wave response of (a) Proposed <i>RCA</i> based <i>FODs</i> , (b) Proposed <i>CFE</i> based <i>FODs</i> and (c) Existing <i>FODs</i> [60, 61, 63]	201
Figure 4.44: Impulse response of (a) Proposed <i>RCA</i> based <i>FODs</i> , (b) Proposed <i>CFE</i> based <i>FODs</i> and (c) Existing <i>FODs</i> [60, 61, 63]	203
Figure 5.1: Flow chart of edge detection algorithm using integer order differentiators.....	209
Figure 5.2: Edge detection of “Lena” image using proposed integer order differentiators; $D_{GA+PZC1}(z)$, $D_{GA+PZC2}(z)$, $D_{MO+PZC2}(z)$, $D_{GA+PZC3}(z)$, $D_{MO+PZC3}(z)$ and $D_{MO+PZC4}(z)$	212
Figure 5.3: Edge detection on noisy “Lena” image using proposed integer order differentiators; $D_{GA+PZC1}(z)$, $D_{GA+PZC2}(z)$, $D_{MO+PZC2}(z)$, $D_{GA+PZC3}(z)$, $D_{MO+PZC3}(z)$ and $D_{MO+PZC4}(z)$	213
Figure 5.4: Edge detection of “factory trawler” image using proposed integer order differentiators; $D_{GA+PZC1}(z)$, $D_{GA+PZC2}(z)$, $D_{MO+PZC2}(z)$, $D_{GA+PZC3}(z)$, $D_{MO+PZC3}(z)$ and $D_{MO+PZC4}(z)$	214
Figure 5.5: Edge detection of noisy “factory trawler” image using proposed integer order differentiators; $D_{GA+PZC1}(z)$, $D_{GA+PZC2}(z)$, $D_{MO+PZC2}(z)$, $D_{GA+PZC3}(z)$, $D_{MO+PZC3}(z)$ and $D_{MO+PZC4}(z)$	215
Figure 5.6: Flow chart of proposed edge detection algorithm.....	217
Figure 5.7: Edge detection of noiseless “Lena” image using different combinations of <i>FOIs</i> $H_{PR,\alpha}(z)$ and <i>FODs</i> $D_{PR,\beta}(z)$ with the condition $\alpha + \beta = 1$	218
Figure 5.8: Edge detection of noisy “Lena” image using different combinations of <i>FOIs</i> $H_{PR,\alpha}(z)$ and <i>FODs</i> $D_{PR,\beta}(z)$ with the condition $\alpha + \beta = 1$	219
Figure 5.9: Edge detection of noiseless “Lena” image using different combinations of <i>FOIs</i> $H_{PC,\alpha}(z)$ and <i>FODs</i> $D_{PC,\beta}(z)$ with the condition $\alpha + \beta = 1$	220
Figure 5.10: Edge detection of noisy “Lena” image using different combinations of <i>FOIs</i> $H_{PC,\alpha}(z)$ and <i>FODs</i> $D_{PC,\beta}(z)$ with the condition $\alpha + \beta = 1$	221
Figure 5.11: Edge detection of (a) noiseless “factory trawler” image using (a) $H_{PR,0.1}(z)$, $D_{PR,0.9}(z)$ and (b) $H_{PC,0.1}(z)$, $D_{PC,0.9}(z)$	222
Figure 5.12: Edge detection of noisy “factory trawler” image using (a) $H_{PR,0.1}(z)$, $D_{PR,0.9}(z)$ and (b) $H_{PC,0.1}(z)$, $D_{PC,0.9}(z)$	222
Figure 5.13: (a) Original test-1 image and (b) Noisy test-1 gray image.....	224
Figure 5.14: Edge detection of noisy test-1 image using proposed fractional order <i>FODIs</i> ; (a) $H_{PR,0.1}(z)$, $D_{PR,0.9}(z)$, (b) $H_{PC,0.1}(z)$, $D_{PC,0.9}(z)$ and integer order differentiator (c) $D_{MO+PZC3}(z)$	225
Figure 5.15: Edge detection of noisy test-1 image using fractional order differentiators; $D_{K,0.25}(z)$ [60] and $D_{K,0.5}(z)$ [60].	225

Figure 5.16: Edge detection of noisy test-1 image by classical operators [67 - 70, 75].....	226
Figure 5.17: Edge detection of noisy test-1 image by existing integer order differentiators; $D_{A3}(z)$ [33], $D_{GJK1}(z)$ [34], $D_{US}(z)$ [37] and $D_{G4}(z)$ [39].....	226
Figure 5.18: (a) Original test-2 image and (b) Noisy gray image	227
Figure 5.19: Edge detection of noisy test-2 image using proposed fractional order <i>FODIs</i> ; (a) $H_{PR,0.1}(z)$, $D_{PR,0.9}(z)$, (b) $H_{PC,0.1}(z)$, $D_{PC,0.9}(z)$ and integer order differentiator (c) $D_{MO+PZC3}(z)$	227
Figure 5.20: Edge detection of noisy test-2 image using fractional order differentiators; $D_{K,0.25}(z)$ [60] and $D_{K,0.5}(z)$ [60].....	228
Figure 5.21: Edge detection of noisy test-2 image by classical operators [67 - 70, 75].....	228
Figure 5.22: Edge detection of noisy test-2 image by existing integer order differentiators; $D_{A3}(z)$ [33], $D_{GJK1}(z)$ [34], $D_{US}(z)$ [37] and $D_{G4}(z)$ [39].....	229
Figure 5.23: (a) Synthetic image, (b) Noisy image and (c) True edge image	230
Figure 5.24: Edge detection of noisy synthetic image using different combinations of <i>FOIs</i> $H_{PR,\alpha}(z)$ and <i>FODs</i> $D_{PR,\beta}(z)$ with the condition $\alpha + \beta = 1$	231
Figure 5.25: Edge detection of noisy synthetic image using different combinations of <i>FOIs</i> $H_{PC,\alpha}(z)$ and <i>FODs</i> $D_{PC,\beta}(z)$ with the condition $\alpha + \beta = 1$	232
Figure 5.26: Edge detection of noisy synthetic image by classical operators [67 - 70, 75].	233
Figure 5.27: Edge detection of noisy synthetic image by integer order differentiators proposed; $D_{GA+PZC1}(z)$, $D_{GA+PZC2}(z)$, $D_{MO+PZC2}(z)$, $D_{GA+PZC3}(z)$, $D_{MO+PZC3}(z)$, $D_{MO+PZC4}(z)$ and existing; $D_{A3}(z)$ [33], $D_{GJK1}(z)$ [34], $D_{US}(z)$ [37] and $D_{G4}(z)$ [39].....	234
Figure 5.28: Edge detection of noisy synthetic image using fractional order differentiators $D_{K,0.25}(z)$ [60] and $D_{K,0.5}(z)$ [60].....	235
Figure 5.29: Edge detection of noisy synthetic image using proposed edge detection algorithm on existing <i>FODIs</i> ; $H_{K,0.5}(z)$, $D_{K,0.5}(z)$ [60] and $H_{YG,0.5}(z)$, $D_{YG,0.5}(z)$ [63].....	235
Figure 5.30: Block diagram of <i>DSK</i> TMS320C6713 [134]	239
Figure 5.31: MATLAB Simulink and <i>CCS</i> Link.	239
Figure 5.32: (a) TMS320C6713 <i>DSK</i> board and (b), (c) Responses.....	241
Figure 5.33: Block diagram of closed loop control system using <i>PID</i> controller	243
Figure 5.34: Equivalent circuit of <i>DC</i> series motor	244
Figure 5.35: <i>DC</i> motor speed control system block diagram.....	248

Figure 5.36: Step response of proposed integer order <i>PID</i> controllers.....	250
Figure 5.37: Step response of proposed fractional order <i>PID</i> controllers using $H_{PC,\alpha}(z)$ and $D_{PC,\beta}(z)$	251
Figure 5.38: Step response of proposed fractional order <i>PID</i> controllers using $H_{PR,\alpha}(z)$ and $D_{PR,\beta}(z)$	251
Figure 5.39: Step response of existing integer [34, 37, 39] and fractional order differin- tegrators [60, 63] based <i>PID</i> controllers.....	255
Figure 5.40: Arduino Mega 2560 board [136 - 137]	256
Figure 5.41: Hardware setup of <i>DC</i> motor speed control.....	257
Figure 5.42: Block diagram of MATLAB Simulink and Arduino 2560 Link.....	257
Figure 5.43: Block diagram of a subsystem on Simulink.....	258
Figure 5.44: Results of hardware implementation.....	259

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: Transfer functions of new integrators using interpolation method.....	43
Table 3.2: <i>GA</i> specifications.....	51
Table 3.3: Coefficients of designed first order integrators using <i>GA</i>	51
Table 3.4: Coefficients of designed second order integrators using <i>GA</i>	51
Table 3.5: Coefficients of designed third order integrators using <i>GA</i>	52
Table 3.6: Coefficients for minimax optimized second order integrators	61
Table 3.7: Coefficients for minimax optimized third order integrators... ..	62
Table 3.8: Coefficients for minimax optimized fourth order integrators	62
Table 3.9: Proposed integrators using fractional delay method.....	75
Table 3.10: Constraint for fractional delay method.....	76
Table 3.11: Comparison of proposed integer order integrators with existing ones [14, 18, 34 - 35, 37, 39] in terms of <i>PARE</i> response	85
Table 3.12: Comparison of proposed integer order integrators with existing ones [14, 18, 34 - 35, 37, 39] in terms of group delay response	88
Table 3.13: Comparison of proposed integer order differentiators with existing ones [14, 33 - 34, 37, 39] in terms of <i>PARE</i> response	93
Table 3.14: Comparison of proposed integer order differentiators with existing ones [14, 33 - 34, 37, 39] in terms of group delay response.....	94
Table 4.1: Rational approximation of $(s)^{\pm 0.5}$ in <i>s</i> - domain	106
Table 4.2: Gupta-Jain-Kumar 1 differentiator [34] based <i>HOIs</i>	107
Table 4.3: Upadhyay et al. differentiator [37] based <i>HOIs</i>	112
Table 4.4: <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized first order differentiator based <i>HOIs</i>	116
Table 4.5: <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized second order differentiator based <i>HOIs</i>	119
Table 4.6: <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized second order differentiator based <i>HOIs</i>	123
Table 4.7: <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized third order differentiator based <i>HOIs</i>	126
Table 4.8: <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized third order differentiator based <i>HOIs</i>	130
Table 4.9: <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized fourth order differentiator based <i>HOIs</i>	133
Table 4.10: Gupta-Jain-Kumar 1 integrator [34] based <i>HOIs</i>	138

Table 4.11: Upadhyay et al. integrator [37] based <i>HOIs</i>	141
Table 4.12: <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized first order integrator based <i>HOIs</i>	146
Table 4.13: <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized second order integrator based <i>HOIs</i>	150
Table 4.14: <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized second order integrator based <i>HOIs</i>	154
Table 4.15: <i>GA+PZC</i> optimized third order integrator based <i>HOIs</i>	158
Table 4.16: <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized third order integrator based <i>HOIs</i>	162
Table 4.17: <i>MO+PZC</i> optimized fourth order integrator based <i>HOIs</i>	166
Table 4.18: Comparison of the designed first order (a) <i>HOIs</i> and (b) <i>HODs</i>	169
Table 4.19: Comparison of the designed second order (a) <i>HOIs</i> and (b) <i>HODs</i>	170
Table 4.20: Comparison of the designed third order (a) <i>HOIs</i> and (b) <i>HODs</i>	171
Table 4.21: Comparison of the designed fourth order (a) <i>HOIs</i> and (b) <i>HODs</i>	172
Table 4.22: Comparison of the designed fifth order (a) <i>HOIs</i> and (b) <i>HODs</i>	173
Table 4.23: Proposed <i>CFE</i> based <i>FOIs</i> (a) Unstable and (b) Stable	176
Table 4.24: Proposed <i>TSE</i> based <i>FOIs</i>	182
Table 4.25: Proposed <i>RCA</i> based <i>FOIs</i>	187
Table 4.26: Comparison of proposed <i>FOIs</i> with existing ones [60, 62 - 64].	194
Table 4.27: Comparison of proposed <i>FODs</i> with existing ones [60, 61, 63].	199
Table 5.1: Comparison of <i>RMSE</i> for edge detection of noisy synthetic image.....	236
Table 5.2: Execution time of edge detection algorithms on noisy synthetic image	237
Table 5.3: <i>DC</i> motor specifications.....	246
Table 5.4: <i>GA</i> parameters for design of <i>PID</i> controllers.....	250
Table 5.5: Comparison of integer and fractional order <i>PID</i> controllers.....	253

LIST OF SYMBOLS

J	$\sqrt{-1}$
ω	Angular frequency in radians
ω_m	Angular Velocity
I	Armature Current
L	Armature Inductance
R	Armature Resistance
E	Back emf (Electromotive Force)
$e(t)$	Control Error, equals to $r(t) - y(t)$
$u(t)$	Control signal generated by controller
p_c	Crossover Probability
V	DC Source Voltage
K_d	Derivative Gain
τ_e	Electrical Time Constant
T_e	Electrical Torque
k_e	Electromotive Force Constant
D	Fractional Delay
$PI^\alpha D^\beta$	Fractional Order Controller
${}_a D_t^\alpha$	Fractional Order Operator, a and t are limits and α is the order of operation
k_f	Friction Constant
$\Gamma(x)$	Gamma Function of x
G _x , G _y	Gradients
$\tau_g(\omega)$	Group delay
$(s)^{\pm\alpha}$	Ideal fractional order differintegrator

$(s)^{\pm 1}$	Ideal integer order differintegrator
K_i	Integral Gain
γ	Interpolation Ratio ($0 < \gamma < 1$)
C_L	Length of the chromosome
T_L	Mechanical Load
τ_m	Mechanical Time Constant
J	Moment of Inertia
$y(t)$	Motor Output
p_M	Mutation Probability
N	Order of the integrator
P	Population Size
K_p	Proportional Gain
R	Radius of unstable pole in any transfer function
$r(t)$	Reference/ Set point
T	Sampling Period
k_t	Torque Constant
μ	Value of maximum <i>PARE</i>

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<i>ASP</i>	Analog signal processing
<i>A/D</i>	Analog to Digital
<i>CCS</i>	Code Composer Studio
<i>CFE</i>	Continued Fraction Expansion
<i>CPLD</i>	Complex Programmable Logic Device
<i>DSP</i>	Digital signal processing
<i>DSPs</i>	Digital Signal Processors
<i>D/A</i>	Digital to Analog
<i>DC</i>	Direct Current
<i>DSK</i>	DSP Starter Kit
<i>DIP</i>	Dual in - Line Package
<i>DRAM</i>	Dynamic Random Access Memory
<i>ECG</i>	Electrocardiogram
<i>EEG</i>	Electroencephalogram
<i>EMF</i>	Electromotive Force
<i>FIR</i>	Finite Impulse Response
<i>FODs</i>	Fractional Order Differentiators
<i>FODIs</i>	Fractional Order Differintegrators
<i>FOIs</i>	Fractional Order Integrators
<i>GA</i>	Genetic Algorithm
<i>HODs</i>	Half Order Differentiators
<i>HODIs</i>	Half Order Differintegrators
<i>HOIs</i>	Half Order Integrators
<i>IIR</i>	Infinite Impulse Response

<i>ITAE</i>	Integral of the Time Absolute Error
<i>IP</i>	Integral – Proportional
<i>ICs</i>	Integrated Circuits
<i>IDE</i>	Integrated Development Environment
<i>JTAG</i>	Joint Test Action Group
<i>LT</i>	Laplace Transform
<i>LOG</i>	Laplacian of Gaussian
<i>LEDs</i>	Light Emitting Diodes
<i>MO</i>	Minimax Optimization
<i>NI</i>	National Instruments
<i>PARE</i>	Percentage Absolute Relative Error
<i>PIC</i>	Peripheral Interface Controller
<i>PZC</i>	Pole, Zero & Constant
<i>P</i>	Proportional
<i>PI</i>	Proportional – Integral
<i>PID</i>	Proportional - Integral – Derivative
<i>RCA</i>	Rational Chebyshev Approximation
<i>RTDX</i>	Real Time Data Exchange
<i>RMSE</i>	Root Mean Square Error
<i>SNR</i>	Signal to Noise Ratio
<i>TSE</i>	Taylor’s Series Expansion
<i>TI</i>	Texas Instruments
<i>USB</i>	Universal Serial Bus