

**STUDIES ON THE BLENDS OF
POLYAMIDE6/SEBS-g-MA COPOLYMER AND
THEIR NANOTALC REINFORCED COMPOSITES**

HEMLATA



CENTRE FOR POLYMER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

OCTOBER 2013

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2013

**STUDIES ON THE BLENDS OF
POLYAMIDE6/SEBS-g-MA COPOLYMER AND
THEIR NANOTALC REINFORCED
COMPOSITES**

by

HEMLATA

Centre for Polymer Science and Engineering

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

to the



Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

October 2013

Dedicated

To

My Husband, Prabhat

My brother, Brijesh

&

Parents

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**STUDIES ON THE BLENDS OF POLYAMIDE6/SEBS-g-MA COPOLYMER AND THEIR NANOTALC REINFORCED COMPOSITES**” being submitted by Ms. Hemlata to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** in the Centre for Polymer Science and Engineering, is a record of bonafide research work carried out by her. Ms. Hemlata has worked under my guidance and supervision and has fulfilled the requirements for the submission of this thesis.

The results contained in this thesis are original and have not been submitted in part or full, to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Date: October 2013

Prof. Dr. S. N. Maiti

Centre for Polymer Science and Engineering,
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

ABSTRACT

PA6 is an important semicrystalline thermoplastic which finds wide range of end uses such as in aerospace, automotive, communication and commodity products due to its attractive combination of good processability, high tensile properties and chemical resistance. PA6 is a pseudo-ductile polymer with high crack initiation energy and low crack propagation resistance in the presence of notch which limits its end use applications. In order to overcome its poor notched impact strength PA6 is blended with various elastomers. Blending with SEBS-g-MA could enhance the impact strength of PA6, but strength and stiffness characteristics decreased to a significant extent. A new approach in the field of polymer nanocomposites based on binary blends has been directed toward improving the balance level of toughness-to-stiffness that can be achieved by the addition of nanofillers.

Blends of PA6/SEBS-g-MA with 5 to 50 phr concentrations (volume fraction, $\Phi_d=0$ to 0.38) of SEBS-g-MA were prepared by melt-compounding using co-rotating twin screw extruder. Morphology of etched surfaces of the injection molded samples were observed on a scanning electron microscope (SEM). Particle size of the dispersed phase decreased with increasing SEBS-g-MA concentrations due to reactive compatibilization. Mechanical properties of the blends were investigated by tensile and impact tests. Tensile modulus and strength gradually decreased while elongation at break and impact strength increased with SEBS-g-MA concentrations. At 20 phr impact strength increased 7.8 folds as compared to that of neat PA6. However, the samples did not break at 35 and 50 phr indicating super toughening of the blend. Various theoretical models have been used to correlate tensile modulus, tensile strength and elongation at break with experimental data. Wu's theory has been used to correlate impact strength with matrix ligament thickness.

The effects of SEBS-g-MA on the melt rheological behaviour of PA6/SEBS-g-MA blends were investigated by means of capillary and parallel plate rheometers. Melt viscosity obtained by capillary rheometer for the blends increased with the increase in Φ_d compared to that of neat PA6 in the shear rate range investigated implying higher interfacial adhesion between the two phases which stiffens the melt systems. Shear stress-shear rate variations obeyed power law behaviour and shear thinning was exhibited by the systems. The power law coefficient decreased up to $\Phi_d = 0.30$ and slightly increased at $\Phi_d = 0.38$ while opposite trend was observed for the flow consistency index (K). The elastic modulus obtained by dynamic frequency sweep measurements increases with increasing frequency and SEBS-g-MA content implying less time available for molecular relaxation. The same relationship exists for the viscous modulus suggesting higher energy required for the viscous response with increasing test frequency and SEBS-g-MA content for all the blends. The complex viscosity increased with the Φ_d as compared to neat PA6. These results were also confirmed by lowering in $\tan \delta$ peak with increase in Φ_d implying less energy dissipated by the blend systems. Results obtained by Van Gorp plot suggested that SEBS-g-MA at $\Phi_d > 0.11$ restricts the chain mobility of PA6.

The non-isothermal crystallization kinetics of neat PA6 and binary blends of PA6/SEBS-g-MA were investigated by means of differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) at four different cooling rates. Three macro kinetic models, viz. Avrami, Jeziorny and Tobin, were used to describe the non-isothermal crystallization kinetics. Primary and secondary crystallization were analyzed by Avrami equation. The results obtained by Avrami equation suggested that under non-isothermal condition, the mechanism of primary crystallization is more complex, while secondary crystallization showed one to three dimensional crystal growths. Tobin model described the overall crystallization kinetics and results were almost similar to those of

Avrami model. The results obtained by Dobrova and Gutzowa method suggested that SEBS-g-MA did not act as a nucleating agent for PA6. Three isokinetic models (Augis-Bennet, Kissinger and Takhore) have been used for the evaluation of the activation energy of non-isothermal crystallization kinetics process. The value of activation energy ΔE slightly increases in the presence of 5, 10, 20 phr content of SEBS-g-MA and then decreases with at 35 and 50 phr contents of SEBS-g-MA. These results showed that up to 20 phr, SEBS-g-MA hinders the mobility of PA6 chain segments and at 35 and 50 phr SEBS-g-MA eases the mobility of PA6 chain segments.

PA6/SEBS-g-MA (100/20) blend was chosen for further studies on the basis of balance level of stiffness and toughness. Nanotalc was incorporated in to blend matrix to improve the tensile modulus and strength reduced by the incorporation of SEBS-g-MA in to PA6.

Ternary nanocomposites based on PA6/SEBS-g-MA (100/20) blends with varying content of nanotalc (1, 3 and 5 wt %) were prepared. The morphology of nanocomposites using wide angle X-ray diffraction (WAXD) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) revealed the delamination of talc layers in the ternary nanocomposites. DSC results revealed that double melting endothermic peaks remain intact in presence of nanotalc. The mechanical properties of PA6/SEBS-g-MA nanocomposites were evaluated by tensile, flexural and impact tests. The tensile and flexural moduli and strengths continuously increased while izod impact strength and elongation-at-break decreased with increase in nanotalc content. Theoretical predictive models were used to correlate tensile modulus with the experimental data. The experimental data show positive deviation with the applied models. Bela Pukanszky model has been used to calculate the reinforcement parameter B by employing tensile strength data. The melt rheological behaviour of PA6/SEBS-g-MA blend and PA6/SEBS-g-MA/nanotalc composites were analyzed at low and high shear rates. Shear stress of the melt system increases with the incorporation of the talc due to polymer-filler interaction. Melt viscosity of

the nanocomposites also increases due to polymer-filler interaction. The power law index values, n are lower than unity and the parameter increased marginally with nanotalc content which implies a degree of flow inhibition on addition of nanotalc, the inhibition enhances with talc concentration. Flow consistent index, K increases with the addition of nanotalc content.

The storage and loss modulus of the nanocomposites significantly increased with the addition of nanotalc. The nonterminal behaviour of nanocomposites observed at low frequency region implying phase adhesion between nanotalc, PA6 and SEBS-g-MA leads to network like silicate structure which restricts the mobility of polymer chains. The complex viscosity of ternary nanocomposites increases relative to that of the blend matrix suggesting intercalated/exfoliated nanocomposite structure giving rise to confinement of polymer chains which restrict the melt flow. The $\tan \delta$ of nanocomposites decreases due to enhanced elasticity in presence of nanotalc. The restriction in chain mobility of nanocomposites by the addition of nanotalc has been confirmed by the Van-Gurp plot.

The nonisothermal crystallization behaviour of PA6/SEBS-g-MA and PA6/SEBS-g-MA/nanotalc composites were studied by differential scanning calorimetry. The classical Avrami, Tobin and Jeziorny models were employed to analyze the nonisothermal crystallization kinetics process. The Avrami model is used to describe primary, secondary and overall crystallization process. In primary crystallization the dimensions of crystal growth reduces with the addition of nanotalc, while the growth in secondary crystallization was two, three and multidimensional. The Avrami model provides a satisfactory result to describe the nonisothermal crystallization kinetics of the nanocomposites. The results obtained by Tobin model to analyze overall crystallization kinetics were almost similar to that of Avrami model. The modified rate constant and half life of crystallization obtained by Jeziorny equation showed increasing trend with nanotalc content and cooling rate. Dobрева and Gutzowa

method suggested that nanotalc acts as an effective nucleating agent for PA6/SEBS-g-MA blend. Three isokinetic methods e.g. Augis-Bennet, Kissinger and Takhore, have been used to analyze the activation energy of crystallization. The values of activation energy decreases at 1wt % of nanotalc, the parameter then increases for 3 and 5 wt % of nanotalc remaining lower than that of PA6/SEBS-g-MA blends at 1 and 3 wt % of nanotalc while the values was slightly higher at 5 wt% of nanotalc.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

During last 5 years I have realized that a good support system is necessary to carry out the entire research work. First and foremost I will forever be thankful to my supervisor Prof. Dr. S. N. Maiti for providing me guidance, knowledge, insight and direction for my research work. He always gives me encouragement and inspiration whenever I feel depressed from the very 1st day. I learnt a great deal from interacting with him. I wish to thank him for his patience with me.

I would like to extend my heartfelt gratitude to my research committee members prof. A K Ghosh, Dr Josemon Jacob and Prof Harpal Singh. I am also thankful to Prof Veena Choudhary, head of CPSE and Dr Bhabani Sathpathy who are always my well wisher and are very much concerned about me.

Above all it would not have been possible to write this doctoral thesis without immense care and personal support of my husband Mr. Prabhat Kumar working as executive chemist in NTPC Ltd. who always stands with me in every difficulty and problems. He always encourages me whenever I am doing mistakes and suggests to develop thinking power for solutions.

I wish to thank all the staff members of CPSE and Department of Textile technology, especially to Mr. Surendra Sharma, Mr Ashok Kapur, Mr Amarjeet.

I would like to offer my gracious thanks to Prof. S N Maiti, Prof. A. K. ghosh, Prof B. L. Deopura and Dr. Josemon Jacob for teaching me courses like polymer testing, polymer processing and rheology, polymer characterization during my course work. My special thanks to Mr. V Ramkrishnan of tech nano materials, Mumbai for providing me nanotalc material for preparing nanocomposites and Prof. Mittal from IIT Kharagpur for facilitating me in TEM experiments. My rich thanks to

Mr. Sharma who helped me a lot in the scanning of samples in scanning Electron Microscope very effectively. I am very glad to express thanks to my friends like Saranaya, Jena Marry Augustin, Sananda Nag Choudhary, Sanjeev Kumar, Ankur Singh and Sandeep Nath Tripathi. With them I shared my thoughts, discussed about various issues, joy, sorrows. They always gave me valuable suggestion and important feedback and sometimes criticize me to give me perfection in the work. I thank my senior Mr. Dilip Kumar Kolluri, and Prakashan K. for their intangible help.

Last but not least it is unfair if I will not include my parents, sisters and brothers for their constant support, endless love and prayers for me. Whenever I need my family members always gave me emotional support to keep me calm.

I wish to acknowledge Council of Science and Industrial Research for providing funding and fellowship for carrying out this research work and also Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for excellent library and computer facilities which have been indispensable. At last I am deeply indebted and thanks to the almighty God for giving me courage and escaping me from various obstacles.

HEMLATA

Table of Contents

Title	Page No.
Acknowledgements	i-ii
Abstract	iii-vii
List of Figures	viii-xiii
List of Tables	xiv-xvi
List of Abbreviations	xvii-xx
CHAPTER1 INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE SURVEY	1-50
1. Introduction	1
1.1. Classification of polymer blends	2
1.1.1 Thermodynamics of polymer blends	2
1.1.2 Types of polymer blends	3
1.1.3 Compatibilization of immiscible blend	5
1.1.4. Strategies for blend compatibilization	5
1.1.5. Reactive polymer blending	5
1.2. Toughening of semicrystalline polymers	6
1.3. Polyamide 6	8
1.3.1. Toughening of PA6: Literature survey	8
1.4. SEBS-g-MA	17
1.5. Polymer nanocomposite	20
1.5.1 Polyamide 6 nanocomposites: Literature survey	25
1.5.2. Ternary nanocomposites	30
1.6. Summary of literature survey	34
1.7. Objective of the present work	34
1.8. Scope of the work	35

1.9. Novelty of the work	35
1.10. Plan of the work	36
1.10.1 Blends	36
1.10.2. Nanotalc reinforced composites	36
References	38
CHAPTER 2 EXPERIMENTAL	51-63
2. Introduction	51
2.1. Materials	51
2.1.1. PA6	51
2.1.2. SEBS-g-MA	51
2.1.3. Nanotalc	51
2.2. Preparation of blends and nanocomposites	52
2.2.1. Composition of blends and nanocomposites	52
2.2.2. Preparation of the test specimens	54
2.2.2.1. Melt compounding	54
2.2.2.2. Injection Molding	54
2.2.2.3. Compression Molding	54
2.3. Measurements and analysis procedure	55
2.3.1. Mechanical Properties	55
2.3.1.1. Tensile Properties	55
2.3.1.2. Flexural Properties	56
2.3.1.3. Izod Impact Test	57
2.3.2. Thermal Properties	57

2.3.2.1. Differential scanning calorimetry	57
2.3.2.2. Thermogravimetric Analysis	58
2.3.2.3. Dynamic mechanical analysis	59
2.3.3. FTIR studies	59
2.3.4. Wide angle X-ray diffraction	60
2.3.5. Morphological Studies	60
2.3.5.1. Scanning Electron Microscopy	60
2.3.5.2. Transmission electron microscopy	61
2.3.6. Rheological measurements	61
2.3.6.1. Capillary rheometric studies	61
2.3.6.2. Dynamic rheological measurements	62
References	63

CHAPTER 3 MORPHOLOGY, MECHANICAL, THERMAL AND RHEOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF PA6/SEBS-g-MA BLENDS **64-105**

3. Introduction	64
3.1. FTIR Analysis	64
3.2. Thermogravimetric Analysis	67
3.3. DSC	68
3.4. Dynamic Mechanical Analysis	70
3.5. Tensile properties	73
3.5.1. Tensile Modulus	73
3.5.2. Tensile Strength	76
3.5.3. Elongation-at-break	79

3.6. Impact resistance	81
3.7. Flexural Properties	81
3.8. Morphology	82
3.8.1. Particle size distribution	83
3.8.1.1. Definition of particle size distribution	83
3.9. Matrix ligament thickness	86
3.10. Melt Rheological Properties	89
3.10.1. Capillary rheology	89
3.10.1.1. Shear stress-shear rate variations	89
3.10.1.2. Apparent melt viscosity	91
3.10.2. Dynamic rheology	92
3.10.2.1. Dynamic strain sweep	92
3.10.2.2. Van Gorp-Palmen plot	97
3.11. Conclusions	99
References	102

CHAPTER 4: NONISOTHERMAL CRYSTALLIZATION KINETICS OF PA6 AND PA6/SEBS-g-MA BLENDS

106-131

4. Introduction	106
4.1. Nonisothermal crystallization behavior of PA6 and PA6/SEBS-g-MA blends	106
4.2. Avrami Analysis	112
4.3. Jeziorny Correction	118
4.4. Tobin Analysis	120

4.5. Crystallization Nucleation	123
4.6. Activation Energy for Crystallization	125
4.7. Conclusions	128
References	130

CHAPTER 5 MECHANICAL, MORPHOLOGICAL, THERMAL AND RHEOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF NANOTALC REINFORCED PA6/SEBS-g-MA COMPOSITES **132-166**

5. Introduction	132
5.1. Thermogravimetric analysis	132
5.2. WAXD	134
5.3. DSC	136
5.4. Dynamic mechanical analysis	137
5.5. TEM Analysis	140
5.6. Prediction of nanotalc localization	141
5.7. Mechanical properties	142
5.7.1. Tensile properties	142
5.7.2. Impact strength and Elongation-at-break	145
5.8. Flexural properties	145
5.9. Theoretical modeling	146
5.9.1. Tensile modulus	146
5.9.1.1. Mooney Model	146
5.9.1.2. Halpin-Tsai model	147
5.9.2. Tensile strength	148
5.9.2.1. Pukanszky model	148
5.10. Rheological properties	150

5.10.1. Capillary rheology	151
5.10.1.1. Shear stress-Shear rate curves	151
5.10.1.2. Apparent Melt Viscosity	152
5.10.2. Parallel plate rheology	154
5.11. Conclusions	160
References	163

CHAPTER 6 EFFECT OF NANOTALC ON NONISOTHERMAL CRYSTALLIZATION KINETICS OF TOUGHENED PA6/SEBS-g-MA NANOCOMPOSITES 167-191

6. Introduction	167
6.1. Nonisothermal crystallization behaviour of PA6/SEBS-g-MA/nanotalc composites	167
6.2. Avrami model	172
6.3. Jeziorny correction	178
6.4. Tobin analysis	179
6.5. Nucleation of crystallization	183
6.6. Activation energy	186
6.7. Conclusions	188
References	190

CHAPTER 7 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS 192-199

7.1. Summary and conclusions based on the studies	192
7.1.1. Morphology, mechanical, thermal and rheological properties of PA6/SEBS-g-MA blends	192
7.1.2. Nonisothermal crystallization kinetics of	

PA6 and PA6/SEBS-g-MA blends	194
7.1.3. Mechanical, morphological and thermal and rheological properties of nanotalc reinforced PA6/SEBS-g-MA composites	195
7.1.4. Effect of nanotalc on nonisothermal crystallization kinetics of toughened PA6/SEBS-g-MA nanocomposites	196
7.2. Overall conclusions	197
7.3. Recommendation for future study	198
7.4. Probable applications of toughened PA6 and its nanocomposites	198

List of Publications

Resume
