

HEAT AND MASS TRANSFER STUDIES IN A NOVEL DEVICE COILED FLOW INVERTER

JOGENDER SINGH



**DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
NEW DELHI – 110 016, INDIA**

February 2016

HEAT AND MASS TRANSFER STUDIES IN A NOVEL DEVICE COILED FLOW INVERTER

by

JOGENDER SINGH

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

*Submitted In Fulfillment Of
the Requirements of the Degree Of*

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

to the



DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

NEW DELHI – 110 016, INDIA

February, 2016

DEDICATION

To my beloved mother, brother and sisters,

To my dear wife,

And to the soul of my dear father.

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, '*Heat and Mass Transfer Studies in a Novel Device Coiled Flow Inverter*' being submitted by **Mr. Jogender Singh** to the Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi for award of Doctor of Philosophy is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him under my guidance and supervision in conformity with the rules and regulations of Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi.

The research report and results presented in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or full, to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

(K. D. P. Nigam)

Professor

Department of Chemical Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi

New Delhi-110016

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Thanks to the God of the universe and all that exists; for his help, guidance and blessing. It would not have been possible to write this doctoral thesis without the help and support of the kind people around me, to only some of whom it is possible to give particular mention here.

This thesis would not have been possible without the help and support of my supervisor, **Professor K. D. P. Nigam**, not to mention his keen interest, advice, and kind support. I have been amazingly fortunate to have an advisor who gave me the freedom to explore on my own, and at the same time the guidance to recover when my steps faltered. He is a teacher par-excellence. Professor K. D. P. Nigam taught me to question, thoughts and express ideas. His patience and support helped me overcome many crisis situations and finish this dissertation. I shall be indebted to him forever for inculcating within me the qualities of confidence, perseverance and a sense of commitment towards work.

I am profoundly thankful to the Heads of Chemical Engineering Professor Rajesh Khanna, Professor Suddhasatwa Basu, and Professor Ashok N. Bhaskarwar, for providing me with all the necessary laboratory facilities during my tenure.

I am indebted to my student research committee members, Professor Suddhasatwa Basu, Professor Ratan Mohan, Professor Sanjeev Jain and Professor K. D. P. Nigam for their insightful comments and constructive suggestions at different stages of my research.

I am extremely grateful to Professor A. K. Gupta for his encouragement and invaluable guidance on both, the academic and personal level. He has been always there for the long discussions that helped me to sort out the technical details of my work.

Professor Norbert Kockmann: I thank you for scientific discussions, comments and reviews on the micro coiled flow heat exchanger work.

I would like to express my deep and special appreciation to all staff members of the Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi. I am especially grateful to Mr. Vijay Pal Singh, Mr. N.K. Gupta, Mr. Vishesh Kumar and Mr. Suchit Pal for their help and assistance in laboratory.

I would like to acknowledge Dr. Arnab Atta, Dr. Monisha Mridha Mondal, Dr. Vimal Kumar, Mrs. Garima Chauhan, Mr. Vabhaiv Shrivastava, Mr. Vikrant Verma, Miss. Neha Chaudhary and Mr. Nitin Sethiya for numerous discussions on related topics that helped me to improve my knowledge in the area.

Many friends have helped me to stay sane through the difficult time. Their support and care helped me to overcome of the setbacks and stay focused on my graduate study. I would like to personally thank some of my friend's Miss. Prasanta, Miss. Arunima Shukla, Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Mr. Deepak Sharma and Mr. Rajat Gupta for their numerous help during my Ph.D. work. I greatly value their friendship and deeply appreciate their belief in me.

I would like to thank my mother Mrs. Kamlesh Devi, younger brother Mr. Ravindra Singh, younger sisters Mrs. Latesh and Mrs. Aasha, brother in law Mr. Pradeep Kumar and Mr. Amit Kumar and my dear wife Mrs. Nidhi Rani, for their continuous support and encouragement with their best wishes. I would also like to thank my father in law Mr. Babu Ram Singh and Mother in law Mrs. Shashi Prabha for their adorable love and support.

Finally, I would like to thank to the soul of my great father Late Shri Kunwer Pal Singh. My father's vision of the life has inspired me to reach the highest academic degree. He was always there cheering me up and stood by me through the good and bad times. May his soul rest in peace.

(JOGENDER SINGH)

ABSTRACT

The process intensification of mixing, heat and mass transfer process is the subject of much intensive research work. The term process intensification refers to a set of innovative principles in process and equipment design, which can bring significant advancement in terms of process efficiency, safety and cost. Process equipment designs must satisfy several criteria such as; the equipment must be compact in size and have high efficiency with low energy losses. It is becoming increasingly evident that the complex nature of the primary and secondary flow patterns due to centrifugal forces acting on fluid flowing in coiled tube gives some advantages over straight tubes. Some characteristic features of the flow in curved tube are lower axial dispersion, better radial mixing, heat transfer and mass transfer. Because of the above advantages, coiled tubes are frequently encountered in practical applications, such as heat exchangers, chemical reactors and piping systems. The different curved geometries including T-bend, U-bend, spiral coil and helical coil have been considered, to utilize lower axial dispersion, better radial mixing and augmented heat and mass transfer coefficients.

A novel device coiled flow inverter (CFI) offers multiple flow inversion, in addition to the secondary flow regime and hence significantly augments the radial mixing and heat and mass transfer as compared to the other curved geometries. Kumar et al. (2007b) shows that, 8-banks coiled flow inverter heat exchanger (CFIHE) augments the heat transfer coefficient by 30% as compared to the helical coil tube. In the present study, the heat transfer area of CFIHE has been optimized experimentally for the possible intensification and more compact size of the CFIHE. The new experimental facility of 4-banks ($A_t = 0.88 \text{ m}^2$), 2-banks ($A_t = 0.44 \text{ m}^2$) and 1-bank ($A_t = 0.22 \text{ m}^2$) CFIHE's have been installed within the existing pilot plant of 8 banks ($A_t = 1.76 \text{ m}^2$) CFIHE. The experiments were carried-out in counter-flow configurations using hot fluid in the tube and cold fluid in shell side of the CFIHE. The tube side flow rate of water and air were varied over extensive ranges of 50 – 300 kg/h and 30 – 100 kg/h, respectively. The shell side flow rate of water and air were varied from 100 – 2000 kg/h and 400 – 750 kg/h, respectively. The performance of CFIHE was also compared with shell and tube heat exchanger (SHE) and plate type heat exchanger (PHE) under identical heat transfer area and process conditions.

The intensification in heat exchangers can be achieved, either by improving hydrodynamics or thermal conductivity of the fluid itself. The geometry perturbation is well established technology to improve the fluid phenomena. However, the lower thermal conductivity of conventional fluids viz. water, oil, and ethylene glycol mixture is a serious limitation for the performance and compactness of heat exchangers. A careful literature review reveals that, only a few studies have considered nanofluid flow inside complex geometries. The present study is the first to investigate the hydrodynamics and heat transfer characteristics of nanofluid flow in coiled flow inverter. The present study has been performed for a wide range of Reynolds numbers and nanoparticle volume fractions. It was noted that, the CFI significantly augments the heat transfer rate as compared to helical coil and straight tube of same dimension. The nanofluids considerably improves the heat transfer coefficients at a little higher value of friction factor as compared to the base fluid. The new correlations of the Nusselt number and friction factor have been proposed for the nanofluids flow inside CFI. The merit coefficient of CFI to that of straight tube has been also calculated.

The traditional heat exchangers technology is approaching to its limit, even though they employ conventional tubes with various cross-sections, orientations, and even the enhanced surface textures. Issues as higher energy demands, space limitations, and material savings have highlighted the necessity for miniaturized light-weight heat exchangers, which provide high heat transfer for a given heat duty. Microchannels (broadly ≤ 1 mm) represent the next step in heat exchanger development. The development of the microchannel heat exchanger has become of great importance in microelectronics cooling, chemical, biomedical, robotics, aerospace and automotive industries. In the present study, the hydrodynamics and heat transfer performance of the CFI and helical coil have been investigated at micro-scale, for the first time. The micro coiled flow inverter (MCFI) offers a significant improvement in heat transfer coefficients as compared to the micro helical coil (MHC). The effect of fluid properties on heat-transfer coefficient was also investigated by varying Prandtl number. The results show that the Prandtl number significantly affects the heat transfer coefficient.

A careful literature survey reveals that practically little attention has been paid to study the hydrodynamics of two phase gas-non-Newtonian fluid flow in complex geometries. In the present study, flow characteristics of single and two-phase non-Newtonian fluids have been investigated

in three different CFI geometries. The CFI not only provides an academically challenging problem to study the complex flow behavior but also finds the potential applications in food and bio-processing industries due to the significant improvement in heat and mass transfer. The mass transfer performance of CFI has been also investigated under the laminar flow regime. The velocity and mass fraction profiles have been analyzed as they elucidate the basic physics behind the enhancement of mass transfer in coiled modules. The CFI offer a great improvement in Sherwood number as compared to the helical coil of same design parameters, for both the oxygenation and carbonation processes.

The present study is a successful attempt to investigate the CFI as a next-generation novel compact heat exchanger for thermal load removal application in industries such as power plants, production and chemical processes. The study takes a closer look at process intensification of coiled tube heat exchanger and must motivate to study and design truly three-dimensional microstructures devices for the different engineering applications.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
ABSTRACT	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
NOTATIONS	xviii
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. <i>Process Intensification in Coiled Tube Heat Exchangers</i>	1
1.2. <i>Scope and Objective</i>	4
1.3. <i>Structure of this thesis</i>	6
CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1. <i>Introduction and application of coiled tube</i>	8
2.1.1. <i>Potential applications of the coiled tubes</i>	10
2.2. <i>Mixing enhancement in coiled tubes and coiled flow inverter</i>	11
2.3. <i>Pressure drop and heat transfer in coiled tubes and coiled flow inverter</i>	15
2.4. <i>Heat and transport characteristics of nanofluids flow in coiled tubes</i>	15
2.5. <i>Curved Microchannel</i>	22
2.6. <i>Non-Newtonian fluid flow in helically coiled tubes</i>	25
2.7. <i>Mass transfer enhancement</i>	31
CHAPTER 3. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND METHODOLOGY	36
3.1. <i>Description of the experimental rig</i>	36
3.1.1. <i>Primary hot loop (feed and heating section)</i>	36
3.1.2. <i>Secondary cold loop (cooling and utility section)</i>	42
3.1.3. <i>Heat exchanger section</i>	42
3.2. <i>Data collection and analysis</i>	45
3.2.1. <i>Analysis by LMTD method.</i>	45

3.2.2. <i>Analysis by ε-NTU method.</i>	46
3.3. <i>Conclusion</i>	47
CHAPTER 4. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF HEAT TRANSFER	48
AUGMENTATION AND EFFECTIVE HEAT TRANSFER AREA	
OF CFIHE	
4.1. <i>Performance analysis using LMTD method</i>	48
4.1.1. <i>Tube side heat transfer</i>	48
4.1.2. <i>Tube side pressure drop in CFIHE</i>	50
4.1.3. <i>Shell side heat transfer and pressure drop</i>	53
4.2. <i>Performance analysis using ε-NTU method</i>	55
4.3. <i>Conclusion</i>	56
CHAPTER 5. THE THERMAL AND TRANSPORT CHARACTERISTICS OF	57
NANOFLUIDS IN CFI	
5.1 <i>Mathematical Formulation</i>	57
5.1.1 <i>Thermo-physical Properties of Nanofluids</i>	62
5.1.2 <i>Boundary condition and validation</i>	64
5.2 <i>Results and Discussion</i>	65
5.2.1. <i>Effect of nanoparticle concentration</i>	66
5.2.2 <i>Effect of complex geometries</i>	78
5.3 <i>Conclusion</i>	83
CHAPTER 6. COILED FLOW INVERTER AS A MICRO HEAT EXCHANGER	85
6.1 <i>Mathematical formulation</i>	85
6.2 <i>Governing equations</i>	86
6.3 <i>Boundary conditions</i>	87
6.4 <i>Computational details</i>	89
6.5. <i>Results and discussion</i>	90
6.5.1. <i>Velocity profile</i>	92
6.5.2. <i>Heat transfer enhancement</i>	97
6.6. <i>Conclusion</i>	103

CHAPTER 7. FLOW CHARACTERISTICS OF POWER-LAW FLUIDS IN COILED FLOW INVERTER	104
7.1. <i>Experimental setup and methodology</i>	105
7.2. <i>Numerical analysis</i>	107
7.2.1. <i>Single phase</i>	107
7.2.2. <i>Two phase</i>	108
7.2.3. <i>Convergence and grid independency</i>	109
7.3. <i>Results and discussions</i>	110
7.3.1. <i>Experimental results for single phase flow</i>	110
7.3.1.1. <i>Pressure drop</i>	110
7.3.1.2. <i>Friction factor</i>	111
7.3.2. <i>Experimental results for two phase flow</i>	112
7.3.2.1. <i>Flow regime mapping</i>	113
7.3.2.2. <i>Effect of flow behavior index (n) on liquid hold up</i>	114
7.3.2.3. <i>Gas hold-up</i>	115
7.3.2.4. <i>Two-phase friction factor</i>	115
7.3.2.5. <i>Results comparison with correlations</i>	119
7.3.3. <i>Numerical Results</i>	120
7.3.3.1. <i>Single phase velocity fields</i>	120
7.3.2.2. <i>CFD analysis of two-phase non-Newtonian flow</i>	124
7.4. <i>Conclusion</i>	128
CHAPTER 8. MASS TRANSFER ENHANCEMENT IN CFI	130
8.1 <i>Mathematical formulation and background</i>	130
8.1.1. <i>Mass transfer in membrane modules</i>	130
8.1.2. <i>Geometry and grid system</i>	132
8.1.3. <i>Governing equation</i>	134
8.1.4. <i>Boundary conditions</i>	134
8.2. <i>Results and discussion</i>	136
8.2.1. <i>Flow inversion and hydrodynamics</i>	136
8.2.2. <i>Oxygenation process of water</i>	137

8.2.3. Carbonation process of water	142
8.2.4. Effect of curvature ratio (λ)	144
8.2.5. Pressure drop analysis	145
8.2.6. Shear stress analysis	147
8.3. Conclusion	149
CHAPTER 9. Summary and Outlook	150
9.1. Summary of conclusions	150
9.1.1. Experimental investigation of heat transfer augmentation and effective heat transfer area of CFIHE	150
9.1.2. The thermal and transport characteristics of nanofluids in CFI	151
9.1.3. Coiled flow inverter as a micro heat exchanger	152
9.1.4. Flow characteristics of power-law fluids in coiled flow inverter	152
9.1.5. Coiled flow inverter as a mass transfer device	153
9.2. Recommendations for the future work	154
9.2.1. CFI: A potential compact heat exchangers for process industry	154
9.2.2. CFI: potential application in heat recovery process	155
9.2.3. CFI: A potential micromixer and microreactor	155
9.2.4. CFI: A potential food processing device	156
9.2.5. CFI: A potential membrane module for beverage industry	156
9.2.6. CFI: A potential mixer for protein refolding process	157
9.2.7. CFI: A potential photo-bioreactor (PBR)	157
BIBLIOGRAPHY	158
ANNEXURE-A	177
ANNEXURE-B	185
AUTOR'S BIODATA	189

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Number	Title	Page number
1.1	Different types of curved geometries: (a) twisted tubes, (b) bend tube, (c) serpentine tube, (d) spiral, and (e) helically coiled tube	2
1.2	Working concept of coiled flow inverter (CFI)	3
2.1	Complex geometries for micro heat exchangers: (a) Two parallel units of wavy micro channels (b) T-joint (c) fork-shaped (d) L-bend (e) Ring-shaped microchannel (f) Wavy microchannel (g) Curved rectangular microchannel and (h) Swirl microchannels.	23
3.1	Experimental test facility in the pilot plant of CFIHE.	38
3.2	Picture of the 8-banks, 4-banks, 2-banks and 1-bank CFIHE's in the pilot plant at IIT Delhi.	39
3.3	Liquid feed Section.	40
3.4	Fresh air compressor in air feed section.	40
3.5	Feed heating section (a) water heater (b) air heater.	41
3.6	Utility section (cooling tower and blower).	41
3.7	The baffles and tube arrangement in the shell side of (a) 4-banks CFIHE, (b) 2-banks CFHE and (c) 1-bank CFIHE.	43
3.8	The arrangement of tube and baffles [(b), (c)] in the shell (a) of the CFIHE.	44
4.1	Temperature gradient at steady state condition in all CFIHE's.	49
4.2	Overall heat transfer coefficient vs tube side flow rate for (a) Water-Water (b) Water-Air (c) Air-Water (d) Air-Air systems.	51
4.3	Pressure drop comparison for water flow in tube side of CFIHE's.	52
4.4	Pressure drop comparison for air flow in tube side of CFIHE's.	53

Figure Number	Title	Page number
4.5	Nusselt number (Nu) for water flow in shell side of CFIHE's.	54
4.6	Pressure drop comparison for water flow in shell side of CFIHE's.	54
4.7	Heat transfer effectiveness of 8-banks, 4-banks, 2-banks and 1-bank CFIHE's.	55
5.1	Computational domain (a) straight tube (b) Helical Coil (c) Coiled Flow Inverter.	58
5.2	The illustration of coordinates of (a) Straight tube (b) Helical coil and CFI.	58
5.3	Comparison of the experimental data with different viscosity correlations.	63
5.4	Nusselt number comparison with literature for $\phi = 1\%$ in straight tube.	65
5.5	The ratio of Nusselt numbers of nanofluid to base fluid vs. Re in straight tube.	66
5.6	Effect of particle volume fraction and Re on friction factor in straight tube.	67
5.7	Velocity (m/s) distributions of water and nanofluids in axial planes of helical coil.	68
5.8	Temperature (K) distributions of water and nanofluids in axial planes of helical coil.	69
5.9	The ratio of Nusselt number of nanofluid to base fluid vs Dean number in helical coil.	71
5.10	Effect of nanoparticle volume fraction and De on friction factor in helical coil.	71
5.11	Velocity (m/s) distributions of water and nanofluids after equidistant 90° bends in CFI.	73
5.12	Temperature (K) distributions of water and nanofluids after equidistant 90° bends in CFI.	74

Figure Number	Title	Page number
5.13	Velocity profiles at axial plane of CFI for nanofluid flow at $\phi = 3\%$ (a) Horizontal Centerline (b) Vertical Centerline.	75
5.14	Dimensionless temperature profiles at axial plane of CFI for nanofluid flow at $\phi = 3\%$ (a) Horizontal Centerline (b) Vertical Centerline.	76
5.15	Effect of nanofluid volume fraction on dimensionless temperature in CFI at the vertical centerline.	76
5.16	Nusselt number vs. Dean number in CFI for different values of ϕ (0-4%).	77
5.17	Friction factor vs. Dean number in CFI for different values of ϕ (0-4%).	77
5.18	Nusselt number vs. Re for all three geometries at ϕ (0-4%).	78
5.19	Nusslet number vs. De in CFI and helical coil of same design parameters for different values of ϕ (0-4%).	78
5.20	Nusselt number vs. Reynolds number for all three geometries at $\phi = 4\%$.	79
5.21	Effect of Dean number on friction factor in the CFI and helical coil of same design parameters for different values of ϕ (0-4%).	79
5.22	Proposed correlation vs. computed results of friction factor in the CFI.	80
5.23	Number of merits of the CFI over straight tube.	80
5.24	Comparison of the Nusselt number in helical coil with experimental results.	81
5.25	Proposed correlation vs. the computed and experimental [Kahani et al (2013)] results of the Nusselt number in helical coil.	82
6.1	The geometries (a) straight micro tube (b) MHC (c) MCFI and (d) the system of coordinates.	86
6.2	Grid topology used to discretize the model equations.	89

Figure Number	Title	Page number
6.3	Comparison of present computed results with experimental results available in the literature for micro straight tube.	90
6.4	Comparison between the Nusselt numbers of present study and experimental results reported in literature for micro straight tube.	91
6.5	Velocity vectors at the outlet of (a) MHC and (b) MCFI for $d_t = 0.5$ mm, $\lambda = 10$ and different values of De.	92
6.6	Comparison of velocity contours of (a) MHC, $d_t = 0.5$ mm and (b) helical coil, $d_t = 10$ mm [Kumar et al. (2005)] at $Re = 434$, $\lambda = 10$.	93
6.7	Temperature contours at different cross-section of (a) MHC (b) MCFI, after 1 st 90° bend and (c) MCFI, after 2 nd 90° bend, at $Re = 434$, $d_t = 0.5$ mm and $\lambda = 10$.	95
6.8	Velocity profile at different 90° bends of MCFI (a) horizontal centerline (b) vertical centerline for $Re = 434$, $\lambda = 10$.	96
6.9	Temperature profile at different 90° bends of MCFI (a) horizontal centerline (b) vertical centerline for $Re = 434$, $\lambda = 10$.	97
6.10	The heat transfer coefficients of micro straight tube, MHC and MCFI of same heat transfer area ($A = 3.9 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2$).	98
6.11	Effect of tube diameter on heat transfer coefficients in MHC and MCFI.	98
6.12	Effect of Prandtl number on Nusselt number in MHC and MCFI.	99
6.13	Effect of Reynolds number on friction factor of micro straight tube, MHC and MCFI of same heat transfer area ($A = 3.9 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2$).	100
6.14	Comparison of Nusselt numbers in curved and straight tubes.	102
6.15	Number of merit of MCFI compared to straight tube.	102
7.1	Experimental setup: (1) storage tank, (2) pump, (3) rotameter, (4) air through compressor, (5) air rotameter, (6) mixer, (7) coiled flow inverter, (8) differential pressure gauge, (9) graduate column, and (10) overflow tank.	106

Figure Number	Title	Page number
7.2	Geometry considered for numerical simulation for single phase non-Newtonian fluid flow.	107
7.3	Pressure drop vs flow rate for all values of n ranging from 0.59 to 1.	110
7.4	Effect of λ on friction factor in CFI for all values of n .	111
7.5	Proposed flow regime chart for the flow of two-phase air-aqueous solution of CMC in CFI.	112
7.6	Liquid hold-up vs V_{SL} at constant V_{SG} (1.27 m/s) for all values of n .	113
7.7	Liquid hold-up vs V_{SL} at constant value of $n = 0.67$, for all values of V_{SG} .	114
7.8	Effect of curvature ratio (λ) on gas hold-up at constant V_{SG} (1.27 m/s) and n (0.67).	115
7.9	Two-phase friction factor vs V_{SL} at constant V_{SG} (1.27 m/s) for all values of n .	116
7.10	Two-phase friction factor vs V_{SL} at constant value of n for all values of V_{SG} .	117
7.11	Ratio of two-phase to single-phase friction factors vs Dean number.	117
7.12	Comparison of the two-phase friction factor in CFI and helical coil predicted by the correlation reported in literature.	118
7.13	Comparison of proposed correlation with experimental two phase friction factors in CFI.	119
7.14	Velocity contour of single-phase power-law fluid flow in CFI at different axial lengths for $n = 0.85, 0.67$, and 0.59 at $v = 3.86$ m/s.	121
7.15	Velocity contours of single-phase power-law fluid flow in CFI after each 90° bend for $n = 0.85, 0.67$, and 0.59 at $v = 3.86$ m/s.	122
7.16	Velocity contours in CFI for three different $n = 0.85, 0.67$, and 0.59 at $v = 1.26$ m/s and 5.45 m/s.	123
7.17	Velocity profile in CFI at the horizontal centerline of different bends for $n = 0.85$ and $v = 3.86$ m/s.	124

Figure Number	Title	Page number
7.18	Velocity profile in CFI at vertical centerline of different bends for $n = 0.85$ and $v = 3.86$ m/s.	124
7.19	Velocity profile in CFI at the horizontal centerline for all values of n and $v = 1.26$ m/s.	125
7.20	Comparison of the numerical and experimental single-phase friction factors for all values of n and V_{SL} (m/s).	125
7.21	Velocity contours of aqueous solution of CMC and air phase after each 90° bend in CFI.	125
7.22	Velocity contours of aqueous solution of CMC and air phase after each 90° bend in CFI.	126
7.23	Contours of volume fraction of aqueous solution of CMC and air phase at different axial lengths.	127
7.24	Contours of volume fraction of aqueous solution of CMC and air phase after each 90° bend in CFI.	127
7.25	Comparison of numerical and experimental two-phase friction factors in CFI for all values of n and V_{SL} (m/s).	128
8.1	Model of diffusion in a membrane module.	131
8.2	Schematic diagram (a) Straight tube module (b) Helical coil module (c) CFI module.	133
8.3	Velocity contour in (a) helical coil membrane module at different velocities [$v = 0.023$ m/s, 0.07 m/s, 0.16 m/s and 0.3 m/s] (b) CFI membrane module at different axial length [Inlet, $\phi = 180^\circ$, $\phi = 270^\circ$, after 1^{st} 90° bend and after 2^{nd} 90° bend].	135
8.4	Species transport for constant solvent velocity ($v = 0.2$ m/s) in CFI module at different axial lengths [inlet, $\phi = 180^\circ$, $\phi = 540^\circ$, $\phi = 720^\circ$, after 1^{st} 90° bend and after 2^{nd} 90° bend].	137
8.5	Convective transport vs diffusion transport: Species mass fraction at 360° with different velocities in CFI membrane module [$v = 0.023$ m/s, 0.07 m/s, 0.16 m/s and 0.3 m/s].	137

Figure Number	Title	Page number
8.6	Compression of the present results with the experimental results from literature.	138
8.7	Sherwood number vs Reynolds number for oxygenation process in CFI with 6 - 90° bends, helical coil and straight membrane module of identical length (L=2.47 m).	139
8.8	Sherwood number vs Reynolds number for carbonation process in CFI with 3 - 90° bends, helical coil and straight membrane module of identical length (L= 1.23 m).	140
8.9	Sherwood number vs Reynolds number for carbonation process in CFI with 6 - 90° bends, helical coil and straight membrane module of identical length (L = 2.47 m).	141
8.10	Oxygenation vs Carbonation: amount of gas absorbed with respect to flow rate of solvent.	141
8.11	Effect of curvature ratio (λ) in helical membrane module, for $\lambda = 7.75$, 10 and 15.	143
8.12	Effect of curvature ratio (λ) in CFI membrane module, for $\lambda=7.75$, 10 and 15.	143
8.13	Comparison of present computed and experimental results with the proposed correlation.	144
8.14	Pressure drop comparison in CFI, helically coil and straight membrane modules.	145
8.15	Shear stress comparison in CFI, helically coil and straight membrane modules.	146
8.16	Shear stress comparison in CFI and helically coil membrane modules of identical length (L= 1.23 m) at different axial lengths, for $v = 0.13$ m/s.	147
8.17	Mass transfer performance per unit energy consumption in CFI module as compared to straight tube.	148

LIST OF TABLES

Table Number	Title	Page number
2.1	Industrial applications of coiled tube.	9
2.2	Axial dispersion studies in curved tubes and coiled flow inverter.	12
2.3	Friction factor correlations in curved tubes and coiled flow inverter.	16
2.4	Heat transfer correlation's in coiled circular tubes and Coiled flow inverter.	17
2.5	Theoretical and experimental studies in curved microchannels	26
2.6	Numerical studies of single phase power law fluid flow in helical coil.	29
2.7	Experimental studies of single phase power law fluid flow in helical coil.	30
2.8	Experimental studies of two phase power law fluid flow in helical coil.	32
2.9	Mass transfer due to secondary flow in helical tubes	34
3.1	Symbols used in the Fig. 3.1.	37
3.2	Geometrical parameters of CFIHE's.	43
3.3	Process fluids and range of different operating parameters.	45
5.1	Details of the geometries.	58
5.2	Grid independent tests ($De = 100$, $\phi = 1\%$, $\lambda = 10$).	58
5.3	Thermal conductivities for different concentration of nanoparticles.	66
6.1	Geometrical dimension of test sections.	87
7.1	Range of variables for CFI and air-CMC system	118
8.1	Design parameters of membrane modules.	132

NOTATIONS

a	cross-section area, m^2
A_t	heat transfer area, m^2
A_s	Shell side surface area, m^2
C_1 & C_2	constants
C_p	specific heat, $kJ/(kg.K)$
d_t & d_h	tube diameter, m
d_b	baffle diameter, m
d_c	coil diameter, m
D_{AB}	molecular diffusion coefficient, m^2/s
d_e	equivalent diameter, m
d_s	shell diameter, m
f	Fanning friction factor for coil $(= \frac{\Delta P_c}{2\rho V^2} \cdot \frac{d}{L_t})$, $[-]$
k	thermal conductivity, $W/(m.K)$
K_B	Boltzmann constant, $(m^2 \text{ kg}/s^2 \text{ K})$
L_b	length of baffle, m
L_s	length of shell, m
L_t	length of heat exchanger, m
l	arc length along the centerline of the curved tube, m
h	heat-transfer coefficient, $W/m^2 \text{ K}$
$\dot{m}$	mass flow rate, kg/h
m_t	mass flow rate in tube side of CFIHE, kg/h
m_s	mass flow rate in shell side of CFIHE, kg/h
N	number of bank, $[-]$
N_b	number of bends, $[-]$
n	power law index, $[-]$
P	pressure, N/m^2

P_t	pitch of coil, m
q''	heat flux, W/m ²
q	heat-transfer rate, W
Q	volumetric flow rate, L/h
r_c	radius of the coil, m
r_h	hydraulic radius, m
T	temperature, K
t_i	hot fluid inlet temperature, K
T_i	cold fluid inlet temperature, K
t_o	hot fluid outlet temperature, K
T_o	cold fluid outlet temperature, K
T_∞	free steam temperature, K
ΔT_1	temperature difference at inlet, K
ΔT_2	temperature difference at outlet, K
U_o	overall heat transfer coefficient, W/m ² . °C
u^*	frictional velocity ($= \sqrt{\tau_w / \rho}$), m/s
u_i	velocity components, m/s
u_0, v, V	inlet velocity, m/s
$V_{dr,k}$	drift velocity
V_{SG}	gas superficial velocity, m/s
V_{SL}	Liquid superficial velocity, m/s
x, y, z	master Cartesian coordinates

Greek letters

α_{bf}	thermal diffusivity, m ² /s
δ	inverse of curvature ratio (d_t/d_c), [–]
δ_{ij}	Kronecker delta function [–]
ε	turbulent energy dissipation,
ε'	heat transfer efficiency [–]

k	turbulent kinetic energy, m^2/s^2
λ	curvature ratio (d_c/d_i), [-]
μ	viscosity of the fluid, $\text{kg}/\text{m}\cdot\text{s}$
ρ	density of the fluid, kg/m^3
Φ	wall flux, W/m^2
φ	axial distance, ($^\circ$)
ϕ	dependent variable
Θ	dimensionless temperature, $(T - T_w)/(T_b - T_w)$
ν	kinematic viscosity, m^2/s
σ_τ	turbulent Prandtl number in energy equation, [-]
σ_k	diffusion Prandtl number for k , [-]
σ_ε	turbulent Prandtl number for ε , [-]
τ_w	wall shear stress, N/m^2
τ	torsion ($=p/(R^2+p^2)$), [-]

Dimensionless numbers

De	Dean number ($\text{Re}/\sqrt{\lambda}$), [-]
Eu	Euler number $\left(= \frac{d^{0.85} D_e^{0.85}}{L} \right)$, [-]
He	Helical number $\left(= \text{Re} \left[\frac{(d_t/d_c)^{1/2}}{1 + (p/\pi d_c)^2} \right] \right)$, [-]
Pr	Prandtl number ($C_p \cdot \mu/k$), [-]
St	Stanton number ($h/(C_p \cdot \rho \cdot V)$), [-]
Re	Reynolds number ($\rho \cdot V \cdot d/\mu$), [-]
Re_{crit}	critical Reynolds number, [-]
Pr_w	Turbulent wall Prandtl number, [-]
Nu	Nusselt number $(= \frac{hd}{k})$, [-]

Ra	Rayleigh number ($= \frac{g\beta}{\nu\alpha}(T_s - T_\infty)L_t$), [-]
Sc	Schmidt number ($\mu/(\rho.D_{AB})$), [-]
Tn	Torsion number ($=2\tau Re$), [-]

Subscripts and superscripts

0	inlet condition
1	first bend
2	second bend
b	bulk quantity
c	coiled tube
m	mean value
cp	value with constant property
s	straight tube
inner	inner tube
outer	outer tube
i	inside/inner
o	outside/outer
w	wall condition
+	standard wall coordinates.
θ	local quantity

Abbreviations

AA	Alternate Axis
ADI	Alternative Direction Implicit
BC	Boundary Condition
CA	Constant Axis
CFI	coiled flow inverter
CFIHE	coiled flow inverter heat exchanger
CVFDM	Control Volume Finite Difference Method

HE	heat exchanger
LMTD	log-mean temperature difference
MHD	Magneto Hydro Dynamics
MF	Micro Filtration
NF	Nano Filtration
RTD	Residence Time Distribution
UF	Ultra Filtration