

**STUDIES ON KASTURI METHI (*TRIGONELLA FOENUM-GRÆCUM*)  
FOR DEVELOPMENT OF NUTRACEUTICALS PRODUCT**

**NEERAJ KUMAR AWASTHI**



**CENTRE FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT & TECHNOLOGY**

**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY –DELHI**

**AUGUST-2022**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2022

**STUDIES ON KASTURI METHI (*TRIGONELLA FOENUM-GRAECUM*)  
FOR DEVELOPMENT OF NUTRACEUTICALS PRODUCT**

**By**

**NEERAJ KUMAR AWASTHI**

**Centre for Rural Development and Technology**

*Submitted*

*in fulfillment of the requirements of degree of Doctor of Philosophy*

**to the**



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY –DELHI**

**AUGUST-2022**

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Studies on Kasturi Methi (Trigonella Foenum-Graecum) For Development of Nutraceuticals Product**” being submitted by **Mr. Neeraj Kumar Awasthi** to the **Indian Institute of Technology Delhi** for the award of “**Doctor of Philosophy**” is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him. He has worked under my guidance and supervision and has fulfilled the requirements for the submission of this thesis. To the best of our knowledge, the results contained in this thesis have not been submitted in part or full to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

**Dr. Satya Narayan Naik**

Professor,

Centre for Rural Development and Technology

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi New Delhi- 110016

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my sincere gratitude to almighty and all my masters who inspired and encourage me to pursue my doctoral study and enabled it with its substantial completion.

At this juncture, I feel indebted to my supervisor Professor Satya Narayan Naik, Centre for rural development and Technology, IIT Delhi to confer study of Ph.D., his consistent guidance and encouragement along with his precious thoughts and positive criticism led to the successful completion of my study and thesis work.

With a great sense of respect and obeisance, I also express my heartiest sense of gratitude and thanks to doctoral committee members: Prof. Satyawati Sharma, Prof. S K Khare, and Dr. P Hariprasad for their sapience guidance and advisory across my study and research work.

I am also grateful to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for providing me with the infrastructure and facilities for advanced research.

I deeply acknowledge my employer m/s Uralkali Trading, New Delhi for providing me an opportunity to pursue my doctoral study along with my employment.

I wish to thank and acknowledge my friends and fellow: Ajay Patel, Vandit Vijay, Supreet Kaur, Lopa, Falguni, Nidhi, Shreya, Vidhi, Bhusan, and seniors Dr. Devesh Saxena, Dr. Narendra Kumar Sahoo, and Dr. Malay for extending their help during the period of study, experimentation, and thesis preparation.

I would like to thank Mr. Mewalal for his incredible help co-operation throughout my experimentation study. I would also like to thank Mrs. Joshi, Mrs. Pratibha, Mr. Rajendar, and Mr. Chopra for their ready-to-help attitude in handling official documents. I would like to thank all my well-wishers and lab mates whom I forgot to mention.

It is an unforgettable moment for me to express my sincere gratitude and indebtedness to my late father Sri Chandra Kishore Awasthi, my late brother-in-law Dr. Sanjay Bajpai, my uncle Sri K K Awasthi and Sri Arun K Tewari who always wished to see me as an awardee of Ph.D.

My close friends and academicians Dr. S Karmakar, Dr. Rakesh Kumar, Dr. Vinod, Dr. Dharendra, Dr Uday, Dr Prashant, Dr. Rakesh Bhardwaj, and Dr. Manish and colleagues Arvind

Chawla and Rashneek Kher are also remembered and dully acknowledged for their encouragement to pursue and complete my Ph.D.

Eventually and significantly, I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my wife: Mrs. Nalini Awasthi, and my daughters: Aastha and Nishtha, and Cousin Prateek for all their sacrifices in support of my study.

by

**Neeraj Kumar Awasthi**

## ABSTRACT

The present investigation was focused evaluating various cultivars of Methi grown under temperate climatic conditions with three levels of Potassium nutrition. The study's main aim was to see and analyze the growth development, yield, and quality of Methi seed and leaves influenced by cultivars and Potassium levels and its impact on crop economics. Based on crop performance, a healthy snacks product i.e. Methi cooky, was developed to address the problems of rising diabetic disorders in the Indian population based on rural and artisan-specific available raw material.

A field experiment was conducted under temperate climatic conditions at Amrawati Orchards of Mukteshwar, Nanital, Utrakhnad during the Rabi season 2016-17 and 2017-18. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loamy in texture with organic carbon (2.88 %), low nitrogen and phosphorus, and moderate amount of potassium. The soil was acidic (pH 5.85) while the electrical conductivity (EC) was 0.364 dS/m. The experiment consisted of five varieties of Fenugreek (FGK-86, Kasuri Methi, Pant Ragini, Pusa Early Bunching (PEB), and FGK-91), and three levels of potassium (0, 20, and 30 kg/ha). These treatments were evaluated under a factorial randomized block design with three replications. The fenugreek variety Pant Ragini performed significantly better in respect of the number of branches per plant, fresh and dry weight of plant at harvest, number of pods per plant, length of the pod, number of seeds per pod, the weight of seeds per pod, 1000-seed weight, seed yield, biological yield, and harvest index. The application of 30 kg Potassium ( $K_2O$ ) maximized growth and yield attributes, seed yield, biological yield, and harvest index significantly. The genotype Kasturi Methi with 30 kg Potassium ( $K_2O$ ) gave a maximum gross return of (INR 142581/ha) per hectare, followed by Pant Ragini (INR 130755/ha) with 30 kg Potassium ( $K_2O$ ). Under unfertilized conditions, the lowest gross value return of (INR 64505/ha) was fetched from FGK-86.

The maximum net return (INR 110541/ha) per hectare was obtained from Kasturi Methi with 30 kg Potassium followed by Pant Ragini (INR 99155/ha) with 30 kg Potassium and Kasturi Methi (INR 84055/ha) with 30 kg Potassium. The minimum (Rs. 33505/ha) net return was obtained from FGK-86 under unfertilized conditions during 2016-17 and 2017-18, respectively.

Higher cost benefits and returns were received in the case of Kasturi Methi even at lower productions due to the higher market prices of its green leaves due to its distinct flavour

The maximum B: C ratio of 3.45 and 3.84 was obtained from Kasturi Methi with 30 kg Potassium(K<sub>2</sub>O) during 2016-17 and 2017-18, respectively. The second highest B: C ratio was received from Pant Ragini with 30 kg Potassium(K<sub>2</sub>O) and minimum from FGK-86 under unfertilized conditions.

Cookies made from Methi leaves and seeds outperformed over standard check i.e., Britannia Nutri-Choice Essential, hence Methi cookies will potentially serve as alternative snacks for healthy and NIDDM patients.

## साराँश

वर्तमान अनुसंधान में पोटैशियम उर्वरक पोषण के तीन स्तरों के साथ समशीतोष्ण जलवायु परिस्थितियों में उगाई जाने वाली मेथी की विभिन्न प्रजातियों के मूल्यांकन करने पर ध्यान केंद्रित किया गया था। अध्ययन का मुख्य उद्देश्य मेथी के बीज और पत्तियों के वृद्धि एवं विकास, उपज और गुणवत्ता को देखना और उनका विश्लेषण करना था जो कि प्रजातियों और पोटैशियम के विभिन्न स्तर और फसल अर्थशास्त्र पर इसके प्रभाव से प्रभावित थे। फसल के प्रदर्शन के आधार पर, ग्रामीण और कारीगर-विशिष्ट उपलब्ध कच्चे माल के आधार पर भारतीय आबादी में बढ़ती मधुमेह संबंधी विकारों की समस्याओं को दूर करने के लिए एक स्वस्थ स्नैक्स उत्पाद यानी मेथी कुकी विकसित की गई थी।

रबी सीजन 2016-17 और 2017-18 के दौरान मुक्तेश्वर, नैनीताल, उत्तराखंड के अमरावती उद्यान में समशीतोष्ण जलवायु परिस्थितियों में एक क्षेत्र प्रयोग किया गया था। प्रायोगिक क्षेत्र की मिट्टी में कार्बनिक कार्बन (2.88%), कम नाइट्रोजन और फास्फोरस, और पोटैशियम की मध्यम मात्रा के साथ बनावट में रेतीली दोमट थी। मिट्टी अम्लीय (पीएच 5.85) थी जबकि विद्युत चालकता (ईसी) 0.364 डीएस / एम थी। प्रयोग में मेथी की पांच किस्में (FGK-86, कसूरी मेथी, पंत रागिनी, पूसा अर्ली बंचिंग (PEB), और FGK-91), और पोटैशियम के तीन स्तर (0, 20, और 30 किग्रा / हेक्टेयर) शामिल थे। इन उपचारों का मूल्यांकन तीन प्रतिकृति के साथ दो फैक्टोरियल रैंडमाइज्ड ब्लॉक डिजाइन के तहत किया गया था।

मेथी की किस्म पंत रागिनी ने प्रति पौधे शाखाओं की संख्या, फसल की कटाई के समय पौधे के ताजे और सूखे वजन, प्रति पौधे फली की संख्या, फली की लंबाई, प्रति फली बीजों की संख्या, प्रति फली बीज के वजन, 1000-बीज वजन, बीज उपज, जैविक उपज, और फसल सूचकांक के संबंध में काफी बेहतर प्रदर्शन किया। 30 किग्रा पोटैशियम (K<sub>2</sub>O) के अनुप्रयोग ने अधिकतम वृद्धि और उपज गुण, बीज उपज, जैविक उपज, और फसल सूचकांक महत्वपूर्ण रूप से योगदान किया।

30 किग्रा पोटैशियम (K<sub>2</sub>O) के साथ जीनोटाइप कस्तूरी मेथी ने प्रति हेक्टेयर (INR 142581 / हेक्टेयर) का अधिकतम सकल रिटर्न दिया।, उसके बाद पंत रागिनी (INR 130755 / हेक्टेयर) 30 किलो पोटैशियम (K<sub>2</sub>O) के साथ। बिना उर्वरित परिस्थितियों में, न्यूनतम सकल मूल्य रिटर्न (INR 64505/हेक्टेयर) FGK-86 से प्राप्त किया गया। कस्तूरी मेथी से 30 किलो पोटैशियम के साथ अधिकतम शुद्ध लाभ (INR 110541/हेक्टेयर) प्राप्त किया गया, उसके बाद 30 किलो पोटैशियम के साथ पंत रागिनी (INR99155/हेक्टेयर) और 30 किलो पोटैशियम के साथ कस्तूरी मेथी (INR 84055/हेक्टेयर) प्राप्त किया गया। कम से कम (33505 रुपये/हेक्टेयर) शुद्ध रिटर्न एफजीके-86 से क्रमशः 2016-17 और 2017-18 के दौरान असिंचित परिस्थितियों में प्राप्त किया गया। कस्तूरी मेथी के मामले में कम उत्पादन पर भी उच्च लागत लाभ और प्रतिफल प्राप्त हुए थे क्योंकि इसके हरे पत्तों के उच्च बाजार मूल्य इसके विशिष्ट स्वाद एवं बेमौसम के कारण थे।

कस्तूरी मेथी से भी अधिकतम बी: सी अनुपात 3.45 और 3.84 क्रमशः 30 किलो पोटैशियम (K<sub>2</sub>O) के साथ 2016-17 और 2017-18 के दौरान प्राप्त किया गया था। दूसरा उच्चतम बी: सी अनुपात पंत रागिनी से 30 किलो पोटैशियम (K<sub>2</sub>O) के साथ प्राप्त किया गया था और न्यूनतम FGK-86 से बिना उर्वरक उपचारित परिस्थितियों में प्राप्त किया गया था।

मेथी के पत्तों और बीजों से बनी कुकीज मानक तुलना में ब्रिटानिया न्यूट्री-चाँइस एसेंशियल से बेहतर प्रदर्शन करती पायी गई हैं, इसलिए मेथी कुकीज स्वस्थ और एनआईडीडीएम रोगियों के लिए एक वैकल्पिक स्नैक्स के रूप में अपनी उपयोगिता रखता है।

# TABLE OF CONTENT

Certificate	i
Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iv
Table of Contents	viii
List of Figures	xii
List of Tables	xiii
List of Abbreviations	xv
List of Symbols	xvi
<b>CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1-3</b>
1.1 GENERAL	1
1.2 IMPORTANCE OF LEAVES AND SEEDS OF FENUGREEK	1
1.3 ROLE OF POTASSIUM IN METHI CULTIVATION	3
<b>CHAPTER II OBJECTIVE OF STUDY</b>	<b>4-4</b>
2.1 OBJECTIVE NUMBER I	4
2.2 OBJECTIVE NUMBER II	4
<b>CHAPTER III LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5-19</b>
3.1 GREEN LEAVES OF FENUGREEK	5
3.2 SEEDS OF FENUGREEK	6
3.3 BIOACTIVE CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS IN FENUGREEK	6
3.3.1. Essential Oil	6
3.3.2. Protein	7
3.3.3. Carbohydrates	7
3.3.4. Dietary Fibres	8
3.3.5. Lipids	8
3.3.6. Minerals	8
3.4 PHYTOCHEMICALS CONSTITUENTS	8
3.5 IMPORTANT FENUGREEK (METHI) VARIETIES	9
3.6 RESPONSE OF POTASSIUM FERTILISER IN METHI CROP	11
3.7 NUTRACEUTICAL STUDIES	15
3.8 PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT	17
<b>CHAPTER IV RESEARCH GAPS</b>	<b>20</b>

## **CHAPTER V METHODOLOGY**

**21-34**

5.1	FIELD EXPERIMENT LOCATION	21
5.2	SOIL ANALYSIS	22
5.3	EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS	22
	5.3.1. Layout plan and design	22
	5.3.2. Details of treatments	23
	5.3.3. Variety used in experiment	24
	5.3.4. Sowing	25
	5.3.5. Cultural operations	25
	5.3.6. Plant protection measures	25
	5.3.7. Harvesting and threshing	26
5.4	BIOMETRIC OBSERVATIONS	26-27
	5.4.1. Plant height	26
	5.4.2. Fresh plant weight	26
	5.4.3. Dry plant weight	26
	5.4.4. Number of branches per plant	26
	5.4.5. Number of pods per plant	26
	5.4.6. Length of the pod	26
	5.4.7. Number of seeds per pod	27
	5.4.8. Seed yield per plant	27
	5.4.9. 1000-seed weight	27
	5.4.10. Grain yield (q/ha)	27
	5.4.11. Stover yield (q/ha)	27
	5.4.12. Biological yield (q/ha)	27
	5.4.13. Harvest Index (%)	27
5.5	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS	28-30
	5.5.1. Analysis of soil, plant, and seed samples	28
	5.5.2. Sample collection and extraction	29-30
	5.5.3. Seed estimation	30
	5.5.4. Total phenols assay	30
	5.5.5. Total flavonoids assay	30
5.6	NEW PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT	30-32
5.7	POTASSIUM EFFECIENCY	33
5.8	CROP ECONAMICS	33-34
	5.8.1. Cost of cultivation	33
	5.8.2. Gross returns	33
	5.8.3. Net returns	33-34
5.9	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	34

## CHAPTER VI RESEARCH FINDINGS

35-70

6.1	GROWTH PARAMETERS	35-41
	6.1.1. Plant height	35-37
	6.1.2. Fresh weight (g/plant)	37-38
	6.1.3. Dry weight (g/plant)	39-40
	6.1.4. Number of branches per plant	40-41
6.2	YIELD ATTRIBUTES	42-48
	6.2.1. Number of pods per plant	42-43
	6.2.2. Number of seeds per pod	43-44
	6.2.3. Length of pods	45-46
	6.2.4. 1000 seed weight	46-47
	6.2.5. Seed yield per plant	47-48
6.3	YIELD STUDIES	49-54
	6.3.1. Seed yield (q/ha)	49-50
	6.3.2. Biological yield (q/ha)	50-51
	6.3.3. Harvest Index (%)	52-53
	6.3.4. Fresh weight of leaves (q/ha)	53-54
6.4	NUTRIENT CONTENT AND UPTAKE	55-60
	6.4.1. Nitrogen content and uptake	55-56
	6.4.2. Phosphorus content and uptake	56-58
	6.4.3. Potassium content and uptake	58-60
6.5	POTASSIUM USE EFFECIENCY	60-63
	6.5.1. Agronomic efficiency	60-61
	6.5.2. Physiological efficiency	61
	6.5.3. Agro-physiological efficiency	62
	6.5.4. Apparent recovery efficiency	63
6.6	CROP ECONAMICS	64-65
	6.6.1. Gross return	64
	6.6.2. Net returns	64
	6.6.3. Benefit cost ratio	65
	6.6.4. Net Income	65
6.7	PHYTOCHEMICALS	66-68
	6.7.1. Phenols content ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ )	66
	6.7.2. Phenolic acid ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ )	67
	6.7.3. Flavonoids	68
6.8	DEVELOPED PRODUCT PERFORMANCE (METHI COOKIES)	69-70
	6.8.1. Chemical Composition of Methi cookies	69
	6.8.2. Hedonic Test Results of Methi cookies	70

<b>CHAPTER VII DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>71-76</b>
7.1 ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS	71
7.2 PERFORMANCES OF FENUGREEK GENOTYPES	71-73
7.3 EFFECT OF POTASSIUM RATES	74-76
<b>CHAPTER VIII SUMMERY, CONCLUSION &amp; RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>77-80</b>
8.1 FENUGREEK GENOTYPES	77-78
8.2 POTASSIUM RATES	78-80
8.3 METHI COOKIES	80
8.4 CONCLUSIONS & FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS	80
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>81-87</b>
<b>APPENDIX-I</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>APPENDIX-II</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>APPENDIX-III</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>APPENDIX-IV</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>APPENDIX-V</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>APPENDIX-VI</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>APPENDIX-VII</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>APPENDIX-VIII</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>APPENDIX-IX</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>PUBLICATION FROM PRESENT WORK</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>AUTHORS DETAILS</b>	<b>94-95</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Fig No</b>	<b>Particulars</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
4.1	Weather parameters for crop season	21
6.1	Plant height (cm) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes at different intervals	36
6.2	Fresh weight (g/plant) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes at different intervals	38
6.3	Dry weight (g/plant) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes at different intervals	40
6.4	Number of branches per plant as affected by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	41
6.5	Number of Pods per plants influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	43
6.6	Number of seed/pods as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	44
6.7	Length of the pod in (cm) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	45
6.8	1000 seed weight (g) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	47
6.9	Seed yield /plant (g) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes at different intervals	48
6.10	Seed yield q/ha as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	50
6.11	Biological yield (q/ha) is influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes.	51
6.12	Harvest index (%) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	53
6.13	Fresh leaf per ha (q) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	54
6.14	N uptake by seed, Stover, and total as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	56
6.15	P uptake by seed, Stover, and total as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	58
6.16	K uptake by seed, Stover, and total as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	60
6.17	Agronomic efficiency (AE) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	61
6.18	Physiological efficiency (PE) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	61
6.19	Agro-physiological efficiency (APE) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	62
6.20	Apparent recovery efficiency (ARE) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	63
6.21	Net return (Rs/ha) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	64
6.22	B:C ratio as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	65
6.23	Hedonic Test Results of Cookies made by Methi leaves and seed	70

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Particulars</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
6.1	Plant height (cm) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes at different intervals	37
6.2	Fresh weight (g/plant) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes at different intervals	38
6.3	Dry weight (g/plant) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes at different intervals	39
6.4	Number of Branches at 60 DAS as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	41
6.5	Number of Pods per plants influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	42
6.6	Number of seed/pods as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	44
6.7	Length of the pod in (cm) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	45
6.8	1000 seed weight (g) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	46
6.9	Seed yield /plant (g) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	48
6.10	Seed yield q/ha as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	49
6.11	Biological yield (q/ha) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	51
6.12	Harvest index (%) as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	52
6.13	Fresh leaf per ha (q) as influenced by different potash levels of Fenugreek genotypes	54
6.14	Nitrogen content and uptake in Fenugreek genotypes as influenced by different Potassium levels	55
6.15	Phosphorus content and uptake in Fenugreek genotypes as influenced by different Potassium levels	57
6.16	Potash content and uptake of Fenugreek as influenced by different Potassium levels and genotypes	59
6.17	Agronomic efficiency, Physiological efficiency, and Agro-physiological-efficiency and Apparent recovery in Fenugreek genotypes as influenced by different Potassium levels	63
6.18	Net income and B:C ratio as influenced by different Potassium levels of Fenugreek genotypes	65
6.19	Phenol's $\mu\text{g/g}$ in leaves of Fenugreek as influenced by different Potassium levels and genotypes	66
6.20	Phenolic Acid $\mu\text{g/g}$ in leaves of Fenugreek as influenced by different potash levels and genotypes	67
6.21	Flavonoids in leaves of Fenugreek as influenced by different Potassium levels and genotypes	68

6.22	Comparative ingredients analysis of Methi cookies versus Britannia Nutri Choice Essential	69
------	---	----

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AA	Antioxidant Activity
ABTS	2,2'-Azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid
AE	Agronomic Efficiency
APE	Agro-Physiological Efficiency
ARE	Apparent Recovery Efficiency
B: C ratio	Benefit Cost ratio
Bo	Boron
CD	Critical Difference
cm	Centimeters
DFC	Code Name of Fenugreek Genotype
DM	Dry matter
DMSO	Dimethyl sulpho
DPPH	1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl
EC	Electrical Conductivity
FGK-91	Fenugreek Genotypes
FRAP	Ferric Reducing Ability of Plasma
FRBD	Factorial Randomized Block Design
FYM	Farm Yard Manure
GAE	Gallic Acid Equivalents
GC	Gas Chromatography
GI	Glycemic index
HI	Harvest index
IARI	Indian Agricultural Research Institute
ICAR	Indian Council of Agricultural Research
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
K	Potash
Kg	Kilogram
N	Nitrogen
NIDDM	Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus
OC	Organic Carbon
P	Phosphorus
PE	Physiological Efficiency
PEB	Pusa Early Bunching
PH	Plant height
pH	Potential of Hydrogen
PUFA	Poly Unsaturated Fatty Acids
q/ha	Quintal per Hectare
S	Sulphur
TEAC	Trolox Equivalent Antioxidant Capacities
UE	Utilization Efficiency
w/w	Dry Weight Basis
Zn	Zinc

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$P_2O_5$	Phosphorus Penta Oxide (Referred to Phosphorus expressions)
$K_2O$	Potassium (Referred to Potassium expressions)
@	at the rate
$\mu\text{g/g}$	Microgram
mg	milligram
g	gram
$K_2SO_4$	Potassium Sulphate
$MgSO_4$	Magnesium Sulphate