

EFFECT OF SOCIOEMOTIONAL, COGNITIVE, AND TECHNICAL COMPETENCIES OF WORKERS ON CONSTRUCTION LABOR PRODUCTIVITY

SPARSH JOHARI



**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
MAY 2021**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2021

**EFFECT OF SOCIOEMOTIONAL, COGNITIVE, AND
TECHNICAL COMPETENCIES OF WORKERS ON
CONSTRUCTION LABOR PRODUCTIVITY**

by

SPARSH JOHARI

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Submitted

in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

MAY 2021

Dedicated to

Late. Dr. Manoj Kulshrestha

and

Dr. (Col.) Nishith Bihari Saxena

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Effect of socioemotional, cognitive, and technical competencies of workers on construction labor productivity**”, being submitted by **Mr. Sparsh Johari** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** is a bonafide record of the research work carried out by him under my supervision and guidance. The thesis work, in my opinion, has reached the requisite standard, fulfilling the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

The contents of this thesis, in full or in parts, have not been submitted to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Dr. Kumar Neeraj JHA
Professor,
Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
New Delhi 110016 (India)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Professor Kumar Neeraj Jha (Department of Civil Engineering, IIT Delhi), who has the substance of a genius. He convincingly guided and encouraged me to be a professional and do the right thing even in the tough situations. Without his persistent help and unconditional support, the goal of this study would not have been realized. I have not only learnt the technical expertise from him but also many more skills like integrity, gratitude, learning agility, influence, empathy, courage, and respect.

The physical and technical contribution of Col. (Dr.) Nishith Bihari Saxena (Skill Development consultant), Mr. Piyush Upreti (Manager, L&T B&F) and Mr. Deepak Sharma (Principal, L&T CSTI Hyderabad) is truly appreciated. They are the backbone of this study. They helped me in arranging interviews with the experts and providing the permissions to visit various construction sites and training centers for the purpose of data collection. Without their support this study could not have achieved its goal.

I would like to recognize the invaluable assistance of Dr. Ratnesh Kumar (Research Scholar, IIT Delhi) who introduced me with many research related books to write good research papers. I am also thankful to Dr. Ajit Kumar Sinha (Research Scholar, IIT Delhi) for his valuable help and technical guidance throughout my PhD.

In addition, I am thankful to the administration of the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for providing me uninterrupted financial assistance throughout this PhD period. I am also thankful to the staff members of Department of Civil Engineering, IIT Delhi, Mr. Randhir Kumar Jha, Mr. Rajeev Aggarwal, and Mr. Jeet Ram for making it easy to me to know various administrative formalities to be done during PhD.

I thank my research group colleagues and friends Mr. Vipin Kumar, Dr. Abhilasha Panwar, Dr. K.K. Tripathi, Dr. Satish Kumar V, Mrs. Soumya Jain, Mr. Ravindra, Mr. Fekreysus, Mr. Saddek, and Mrs. Nivea Thomas, with whom I have spent a lot of time in technical discussions at various stages of my research.

I wish to acknowledge the support and great love of my family, my wife, Mrs. Shikha Mittal; my mother, Mrs. Nidhi Johari; my father Mr. Ashok Kumar Johari; my sister-in-law Mrs. Shubham Srivastava; and my brother Mr. Utkarsh Johri. I am also thankful to my kid, Mr. Ridhaan Johari; and nephew, Mr. Ivaan Johari, who came in this world during my PhD. They kept me going on and this work would not have been possible without their love and support.

Sparsh Johari

ABSTRACT

A construction worker is a worker employed in manual labor of the physical construction of the built environment and its infrastructure. In terms of working hierarchy, construction workers belong to the lowest most strata of the construction industry. They directly involved in performing the tasks at site, and thus their level of competency plays an important role in defining construction labor productivity. The construction labor productivity (CLP) is a single-factor productivity, where labor hours are used as the input unit and the quantity of finished work as output. Therefore, to enhance the performance of the workers by improving their specific competencies, workplace training systems have been developed. In such training system, workers learn working methodologies, new technologies, and the way to work at site. These training systems have fixed training curriculum and training time. However, these training systems have one major constraint, that is, the outcome of such trainings in terms of the percentage of increase in workers' performance is not generally measured. This lack of measurement causes two issues. First, the contractors do not able to recognize the importance of training in enhancing workers' performance. Second, the training providers do not receive constructive feedback on the efficacy of their training sessions.

This study therefore attempts to bridge this gap by conducting a nationwide survey of 598 industrial professionals in India, to identify six, nine, and thirteen competencies for unskilled, semi-skilled, and skilled categories of workers, respectively, which affect their performance at site. These competencies included behavioral attributes, motivation, and physical strength for unskilled workers; self-confidence, and technical knowledge for semi-skilled workers; and writing, reading, mathematical, and problem-solving competencies for skilled workers. Further, the author quantified each of these

competencies of the workers at construction site using survey instruments, which were identified through literature. Along with the measurement of the competencies, the productivity of the same workers was also measured via direct observation at sites. By applying various best-fit curve models to the collected data (measured competencies and productivity), best-fit curves were developed between each of the competencies and observed productivity. Subsequently, a regression model was developed by considering all the measured competencies as explanatory variables and productivity as dependent variable. Thereafter, the author visited four training centers in India and measured all the identified competencies of 137 trainee workers periodically (every 2 days of a 90-day training). This periodical data on competencies of the workers was fed into the developed regression model to compute their performance increment during their training. Subsequently, a learning curve was drawn between the performance increment of the workers and their training time. In addition, the competencies versus training time curves were also plotted to understand how competencies of the workers were enhancing during their training.

The results indicate that workers' performance after training was far below the desired level of employers; the reason for this emerges from competencies versus training time curves, where it was found that training does not focus on enhancing socioemotional and cognitive competencies. Therefore, the study recommends that training providers incorporate these competencies in training curriculum to achieve more desirable outputs from the training. This will help in designing and implementing an effective workers' training program.

Keywords: Construction labor productivity, correlation analysis, path analysis, regression analysis, skill development training, worker skills

कार्यस्थल प्रशिक्षण का उद्देश्य अपनी विशिष्ट दक्षताओं में सुधार करके प्रशिक्षुओं के प्रदर्शन को बढ़ाने का होता है। दुर्भाग्य से, प्रशिक्षुओं के प्रदर्शन में वृद्धि के प्रतिशत के संदर्भ में इस तरह के प्रशिक्षणों के परिणाम को आमतौर पर मापा नहीं जाता है। एक ओर, प्रशिक्षणों के परिणाम को न मापने के कारण कांट्रैक्टर प्रशिक्षण के महत्व को नहीं पहचान पाते हैं, और दूसरी ओर, प्रशिक्षण प्रदाताओं को अपने प्रशिक्षण सत्रों की प्रभावकारिता पर रचनात्मक प्रतिक्रिया भी नहीं मिलती है।

इसलिए यह अध्ययन क्रमशः भारत में 598 औद्योगिक पेशेवरों की मदद से अकुशल, अर्ध-कुशल और श्रमिकों के छह, नौ और तेरह दक्षताओं की पहचान करता है, जो साइट पर उनके प्रदर्शन को प्रभावित करता है। इन दक्षताओं में अकुशल श्रमिकों के लिए व्यवहारिक विशेषताएँ, प्रेरणा और शारीरिक शक्ति शामिल है; अर्ध-कुशल श्रमिकों के लिए आत्मविश्वास और तकनीकी ज्ञान शामिल है; और कुशल श्रमिकों के लिए लेखन, पठन, गणितीय, और समस्या को सुलझाने की क्षमता शामिल है। इसके अलावा, लेखक ने सर्वेक्षण उपकरणों का उपयोग करके निर्माण स्थल पर श्रमिकों की इन दक्षताओं में से प्रत्येक की मात्रा को मापा, जिन्हें साहित्य के माध्यम से पहचाना गया था। दक्षताओं के मापन के साथ ही साइटों पर प्रत्यक्ष अवलोकन के माध्यम से समान श्रमिकों की उत्पादकता को भी मापा गया। एकत्रित आंकड़ों (दक्षताओं और उत्पादकता) में विभिन्न सर्वोत्तम-फिट वक्र मॉडल लागू करके, प्रत्येक दक्षता और उत्पादकता के बीच सर्वोत्तम-फिट वक्र विकसित किए गए थे। इसके बाद, सभी दक्षताओं को व्याख्यात्मक चर और उत्पादकता पर निर्भर चर के रूप में एक प्रतिगमन मॉडल विकसित किया गया। तत्पश्चात, लेखक ने भारत में चार प्रशिक्षण केंद्रों का दौरा किया और समय-समय पर (90 दिनों के प्रशिक्षण के प्रत्येक 2 दिनों में) 137 प्रशिक्षु श्रमिकों की सभी पहचान की गई क्षमताओं को मापा। श्रमिकों के दक्षताओं के इस आवधिक डेटा को उनके प्रशिक्षण के दौरान उनके प्रदर्शन में वृद्धि की गणना करने के लिए विकसित प्रतिगमन मॉडल में डाला गया। इसके बाद, श्रमिकों

के प्रदर्शन में वृद्धि और उनके प्रशिक्षण समय के बीच एक सीखने का वक्र बनाया गया। इसके अलावा, दक्षताओं तथा प्रशिक्षण समय के बीच में भी वक्रों को यह समझने के लिए तैयार किया गया कि उनके प्रशिक्षण के दौरान श्रमिकों की क्षमता कैसे बढ़ रही है।

परिणाम के अनुसार ये पता चलता है कि प्रशिक्षण के बाद श्रमिकों का प्रदर्शन नियोक्ताओं के वांछित स्तर से काफी नीचे था; इसका कारण दक्षताओं बनाम प्रशिक्षण समय के वक्र से निकलता है, जहां यह पाया गया कि प्रशिक्षण सामाजिक-संज्ञानात्मक और संज्ञानात्मक दक्षताओं को बढ़ाने पर ध्यान केंद्रित नहीं करता है। इसलिए, अध्ययन अनुशंसा करता है कि प्रशिक्षण प्रदाता प्रशिक्षण से अधिक वांछनीय आउटपुट प्राप्त करने के लिए प्रशिक्षण पाठ्यक्रम में इन दक्षताओं को शामिल किया जाना चाहिए। यह एक प्रभावी श्रमिक प्रशिक्षण कार्यक्रम को डिजाइन और कार्यान्वित करने में मदद करेगा।

CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE.....	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	ii
ABSTRACT.....	iv
सारांश.....	vi
CONTENTS.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xiv
LIST OF TABLES.....	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATION.....	xvii
1 CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 General.....	1
1.2 Aim of the study.....	5
1.3 Research Objectives.....	5
1.4 Scope of the study	6
1.5 Organization of the Thesis	6
2 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Introduction.....	8
2.2 Socioemotional, cognitive, and technical competencies of construction workers.....	8
2.3 Motivation	11
2.3.1 Introduction	11
2.3.2 Motivational theories	12
2.3.3 Motivation in construction industry	14
2.4 Aptitude.....	16
2.4.1 Introduction	16
2.4.2 Aptitude theories	17
2.4.3 Aptitude in construction industry	18
2.5 Belief, intention, attitude, and behavior	19
2.5.1 Introduction	19
2.5.2 Behavioral theories.....	20
2.5.3 Behavioral attributes	21

2.5.4 Behavior based studies in construction industry	22
2.6 Communication skills.....	24
2.6.1 Introduction	24
2.6.2 Principles of communication.....	26
2.6.3 Communication competence	26
2.6.4 Communication in the construction industry	27
2.7 Miscellaneous competencies	31
2.7.1 Self-confidence	31
2.7.2 Problem solving skills	32
2.7.3 Mathematical skills	33
2.7.4 Technical knowledge	34
2.8 Training systems in developed countries	35
2.9 Learning curves	37
2.9.1 Learning curve theory	37
2.9.2 Learning in construction industry	38
2.10 Research Gap	40
2.11 Summary	42
3 CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	43
3.1 Introduction	43
3.2 Objective 1: Identifying Socioemotional, cognitive, and technical competencies.....	43
3.2.1 Selection of competencies through literature review	45
3.2.2 Categorization of identified competencies through questionnaire survey	45
3.2.3 Control of biases during data collection.....	49
3.2.4 Data Analysis	50
3.3 Objective 2: Identifying survey instruments	52
3.4 Objective 3: Determining the impact of workers' competencies on their performance	53
3.4.1 Sample description	53
3.4.2 Measurement of competencies	55
3.4.3 Measurement of performance	57
3.4.4 Control of biases during data collection.....	60
3.4.5 Internal and external validity	61
3.4.6 Data analysis	62

3.5	Objective 4: Developing learning curves of construction workers	65
3.5.1	Selection of training centers	66
3.5.2	Measurement of competencies	67
3.5.3	Data analysis	68
3.6	Summary	68
4	CHAPTER 4: COMPETENCIES OF CONSTRUCTION WORKERS	70
4.1	Introduction	70
4.2	Identification of competencies	70
4.3	Classification of competencies	71
4.4	Discussion	74
4.4.1	Competencies of unskilled workers	76
4.4.2	Competencies of semi-skilled workers	78
4.4.3	Competencies of skilled workers	79
4.5	Summary	81
5	CHAPTER 5: SURVEY INSTRUMENTS	84
5.1	Introduction	84
5.2	Aptitude	84
5.3	Behavioral attributes	86
5.4	Communication	90
5.4.1	Listening skill	90
5.4.2	Reading skill	91
5.4.3	Speaking skill	92
5.4.4	Writing skill	93
5.5	Motivation	95
5.6	Health and physical strength	97
5.7	Mathematical ability	97
5.8	Self-confidence	99
5.9	Self-efficacy	99
5.10	Technical knowledge	100
5.11	Summary	101
6	CHAPTER 6: EFFECT OF APTITUDE ON CONSTRUCTION LABOUR PRODUCTIVITY	103
6.1	Introduction	103
6.2	Data collection	103

6.3	Sample distribution	104
6.4	Validity and reliability of scales	105
6.5	Relationship among aptitude, age, education, and experience.....	106
6.6	Relationship between aptitude and performance	107
6.7	Discussion	111
6.8	Summary	115
7	CHAPTER 7: EFFECT OF BEHAVIORAL ATTRIBUTES ON CONSTRUCTION LABOR PRODUCTIVITY	118
7.1	Introduction	118
7.2	Sample distribution	118
7.3	Performance measurement	119
7.4	Validity and reliability of results.....	120
7.5	Association among behavioral attributes and demographics of workers.....	121
7.6	Hypothesis development	124
7.6.1	Hypotheses between behavioral attributes and performance	124
7.6.2	Hypotheses between prior experience and behavioral attributes	126
7.7	Data analysis	128
7.8	Hypotheses testing of Model 1 – Relationship among behavioral attributes and performance	129
7.9	Hypotheses testing of Model 2 –Relationship among behavioral attributes and demographics	131
7.10	Discussion	134
7.11	Summary	138
8	CHAPTER 8: EFFECT OF COMMUNICATION SKILLS ON CONSTRUCTION LABOUR PRODUCTIVITY	140
8.1	Introduction	140
8.2	Sample and procedure	140
8.3	Sample distribution	141
8.4	Performance measurement	142
8.5	Validity and reliability of scales	142
8.6	Association among demographics, communication skills, and performance	143
8.7	Relationship between communication skills and performance	145
8.8	Discussion	148
8.9	Summary	153

9	CHAPTER 9: EFFECT OF MOTIVATION ON CONSTRUCTION LABOR PRODUCTIVITY	155
9.1	Introduction	155
9.2	Data collection	155
9.3	Sample distribution	157
9.4	Validity and reliability of scales	158
9.5	Relationship of motivation with age, education, and experience.....	158
9.6	Relationship between motivation and performance	161
9.7	Discussion	163
9.8	Summary	167
10	CHAPTER 10: EFFECT OF SELF-CONFIDENCE, SELF-EFFICACY, MATHEMATICAL, AND TECHNICAL COMPETENCIES OF WORKERS ON CONSTRUCTION LABOR PRODUCTIVITY	171
10.1	Introduction	171
10.2	Data collection	171
10.3	Sample distribution	172
10.4	Validity and reliability of scales	173
10.5	Relationship of self-confidence, self-efficacy, mathematical, and technical skills with age, education, and experience.....	174
10.6	Relationship between self-confidence, self-efficacy, mathematical, technical skills and performance	175
10.7	Discussion	178
10.8	Summary	180
11	CHAPTER 11: EARNING CURVES OF CONSTRUCTION WORKERS.....	182
11.1	Introduction	182
11.2	Sample distribution	182
11.3	Performance learning curve	183
11.4	Skill learning curves.....	186
11.5	Discussion	190
11.6	Summary	193
12	CHAPTER 12: VALIDATION OF THE RESULTS	195
12.1	Introduction	195
12.2	Identification of the inhibiting factors.....	195
12.3	Determination of possible causes of the inhibiting factors	197

12.3.1 Phase I: Selection of experts	198
12.3.2 Phase II: Determination of the possible causal attributes.....	200
12.4 Discussion	204
12.5 Summary	205
13 CHAPTER 13: CONCLUSIONS AND CONTRIBUTIONS	207
13.1 Summary and conclusions.....	207
13.2 Contributions.....	210
13.2.1 Contribution to theory	210
13.2.2 Contribution to practice.....	211
13.3 Limitations and future research.....	211
REFERENCES.....	214
APPENDIX A: QUESTIONNAIRE	238
APPENDIX B: LOCATION OF THE DISTRICTS	239
APPENDIX C: TYPE-I and TYPE-II ERRORS	242
APPENDIX D: GENERAL APTITUDE TEST BATTERY	244
APPENDIX E: MEASUREMENT OF READING SKILLS	248
APPENDIX F: MEASUREMENT OF SPEAKING SKILLS	249
APPENDIX G: MEASUREMENT OF WRITING SKILLS	250
APPENDIX H: MULTIDIMENSIONAL WORK-MOTIVATION SCALE	252
APPENDIX I: TECHNICAL QUESTIONS	254
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS BASED ON THESIS.....	257
BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR.....	258

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3-1. Overall research design	44
Figure 4-1. Essential and desirable competencies for unskilled, semi-skilled and skilled construction workers.....	76
Figure 6-1. Graphical representation of nine subsets of aptitude versus workers' productivity	111
Figure 7-1. Hypotheses Model 1.....	124
Figure 7-2. Hypotheses Model 2.....	126
Figure 7-3. Hypothesis testing result of Model 1	131
Figure 7-4. Hypothesis testing result of Model 2	133
Figure 8-1. Graphical representation of four communication skills vs workers' productivity.....	148
Figure 9-1. Graphical representation of six motivational subsets vs workers' productivity.....	163
Figure 10-1. Graphical representation of self-confidence, self-efficacy, mathematical skills, and technical knowledge vs workers' productivity	178
Figure 11-1. Performance learning curve	186
Figure 11-2. Skill learning curves.....	189

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1. Individual activities for each of the three trades taken for measurement of productivity.....	57
Table 4-1. List of competencies and their sources.....	71
Table 4-2. Results of one sample t-test on identified competencies.....	75
Table 5-1. Work-attitude scale developed by Kanungo (1982).....	87
Table 5-2. Speaking skill questionnaire.....	94
Table 5-3. The Multidimensional work-motivational scale by Gagné et al. (2015).....	96
Table 5-4. Mathematical ability test for workers.....	98
Table 5-5. Work opinion questionnaire to measure self-confidence of workers.....	99
Table 5-6. New general self-efficacy scale to measure problem solving skills of workers	100
Table 5-7. Survey instruments to measure workers' competencies	102
Table 6-1. Summary of respondents' profile (in years).....	104
Table 6-2. Mean, standard deviation (<i>SD</i>), and reliabilities of scales of aptitude	106
Table 6-3. Correlation among variables	108
Table 6-4. Summary of regression models	109
Table 7-1. Summary of respondents' profile	118
Table 7-2. The average time taken by the workers to finish their respective tasks	119
Table 7-3. Mean, standard deviation (<i>SD</i>), and reliabilities of planned behavior attributes.....	121
Table 7-4. Mean, standard deviation and correlation among variables	123
Table 7-5. Model 1 hypothesis and result analysis	130
Table 7-6. Model 2 hypothesis and result analysis	132
Table 8-1. Summary of respondents' profile (in years).....	141
Table 8-2. Average time taken by the workers to finish their respective tasks	142
Table 8-3. Mean, standard deviation (<i>SD</i>), and reliabilities of communication skills	143
Table 8-4. Correlation among variables	146
Table 8-5. Summary of regression models	147
Table 9-1. Part 1 of the Motivation questionnaire	156
Table 9-2. Summary of respondents' profile	157
Table 9-3. Average time taken by the workers to finish their respective tasks	158

Table 9-4. Mean, standard deviation (<i>SD</i>), and reliabilities of scales of motivation....	159
Table 9-5. Correlation among variables	160
Table 9-6. Summary of regression results for models of the effects of explanatory variables on performance ratio	161
Table 10-1. Summary of respondents' profile	172
Table 10-2. Average time taken by the workers to finish their respective tasks	173
Table 10-3. Mean, standard deviation (<i>SD</i>), and reliabilities of scales of motivation..	174
Table 10-4. Correlation (<i>r</i>) among self-confidence, self-efficacy, mathematical skills, technical skills, age, education, and experience	176
Table 10-5. Summary of regression results for models of the effects of explanatory variables on performance ratio	177
Table 11-1. Regression model	183
Table 12-1. Point system for the qualification of the expert panelists	199
Table 12-2. Attributes responsible for the inhibiting factors.....	201

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

BA	Behavioral Attributes
CSDC	Construction Skill Development Council
SD	Standard Deviation
CLP	Construction Labor Productivity
GATB	General Aptitude Test Battery
NGSE	New General Self-Efficacy Scale
NOS	National Occupation Standards
WHO	World Health Organization
BMI	Body Mass Index
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
TRA	Theory of Reasoned Action
TPB	Theory of Planned Behavior
BIM	Building Information Modelling