

**NANOSTRUCTURED MATERIALS FOR  
THERMOELECTRIC DEVICES**

**AMISH KUMAR GAUTAM**



**DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI  
JULY 2023**

**© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2023**

**NANOSTRUCTURED MATERIALS FOR  
THERMOELECTRIC DEVICES**

**by**

**AMISH KUMAR GAUTAM**

**Department of Physics**

**Submitted**

**in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy  
to the**



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

**JULY 2023**

*Dedicated to my  
Family and Teachers*

## Certificate

---

---

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Nanostructured Materials for Thermoelectric Devices**”, being submitted by **Mr. Amish Kumar Gautam** to the Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, for the award of the degree of “**Doctor of Philosophy**”, is a record of the original bonafide research work carried out by him under my guidance and supervision. He has fulfilled the requirements for submitting this thesis, which in my opinion, has reached the requisite standard.

The results contained in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or full, to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

*NKhare*  
*23/07/2022*

**Prof. Neeraj Khare**

Department of Physics

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

Hauz Khas, New Delhi-110016, India

## Acknowledgments

---

---

*First and foremost, I give God the glory for creating me and providing immeasurable support through His blessings, giving me tremendous courage, optimism, and power to proceed with the research work.*

*I am grateful for the unimaginable encouragement and support from Prof. Neeraj Khare, my mentor and supervisor, during my PhD journey. His assistance has allowed me to grow personally, and his hardworking and passion for research always inspired me. This work has been possible because of his tireless support provided to me. I am much obliged to him for supporting me in every stage of my PhD tenure. I am immensely pleased to express my gratitude to Dr. Sangeeta Khare for sharing her expression and knowledge.*

*I am grateful to my SRC members, Prof. Jitendra Pratap Singh, Prof. Brajesh Kumar Mani, and Prof. Bhaskar Mitra, for assessing my progress at each level of my research work and providing insightful recommendations for development. I want to convey my gratitude to Prof. Pankaj Srivastava, Head of the Physics Department at IIT Delhi, for offering numerous research facilities and support. I appreciate Prof. Sujeet Chaudhary, DRC chairperson and professor of physics at IIT Delhi, for giving his time in evaluating the progress of the research work. I want to also thank the ex-HOD Physics Department at IIT Delhi, Prof. Anurag Sharma and Prof. Ratnamala Chatterjee, for their support. I am also thankful to Prof. Safir Ahmad Hashmi (Delhi University) for assessing my research progress from time to time.*

*I thank the Central Research Facility (CRF) and Nanoscale Research Facility (NRF) for providing the necessary measurement facilities and their TAs and staffs for helping in different characterization measurements.*

*I want to thank all of my seniors, Dr. Mohd. Zubair Ansari, Dr. Deepti Chaudhary, Dr. Simarjit Singh, Dr. Deepanshu Sharma, Dr. Sandeep Munjal, Dr. Surbhi Sharma, Dr. Pratisha Gangwar, Dr. Huidrom Hemojit Singh, Dr. Dheeraj Kumar, Dr. Satyendra Prakash Pal, Dr. Kannan U.M., Dr. Rohit, Dr. Nitish Yadav, Dr. Gaurav Sharma, and Dr. Birendra Kumar for the moral support, and guidance. I would also like to thank all my fellow lab mates Mrs. Abhilasha, Mr. Mohit, Mr. Aman, Mr. Gaurav, Mr. Arun, Mr. Manoj, Mr. Sandeep, Ms. Rajni, and Ms. Sarita for helping me in various ways and providing a healthy atmosphere for completing my research work successfully.*

*I want to especially thank my seniors, Dr. Sunil Kumar, for guiding and helping me learn different instruments and synthesis techniques, which significantly helps me carry out my research work, Dr. Mohd. Faraz for his guidance and help in different synthesis techniques*

*required for my research work, and Dr. Mamta Dahiya for her continuous guidance and support during my research.*

*I am also highly grateful for the motivation and continuous support from my teachers, Dr. Mahendra Kumar Tiwari, Dr. Kamlesh Kumar Sharma, Dr. Prem Prakash Singh, Mr. Arun Kaushik, Dr. Rahul Rastogi and Mr. Vinay Singh, which has significantly helped in keeping me motivated in the research field.*

*I also want to thank Mr. Jagdish Phulara, Mr. Shiv Chauhan, Mr. Amit Kumar, Mr. Kamesh Kumar, Mr. Govind Prasad, Mr. Pradeep Kumar and Mr. Arjun Kumar for their help, cooperation and support for the day-to-day work. I also thank the B.Tech. and M.Sc. Physics Project students Mr. Jheel Rathod, Mr. Koduru Sudheer, Mr. Mohammad Shakib, Mr. Rajveer, Mr. Harsh Jhanjharria, Mr. Gutti Kartik, Mr. Anmol Sharma, Mr. Aditya Mulay and Mr. Shivesh Upadhyay with whom I work for their helpful support and healthy discussions.*

*I also thank my friends Mrs. Santoshi Patel, Mrs. Juhi, Mr. Sandeep Sahoo, Mr. Sourabh Tiwari, Mr. Saurav Yadav, Mr. Garvit Saxena, Mr. Shivam Verma, Mr. Dwijendra Pandey, Ms. Harshita Gangwar, Ms. Greysbi Tushiar, Mrs. Shalvi Katheriya, Ms. Gulafshan Bano, Ms. Mani Arora, Ms. Alka Shukla, Mr. Vikas, Mr. Rahul Jaiswal, Mr. Akash Yadav, Mr. Vinod, Mr. Rahul Bharti, Mr. Prem Prajapati, Mr. Kewal Anand, Mr. Maneesh Upadhyay, Mr. Kuldeep Meena, Late Mr. Kushal Malhotra, Mr. Hemant Jatav and Mr. Gagan Sharma for being friendly and supportive. I am tempted to individually thank well-wishers who, from my childhood until now, have helped me in various ways.*

*I want to express my gratitude to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) for supporting my doctoral work with Junior Research Fellowships (SRF) and Senior Research Fellowships (SRF).*

*Last but not least, I especially express my profound gratitude to my parents, Shri Ram Briksh and Smt. Meera Devi for their blessing, which gives me hope and strength in life. They always supported and encouraged me to do my best in all matters of life. I express my deepest regard and gratitude to my sisters, Mrs. Vidya Gautam and Mrs. Preeti Gautam and my brother Mr. Manish Kumar, for their incredible love and emotional and mental support throughout my life. They truly understood my problems during various phases of life and provided family support whenever I needed the most. I could not have reached this stage without their full backing and support.*

*Amish Kumar Gautam*  
27/7/2023  
**Amish Kumar Gautam**

## Abstract

---

---

Energy demands are increasing daily due to the rapid growth of industrial production. Nonetheless, a lot of energy produced is lost as waste heat, necessitating the development of waste heat recovery systems. Thermoelectric materials are feasible to transform waste heat energy into electrical energy. In order to have a thermoelectric material with a large figure of merit ( $zT$ ), the material must possess a larger value of Seebeck coefficient and electrical conductivity while at the same time should have a low value of total thermal conductivity. However, strong interdependency among these three parameters makes the task challenging. Nanosized materials are considered an effective method to overcome the challenges of interdependency among the  $zT$  parameters, improving the thermoelectric performance of a thermoelectric material. The present thesis focuses on synthesizing nanostructured thermoelectric material and fabricating the flexible thermoelectric device; the study is further explored to fabricate a hybrid device for harvesting multiple energies, i.e., mechanical and thermal energy, by utilizing triboelectric and thermoelectric hybrid effects. The thesis also focuses on the exploration and enhancement of thermoelectric properties of the 2D-MoS<sub>2</sub> material.

In order to carry out work in this direction, we have synthesized silver telluride (Ag<sub>2</sub>Te) nanoparticles using the hydrothermal method and showed significant improvements in the thermoelectric properties compared to the bulk Ag<sub>2</sub>Te sample. Ag<sub>2</sub>Te nanoparticles showed the highest figure of merit ( $zT$ ) of 1.37 at 373 K, which is the highest  $zT$  reported for this undoped material. This high  $zT$  in Ag<sub>2</sub>Te nanoparticles is mainly due to about  $\sim 2$  times higher electrical conductivity (1967 S/cm) and subsequently  $\sim 2$  times lower thermal conductivity (0.53 W/mK) as compared to the bulk Ag<sub>2</sub>Te sample.

To fabricate a flexible thermoelectric generator ( $f$ -TEG), we have utilized a vacuum filtration technique using a nylon membrane to prepare a flexible Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon composite film. Apart from having a higher Seebeck coefficient value (-135.5  $\mu$ V/K) and simultaneous lower

thermal conductivity (0.29 W/mK), the developed composite film demonstrates good flexibility in the bending test by retaining about 87 % of the electrical conductivity even after 500 times bending. The film shows an enhanced power factor of about  $450 \mu\text{W}/\text{mK}^2$  and a  $zT$  of about 0.48 at 313 K. A single  $f$ -TEG strip of the  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$ -nylon film can generate a maximum power density ( $\text{PD}_{\text{max}}$ ) of  $\sim 466 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$  at a temperature difference ( $\Delta T$ ) of  $\sim 36.8$  K.

Further, to harvest multiple energy, we developed a multifunctional flexible  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$ -nylon nanocomposite film that employs a unique approach to harvest mechanical and thermal energy by utilizing triboelectric and thermoelectric hybrid effects. In the flexible film,  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$  nanoparticles embedded in the nylon film act as a thermoelectric material, while the nylon membrane works as a triboelectric material. The flexible hybrid device can generate a power density of  $58 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$  through simple finger touch via the thermoelectric effect, whereas a power density of  $23 \text{ nW}/\text{cm}^2$  can be generated via rubbing with fingertips due to the triboelectric effect. The fabrication concept is extended to fabricate the nanocomposite film of  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$  with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes. In the  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$ -PVDF nanocomposite film, an output power density of  $1 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$  has been achieved while rubbing with fingertips. To explore the device's performance, capacitor charging was performed. The fabricated device in this study is the only one of its kind where a single material can harvest thermal and mechanical energy.

Lastly, to explore the thermoelectrics of 2D materials, we have chosen molybdenum disulfide ( $\text{MoS}_2$ ), a transition metal dichalcogenide (TMDC).  $\text{MoS}_2$  nanosheets have been prepared using the refluxing method. The low electrical conductivity of  $\text{MoS}_2$  is improved by making its nanocomposite with reduced graphene oxide (RGO). The higher value of electrical conductivity and a simultaneous decrease in thermal conductivity results in enhanced  $zT$  in the nanocomposite sample.

## सारांश

औद्योगिक उत्पादन की तीव्र वृद्धि के कारण ऊर्जा की मांग प्रतिदिन बढ़ रही है। अभी उत्पादित ऊर्जा का एक बड़ा हिस्सा अपशिष्ट ताप के रूप में नष्ट हो जाता है, जिससे अपशिष्ट ताप पुनर्प्राप्ति प्रणालियों के विकास की आवश्यकता है। थर्मोइलेक्ट्रिक मटेरियल अपशिष्ट ताप ऊर्जा को विद्युत ऊर्जा में बदलने की छमता रखता है। थर्मोइलेक्ट्रिक मटेरियल में उच्च फिगर ऑफ़ मेरिट ( $zT$ ) होने के लिए, मटेरियल में सीबेक गुणांक और विद्युत चालकता अधिक होनी चाहिए, जबकि साथ ही कुल थर्मल चालकता को कम मूल्य होना चाहिए। इन तीन मापदंडों के बीच मजबूत परस्पर निर्भरता के कारण उच्च  $zT$  को प्राप्त करना अत्यंत चुनौतीपूर्ण है।  $zT$  मापदंडों के बीच परस्पर निर्भरता की चुनौतियों को दूर करने के लिए और थर्मोइलेक्ट्रिक मटेरियल के थर्मोइलेक्ट्रिक प्रदर्शन में सुधार करने में, नैनोसाइज्ड मटेरियल को एक प्रभावी तरीका माना जाता है। वर्तमान थीसिस नैनोसंरचित थर्मोइलेक्ट्रिक मटेरियल को संश्लेषित करने और फ्लेक्सिबल थर्मोइलेक्ट्रिक डिवाइस के निर्माण पर केंद्रित है; अध्ययन को आगे अन्वेषण करते हुए ट्राइबोइलेक्ट्रिक और थर्मोइलेक्ट्रिक हाइब्रिड प्रभावों का उपयोग करके, कई ऊर्जाओं, यानी यांत्रिक और थर्मल ऊर्जा की हार्वेस्टिंग के लिए एक हाइब्रिड डिवाइस बनाया गया है। इस थीसिस में 2D- $\text{MoS}_2$  मटेरियल के थर्मोइलेक्ट्रिक गुणों की खोज और वृद्धि पर भी कार्य किया गया है।

इस दिशा में काम करने के लिए, हमने हाइड्रोथर्मल विधि का उपयोग करके सिल्वर टेल्यूराइड ( $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$ ) नैनोपार्टिकल्स को संश्लेषित किया है और बल्क  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$  सैंपल की तुलना में थर्मोइलेक्ट्रिक गुणों में महत्वपूर्ण सुधार दिखाया है।  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$  नैनोपार्टिकल्स ने 373 K पर 1.37 का फिगर ऑफ़ मेरिट ( $zT$ ) दिखाया, जो इस अनोपेड मटेरियल के लिए रिपोर्ट किया गया उच्चतम  $zT$  है।  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$  नैनोपार्टिकल्स में यह उच्च  $zT$  मुख्य रूप से बल्क  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$  सैंपल की तुलना में लगभग  $\sim 2$  गुना अधिक विद्युत चालकता (1967 S/cm) और साथ में  $\sim 2$  गुना कम तापीय चालकता (0.53 W/mK) के कारण है।

फ्लेक्सिबल थर्मोइलेक्ट्रिक जनरेटर ( $f$ -TEG) को बनाने के लिए, नायलॉन मेम्ब्रेन का उपयोग करके, वैक्यूम फिल्ट्रेशन तकनीक से एक फ्लेक्सिबल  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$ -नायलॉन कम्पोजिट फिल्म तैयार किया गया है। उच्च सीबेक

गुणांक मान ( $-135.5 \mu\text{V/K}$ ) और कम तापीय चालकता ( $0.29 \text{ W/mK}$ ) होने के अलावा, विकसित कम्पोजिट फिल्म 500 बार मोड़ने के बाद भी लगभग 87% विद्युत चालकता बनाए बनाये रखती है जो बहुत ही अच्छी फ्लेक्सिबिलिटी प्रदर्शित करता है। यह फिल्म 313 K पर बढ़ा हुआ लगभग  $450 \mu\text{W/mK}^2$  पावर फैक्टर और लगभग 0.48 का zT दिखाती है।  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$ -नायलॉन फिल्म की एक सिंगल  $f$ -TEG स्ट्रिप  $\sim 36.8 \text{ K}$  के तापमान अंतर ( $\Delta T$ ) पर  $\sim 466 \mu\text{W/cm}^2$  का अधिकतम पावर डेंसिटी ( $\text{PD}_{\text{max}}$ ) उत्पन्न कर सकती है।

इसके अलावा, कई ऊर्जा का उत्पादन करने के लिए, हमने एक बहुक्रियाशील फ्लेक्सिबल  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$ -नायलॉन नैनोकम्पोजिट फिल्म विकसित की है जो ट्राइबोइलेक्ट्रिक और थर्मोइलेक्ट्रिक हाइब्रिड प्रभावों का उपयोग करके यांत्रिक और तापीय ऊर्जा के लिए एक अद्वितीय दृष्टिकोण का उपयोग करती है। फ्लेक्सिबल फिल्म में, नायलॉन फिल्म में समाहित  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$  नैनोपार्टिकल्स थर्मोइलेक्ट्रिक मटेरियल के रूप में कार्य करते हैं, जबकि नायलॉन मेम्ब्रेन ट्राइबोइलेक्ट्रिक सामग्री के रूप में काम करती है। फ्लेक्सिबल हाइब्रिड डिवाइस थर्मोइलेक्ट्रिक प्रभाव के माध्यम से साधारण फिंगर टच से  $58 \mu\text{W/cm}^2$  की पावर डेंसिटी उत्पन्न कर सकती है, जबकि ट्राइबोइलेक्ट्रिक प्रभाव के कारण  $23 \text{ nW/cm}^2$  की पावर डेंसिटी उँगलियों से रगड़कर उत्पन्न की जा सकती है। निर्माण अवधारणा को बढ़ाने के लिए पॉलीटेट्राफ्लोरोएथिलीन (PTFE) और पॉलीविनाइलिडीन फ्लोराइड (PVDF) मेमब्रेन्स के साथ  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$  की नैनोकम्पोजिट फिल्म भी बनायीं गयी है।  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$ -PVDF नैनोकम्पोजिट फिल्म में, उँगलियों से रगड़ते हुए  $1 \mu\text{W/cm}^2$  का पावर डेंसिटी प्राप्त किया गया है। डिवाइस के प्रदर्शन का पता लगाने के लिए, कैपेसिटर चार्जिंग का प्रदर्शन किया गया। इस अध्ययन में बनायीं गई डिवाइस अपनी तरह की इकलौती ऐसी डिवाइस है जहां एक ही मटेरियल से तापीय और यांत्रिक ऊर्जा प्राप्त की जा सकती है।

अंत में, 2D मेटेरियल्स के थर्मोइलेक्ट्रिक्स का पता लगाने के लिए, हमने मोलिब्डेनम डाइसल्फ़ाइड ( $\text{MoS}_2$ ), एक ट्रांजिशन मेटल डाइक्लोजेनाइड (TMDC) को चुना है।  $\text{MoS}_2$  नैनोशीट को रिफ्लक्स विधि का उपयोग करके तैयार किया गया है। रेडूस ग्राफीन ऑक्साइड (RGO) के साथ इसके नैनोकम्पोजिट बनाकर  $\text{MoS}_2$  की कम विद्युत चालकता में सुधार पाया गया है। विद्युत चालकता का उच्च मूल्य और तापीय चालकता में एक साथ कमी के परिणामस्वरूप नैनोकम्पोजिट सैंपल में zT बढ़ा हुआ पाया गया है।

# Table of Contents

---

---

Certificate.....	i
Acknowledgment.....	ii
Abstract.....	iv
Table of Contents.....	vi
List of Figures.....	xi
List of Tables.....	xvi
Nomenclature.....	xvii
<b>CHAPTER 1: Introduction.....</b>	<b>1-27</b>
1.1 Introduction.....	2
1.2 Overview of Thermoelectrics.....	3
1.2.1 Thermoelectric generator (TEG).....	3
1.2.2 Thermoelectric efficiency ( $\eta$ ).....	4
1.2.3 Figure of merit ( $zT$ ).....	5
1.2.3.1 Seebeck coefficient.....	6
1.2.3.2 Electrical conductivity.....	7
1.2.3.3 Thermal conductivity.....	8
1.2.3.4 Weighted Mobility.....	9
1.3 Strategies for the enhancement of figure of merit ( $zT$ ).....	10
1.3.1 Strategies for enhancing Seebeck coefficient.....	10
1.3.1.1 Quantum confinement.....	10
1.3.1.2 Electron energy filtering.....	11
1.3.2 Strategies for enhancing electrical conductivity.....	12
1.3.2.1 Modulation doping.....	12
1.3.2.2 Band structure modification.....	13
1.3.2.3 Crystallite alignment.....	13
1.3.3 Strategies for reducing thermal conductivity.....	14
1.3.3.1 Point defect scattering.....	14
1.3.3.2 Interface scattering.....	15
1.3.3.3 Resonance scattering.....	15
1.3.4 Low-dimensional nanostructured materials.....	16
1.4 Material selection.....	16

1.4.1 Metal chalcogenide materials.....	17
1.4.2 2D materials.....	17
1.5 Flexible thermoelectric generator.....	18
1.6 Hybrid energy harvesting device.....	18
1.7 Motivation of the work.....	18
1.8 Objective of the present thesis.....	19
1.9 Outline of the thesis.....	20
References.....	24
<b>CHAPTER 2: Experimental Details and Characterization Techniques.....</b>	<b>28-57</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	29
2.2 Preparation of the nanostructured materials and nanocomposites.....	29
2.2.1 Hydrothermal Method.....	29
2.2.2 Refluxing Method.....	31
2.2.3 Hydraulic Pelletizer.....	32
2.3 Preparation of the nanostructured materials composite film.....	33
2.3.1 Vacuum Filtration Method.....	33
2.3.2 Metal deposition by e-beam evaporator deposition system.....	34
2.4 Characterization Techniques.....	36
2.4.1 X-ray Diffraction (XRD).....	36
2.4.2 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM).....	39
2.4.3 Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDX).....	40
2.4.4 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM).....	42
2.4.5 Raman Spectroscopy.....	43
2.4.6. Scanning Probe Microscopy.....	45
2.4.6.1 Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM).....	45
2.4.6.2 Kelvin Probe Force Microscope (KPFM).....	47
2.5 Electrical transport measurement.....	48
2.5.1 Temperature-dependent Seebeck coefficient measurement.....	48
2.5.2 Temperature-dependent electrical conductivity measurement.....	49
2.5.3 Temperature-dependent thermal conductivity measurement.....	51
2.5.4 Time-dependent voltage and current measurement.....	53
2.5.5 Power output measurement under different load resistances.....	54
References.....	55

**CHAPTER 3: Synthesis, Characterization, and Thermoelectric Properties of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te Nanoparticles and its Comparison with Bulk Ag<sub>2</sub>Te Sample.....58-74**

3.1 Introduction..... 59

3.2 Experimental Section.....60

    3.2.1 Synthesis of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te nanoparticles.....60

3.3 Results and discussion.....61

    3.3.1 Structural analysis.....61

    3.3.2 Morphological, elemental and particle size analysis.....62

    3.3.3 Thermoelectric properties of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te nanoparticles and bulk Ag<sub>2</sub>Te sample.....63

        3.3.3.1 Electrical conductivity.....63

        3.3.3.2 Seebeck coefficient.....64

        3.3.3.3 Thermal conductivity.....65

        3.3.3.4 Weighted Mobility and carrier concentration.....66

        3.3.3.5 Figure of merit.....71

3.4 Conclusions.....72

    References.....73

**CHAPTER 4: Fabrication and Thermoelectric Study of Flexible Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-Nylon Composite Film.....75-92**

4.1 Introduction..... 76

4.2 Experimental Section.....77

    4.2.1 Synthesis of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te nanoparticles.....77

    4.2.2 Fabrication of flexible Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon composite film.....77

4.3 Results and discussion..... 78

    4.3.1 Structural, elemental, and morphological analysis.....78

    4.3.2 Thermoelectric properties of flexible Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon composite film..... 79

        4.3.2.1 Electrical conductivity.....79

        4.3.2.2 Seebeck coefficient.....80

        4.3.2.3 Power Factor.....81

        4.3.2.4 Thermal conductivity.....82

        4.3.2.5 Figure of merit..... 84

    4.3.3 Flexible device output measurements.....84

        4.3.3.1 Open circuit voltage measurement as a function of temperature gradient..... 84

4.3.3.2 Output voltage, current, and power under different load resistance at different temperature gradient.....	85
4.3.3.3 Power density as a function of temperature gradient.....	86
4.3.3.4 Bending test analysis.....	88
4.4 Conclusions.....	88
References.....	90

**CHAPTER 5: Fabrication of Flexible Hybrid Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-Nylon Composite Film For Harvesting Thermal and Mechanical Energy.....93-108**

5.1 Introduction.....	94
5.2 Experimental Section.....	95
5.2.1 Synthesis of Ag <sub>2</sub> Te nanoparticles.....	95
5.2.2 Fabrication of flexible hybrid device of Ag <sub>2</sub> Te-nylon composite film.....	95
5.3 Results and discussion.....	97
5.3.1 Structural and elemental analysis.....	97
5.3.2 Morphological analysis using SEM and TEM.....	97
5.3.3 Film thickness analysis.....	98
5.3.4 Flexible hybrid Ag <sub>2</sub> Te-nylon composite film operation.....	99
5.3.5 Thermoelectric measurement of flexible hybrid Ag <sub>2</sub> Te-nylon composite film..	99
5.3.5.1 Open circuit voltage as a function of temperature gradient.....	99
5.3.5.2 Time-dependent open-circuit voltage and current.....	100
5.3.6 Triboelectric measurement of flexible hybrid Ag <sub>2</sub> Te-nylon composite film.....	101
5.3.6.1 Open-circuit voltage and current.....	101
5.3.6.2 Mechanism of the thermoelectric and triboelectric effect.....	102
5.3.7 Performance of bending test.....	103
5.3.8 Hybrid device test through capacitor charging.....	105
5.3.9 Enhancing the hybrid device performance.....	105
5.4 Conclusions.....	107
References.....	108

**CHAPTER 6: Synthesis, Characterization, and Thermoelectric Properties of MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub>-RGO Nanocomposites.....109-123**

6.1 Introduction.....	110
6.2 Experimental Section.....	111
6.2.1 Synthesis of MoS <sub>2</sub> nanosheets.....	111

6.2.2 Synthesis of reduced graphene oxide (RGO).....	112
6.2.3 Synthesis of MoS <sub>2</sub> -RGO nanocomposites.....	112
6.3 Results and discussion.....	113
6.3.1 Structural analysis.....	113
6.3.2 Raman analysis.....	114
6.3.3 Morphology study by TEM.....	115
6.3.4. Thermoelectric properties of MoS <sub>2</sub> nanosheets and MoS <sub>2</sub> -RGO nanocomposites.....	116
6.3.4.1 Electrical conductivity.....	116
6.3.4.2 Seebeck coefficient.....	118
6.3.4.3 Thermal conductivity.....	119
6.3.4.4 Power Factor.....	119
6.3.4.5 Figure of merit.....	120
6.4 Conclusions.....	121
References.....	122
<b>CHAPTER 7: Conclusions and Future Scope of the Work.....</b>	<b>124-130</b>
7.1 Conclusions of the present thesis.....	125
7.1.1 Synthesis and characterization of Ag <sub>2</sub> Te nanoparticles.....	125
7.1.2 Thermoelectric properties of Ag <sub>2</sub> Te nanoparticles and its comparison with bulk Ag <sub>2</sub> Te sample.....	126
7.1.3 Fabrication and thermoelectric study of flexible Ag <sub>2</sub> Te-nylon composite film..	126
7.1.4 Fabrication and output characteristics of flexible thermo-tribo hybrid Ag <sub>2</sub> Te- nylon composite film.....	127
7.1.5 Enhancement in the output characteristics of flexible thermo-tribo hybrid Ag <sub>2</sub> Te nylon composite film.....	128
7.1.6 Synthesis and characterization of MoS <sub>2</sub> and MoS <sub>2</sub> -RGO nanocomposites.....	128
7.1.7 Thermoelectric study of MoS <sub>2</sub> and MoS <sub>2</sub> -RGO nanocomposites.....	129
7.2 Future scope of the present study.....	129
<b>List of Publications.....</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>Author Biodata.....</b>	<b>133</b>

## List of Figures

---

---

- Figure 1.1 Schematic showing multiple thermal energy sources.
- Figure 1.2 Schematic of a typical thermoelectric device and a zoom-in picture of a single unit of TEG.
- Figure 1.3 Efficiency of a thermoelectric generator with different  $ZT$  having  $T_{cold} = 300$  K against other energy sources.
- Figure 1.4 Schematic of the interdependence of different  $zT$  parameters.
- Figure 1.5 Schematic of a typical thermoelectric generator showing the Seebeck effect composed of  $n$ - and  $p$ -type semiconductors.
- Figure 1.6 Schematic representation of weighted mobility ( $\mu_w$ ) measurements.
- Figure 1.7 Electronic density of states (DOS) with dimensionality.
- Figure 1.8 Schematic diagram illustrating the mechanism of electron energy filtering.
- Figure 1.9 Comparison of uniform and modulation doping.
- Figure 1.10 Schematic diagram illustrating point defect scattering.
- Figure 1.11 Schematic diagram illustrating interface scattering.
- Figure 1.12 Crystal structure of  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$ .
- Figure 2.1 Steps involved in the hydrothermal synthesis method.
- Figure 2.2 Schematic of the refluxing setup.
- Figure 2.3 Photograph of the hydraulic pelletizer used.
- Figure 2.4 Schematic of the vacuum filtration setup.
- Figure 2.5 (a) working of an e-beam evaporation in a schematic diagram, and (b) photograph of the e-beam evaporator system used.
- Figure 2.6 Schematic diagram showing the X-ray diffraction phenomenon.
- Figure 2.7 Schematic of X-ray diffractometer utilizing Bragg-Brentano geometry.
- Figure 2.8 (a) Photograph of Rigaku Ultima IV X-ray diffractometer and (b) Zoom-in photograph of the assembly and components.
- Figure 2.9 Schematic of the scanning electron microscope with EDX.
- Figure 2.10 Photograph of the Scanning electron microscope (Carl Zeiss EVO 50).
- Figure 2.11 Schematic diagram of X-ray generation.
- Figure 2.12 Hitachi Tabletop Microscope TM3000 equipped with SwiftED3000.
- Figure 2.13 Schematic of the transmission electron microscope.
- Figure 2.14 Photograph of the transmission electron microscope (JEOL JEM-1400).

- Figure 2.15** (a) Schematic of light scattering mechanism and (b) Photograph of Raman Spectrometer (LabRAM HR Evolution: Horiba Scientific).
- Figure 2.16** (a) Schematic diagram showing the working of an atomic force microscopy. (b) effect of tip-to-sample distance on the force interaction between tip and sample.
- Figure 2.17** Photograph of the Atomic force microscope (Bruker Dimension Icon). (a) Central unit, and (b) Controller unit.
- Figure 2.18** (a) Lift mode principle in surface potential determination. (b) The energy levels of the sample and the tip are depicted in a schematic picture when (i) they are separated, (ii) they come in contact electrically, and (iii) the contact potential difference is eliminated by applying an external potential.
- Figure 2.19** (a) Schematic of the Seebeck coefficient measurement setup and (b) photograph of the actual measurement setup.
- Figure 2.20** Schematic of the electrical resistivity measurement using the 4-point probe method.
- Figure 2.21** (a) top and (b) and cross-sectional views of the TPS method, where  $V$  is a constant voltage source,  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are precision voltmeters,  $R_{e,s}$  is a standard resistor, and  $R_e$  is the nonlinear resistance of the sensor and (c) schematic of the thermal conductivity measurement setup.
- Figure 2.22** Photograph of thermal conductivity measurement system (a) control unit (Hot Disk TPS 2200), (b) Sensor, (c) measurement unit, and (d) circulator bath.
- Figure 2.23** Schematic representation of output power ( $P$ ) measurement under different load resistance ( $R_l$ ).
- Figure 3.1** XRD spectra of synthesized  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$  nanoparticles and the bulk sample.
- Figure 3.2** (a, c and b, d) Morphology and EDX spectra of the bulk sample and the synthesized  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$  nanoparticles. (e and f) TEM image of the synthesized  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$  nanoparticles and particle size distribution, respectively.
- Figure 3.3** Temperature dependence of electrical conductivity of  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$  nanoparticles and bulk sample.
- Figure 3.4** Temperature dependence of Seebeck coefficient of  $\text{Ag}_2\text{Te}$  nanoparticles and bulk sample.

- Figure 3.5** Temperature dependence of thermal conductivity of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te nanoparticles and bulk sample.
- Figure 3.6** Temperature dependence of (a) weighted mobility and (b) weighted mobility-based carrier concentration of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te nanoparticles and bulk sample.
- Figure 3.7** (a) and (b) are AFM surface topography and corresponding line scan height profile of the Ag<sub>2</sub>Te bulk sample, respectively. (c) and (d) are KPFM images and corresponding line scan of variation of surface potential across the interface of the Ag<sub>2</sub>Te bulk sample, respectively.
- Figure 3.8** (a) and (b) are AFM surface topography and corresponding line scan height profile of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te nanoparticles samples, respectively. (c) and (d) are KPFM images of and line scan of variation of surface potential across the interface of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te nanoparticles samples, respectively.
- Figure 3.9** Surface topography, surface potential, and potential distribution of (a-c) bulk Ag<sub>2</sub>Te sample and (d-f) Ag<sub>2</sub>Te nanoparticle sample.
- Figure 3.10** Temperature dependence figure of merit ( $zT$ ) of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te nanoparticles and bulk sample.
- Figure 4.1** Schematic showing the synthesis procedure for the Ag<sub>2</sub>Te nanoparticles and Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon film using the vacuum filtration technique.
- Figure 4.2** Characterization of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon film (a) XRD spectra, (b) SEM image, (c) EDX spectra and (d) cross-sectional SEM image.
- Figure 4.3** Temperature dependence (a) electrical conductivity and (b)  $\ln(\sigma T^{1/2})$  as a function of  $1/kT$  of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon composite film.
- Figure 4.4** Temperature dependence Seebeck coefficient of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon composite film.
- Figure 4.5** Temperature dependence power factor of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon composite film.
- Figure 4.6** Temperature dependence (a) total thermal conductivity and (b) lattice ( $K_{lattice}$ ) and electronic ( $K_{electronic}$ ) part of thermal conductivity of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon film.
- Figure 4.7** Temperature dependence figure of merit ( $zT$ ) of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon film.
- Figure 4.8** Open circuit voltage ( $V_{oc}$ ) vs  $\Delta T$  of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon film.
- Figure 4.9** Output voltage vs Output current vs Output power at different  $\Delta T$  for Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon film.
- Figure 4.10** Maximum power density ( $PD_{max}$ ) vs  $\Delta T$  of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon film.

- Figure 4.11** Bending test of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon film.
- Figure 5.1** Schematic sketch of steps involved in fabricating Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon film.
- Figure 5.2** Schematic sketch. (a) Top view of the fabricated device. (b) bottom view of the fabricated device. (c) picture of the actual hybrid device (i) Top view and (ii) Bottom view, and (d) picture of the actual device mounted on the forearm.
- Figure 5.3** Characterization of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon composite film. (a) XRD pattern. (b) EDX spectra.
- Figure 5.4** Characterization of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon film. (a) SEM image of the Ag<sub>2</sub>Te nanoparticles. (b) TEM image of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te nanoparticles.
- Figure 5.5** Cross-sectional SEM image of (a) bare nylon membrane and (b) Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon composite film.
- Figure 5.6** Schematic sketch of the measurement process. (a) thermoelectric effect, and (b) triboelectric effect.
- Figure 5.7** Open circuit voltage of the Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon film as a function of temperature difference ( $\Delta T$ ).
- Figure 5.8** Finger touch test of hybrid device. (a) Open circuit voltage (b) Short circuit current of the Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon composite film as a function of time.
- Figure 5.9** Triboelectric measurements of the hybrid device. (a) Open circuit voltage. (b) current measured from the triboelectric unit of the hybrid device.
- Figure 5.10** Schematic diagram of the power generation mechanism in the hybrid device. (a) (i)-(ii) thermoelectric effect. (b) (i)-(iii) triboelectric effect.
- Figure 5.11** (a) Picture of the fabricated flexible Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon film (b) electrical conductivity of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te nylon film after bending test (c) and (d) triboelectric output voltage before and after bending of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon film and (e) thermoelectric output voltage after bending.
- Figure 5.12** Schematic of the electrical connections for capacitor charging (b) capacitor charging test using 1  $\mu$ F capacitor for Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon composite film.
- Figure 5.13** (a) Comparison of thermoelectric power density obtained with the same finger test for Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon film with Ag<sub>2</sub>Te thickness as 45  $\mu$ m, 25  $\mu$ m, and 10  $\mu$ m. (b) the triboelectric power density of Ag<sub>2</sub>Te hybrid device with nylon, PTFE, and PVDF membrane and (c) capacitor charging test using 1  $\mu$ F capacitor for Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon and Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-PVDF composite film.
- Figure 6.1** Schematic diagram for the synthesis of MoS<sub>2</sub> by hydrothermal method.

- Figure 6.2** Schematic diagram for the synthesis of MoS<sub>2</sub>-RGO nanocomposite by refluxing method.
- Figure 6.3** XRD pattern of MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub>-RGO nanocomposite.
- Figure 6.4** Raman spectra of MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub>-RGO nanocomposite.
- Figure 6.5** TEM image of (a) MoS<sub>2</sub> and (b) MoS<sub>2</sub>-RGO nanocomposite, respectively.
- Figure 6.6** Temperature-dependent electrical conductivity of MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub>-RGO nanocomposites.
- Figure 6.7**  $\ln(\sigma T^{1/2})$  vs  $1/k_B T$  plot for MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub>-RGO nanocomposites.
- Figure 6.8** Temperature-dependent Seebeck coefficient of MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub>-RGO nanocomposites.
- Figure 6.9** Temperature-dependent thermal conductivity of MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub>-RGO nanocomposites.
- Figure 6.10** Temperature-dependent power factor of MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub>-RGO nanocomposites.
- Figure 6.11** Temperature-dependent figure of merit ( $zT$ ) of MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub>-RGO nanocomposites.

## List of Tables

---

---

**Table 4.1 Comparison of the room-temperature thermoelectric performance of the present Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-nylon film and the previously published *n*-type flexible Ag<sub>2</sub>Te-based film.**

**Table 4.2 Detailed comparison of the output characteristics of some of the previously reported flexible thermoelectric generators with the present work.**

# Nomenclature

## Symbols

$S$	Seebeck coefficient
$\Delta V$	Electromotive force
$I$	Current
$J$	Current density
$\Delta T$	Temperature gradient
$k$	Thermal conductivity
$\eta$	Thermoelectric efficiency
$l$	Length
$A$	Area
$Q$	Heat
$T_h$	Hot end temperature
$T_c$	Cold end temperature
$R/R_l$	External load resistance
$r/R_i$	Internal resistance
$\sigma$	Electrical conductivity
$V_{oc}$	Open circuit voltage
$W$	Power
$P_{max}$	Maximum output power
$\bar{T}$	Average temperature
$\eta_{max}$	Maximum efficiency of thermoelectric generator
$\eta_c$	Carnot efficiency
$k_e/k_{electronic}$	Electronic thermal conductivity
$k_l/k_{lattice}$	Lattice thermal conductivity
$\rho$	Electrical resistivity
$E$	Electric field
$\alpha$	Temperature coefficient of resistivity
$E_g$	Band gap
$n$	Carrier concentration

$\mu$	Carrier mobility
$V$	Electric potential
$E_F$	Fermi energy
$m^*$	Effective mass
$L$	Lorentz number
$\mu_w$	Weighted mobility
$m_e$	Electronic mass
$\mu_H$	Hall mobility
$S^2\sigma$	Power factor
$k_B$	Boltzmann constant
$\Delta\chi$	Electronegativity difference
$m_I^*$	Inertial or conductive effective mass
$d_{hkl}$	Interplanar spacing
$\theta$	Diffraction angle
$V_{cd}$	Contact surface potential difference
$\phi$	Work function
$e$	Electronic charge
$D_c$	Average crystallite size
$E_b$	Energy barrier at the interface

### Abbreviations

TEG	Thermoelectric generators
$zT$	Material figure of merit
$f$ -TEG	Flexible thermoelectric generator
RTEG	Radioisotope thermoelectric generator
DC	Direct current
DOS	Density of states
$zT_{average}$	Average figure of merit
TMDC	Transition metal dichalcogenide
Ag <sub>2</sub> Te	Silver telluride
MoS <sub>2</sub>	Molybdenum disulfide

GO	Graphene oxide
RGO	Reduced graphene oxide
XRD	X-ray diffraction
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
EDX	Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
AFM	Atomic force microscopy
KPFM	Kelvin probe force microscopy
Au	Gold
Ag	Silver
JCPDS	Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Data
LaB <sub>6</sub>	Lanthanum Hexaboride
HOPG	Highly oriented pyrolytic graphite
RTD	Resistance temperature detector
TPS	Transient plane source
PD	Power density
PD <sub>max</sub>	Maximum power density
Te	Tellurium
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
NaBH <sub>4</sub>	Sodium borohydride
DI	Deionized
AgNO <sub>3</sub>	Silver nitrate
PTFE	Polytetrafluoroethylene
PVDF	Polyvinylidene fluoride
CC	Cubic centimeter
TENG	Triboelectric nanogenerator
(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>6</sub> Mo <sub>7</sub> O <sub>24</sub> ·4H <sub>2</sub> O	Ammonium heptamolybdate tetrahydrate
HCl	Hydrochloric acid