

# **EFFECT OF PACKING ON PERFORMANCE OF TRICKLE BED REACTOR**

**Thameed Aijaz**



**DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI  
OCTOBER 2021**



# **EFFECT OF PACKING ON PERFORMANCE OF TRICKLE BED REACTOR**

*by*

**Thameed Aijaz**

**Department of Chemical Engineering**

***Submitted***

***in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy***

***to the***



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

**NEW DELHI-110016**

**OCTOBER 2021**

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled '**Effect of Packing on Performance of Trickle Bed Reactor**' submitted by **Mr. Thameed Aijaz** to the Indian Institute of Technology-Delhi for the award of degree of **Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.)** is a bona fide record of research work carried out by him under our supervision. The contents of this thesis have not been submitted to any other institutes or university for the award of any degree or diploma.

Professor K. D. P. Nigam  
Professor  
Department of Chemical Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi  
Hauz Khas, New 110016, India

Professor Shantanu Roy  
Institute Chair Professor  
Department of Chemical Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi  
Hauz Khas, New 110016, India

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

At the very outset, I would like to thank Almighty, the most beneficent and the most merciful, whose grace always guides me. Without His blessings, I would not have had the ability, determination, strength, and wisdom to draft the thesis. His kind grace and mercy throughout my life and, more importantly, during the period of my research, made me stand where I am right now. Praise be to the Almighty for everything which he had bestowed on me.

This piece of work summarizes a journey scattered with efforts, hardships, frustration, learnings, and achievements in equal measure. However, I would not have reached my destination without the able guidance, support, and contributions of several people, some of whose contributions were paramount. Foremost among them is my supervisor, Professor Shantanu Roy, whose invaluable guidance supported me in this journey throughout. My unalloyed gratitude goes to him, particularly for his trust in times of upheaval on personal fronts as well. With every meeting, I got more motivated and encouraged that enriched my thoughts on analyzing research problems. He ensured that I always stay on the course, even during my long absence. His visions on the ‘big-picture’ always kept me seeking out-of-box solutions. This thesis would be incomplete, but for his guidance, encouragement, intellectual and moral support, which I received despite his busy schedule.

The second most important person in this journey is my co-supervisor, Professor K. D. P. Nigam, whose experience made me learn a new dimension in the teaching-learning process. It is not possible to quantify the contribution and support which I received from him. At every stage, he energized and made me understand that I have the ability to complete this journey. He made me realize that in a profession, it is important to know where one stands. His words of wisdom,

hope, and encouragement were highly invaluable during the tough times. I also sincerely appreciate for sharing his experience in managing research.

I would like to thank my research committee: Professor Kamal K. Pant (Chairman, SRC), Professor T. R. Sreekrishnan (External Member, Department of Biochemical Engineering and Biotechnology), Prof. Suddhasatwa Basu (Departmental Member), and Dr. Manojkumar C. Ramteke (Departmental Member) for giving timely and valuable suggestions.

The time I spent in Multiphase Reaction Engineering Laboratory (MREL) will be remembered throughout my life. The support which I received from my lab colleagues will be cherished for the rest of my life. Be it technical or emotional; I got selfless support. Special thanks to Meenakshi, Loveleen, Tejas, Deepali, Ashutosh, Akarsha, Misti, and Sangram. However, I would like to mention Sangram, who has been by my side throughout this journey, be it online or offline. Without his help, this work could not have been possible to reach where it is right now. I would also thank - Brahm Prakash, Girish, and Naresh for helping me in one way or other.

I express my sincere gratitude to my parent institute, Islamic University of Science and Technology (IUST), Awantipora, Jammu and Kashmir, for permitting me to pursue higher studies.

I am thankful to my family, who supported and encouraged me in pursuit of my academic goals. Despite their sufferings, their whole-hearted support of every decision of professional pursuit is priceless. No words can adequately justify how Sadiyah and Saad willingly accepted their father's absence when needed the most.

Thameed Aijaz

New Delhi

## ABSTRACT

Packed beds have particles of different shapes, which are assumed to be equally and uniformly distributed. However, in general, the actual arrangement of packing may not be so: rather, the bed usually contains a “signature” of the way the particles are dumped into the bed. There is considerable interest in “fingerprinting,” a packing arrangement that results as a result of both the packing type, size and shape, and method of packing. Moreover, interactions being multiscale in space and time, the complexity of the problem increases, thereby rendering a generalized treatment a challenging endeavour. However, efforts have been put forward to anticipate the overall reactor performance by modeling it and then comparing the predicted results with the available experimental data.

In this work, an attempt has been made to generate beds, with surface abnormalities visually observed in industrial packed bed reactors, using a simulation tool to get a detailed portrayal of particle distribution in the bed. Five types of beds were generated with different packing methods. The voidage information of the simulated packed bed was compared with the experimental data. The bulk voidage for different beds was in the range of 37.3 to 46.3%. Moreover, radial and axial voidage for all the five types were compared and were in good agreement with reported experimental measurements. To analyse bed property at a macroscopic level, Voronoi analysis was performed for two structured packings, Square Packing (SP) and Hexagonal Close Packing (HCP). As HCP and SP are two extremes, peaks for any type of packing will lie between the two. Moreover, different particles shapes (spherical, cylindrical, trilobe, and quadrilobe) were considered, and their effect on packing properties was studied. It was observed that cylindrical particles having an aspect ratio of 1 has bulk voidage of 0.38, and for quadrilobe, the value is 0.43. As the aspect ratio was increased, bulk voidage also increased as more disorder is present in the

bed. A function for estimation of radial voidage for particles of various shapes and aspect ratios was proposed.

In order to evaluate the performance of the Trickle bed reactors (TBR), Compartment Network Model (CNM) was employed where a packed bed is treated as a network of interconnected cells. The CNM, which is a simple model which when coupled with flow information and measurement of local bed porosity, yielded estimation on the performance of a TBR even for an industrial-scale reactor, albeit at a much lower computational cost. Further, detailed cell-wise information can be used to improve the design of TBR for a deeper process where conversion levels are higher. Moreover, the model has an inherent computational advantage over other available models, which solves second-order nonlinear differential equations. Also, evaluating one cell at a time rather than solving the whole bed simultaneously using some iterative scheme makes CNM a valuable diagnostic tool of industrial-scale TBR.

## सार

पैकड बेड में अलग-अलग आकार के कण होते हैं, जिन्हें समान रूप से और समान रूप से वितरित माना जाता है। हालांकि, सामान्य तौर पर, पैकिंग की वास्तविक व्यवस्था ऐसी नहीं हो सकती है: बल्कि, बिस्तर में आमतौर पर "हस्ताक्षर" होता है जिस तरह से कणों को बिस्तर में डंप किया जाता है। "फिंगरप्रिंटिंग" में काफी रुचि है, एक पैकिंग व्यवस्था जो पैकिंग प्रकार, आकार और आकार और पैकिंग की विधि दोनों के परिणामस्वरूप होती है। इसके अलावा, अंतरिक्ष और समय में बहुस्तरीय होने के कारण, समस्या की जटिलता बढ़ जाती है, जिससे सामान्यीकृत उपचार एक चुनौतीपूर्ण प्रयास बन जाता है। हालांकि, रिएक्टर के समग्र प्रदर्शन का अनुमान लगाने के लिए इसे मॉडलिंग करके और फिर उपलब्ध प्रयोगात्मक डेटा के साथ अनुमानित परिणामों की तुलना करने के लिए प्रयास किए गए हैं।

इस काम में, बेड में कण वितरण का विस्तृत चित्रण प्राप्त करने के लिए सिमुलेशन टूल का उपयोग करते हुए, औद्योगिक पैकड बेड रिएक्टरों में सतह की असामान्यताओं के साथ, बेड उत्पन्न करने का प्रयास किया गया है। विभिन्न पैकिंग विधियों से पांच प्रकार के बिस्तर तैयार किए गए। प्रयोगात्मक डेटा के साथ नकली पैक किए गए बिस्तर की शून्य जानकारी की तुलना की गई थी। विभिन्न बिस्तरों के लिए थोक शून्यता ३७.३ से ४६.३% की सीमा में थी। इसके अलावा, सभी पांच प्रकारों के लिए रेडियल और अक्षीय शून्यता की तुलना की गई और रिपोर्ट किए गए प्रयोगात्मक माप के साथ अच्छे समझौते में थे। मैक्रोस्कोपिक स्तर पर बिस्तर की संपत्ति का विश्लेषण करने के लिए, दो संरचित पैकिंग, स्क्वायर पैकिंग (एसपी) और हेक्सागोनल क्लोज पैकिंग (एचसीपी) के लिए वोरनोई विश्लेषण किया गया था। चूंकि एचसीपी और एसपी दो चरम सीमाएं हैं, किसी भी प्रकार की पैकिंग के लिए चोटियां दोनों के बीच स्थित होंगी। इसके अलावा, विभिन्न कणों के आकार (गोलाकार, बेलनाकार, त्रिलोब और क्वाड्रिलोब) पर विचार किया गया, और पैकिंग गुणों पर उनके प्रभाव का अध्ययन किया गया। यह देखा गया कि 1 के पक्षानुपात वाले बेलनाकार कणों में 0.38 की थोक

शून्यता होती है, और क्राड्रिलोब के लिए, मान 0.43 होता है। जैसे-जैसे पहलू अनुपात में वृद्धि हुई, बिस्तर में अधिक विकार मौजूद होने के कारण थोक शून्यता भी बढ़ गई। विभिन्न आकार और पहलू अनुपात के कणों के लिए रेडियल शून्यता के आकलन के लिए एक समारोह प्रस्तावित किया गया था।

ट्रिकल बेड रिएक्टर (टीबीआर) के प्रदर्शन का मूल्यांकन करने के लिए, कम्पार्टमेंट नेटवर्क मॉडल (सीएनएम) को नियोजित किया गया था, जहां एक पैक किए गए बिस्तर को इंटरकनेक्टेड कोशिकाओं के नेटवर्क के रूप में माना जाता है। सीएनएम, जो एक सरल मॉडल है, जो प्रवाह की जानकारी और स्थानीय बेड सरंध्रता के माप के साथ मिलकर, एक औद्योगिक पैमाने के रिएक्टर के लिए भी टीबीआर के प्रदर्शन पर अनुमान लगाता है, हालांकि बहुत कम कम्प्यूटेशनल लागत पर। इसके अलावा, विस्तृत सेल-वार जानकारी का उपयोग टीबीआर के डिजाइन को बेहतर बनाने के लिए किया जा सकता है जहां रूपांतरण का स्तर अधिक होता है। इसके अलावा, मॉडल में अन्य उपलब्ध मॉडलों पर एक अंतर्निहित कम्प्यूटेशनल लाभ होता है, जो दूसरे क्रम के गैर-रेखीय अंतर समीकरणों को हल करता है। साथ ही, किसी पुनरावृत्त योजना का उपयोग करके पूरे बिस्तर को एक साथ हल करने के बजाय एक समय में एक सेल का मूल्यांकन करना सीएनएम को औद्योगिक पैमाने पर टीबीआर का एक मूल्यवान निदान उपकरण बनाता है।

# CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	i
ABSTRACT.....	iii
CONTENTS.....	v
LIST OF FIGURES .....	ix
LIST OF TABLES.....	xiii
CHAPTER 1 .....	1
INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Trickle Bed Reactors .....	1
1.2 Multiscale Phenomena in TBR.....	4
1.3 Modelling of Trickle Bed Reactor.....	7
1.4 Motivation for Research .....	10
1.5 Research Objectives.....	13
1.6 Organization of the Thesis.....	13
NOMENCLATURE .....	16
REFERENCES .....	17
CHAPTER 2 .....	21
BACKGROUND .....	21
2.1 Introduction.....	21
2.2 Catalyst packing in a packed bed.....	21
2.2.1 Experimental studies of packing methods in a packed bed reactors.....	22
2.2.2 Simulation studies of bed structure.....	31
2.3 Hydrodynamics in trickle bed reactor.....	43
2.3.3 Mass transfer coefficient.....	43
2.3.4 Wetting efficiency.....	50
2.3.5 Axial dispersion .....	53
2.4 Modeling of trickle bed reactors.....	54
2.4.1 Modeling classifications .....	54
2.4.2 Summary of models .....	61

2.5	Limitation of past studies.....	65
2.6	Conclusions.....	66
	NOMENCLATURE .....	67
	REFERENCES .....	70
CHAPTER 3 .....		87
MODELING PARTICLE PACKING IN A PACKED BED USING COLLISION GUIDED		
PACKING (CGP) TECHNIQUE .....		
		87
3.1	Introduction.....	87
3.2	Collision guided packing algorithm.....	89
3.3	Validation of collision guided packing algorithm .....	92
3.4	Results and Discussion .....	95
3.4.1	Simulation of laboratory-scale packed beds for different packing defects.....	95
3.4.2	Mean dispersion of particle location.....	111
3.4.3	Effect of particle shape on the bed properties: Simulations .....	114
3.5	Summary and Conclusions .....	122
	NOMENCLATURE .....	125
	REFERENCES .....	127
CHAPTER 4 .....		129
COMPARTMENT NETWORK MODEL FOR HYDRODESULFURIZATION PROCESS ..		
		129
4.1	Introduction.....	129
4.2	Compartment Network Model (CNM) for Hydrodesulfurization (HDS).....	132
4.3	Solution Scheme for Two-Dimensional CNM Model.....	139
4.4	Model Validation .....	142
4.5	Results and Discussion .....	148
4.5.1	Compartment Network Model for Sulfur Lumping.....	148
4.5.2	Simulation of Industrial Scale Bed Using CNM.....	158
4.5.3	Simulation of Performance of Reactor with Surface Abnormalities Studies, using CNM .....	161
4.6	Conclusions.....	165
	NOMENCLATURE .....	166

REFERENCES .....	170
CHAPTER 5 .....	173
NON-ISOTHERMAL COMPARTMENT NETWORK MODEL FOR HYDROTREATING OF CRUDE .....	173
5.1 Introduction.....	173
5.2 Non- Isothermal Model.....	177
5.3 Simulation Details .....	183
5.4 Results and Discussion .....	188
5.5 Conclusion .....	208
NOMENCLATURE .....	209
REFERENCES .....	215
CHAPTER 6 .....	217
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK.....	217
6.1 Summary of particle packing using collision guided packing.....	217
6.2 Summary of Compartment Network Model for Hydrodesulfurization Process.....	219
6.3 Summary of non-isothermal compartment network model for hydrotreating of crude	220
6.4 Thesis Accomplishments .....	220
6.5 Recommendations for future work .....	221
VITA .....	223

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. 1 Sulfur content (weight average) of crude oil input to refineries .....	3
Figure 1. 2 The relative reaction rate of various sulfur compounds (Song, 2003) .....	4
Figure 1. 3 Different size scales in a typical trickle bed reactor.....	6
Figure 1. 4 Surface abnormalities in packed beds (a) Hollow, (b) Donut, (c) Bump, (d) Slope.....	12
Figure 2. 1 Schematic diagram of different mass transfer regimes in a catalyst particle (Ramachandran and Chaudhari, 1983).....	44
Figure 2. 2 Schematic diagram of the wetting behavior of particles. ....	51
Figure 2. 3 General classification of packed bed reactor modeling (Shinnar, 1978).....	55
Figure 2. 4 Classification of a continuous model for packed bed reactors (Froment and Bischoff, 1990).....	58
Figure 2. 5 Classification of a pseudo-homogenous model for packed bed reactors (Iannibello et al. 1985).....	59
Figure 2. 6 Classification of Heterogeneous models for packed bed reactors. (Mederos et al., 2009).....	60
Figure 3. 1 Collision Guided Packing (CGP) algorithm.....	91
Figure 3. 2 Radial voidage for test case along with Mueller’s and DeKlerk’s model prediction .....	94
Figure 3. 3 Radial and axial void fraction for Packing Method 1 (PM1) .....	98
Figure 3. 4 Insert 1 used as an attachment in packing device along with the rendering image for Packing Method 2 .....	99
Figure 3. 5 Insert 2 used as an attachment in packing device along with the rendering image for Packing Method 3 .....	99
Figure 3. 6 Single pipe located eccentrically used as an attachment in packing device, along with the rendering image for Packing Method 5 .....	100
Figure 3. 7 Radial (a) and axial (b) void fraction for Packing Method 2 (PM2).....	101
Figure 3. 8 Radial (a) and axial (b) void fraction for Packing Method 3 (PM3).....	102
Figure 3. 9 Radial (a) and axial (b) void fraction for Packing Method 4 (PM4).....	103
Figure 3. 10 Radial (a) and axial (b) void fraction for Packing Method (PM5).....	104
Figure 3. 11 Voronoi diagram for spherical particles packed in a cylindrical bed.....	106
Figure 3. 12 Voronoi diagram for (a) Square Packing (SP) (b) Hexagonal Close Packing (HCP) of spherical particles in a cylinder. ....	108

Figure 3. 13. Plot of ratio of Voronoi volume to particle volume and fraction of particles having this volume.....	109
Figure 3. 14. Typical 3D rendering of bed with surface defects (a) Bump (b) Donut (c) Hollow .....	111
Figure 3. 15. Distribution of particles on X-plane for randomly taken layer (a) Bump (b) Donut (c) Hollow .....	112
Figure 3. 16 Mean dispersion of particles along y direction with trend line (a) Bump (b) Donut (c) Hollow .....	113
Figure 3. 17 Radial distribution function for different particle shapes.....	116
Figure 3. 18 Coordination number for different particle shapes.....	118
Figure 3. 19 Radial void fraction for different particle shapes .....	120
Figure 3. 20 Axial void fraction for different particle shapes.....	121
Figure 4. 1 Compartment network model .....	131
Figure 4. 2 Two-dimensional cells with four different inflow and outflow schemes in CNM .....	140
Figure 4. 3 Comparison of concentration profiles of sulfur in liquid along the reactor length: Experimental and simulated results .....	143
Figure 4. 4 Simulated (line) and experimental (points) variation of conversion of the sulfur compound with reactor temperature .....	145
Figure 4. 5 Simulated (line) and experimental (points) variation of conversion of the sulfur compound with reactor pressure .....	146
Figure 4. 6 Simulated (line) and experimental (points) variation of conversion of the sulfur compound with LHSV .....	146
Figure 4. 7 Simulated (line) and experimental (points) variation of conversion of the sulfur compound with gas to oil volumetric flow rate ratio.....	147
Figure 4. 8 Simulated (line) and experimental (points) variation of conversion of the sulfur compound with an initial concentration of hydrogen sulfide in the reactant gas stream.....	148
Figure 4. 9 Five different middle distillates considered (Type A, B, C, D and E) .....	150
Figure 4. 10 Exit sulfur concentration at various LHSV (a) Type A (b) Type B (c) Type C (d) Type D (e) Type E crude .....	152
Figure 4. 11 Sulfur concentration along the bed at 0.5 LHSV for (a) Type A, (b) Type B, (c) Type C, (d) Type D, and (e) Type E crude.....	153

Figure 4. 12 Sulfur concentration along the bed at 1.0 LHSV for (a) Type A, (b) Type B, (c) Type C, (d) Type D, and (e) Type E crude.....	154
Figure 4. 13 Sulfur concentration along the bed at 1.3 LHSV for (a) Type A, (b) Type B, (c) Type C, (d) Type D, and (e) Type E crude.....	155
Figure 4. 14 Sulfur concentration along the bed at 1.5 LHSV for (a) Type A, (b) Type B, (c) Type C, (d) Type D, and (e) Type E crude.....	156
Figure 4. 15 Sulfur concentration along the bed at 2.0 LHSV for (a) Type A, (b) Type B, (c) Type C, (d) Type D, and (e) Type E crude.....	157
Figure 4. 16 Sulfur concentration along the length of the industrial-scale reactor.....	159
Figure 4. 17 Effect of temperature on sulfur concentration along the height of the industrial scale bed (reported by Murali et al., 2007).....	160
Figure 4. 18 Effect of WHSV on sulfur concentration along with the height of the industrial scale bed .....	161
Figure 4. 19 Voidage distribution used in the CNM calculations.....	163
Figure 4. 20 Industrial bed with defects (Murali et. al., 2007) .....	164
Figure 5. 1 (a) Temperature, (b) Sulfur, (c) PNA, and (d) MA profile in the bed.....	186
Figure 5. 2 Contour plot for temperature ( $^{\circ}$ C) with different surface structures (a) Flat (b) Bump (c) Donut (d)Hollow (e) Slope.....	189
Figure 5. 3 Contour plot for sulfur (PPM) with different surface structures (a) Flat (b) Bump (c) Donut (d)Hollow (e) Slope.....	190
Figure 5. 4: Contour plot for Poly nuclear aromatics (wt. %) with different surface structures (a) Flat (b) Bump (c) Donut (d) Hollow (e) Slope .....	191
Figure 5. 5: Contour plot for Monoaromatics (wt. %) with different surface structures (a) Flat (b) Bump (c) Donut (d) Hollow (e) Slope .....	192
Figure 5. 6 Contour plot for Naphthenes (wt. %) with different surface structures (a) Flat (b) Bump (c) Donut (d) Hollow (e) Slope.....	193
Figure 5. 7 Contour plot for Olefins (wt. %) with different surface structures (a) Flat (b) Bump (c) Donut (d)Hollow (e) Slope.....	194
Figure 5. 8 Effect of surface structures on (a)Temperature, (b) Sulfur, (c) Olefin, (d) Polynucleararomatic, (e) Monoaromatics, and (f) Naphthenes concentration along the bed.....	197
Figure 5. 9 Contour plot for temperature ( $^{\circ}$ C), with bump at the surface for WHSV (a) 1.26 $h^{-1}$ (b) 2.52 $h^{-1}$ (c) 3.5 $h^{-1}$ .....	200

Figure 5. 10 Contour plot for sulfur (ppm), with bump at the surface for WHSV (a) 1.26 h <sup>-1</sup> (b) 2.52 h <sup>-1</sup> (c) 3.5 h <sup>-1</sup> .....	201
Figure 5. 11 Contour plot for temperature (° C), with donut at the surface for WHSV (a) 1.26 h <sup>-1</sup> (b) 2.52 h <sup>-1</sup> (c) 3.5 h <sup>-1</sup> .....	202
Figure 5. 12 Contour plot for sulfur (ppm), with donut at the surface for WHSV (a) 1.26 h <sup>-1</sup> (b) 2.52 h <sup>-1</sup> (c) 3.5 h <sup>-1</sup> .....	203
Figure 5. 13 Contour plot for temperature (° C), with hollow at the surface for WHSV (a) 1.26 h <sup>-1</sup> (b) 2.52 h <sup>-1</sup> (c) 3.5 h <sup>-1</sup> .....	204
Figure 5. 14 Contour plot for sulfur (PPM), with hollow at the surface for WHSV (a) 1.26 h <sup>-1</sup> (b) 2.52 h <sup>-1</sup> (c) 3.5 h <sup>-1</sup> .....	205
Figure 5. 15 Contour plot for temperature (° C), with slope at the surface for WHSV (a) 1.26 h <sup>-1</sup> (b) 2.52 h <sup>-1</sup> (c) 3.5 h <sup>-1</sup> .....	206
Figure 5. 16 Contour plot for sulfur (PPM), with slope at the surface for WHSV (a) 1.26 h <sup>-1</sup> (b) 2.52 h <sup>-1</sup> (c) 3.5 h <sup>-1</sup> .....	207

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2. 1 Experimental Study of Bed Properties.....	26
Table 2. 2 Simulation Studies of Bed Properties .....	38
Table 2. 3 Hydrodynamic Studies of Trickle Bed .....	46
Table 2. 4 Correlations for various hydrodynamic parameters (mass transfer).....	48
Table 2. 5 Packed bed model studies .....	64
Table 3. 1. Specification for simulation in Collision guided packing .....	94
Table 3. 2. Details of packing Methods (Srivastava et al., 2019) .....	96
Table 3. 3. Comparison of bulk voidage for experimental and simulated results .....	105
Table 3. 4. Values of mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation of ratio of Voronoi volumes to particle volumes for different packing methods.....	110
Table 3. 5. Pellet Specification .....	115
Table 3. 6. Values of parameters of equation (3.4).....	119
Table 4. 1 Correlations used in the CNM model .....	138
Table 4. 2 Simulation data of the pilot plant TBR (Jarullah et al. (2011)) .....	142
Table 4. 3 Feedstock properties .....	142
Table 4. 4 Kinetic parameters for HDS reaction (Jarullah et al. (2011)).....	143
Table 4. 5 Kinetic parameters for HDS reaction.....	144
Table 4. 6 Concentration of each sulfur group in different types of feeds (Albazzaz et al., 2017) .....	150
Table 4. 7 Density for different types of feeds along with rates constant for each sulfur group .....	151
Table 4. 8 Reactor Details and Operating Parameters for industrial scale reactor (Murali et al., 2007) .....	158
Table 4. 9 Kinetic parameters for HDS reaction (Murali et al., 2007) .....	159
Table 4. 10 Exit sulfur concentration in comparison with the experimental and simulated result of Murali et al. (2007) .....	160
Table 5. 1 Reactor details and Operating parameters (Murali et al., 2007).....	183
Table 5. 2 Kinetic parameters for simulation (Murali et al., 2007) .....	184
Table 5. 3 Heat of reaction for different reactions (Murali et al., 2007) .....	184
Table 5. 4 Comparison of entry and exit temperatures of each region with the results available in the literature (Murali et al., 2007).....	187

Table 5. 5 Comparison of exit product quality with the results available in the literature (Murali et al., 2007) .....	188
Table 5. 6 Exit conditions for different surface structures using CNM.....	198
Table 5. 7 Exit sulfur concentration (PPM) for different WHSV with surface defects.....	199