

**BUILDING DIATOM BIOREFINERY: CONVERTING  
THERMAL POWER PLANT EFFLUENT TO  
SUSTAINABLE MATERIAL AND FUEL**

**RAHUL JAIN**



**CENTRE FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY**

**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

**JUNE 2023**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2023

**BUILDING DIATOM BIOREFINERY: CONVERTING  
THERMAL POWER PLANT EFFLUENT TO  
SUSTAINABLE MATERIAL AND FUEL**

by

**RAHUL JAIN**

**Centre for Rural Development and Technology**

Submitted

In fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



**Indian Institute of Technology Delhi**

**JUNE 2023**

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Building Diatom Bio-refinery: converting thermal power plant effluent to sustainable materials and fuels,**” being submitted by **Mr. Rahul Jain** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of “**Doctor of Philosophy,**” is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him. He has worked under our guidance and supervision and has fulfilled the requirements for the submission of this thesis. To the best of our knowledge, the results contained in this thesis have not been submitted in part or whole to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

**Date:**

**Place:** New Delhi



**Dr. Anushree Malik**  
Professor  
Centre for Rural Development and  
Technology,  
Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi  
New Delhi - 110016



**Mr. Rajiv Satyakam**  
NTPC Energy Technology Research  
Alliance  
Ecotech-II, Udyog Vihar,  
Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh 201306

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my sincere gratitude and indebtedness to my Institute supervisor **Prof. Anushree Malik**, Professor, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for her guidance and valuable suggestions throughout the research work and consistent encouragement, support, and cooperation. I owe you everything, so thank you very much!

I am also thankful to my industrial mentors from NTPC Energy Technology Research Alliance: **Mr. Rajiv Satyakam, Mr. Upain Kumar Arora, Mr. Harish Kumar Sikri, Mr. Gopi Kant Nayak, and Late. Mr. J. Pattabhiraman**. Their wide industrial expertise, practical advice, and regular discussions helped me to accomplish this work. Special thanks to **Ms. Kiran Diwakar** for arranging the field visits and assistance during sample collection. I also express my gratitude to my doctoral research committee members- **Prof. Satyawati Sharma, Prof. S.N. Naik, Prof. A. K. Nema**, and other faculty members of the institute for their helpful feedback, insightful suggestions, and comments in research presentations from time to time. I would like to express my heartfelt thanks to **Prof. Hitendra Kumar Malik** for his motivation to carry out the plasma research at the Physics department of the Institute.

I would like to acknowledge to Science & Engineering Research Board (SERB), Department of Science & Technology (DST), Government of India, and Confederation of Indian Industry (CII) to award me with the “**Prime Minister Fellowship for Doctoral Research**”. I also acknowledge the contributions of the **Central Research Facility** and **Nanoscale Research Facility** at the Institute for carrying out advanced sample characterizations. I wish to acknowledge my all lab members for extending their help during the period of experimentation and thesis preparation. Special thanks to **Sumit Dhali** for helping with the experimentation work. I am incredibly thankful to the office and lab staff for their kind help and cooperation.

A heartfelt thanks to my **parents and family** for their love, understanding in adverse situations, and consistent encouragement to carry out my study. My parents have been the supreme force behind my academic career in general and this dissertation in particular. I met my soul partner on this journey in **Harshita Nigam**, whose inconsistent support in both my personal and academic life made this research expedition more beautiful. Above all, I thank the almighty God for giving me patience and courage to me throughout this course of the study.

  
**Rahul Jain**

## Abstract

Cooling tower blowdown (CTBD) water constitutes a significant amount of wastewater generation in thermal power plants, which can be sustainably treated and utilized for considerable water savings. In the present work, a microalgae-based bio-refinery approach has been utilized to treat the cooling tower blow-down water and simultaneously recover bio-crude and valuable material from the generated biomass.

Initially, the two microalgae consortia: PA6 (*Chlorella*, *Phormidium*, and *Navicula* sp.) and D (*Navicula* and *Denticula* species), were tested using a 5 L capacity bubble column reactor (BCR) and attached biofilm reactor (ABR) configuration. The isolated D consortium outperformed the PA6 in terms of pollutant removal, with 66.8% reactive silica and 83% colloidal silica reduction with total silica uptake rate of 40 mg L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> over the first 72 hours. Compared to suspended cultivation, areal biomass yield in the D consortium biofilm system was 2.45 times higher. The ABR showed superior performance compared to the BCR in terms of both wastewater treatment and overall biomass productivity. To further improve efficiency and minimize areal footprint, the enclosed multi-plate biofilm reactor (EMPBR) was fabricated. In comparison to the ABR system, EMPBR removed approximately 12.5% higher nutrients and gave 8.3 times higher areal biomass productivity. In the life cycle assessment (LCA) study, Recipe 2016 approach showed that EMPBR has a lower environmental burden than ABR due to the high-density polyethylene resin used in ABR's water storage tank, which contributed to negative impacts.

On downstream processing of D consortium biomass, 52.4% frustules, 19.6% lipid, 11.9% protein, and 8.2% carbohydrates were recovered per gram of biomass. The recovered frustules were found to be negatively charged, symmetrical pennate-shaped, robust, mesoporous SiO<sub>2</sub>. A comparative study was conducted to recover diatom frustules using different physicochemical techniques, focusing on both quantitative and qualitative aspects. Baking for 180 minutes removed the most organic matter (94.9%), followed by plasma exposure for 90 minutes (86.8%). However, after baking and chemical exposure, the structure was distorted. Furthermore, to simultaneously valorize both organic and inorganic fractions of the diatom biomass, hydrothermal liquefaction (HL) technology was utilized. Diatom

biomass yielded 9.5% extracted biocrude and approximately 12.5% frustule loaded fraction. According to GC-MS analysis, the bio-crude was primarily constituted of oxidized and desired functional groups such as alcohols, alkanes, alkenes, and esters, with a few unfavorable nitrogen-containing compounds (18.9%). Overall, the hydrothermal liquefaction technology was discovered to be a beneficial process for diatom bio-prospecting because it allowed for the complete valorization of intermediates.

The projection analysis of the diatom biorefinery process for a 500 MW power plant demonstrated significant water-saving of 1500 million liters, annual production of 162 tonnes of frustules, 61 tonnes of lipid, and 37 tonnes of protein. The technology promises societal benefits including improved human health, strengthened rural livelihood, and knowledge dissemination of nature-based technologies, and has the potential to revolutionize blowdown water management practices and contribute to the development of a new 'waste to wealth' industrial approach.

## सार

कूलिंग टावर ब्लोडाउन (सीटीबीडी) पानी थर्मल पावर प्लांटों में अपशिष्ट जल उत्पादन की एक महत्वपूर्ण मात्रा का निर्माण करता है, जिसे काफी पानी की बचत के लिए स्थायी रूप से उपचारित और उपयोग किया जा सकता है। वर्तमान कार्य में, माइक्रोएल्गे-आधारित बायो-रिफाइनरी दृष्टिकोण का उपयोग कूलिंग टॉवर ब्लो-डाउन वॉटर के उपचार के लिए किया गया है और साथ ही उत्पन्न बायोमास से बायो-कूड और मूल्यवान सामग्री को पुनर्प्राप्त किया गया है।

प्रारंभ में, दो माइक्रोएल्गे कंसोर्टिया: PA6 (क्लोरेला, फोर्मिडियम, और नेविकुला प्रजातियां) और डी (नेविकुला और डेंटिकुला प्रजातियां), 5 एल क्षमता बबल कॉलम रिएक्टर (बीसीआर) और संलग्न बायोफिल्म रिएक्टर (एबीआर) कॉन्फिगरेशन का उपयोग करके परीक्षण किया गया था। पहले 72 घंटों में 40 मिलीग्राम / लीटर / दिन की कुल सिलिका अपटेक दर के साथ 66.8% प्रतिक्रियाशील सिलिका और 83% कोलाइडन सिलिका की कमी के साथ पृथक डी कंसोर्टियम ने प्रदूषक हटाने के मामले में पीए 6 से बेहतर प्रदर्शन किया। निलंबित वृद्धि की तुलना में, डी कंसोर्टियम बायोफिल्म सिस्टम में क्षेत्रीय बायोमास उपज 2.45 गुना अधिक थी। अपशिष्ट जल उपचार और समग्र बायोमास उत्पादकता दोनों के मामले में एबीआर ने बीसीआर की तुलना में बेहतर प्रदर्शन किया। दक्षता में और सुधार करने और क्षेत्र के पदचिह्न को कम करने के लिए, संलग्न मल्टी-प्लेट बायोफिल्म रिएक्टर (EMPBR) का निर्माण किया गया था। एबीआर प्रणाली की तुलना में, ईएमपीबीआर ने लगभग 12.5% अधिक प्रदूषकों को हटा दिया और 8.3 गुना अधिक क्षेत्रीय बायोमास उत्पादकता प्रदान की। जीवन चक्र मूल्यांकन (LCA) अध्ययन में, रेसिपी 2016 के दृष्टिकोण ने दिखाया कि ABR के जल भंडारण टैंक में उपयोग किए जाने वाले उच्च घनत्व वाले पॉलीथीन राल के कारण EMPBR का पर्यावरणीय बोझ ABR से कम है, जिसने नकारात्मक प्रभावों में योगदान दिया।

डी कंसोर्टियम बायोमास के डाउनस्ट्रीम प्रसंस्करण पर बायोमास के प्रति ग्राम 52.4% फ्रस्ट्यूल, 19.6% लिपिड, 11.9% प्रोटीन और 8.2% कार्बोहाइड्रेट बरामद किए गए। बरामद कुंठाओं को नकारात्मक रूप से आवेशित, सममित पेनेट के आकार का, मजबूत, मेसोपोरस SiO<sub>2</sub> पाया गया। मात्रात्मक और गुणात्मक दोनों पहलुओं पर ध्यान केंद्रित करते हुए, विभिन्न भौतिक-रासायनिक तकनीकों का उपयोग करके डायटम फ्रस्ट्यूल को पुनर्प्राप्त करने के लिए एक तुलनात्मक अध्ययन किया गया था। 180 मिनट के लिए बेक करने से अधिकांश कार्बनिक पदार्थ (94.9%) निकल जाते हैं, इसके बाद 90 मिनट (86.8%) के लिए प्लाज्मा एक्सपोजर होता है। हालांकि, बेकिंग और रासायनिक एक्सपोजर के बाद, संरचना विकृत हो गई थी। इसके अलावा, डायटम बायोमास के कार्बनिक और अकार्बनिक दोनों अंशों को एक साथ मान्य करने के लिए, हाइड्रोथर्मल द्रवीकरण (एचएल) तकनीक का उपयोग किया गया था। डायटम बायोमास से 9.5% निकाले गए बायोकूड और लगभग 12.5% फ्रस्टूल लोड अंश प्राप्त हुए। जीसी-एमएस विश्लेषण के अनुसार, बायो-कूड मुख्य रूप से कुछ प्रतिकूल नाइट्रोजन युक्त यौगिकों (18.9%) के साथ अल्कोहल, अल्केन्स, अल्केन्स और एस्टर जैसे ऑक्सीकरण और वांछित कार्यात्मक समूहों का गठन किया गया था। कुल मिलाकर, हाइड्रोथर्मल द्रवीकरण तकनीक को डायटम जैव-पूर्वक्षण के लिए एक लाभकारी प्रक्रिया के रूप में खोजा गया था क्योंकि इससे मध्यवर्ती का पूर्ण मूल्यांकन हुआ।

500 मेगावाट बिजली संयंत्र के लिए डायटम बायोरिफाइनरी प्रक्रिया के प्रक्षेपण विश्लेषण ने 1500 मिलियन लीटर की महत्वपूर्ण जल बचत, 162 टन फ्रस्ट्यूल, 61 टन लिपिड और 37 टन प्रोटीन का वार्षिक उत्पादन प्रदर्शित किया। प्रौद्योगिकी मानव स्वास्थ्य में सुधार, ग्रामीण आजीविका को मजबूत करने, और प्रकृति-आधारित प्रौद्योगिकियों के ज्ञान प्रसार सहित सामाजिक लाभों का वादा करती है, और इसमें जल प्रबंधन प्रथाओं में क्रांति लाने की क्षमता है और एक नए 'अपशिष्ट से धन' औद्योगिक दृष्टिकोण के विकास में योगदान करती है।

## TABLE OF CONTENT

CERTIFICATE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

ABSTRACT

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

<b>CHAPTER 1: Introduction and Literature Review</b>	1-24
1.1 Water scenario in thermal power plants	2
1.2 Impact of contaminants present in cooling tower water on plant performance	3
1.3 Available technologies to reuse wastewater in cooling towers	5
1.4 Microalgae: a potent organism for wastewater treatment	7
1.5 Photo-bioreactors (PBRs) used for microalgae-based bioremediation	11
1.6 Microalgae biomass conversion methods	16
1.7 Microalgae bio-refinery system	18
1.8 Scope of the Work	21
1.9 Objectives	22
1.10 Outline of the Thesis	23
<b>CHAPTER 2: Bioremediation of cooling tower blow-down water using microalgae-based suspension and biofilm photo-biological process</b>	25-53
2.1 Material and Methods	26
2.1.1 Wastewater collection and characterization	26
2.1.2 Diatom and green microalgae strain used for treatment	27
2.1.3 Suspended and biofilm bioreactors used for treatment	28
2.1.4 Biomass harvesting and quantification	30
2.1.5 Biochemical composition of obtained biomass	31
2.1.6 Frustule extraction from diatom biomass	32
2.1.7 Characterization of extracted frustules	32
2.1.7.1 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	32
2.1.7.2 Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET)	33
2.1.7.3 Zeta potential measurement	33

2.1.7.4 Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)	33
2.2 Result and Discussion	33
2.2.1 Diatoms isolation and identification	33
2.2.2 CTBD water treatment in ABR using PA6 and D consortium	35
2.2.3 Biomass productivity and biochemical composition	44
2.2.4 Characterization of extracted frustules	47
2.3 Summary	52
<b>CHAPTER 3: Fabrication and testing of enclosed multi-plate biofilm photo-bioreactor (EMPBR)</b>	<b>54-73</b>
3.1 Material and Methods	55
3.1.1 Enclosed multi plate biofilm photo-bioreactor (EMPBR) design	55
3.1.2 Diatom strain and inoculation	58
3.1.3 EMPBR operation with industrial wastewater	59
3.2 Result and Discussion	59
3.2.1 Growth of diatoms in the EMPBR system	59
3.2.2 Contaminants removal from CTBD water	62
3.2.3 Possible reason for higher colloidal silica removal	67
3.2.4 Comparative performance evaluation of EMPBR and ABR	69
3.2.5 Factors contributing towards enhanced removal in EMPBR	71
3.3 Summary	73
<b>CHAPTER 4: Life cycle assessment and scale-up feasibility analysis of the ABR vs. EMPBR cultivation system</b>	<b>74-97</b>
4.1 Material and Methodology	75
4.1.1 Life-cycle assessment, goal, and scope of the experiment	75
4.1.2 Inventory analysis of the ABR and EMPBR systems	76
4.1.3 System boundaries and assumptions	77
4.1.4 SimaPro software and impact assessment	78
4.1.5 Scale-up design calculations for a 500 MW power plant	79
4.2 Result and Discussion	80
4.2.1 Midpoint impact assessment for ABR and EMPBR	80
4.2.2 Identification of hotspots of the study	85

4.2.3 Endpoint impact assessment for ABR and EMPBR	88
4.2.4 Possible recommendations to minimize the environmental impact	91
4.2.5 Projection analysis of the diatom-based bio-refinery system in a 500 MW power plant	91
4.2.6 Social Impact	95
4.3 Summary	96
<b>CHAPTER 5: Extraction of Diatom Bio-Silica using Chemical, Thermal, and Plasma Treatment</b>	98-114
5.1 Material and Methods	99
5.1.1 Diatom biomass collection	99
5.1.2 Experimental design	100
5.1.2.1 Chemical Treatment	101
5.1.2.2 Thermal Treatment	101
5.1.2.3 Plasma Asher	101
5.1.3 Bio-Silica characterization	102
5.2. Result and Discussion	103
5.2.1 Bio-silica recovery using different methods	103
5.2.2 Surface area and pore size distribution	106
5.2.3 Carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen composition	107
5.2.4 Geometry and morphological structure	108
5.2.5 Zeta potential	110
5.2.6 Surface functional group analysis	111
5.3 Summary	114
<b>CHAPTER 6: Hydrothermal liquefaction of the diatom biomass to recover bio-crude and bio-silica</b>	115-138
6.1 Material and Methods	116
6.1.1 Diatom feedstock	116
6.1.2 Hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL) reactor and reaction conditions	117
6.1.3 Product separation and quantification	117
6.1.4 Characterization of the bio-crude and aqueous fraction	119
6.1.5 Biogenic silica recovery and characterization	120

6.2. Result and Discussion	122
6.2.1 Biocrude yield of the biomass	122
6.2.2 Bio-crude composition	124
6.2.3 Characterization of the biocrude	129
6.2.4 Aqueous fraction	132
6.2.5 Frustule recovery and characterization	132
6.3 Summary	138
<b>CHAPTER 7: Conclusions and Future Prospect</b>	139-142
7.1 Conclusion	140
7.2 Future prospects	142
<b>References</b>	143-162
<b>Author's Bio-data</b>	163-166

## List of Figures

<b>Figure No</b>	<b>Title of the Figure</b>	<b>Page No</b>
<b>Figure 1.1</b>	Water consumption flow chart of thermal power plant	<b>3</b>
<b>Figure 1.2</b>	Schematic presenting the microalgae biofilm development process and bacterial-algal dynamics	<b>12</b>
<b>Figure 1.3</b>	Different routes of algae biomass conversion into useful products	<b>17</b>
<b>Figure 1.4</b>	“Concept of Microalgae Bio-refinery” with products categorized for different industries and associated social and environmental impacts	<b>19</b>
<b>Figure 2.1</b>	Schematic of the bubble column reactor (BCR) and attached biofilm reactor (ABR) used for cooling tower blowdown (CTBD) water treatment	<b>29</b>
<b>Figure 2.2</b>	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopic (FESEM) image of two isolated diatom species. Different components of diatom structure such as shape, length, width, presence of raphe, number of striae, pore size were used to identify the diatom species using an online database. The two species were identified as (a) <i>Navicula</i> sp (b) <i>Denticula</i> sp	<b>34</b>
<b>Figure 2.3</b>	The actual attached biofilm reactor (ABR) and bubble column reactor (BCR) used for cooling tower blowdown water treatment with corresponding field emission scanning electron microscopic (FESEM) image of the microalgae strains used. Two types of consortium were used to treat cooling tower blowdown water, CTBD (a) PA6 (green in color) consisting of <i>Chlorella</i> (circular	<b>36</b>

---

structures), *Phormidium* (thread shaped), and diatom (pennate shaped) species (b) D (dark brown in color) consisting of only diatom species (pennate shaped structures)

---

**Figure 2.4** Day-wise nutrient removals from cooling tower blowdown (CTBD) water using (PA6 and D) consortium in the bubble column reactor (BCR) and attached biofilm reactor (ABR): (a) Reactive silica removal (b) Colloidal silica removal (c) Nitrate-nitrogen (NO<sub>3</sub>-N) removal (d) Total dissolved phosphorous (TDP) removal. Data shown are the average of three data points, and error bars represent standard deviation

---

**Figure 2.5** Biomass productivity and biomass yield obtained in ABR and BCR using PA6 and D consortia

---

**Figure 2.6** Areal biomass yield comparison in BCR and ABR using PA6 and D consortia

---

**Figure 2.7** TEM (transmission electron microscopy) images and TEM-EDX (energy-dispersive x-ray) analysis of *Denticula* frustule (a) Complete intact porous structure at 1000x magnification (b) Girdle and valve view with an array of transparent pores at 4000x magnification (c) Netted structure visible at 10,000x magnification (d) a second layer of smaller pores present with each pore visible at 30,000x magnification (e) TEM-EDX graph with Si and O peaks and corresponding weight and atomic percentage of the elements

---

**Figure 2.8** TEM (transmission electron microscopy) images and TEM-EDX (energy-dispersive x-ray) analysis of *Navicula* frustule (a)

---

---

Complete intact porous structure at 3000x magnification (b) Valve view with an array of transparent pores and raphe at 8000x magnification (c) Striae porous structure visible at 25,000x magnification (d) Size of pores smaller than 50nm in diameter visible at 50,000x magnification (e) TEM-EDX graph with Si and O peaks and corresponding weight and atomic percentage of the elements

---

**Figure 2.9** Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) plot of D consortium biomass and extracted frustules **52**

---

**Figure 3.1** Schematic of the front view of the Enclosed Multi-Plate Bio-film Photobioreactor (EMPBR) **56**

---

**Figure 3.2** Schematic of the side view of the Enclosed Multi-Plate Bio-film Photobioreactor (EMPBR) **56**

---

**Figure 3.3** Schematic of the top view of the Enclosed Multi-Plate Bio-film Photobioreactor (EMPBR) **57**

---

**Figure 3.4** Design of the actual Enclosed Multi-Plate Bio-film Photobioreactor (EMPBR) (a) Front view (b) Side view (c) Top view **58**

---

**Figure 3.5** D consortium bio-film formation on sheets in Enclosed Multi-Plate Bio-film Photobioreactor (EMPBR) **60**

---

**Figure 3.6** (a) Reactive and colloidal silica removal from CTBD water in Enclosed Multi-Plate Bio-film Photobioreactor (EMPBR) using D consortium (b) Graph representing per day silica removal rate in EMPBR system using D consortium and corresponding change in pH with time **63**

---

---

<b>Figure 3.7</b>	Nitrate-nitrogen ( $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ ) and total dissolved phosphate ( $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ ) removal at 24 h interval in Enclosed Multi-Plate Bio-film Photobioreactor (EMPBR) using D consortium	<b>64</b>
<b>Figure 3.8</b>	Calcium ( $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ) and Magnesium ( $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ) ions removal at 24 h interval in Enclosed Multi-Plate Bio-film Photobioreactor (EMPBR) using D consortium	<b>66</b>
<b>Figure 3.9</b>	Schematic presenting the diatom replication process along with the detailed snapshot of the silica polymerization step in the silica deposition vesicle (SDV)	<b>68</b>
<b>Figure 3.10</b>	Sketch showing the light intensity distribution among reactor biofilm plates	<b>72</b>
<b>Figure 4.1</b>	Characterization results of 1 m <sup>3</sup> 'cooling tower blow-down water treatment in ABR System' and 1 m <sup>3</sup> 'Cooling Tower Blow-down Water treatment in EMPBR System'; Method: ReCiPe 2016 Midpoint (E) V1.04 / World (2010) E / Characterisation	<b>82</b>
<b>Figure 4.2</b>	Midpoint normalization impacts amounted with treating 1 m <sup>3</sup> cooling tower blow-down water in ABR versus EMPBR system; Method: ReCiPe 2016 Midpoint V1.04 / World (2010) / Normalization	<b>87</b>
<b>Figure 4.3</b>	End-point normalization impacts amounted with treating 1 m <sup>3</sup> cooling tower blow-down water in ABR versus EMPBR system; Method: ReCiPe 2016 Endpoint V1.04 / World (2010) E/A / Normalisation	<b>89</b>
<b>Figure 4.4</b>	Analysing 1 m <sup>3</sup> 'Treated cooling tower blow-down water in ABR System'; Method: ReCiPe 2016 Endpoint (E) V1.04 / World	<b>90</b>

---

---

(2010) E/A / Single score

---

**Figure 4.5** Analysing 1 m<sup>3</sup> 'Treated Cooling Tower Blow-down Water in **90**

EMPBR System'; Method: ReCiPe 2016 Endpoint (E) V1.04 /

World (2010) E/A / Single score

---

**Figure 4.6** Schematic of the attached biofilm reactor (ABR) based **94**

wastewater treatment and bio-valuable products generation for a

500 MW capacity thermal power plant

---

**Figure 4.7** Schematic of the enclosed multi-plate biofilm reactor (ABR) **94**

based wastewater treatment and bio-valuable products generation

for a 500 MW capacity thermal power plant

---

**Figure 5.1** Field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) images of **109**

the *Denticula* sp biosilica structures derived using three extraction

methods: HNO<sub>3</sub> treatment for 24 h (a1, a2, a3); baking at 550 °C

for 180 min (b1, b2, b3); oxygen plasma ashing for 90 min (c1, c2,

c3). The blue arrow showed damaged valves, whereas the yellow

arrow depicted separated valves. The red-colored square

represented leftover organics with partially cleaned pores,

whereas the green-colored square denoted good organics removal

resulting in relatively cleaned pores. The FESEM micrographs

were the representative images selected out of twenty different

frames from each sample.

---

**Figure 5.2** Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) graph of the **112**

*Denticula* sp biosilica structure extracted using various chemical,

thermal, and plasma treatment techniques along with the untreated

sample. P-90, P-60, and P-30 shows oxygen plasma treated for 90

---

---

min, 60 min and 30 min, respectively. T-180, T-120, and T-60 depicts thermal treated samples for 180 min, 120 min, and 60 min, respectively. The chemical treated samples are represented as HNO<sub>3</sub>: hydrochloric acid, HCl: hydrochloric acid, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: hydrogen peroxide.

---

**Figure 6.1** Schematic of the product distribution obtained from the hydrothermal liquefaction reactor **118**

---

**Figure 6.2** The schematic showing the flow diagram of the hydrothermal liquefaction process with actual images of diatom biomass, the HTL reactor, and the obtained products with overall mass balance **123**

---

**Figure 6.3** Area percentages of different category of compounds obtained in the extracted biocrude (EB) and residual fraction (RF) analysed using GC-MS analysis **128**

---

**Figure 6.4** Actual image of the biocrude and aqueous fraction derived from the *Denticula* sp biomass with corresponding FTIR (Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy) graph **130**

---

**Figure 6.5** Field emission scanning electron micrographs of the solid residue without any ultrasonic processing (a, b, c), Hydrothermal liquefaction derived frustules (HTLF) obtained after ultrasonic processing and removal of residual fraction (d, e, f), and thermally derived frustules, TTF (g, h, i) from the *Denticula* sp biomass at various magnifications. The yellow circles shows the adsorbed biocrude over the frustule pores and surface in the solid residue. The green arrow depicts that the extracted biosilica structures were intact and undamaged. The green rectangular box showed **134**

---

---

effective organics removal leading to comparatively cleansed pores, while the red box represented residual organics with partially cleaned pores. The FESEM micrographs were chosen as the representative images out of twenty four different frames from each sample.

---

**Figure 6.6** Actual image of the solid residue, HTL derived frustules (HTLF), **135** and thermally derived frustules (TTF) from the *Denticula* sp biomass with corresponding FTIR (Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy) graphs

---

## List of Tables

<b>Table No</b>	<b>Title of the Table</b>	<b>Page No</b>
<b>Table 1.1</b>	Impact of Water Quality Parameters on Cooling System	<b>4</b>
<b>Table 1.2</b>	Various advanced wastewater treatment technologies	<b>6</b>
<b>Table 1.3</b>	Bioremediation potential of different microalgae strains in wastewaters	<b>9</b>
<b>Table 1.4</b>	Schematic representation of various biofilm reactor configurations	<b>13</b>
<b>Table 1.5</b>	Classification of biofilm photobioreactors and corresponding biomass productivities	<b>15</b>
<b>Table 2.1</b>	Characterization of untreated and treated cooling tower blowdown (CTBD) water using suspension in bubble column reactor (BCR) and biofilm in attached biofilm reactor (ABR) with D and PA6 consortium	<b>43</b>
<b>Table 3.1</b>	Biomass productivity obtained in different biofilm cultivation systems	<b>61</b>
<b>Table 3.2</b>	Comparison of the silica removal performance obtained in the present study using diatoms with reported studies	<b>65</b>
<b>Table 3.3</b>	Comparative contaminant removal (%) from the cooling tower blow-down (CTDB) water using D consortium in attached biofilm reactor (ABR) and enclosed multi plate biofilm reactor (EMPBR). The biomass productivity and evaporation losses have also been listed.	<b>70</b>
<b>Table 4.1</b>	List of inventory used to perform comparative life cycle assessment of the attached biofilm reactor (ABR) and enclosed	<b>77</b>

---

	multi-plate biofilm reactor (EMPBR)	
<b>Table 4.2</b>	The different impact characterization values acquired using the Recipe 2016 Midpoint method for the attached biofilm reactor (ABR) and enclosed multi-plate biofilm reactor (EMPBR)	<b>83</b>
<b>Table 5.1</b>	Summary of the diatom cleaning methods used for biosilica extraction	<b>100</b>
<b>Table 5.2</b>	Organic matter removal and properties of extracted <i>Denticula</i> sp biosilica structure using various cleaning methods	<b>104</b>
<b>Table 6.1</b>	List of compounds obtained in extracted biocrude (EB) and residual fraction (RF) on hydrothermal liquefaction reaction of <i>Denticula</i> sp biomass	<b>125</b>
<b>Table 6.2</b>	Percentage of carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, sulphur, and oxygen (calculated by difference) observed in different samples	<b>131</b>
<b>Table 6.3</b>	ICP-MS (Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry) analysis of thermal (TTF) and hydrothermal liquefaction derived (HTLF) diatom frustules	<b>137</b>

---