

**MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF HYBRID
NEEDLEPUNCHED NONWOVEN STRUCTURES AND
THEIR COMPOSITES**

M M ALAMGIR SAYEED



**DEPARTMENT OF TEXTILE TECHNOLOGY
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

JANUARY 2014

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2014

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF HYBRID NEEDLEPUNCHED NONWOVEN STRUCTURES AND THEIR COMPOSITES

by

M M Alamgir Sayeed

Department of Textile Technology

Submitted

In fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

to the



Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

January 2014

**Dedicated
to
my parents and my wife**

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Mechanical Properties of Hybrid Needlepunched Nonwoven Structures and their Composites**” being submitted by **Mr. M M Alamgir Sayeed**, to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** in the Department of Textile Technology, is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him. Mr. Sayeed has worked under my guidance and supervision and fulfilled all the requirements for the submission of the thesis.

The results contained in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or full, to any other university or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Dr. Amit Rawal

Assistant Professor

Department of Textile Technology

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

Hauz Khas, New Delhi – 110016

India

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge all the people who have helped me to complete this work. First of all, I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to my admirable supervisor, **Dr. Amit Rawal**, for his great supervision, unusual patience, and persistent support throughout my Ph.D. work. I will never ever forget that he has been always encouraging me and helping me whenever I met difficulties in the research project. I am truly grateful to have such a wonderful mentor during this learning process, whose invaluable knowledge and guidance have given me strength to reach the finish line of this research project. I also would like to thank Prof. R. Alagirusamy, Prof. Apurba Das and Dr. Bhabani K. Satapathy, not only for serving as my SRC members, but also for their constant help, encouragement and precious suggestions throughout my research work in this program.

I am indebted to Prof. R. Chattopadhyay who, as the Head of the Textile Department at various stages of my research, allowed me to use all the facilities available in the department. In addition, I wish to acknowledge all the faculty and staff members of the Department of Textile Technology who have generously helped me during the course of my Ph.D research work. Special thanks go to Mr. Varun Saxena and Mr. Siaram Sharma for their continuous assistance to fabricate and test my nonwoven samples in the past three and a half years.

I especially wish to thank Dr. Levent Önal, Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey for his generosity of giving me access to his Composite lab, and giving me valuable advice for fabricating and testing the nonwoven composite samples. Special thanks are also due to Mr. Yekta Karaduman and Mr. Cagrialp Arsalan from the Erciyes University for their helpful and sincere cooperation during my stay at Kayseri, Turkey. I also express my gratitude to PMI India for providing the facilities to determine the pore size distributions of nonwoven structures.

I would like to thank all my fellow researchers, and graduate student who have helped me with my research and experiments: Mr. Janaki Ramaiah, Mr. Harshvardhan Saraswat, and Mr. Vijay Kumar. Special thanks to Mr. Janaki Ramaiah, for providing me the necessary assistance in performing the direct shear tests. In addition, I would like to extend my

appreciation to Mr. Abu Shaid, Mr. Md. Majibur Rahman Khan and Mr. Shah Alimuzzaman for helping me in obtaining the required literature as and when required.

I want to thank Dr. Viqar Baig, Dr. S. Wazed Ali, Mr. Kathadi Mohd. Ilyas, Md. Azizur Rahman, Mr. Siyam Quddus Khan, Md. Nasir Uddin, Dr. Mashuq-un-Nabi, Dr. Gazala Habib and Dr. Sk. Ziauddin Ahammad for their hospitality, time, and generosity.

I would like to acknowledge the research grant obtained from NATP: Phase 1, PIU-BARC, Government of Bangladesh for carrying out my PhD work in IIT Delhi. Special thanks are due to Dr. Mohammad Abdus Siddique, Training and Communication expert and Mr. Hasan Mahmud, Training Officer of NATP, PIU-BARC for providing the official support to get the scholarship and tuition fees in time.

I am thankful to Dr. Md. Kamal Uddin, Director General, Md. Asaduzzaman, Director (Tech) and Md. Nazrul Islam, Director (Admin and Finance) of Bangladesh Jute Research Institute (BJRI), Dhaka, Bangladesh for their sincere cooperation to grant me the leave for carrying out my PhD work in IIT Delhi. I gratefully acknowledge the help and cooperation given by Dr. A K M Mahabubuzzaman, Mr. M A Majid Molla and Mr. Golam Sobahan of Bangladesh Jute Research Institute (BJRI), Dhaka, Bangladesh for supplying me the jute fibres and providing other official documents as and when required. I also wish to acknowledge the sincere cooperation provided by my brothers-in-law Mr. Md. Monsurul Habib and Md. Mahmudul Habib throughout my period of stay in IIT Delhi.

I also would like to express my sincere appreciation to my mother, Suraiya Begum, my father, Md. Shariatullah Mollah, my wife Samsad Begum, my son Talha and my daughter Maimuna for their unconditional love, support, and encouragement throughout my entire study in the IIT Delhi. Finally, I would like to thank Almighty Allah, the most beneficial and merciful, for blessing me with an opportunity to attain higher education and making it easier for me to achieve my goals in life.

(M M Alamgir Sayeed)

Abstract

The present work deals with the hybrid needlepunched nonwoven structures prepared from jute and polypropylene (PP) fibres in defined weight proportions. These hybrid needlepunched nonwoven structures were potentially explored for two main applications, i.e. geotextiles and reinforcement in composites. Hence, the main aim of the study was to investigate the physical and mechanical properties of Jute/PP hybrid needlepunched nonwoven structures and their composites. A comparison was also made between various physical and mechanical properties of Jute/PP hybrid nonwoven structures and 100% polypropylene based needlepunched nonwoven. A hybrid needlepunched nonwoven structure consisting of 40 wt. % jute fibres had similar tensile properties corresponding to 100% polypropylene based nonwoven specifically in the preferential (cross-machine) direction. For geotextile application, two types of mechanical damages (circular hole and horizontal cut) were artificially induced in Jute/PP hybrid nonwovens and their tensile properties were analysed. In the present study, horizontal cuts were found to be more detrimental than circular hole based on the residual tensile strengths. The interface shear behaviour of Jute/PP hybrid needlepunched nonwovens and locally available *Badarpur* sand was also investigated by using large-size direct shear tests. The surface morphology of sand particles was also investigated based on the images obtained from scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and quantitatively analysed by means of Wadell roundness and degree of angularity methods. The internal friction angle of sand particles was found to be high and similar in magnitude to that of the interface friction angle of sand-nonwovens, determined under three different normal stresses.

Jute/PP hybrid needlepunched nonwovens were also intended to be used as reinforcements in composites. Accordingly, the jute fibres were treated with 4 wt. % sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution not only to enhance their tensile properties but also to reduce their hydrophilic characteristics in order to make them compatible with PP (hydrophobic) matrix. The enhancement in the tensile strength of jute fibres after alkali treatment was analysed by two-parameter Weibull distribution model. This model clearly suggested that the enhancement in the tensile properties of jute fibres should be presented by probabilistic tensile strength of jute fibres rather than the average tensile strength method. Subsequently, a series of hybrid needlepunched nonwovens were prepared by formulating blends of alkali treated jute and

polypropylene fibres in the same weight proportions as that of nonwovens formulated from untreated jute and PP fibres. The physical and mechanical properties of these untreated and alkali treated jute fibres based hybrid nonwoven structures were compared and analysed. In general, the alkali treated Jute/PP hybrid nonwovens exhibited better mechanical properties than their corresponding blended nonwovens consisting of untreated jute and PP fibres specifically in the preferential (cross-machine) direction. A series of alkali treated Jute/PP nonwoven reinforced composites were then fabricated using the film stacking method and subsequently tested for their physical and mechanical properties. In general, increasing the amount of alkali treated jute fibres in the nonwoven reinforced composites has improved their mechanical properties. The effect of stacking sequences of preferentially and non-preferentially aligned nonwovens within the composites has also been studied. The flexural and tensile moduli of composites were significantly improved, when nonwovens consisting of preferentially and non-preferentially oriented jute fibres were stacked in an alternate manner. Moreover, the tensile modulus of the nonwoven composites was also predicted based on the modified rule of mixture methods. A good agreement was observed between the theoretical and experimental results of tensile modulus of Jute/PP nonwoven reinforced composites.

Contents

Acknowledgements	i
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	xii
 Chapter 1- Introduction	 1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Novelty of the Research Work	3
1.3 Motivation	3
1.4 Objectives of the Work	5
1.5 Organisation of the Thesis	5
 Chapter 2- Literature Review	 7
2.1 Structure-Property Relationship of Needlepunched Nonwoven Structures	7
2.1.1 Effect of Fibre Properties	9
2.1.1.1 Effect of Fibre Type	9
2.1.1.2 Effect of Fibre Cross-section, Length and Fineness	11
2.1.2 Effect of Web Parameters	14
2.1.2.1 Web Orientation	14
2.1.2.2 Web Weight	16
2.1.3 Effect of Needlepunching Process Parameters	18
2.2 Comparison between Synthetic and Natural Fibre Based Nonwoven Structures	22
2.3 Damage Analysis of Needlepunched Nonwoven Structures	24
2.4 Interface Shear Behaviour of Nonwoven Structures	28
2.5 Mechanical Behaviour of Needlepunched Nonwoven Composites	32
 Chapter 3- Materials and Methods	 40
3.1 Fibre Types	40
3.1.1 Alkali treatment of Jute Fibres	40
3.1.2 Physical and Mechanical Characterisation of Fibres	41
3.1.2.1 Determination of Linear Density	41
3.1.2.2 Surface Characterisation of Fibres	41
3.1.2.3 Determination of Fibre Cross-sectional Area	42
3.1.2.4 Determination of Weight Loss (%) in Jute Fibres after Alkali Treatment	42
3.1.2.5 Determination of Tensile Strength	42
3.2 Fabrication of Jute/PP Needlepunched Nonwoven Structures	43

3.2.1	Optimisation of Process Parameters in the Needlepunching Process	43
3.3	Physical and Mechanical Characterisation of Jute/PP Needlepunched Nonwoven Structures	48
3.3.1	Determination of Nonwoven Density	48
3.3.2	Determination of Nonwoven Porosity	49
3.3.3	Determination of Pore Sizes of Needlepunched Nonwoven Structures	49
3.3.4	Determination of Homogeneity of Jute/PP Needlepunched Nonwoven Structures	50
3.3.5	Determination of Tensile, Tearing and Puncture Properties	50
3.3.6	Damage Analysis and Determination of Poisson's Ratio	51
3.4	Determination of Interface Shear Characteristics of Needlepunched Nonwoven Structures	54
3.4.1	Characterisation of Soil Particles	55
3.5	Preparation of Alkali Treated Jute/PP Nonwoven Reinforced Composites	57
3.6	Physical and Mechanical Characterisation of Jute/PP Nonwoven Reinforced Composites	59
3.6.1	Determination of Fibre Weight Content	59
3.6.2	Determination of Fibre Volume Fractions	59
3.6.3	Determination of Tensile Properties	59
3.6.4	Determination of Three-point Bending	60
3.6.5	Determination of Impact Properties	60
Chapter 4 -	Physical and Mechanical Characterisation of Constituent Fibres	61
4.1	Comparison between Physical and Mechanical Properties of Constituent Fibres	61
4.2	Comparison between Physical and Mechanical Properties of Untreated and Alkali Treated Jute Fibres	63
4.2.1	Comparison between Physical Properties	63
4.2.2	Comparison between Mechanical Properties	66
4.3	Weibull Distribution of Tensile Strength of Untreated and Alkali Treated Jute Fibres	67
Chapter 5 -	Physical and Mechanical Properties of Hybrid Needlepunched Nonwoven Structures	71
5.1	Physical and Mechanical Properties of Jute/PP Hybrid Needlepunched Nonwoven Structures	71
5.2	Comparison between Properties of Untreated and Alkali Treated Jute/PP Hybrid Nonwoven Structures	79
5.3	Damage Analysis of Hybrid Jute/PP Needlepunched Nonwoven Structures	89

5.3.1 Comparison between Tensile Behaviour of Notched Hybrid Needlepunched Nonwoven Structures Consisting of Untreated Jute/PP and Alkali Treated Jute/PP Fibres	89
Chapter 6 - Interface Shear Behaviour of Hybrid Needlepunched Nonwovens with Sand Particles	101
6.1 Analysis of Sand Particles	101
6.2 Direct Shear Test on Sand	104
6.3 Direct Shear Tests for Sand-Nonwoven Structure Interface	105
6.4 Surface Damage Analysis of ‘Sheared’ Nonwoven Structures	112
Chapter 7- Mechanical Properties of Needlepunched Nonwoven Reinforced Composites	114
7.1 Physical Properties of Jute/PP Needlepunched Nonwoven Reinforced Composites	114
7.2 Mechanical Properties of Jute/PP Needlepunched Nonwoven Reinforced Composites	115
7.2.1 Tensile and Flexural Properties	115
7.2.2 Impact Properties	119
7.2.3 Prediction of Tensile Modulus of Jute/PP Needlepunched Nonwoven Reinforced Composites	132
Chapter 8 - Conclusions and Scope for Future Work	136
8.1 Conclusions	136
8.2 Scope for Future Work	140
References	142
List of Publications	153
Brief Bio-data	155

List of Figures

2.1	Relationship between needlepunched nonwoven structure and their properties and various factors	8
2.2	Typical stress-strain curves of 100% polyester, 100% polypropylene and 100% viscose based needlepunched nonwoven structures of mass per unit area of 200 g/m ² in the machine direction	10
2.3	Effect of fibre orientation in the web on the stress-strain characteristics of viscose nonwovens	14
2.4	Fibre orientation angle	16
2.5	Fabric weight and reduction in weight plotted against web weight	18
2.6	Effect of web area density (gm ⁻²) and punch density (cm ⁻²) on ratio of tensile strengths in the cross-machine to machine directions at a depth of needle penetration of 10 mm	21
2.7	Influence of damage type on the mechanical properties of nonwoven structure in isolation	25
2.8	Variations of damage areas during tensile tests on nonwoven structure	25
2.9	Damage evolution in 60/40 polypropylene/viscose: (a) virgin, (b) circular hole, (c) vertical cut	27
2.10	Laboratory test methods to determine the frictional resistance of a geotextile	28
2.11	Characterisation of sand particle shapes using (a) Wadell roundness (b) degree of angularity method	32
2.12	Effect of surface treatment of jute on the tensile strength of jute fabric/Biopol composites	34
2.13	Comparison of tensile and flexural modulus of abaca/jute/flax fibre-PP composites with and without MAH-PP	36
2.14	Comparison of tensile and flexural strength of abaca/jute/flax fibre-PP composites with and without MAH-PP	37
3.1	Schematic diagram of DILO needlepunching process	44
3.2	Effect of punch density (PD) and depth of needle penetration (NP) on tensile strength in the cross-machine direction (TXMD)	47
3.3	CBR test for a nonwoven specimen	51
3.4	Types of induced damages in needlepunched nonwoven structures: (a) circular hole and (b) horizontal cut	52
3.5	Schematic diagram for determination of Poisson's ratio of nonwoven samples	53
3.6	Schematic diagram of large-size direct shear test method for determining sand- nonwoven interface shear parameters	55

3.7	Characterisation of soil particle shapes using (a) degree of angularity method (b) Wadell roundness	57
3.8	Schematic illustrations of composites having needlepunched nonwovens in stacking sequence of (a) MXMX, (b) XMMX, (c) MXXM and (d) MMXX. Here, M and X stands for the orientation of fibres in the machine and cross-machine directions, respectively	58
4.1	Stress-strain characteristics of polypropylene, untreated and alkali treated jute fibres. Inset shows the microscopic image of a comparison between stress-strain curves of untreated and alkali treated jute fibres	61
4.2	SEM images of (a) untreated and (b) alkali treated jute fibres, Scale bar 2 μm	64
4.3	Cross-sectional view of (a) untreated and (b) alkali treated jute fibres, Scale bar 10 μm	65
4.4	Weibull plots of the tensile strength for untreated and alkali treated jute fibres	69
4.5	Weibull distribution of tensile strength for untreated and alkali treated jute fibres	70
5.1	Force per unit width versus extension curves of 00/100 Jute/PP, 20/80 Jute/PP, 40/60 Jute/PP and 60/40 Jute/PP (a) in the cross-machine direction and (b) in the machine direction	75
5.2	Puncture force vs. displacement of Jute/PP hybrid needlepunched nonwoven structures	78
5.3	Relationship between puncture resistance and tensile strength in the cross-machine and machine directions of Jute/PP hybrid needlepunched nonwoven structures	78
5.4	Comparison of pore size distribution of untreated and alkali treated Jute/PP hybrid nonwoven structures (a), (b) 20/80 Jute/PP, (c), (d) 40/60 Jute/PP, (e), (f) 60/40 Jute/PP	82
5.5	Comparison between the stress-strain characteristics of (a) 20/ 80 Jute/PP (b) 40/ 60 Jute/PP (c) 60/ 40 Jute/PP nonwoven structures consisting of untreated and alkali treated jute fibres tested in the cross-machine direction	86
5.6	Comparison between the stress-strain characteristics of (a) 20/ 80 Jute/PP (b) 40/ 60 Jute/PP (c) 60/ 40 Jute/PP nonwoven structures consisting of untreated and alkali treated jute fibres tested in the machine direction	87
5.7	Force/width versus extension curves of damaged samples having a circular hole and horizontal cut: (a) 20/80 Jute/PP, (b) 40/60 Jute/PP, (c) 60/40 Jute/PP nonwoven structures consisting of untreated and alkali treated jute fibres determined in the cross-machine direction	91
5.8	Damage evolution in 20/80 Jute/PP nonwoven structures consisting of untreated and alkali treated jute fibres in the cross-machine direction: (a) circular hole, (b) horizontal cut	95

5.9	Damage evolution in 40/60 Jute/PP nonwoven structures consisting of untreated and alkali treated jute fibres in the cross-machine direction (a) circular hole, (b) horizontal cut	96
5.10	Damage evolution in 60/40 Jute/PP nonwoven structures consisting of untreated and alkali treated jute fibres in the cross-machine direction (a) circular hole, (b) horizontal cut	97
5.11	Comparison between the ratio of centrally damaged area measured at maximum breaking strain (A_{max}) to the initial damaged area determined at 0% strain (A_0) for Jute/PP hybrid needlepunched nonwovens before and after alkali treatment of jute fibres	98
5.12	Relationship between Poisson's Ratio and strain levels in centre-damaged (circular hole and horizontal cut) (a) 20/80 Jute/PP, (b) 40/60 Jute/PP, (c) 60/40 Jute/PP hybrid needlepunched nonwovens having untreated and alkali treated jute fibres in the cross-machine direction	100
6.1	Comparison between grain size distribution of Badarpur sand and pore size distribution of needlepunched nonwoven structures	102
6.2	Morphological analysis of sand particles using SEM. Scale bar 300 μ m	102
6.3	Distribution of sand particles with varying degree of angularity	103
6.4	(a) Shear stress versus horizontal displacement of sand to sand interface, (b) Vertical deformation versus horizontal displacement of sand to sand interface	104
6.5	Failure envelope for sand-sand interface at normal stresses of 25, 50 and 100 kPa	105
6.6	Failure envelopes at normal stresses of 25, 50 and 100 kPa for sand-(a) 00/100 Jute/PP, (b) 20/80 Jute/PP, (c) 40/60 Jute/PP, (d) 60/40 Jute/PP nonwoven interfaces	107
6.7	Relationship between shear stress with horizontal displacement of (a) 00/100 Jute/PP (b) 20/80 Jute/PP (c) 40/60 Jute/PP (d) 60/40 Jute/PP nonwoven structures	111
6.8	SEM images of 40/60 Jute/PP hybrid needlepunched nonwoven structures (a) prior to shearing and sheared at normal stresses of (b) 25 kPa, (c) 50 kPa and (d) 100 kPa, scale bar 40 μ m	113
7.1	Effect of jute fibre content on (a) tensile strength (b) tensile modulus (c) breaking elongation of nonwoven composites with varying stacking sequences	116
7.2	Effect of jute fibre content on (a) flexural strength (b) flexural modulus of nonwoven composites with varying stacking sequences	119
7.3	Impact force-time curves of nonwoven composite samples for defined jute fibre content having stacking sequence of (a) MXMX (b) XMMX (c) MXXM and (d) MMXX	122
7.4	Rear view Images of impact damaged nonwoven composite samples (MMXX) having a) 11 wt.% b) 23 wt.% c) 33 wt.% jute fibres, Scale bar, 2 mm	124

7.5	Front view Images of impact damaged nonwoven composite samples (MMXX) having a) 11 wt.% b) 23 wt.% c) 33 wt.% jute fibres, Scale bar, 2 mm	125
7.6	Rear view Images of impact damaged nonwoven composite samples (MXMX) having a) 11 wt.% b) 23 wt.% c) 33 wt.% jute fibres, Scale bar, 2 mm	126
7.7	Front view Images of impact damaged nonwoven composite samples (MXMX) having a) 11 wt.% b) 23 wt.% c) 33 wt.% jute fibres, Scale bar, 2 mm	127
7.8	Rear view Images of impact damaged nonwoven composite samples (XMMX) having a) 11 wt.% b) 23 wt.% c) 33 wt.% jute fibres, Scale bar, 2 mm	128
7.9	Front view Images of impact damaged nonwoven composite samples (XMMX) having a) 11 wt.% b) 23 wt.% c) 33 wt.% jute fibres, Scale bar, 2 mm	129
7.10	Rear view Images of impact damaged nonwoven composite samples (MXXM) having a) 11 wt.% b) 23 wt.% c) 33 wt.% jute fibres, Scale bar, 2 mm	130
7.11	Front view Images of impact damaged nonwoven composite samples (MXXM) having a) 11 wt.% b) 23 wt.% c) 33 wt.% jute fibres, Scale bar, 2 mm	131
7.12	Comparison between theoretical and experimental results of tensile modulus of nonwoven reinforced composites having stacking sequence of (a) MXMX (b) XMMX (c) MXXM and (d) MMXX	135

List of Tables

2.1	Parameters influencing the structure-property relationship of needlepunched nonwoven structures	8
2.2	Comparative properties of general polymer families	23
2.3	Properties of natural fibres	23
2.4	Comparison of friction angle between soil-woven and soil-nonwoven geotextile interfaces using different types of soils	29
2.5	Mechanical properties of jute fibres for ambient temperature alkali treatment	39
3.1	Preliminary experimental matrix based on Taguchi's full factorial design for fabrication of 20/80 Jute/PP needlepunched nonwoven structures	45
3.2	Tensile properties of 20/80 hybrid Jute/PP needlepunched nonwoven structures having mass per unit area of 400 g/m ²	46
3.3	Regression equation of tensile strength in the cross-machine direction (TXMD) of 20/80 Jute/PP needlepunched nonwoven structures	47
4.1	Physical and mechanical properties of polypropylene, untreated and alkali treated jute fibres	62
4.2	Cross-sectional area of untreated and alkali treated jute fibres	66
4.3	Comparison of Weibull parameters and tensile strengths of untreated and alkali treated jute fibres based on experimental data and Weibull distribution model	69
5.1	Physical properties of Jute/PP hybrid needlepunched nonwoven structures	72
5.2	Tensile properties of Jute/PP hybrid needlepunched nonwoven structures	74
5.3	Physical properties of untreated and alkali treated Jute/PP hybrid needlepunched nonwoven structures	79
5.4	Mechanical properties of untreated and alkali treated Jute/PP hybrid needlepunched nonwoven structures	84
5.5	Tensile properties of virgin and damaged Jute/PP hybrid needlepunched nonwoven structures consisting of untreated and alkali treated jute fibres determined in the cross-machine direction	92
5.6	Secant modulus of virgin and damaged Jute/PP hybrid needlepunched nonwoven structures consisting of untreated and alkali treated jute fibres determined in the cross-machine direction	93
6.1	Shear strength parameters for sand-sand and sand-geotextiles interfaces using the large-size direct shear method	108
7.1	Jute fibre weight and volume fractions in nonwoven composites	115
7.2	Impact properties of Jute/PP nonwoven composites	120

Chapter 1