

ESSAYS ON WEB 3.0 BASED PLAY-TO-EARN GAMING PLATFORMS

ZAID BIN AHSAN RATHER



**DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
APRIL 2026**

©Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2026

**ESSAYS ON WEB 3.0 BASED PLAY-TO-EARN GAMING
PLATFORMS**

by

ZAID BIN AHSAN RATHER
DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES

Submitted

in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

APRIL 2026

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, “**Essays on web 3.0 based play-to-earn gaming platforms**” submitted by Mr. Zaid Bin Ahsan Rather to the Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, for the award of the degree of “**Doctor of Philosophy**” in the Department of Management Studies, is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him. He has worked under my guidance and supervision in conformity with the rules and regulations of the Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi. To the best of my knowledge, the thesis has met the requisite standard. The research reports and results presented in this thesis have not been submitted in part or whole for the award of any degree or diploma in any other University or Institute.

Prof Agam Gupta

(Research Supervisor)
Department of Management Studies
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
New Delhi- 110016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I begin by expressing my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Agam Gupta. This work would not have been possible without his unwavering guidance, encouragement, and generosity. His mentorship shaped not only this thesis but also the start of my research journey. If I were to redo this PhD and preserve only a single constant, I would choose to work under his supervision again. Words are not enough to express how profoundly grateful I am for his support. Thank you.

I am also thankful to the three members of my research committee. The chairperson of my committee, Professor M.P. Gupta, has always given me his steady advice throughout the process. The conversations with Professor P. Vigneswara Illavarasan have always been warm and uplifting. Professor Sirish Kumar Gouda, who acted as my external member of the committee, has always been kind, thoughtful and keen with his advice.

My heartfelt thank you to my sister, Haifaa, without whose support my PhD probably would've taken longer. I thank her for enduring and persevering through the complications back home.

I am grateful for Mumma, Abu and my sister, Himmah, who probably have no idea about the nature of my thesis or why I'm doing it but have supported me nonetheless. I am grateful for their support throughout the years.

Finally, I am deeply thankful to those countless researchers, often faceless, whose scholarship lay the foundations of every research I have undertaken. I am also equally grateful to the unofficial custodians of open knowledge, whose access enabling pathways and round-about platforms ensured that the literature I needed was never out of reach. Their role in sustaining open intellectual inquiry can never be overstated. My sincere hope is that this work of mine, similarly, finds its way to the advancement of future researchers and becomes part of the scholarly continuum.

ABSTRACT

The third iteration of the internet, Web 3.0, is based on distributed technologies, like blockchains and cryptocurrencies. This has led to the creation of applications like play-to-earn games. Play-to-earn applications are essentially games, with rich graphics and gameplay, that allow its participants to be rewarded with tokens. These tokens can be a combination of economic tokens, governance tokens and other virtual assets. Users can convert these rewards in the cryptocurrency marketplace to real earnings or can claim governance rights within the platform by owning these tokens. My PhD thesis looks at three specific aspects of the play-to-earn games phenomenon.

My thesis starts by exploring the rewards earned by players of play-to-earn games. Players encounter uncertainty at two levels of earning rewards: whether they can defeat opponents and whether they can convert rewards into earnings of realistic monetary value. The theoretical context of reinforcement-uncertainty effect has elaborated on the decision making of one choice between certain and uncertain rewards. My first study explores uncertainty of earning rewards at two different levels of a play-to-earn game. The case considered for this study is Axie Infinity, because of the game's relevance, particularly in the global south, where earnings from the game outstripped income from their jobs. Some individuals even started to consider it as their full-time jobs. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with players of the game to understand their motivation of earning these uncertain rewards. Using thematic analysis based on descriptive phenomenological approach, the study builds on reinforcement-uncertainty theory and provide reasons for repetition of users in earning rewards, even when the earnings of these rewards are uncertain.

The second part of my thesis looks at the non-fungible token (NFT) and determines the factors that would lead to their breeding, production and subsequent listing in the marketplace.

Participation in play-to-earn games is possible through virtual assets acquired by players. These virtual assets are essentially NFTs and can be collected either by buying them from the marketplace or breeding them within the game. Different NFTs influence the number of rewards that can be won by a player due to the varying attributes of each NFT. These NFTs also act as investments as they are collectibles for the participants. This study investigates the perceptions of value for buyers and sellers of an NFT. Using the case of Axie, which are NFTs in the Axie Infinity game, the study determines the attributes of importance during its pricing. A mixed methods approach is used to arrive at the results, with qualitative data from secondary sources and quantitative from primary ones. The study finds that displaying transparent information and eliminating information asymmetry does not guarantee shared interpretations of value between buyers and sellers.

Lastly, the affordances of decentralized play-to-earn games are identified and the deficiencies for not achieving decentralized governance on these games are determined. Since play-to-earn games are formed over the decentralized technologies of Web 3.0 like blockchains, they are able to distribute governance rights to its players. Following on past information systems literature, the theoretical lens of polycentric governance is used to understand the viability of decentralized governance. Eight play-to-earn games are considered as case studies and then explored using the case study based methodology. The data is sourced from secondary data sources like whitepapers of these play-to-earn games as well as various news articles and blogs. The affordances that are identified are categorized along the three mechanisms of polycentric governance: decision making autonomy, processes of networked cooperation and common rules governance.

हिन्दी सारांश (Abstract in Hindi)

यह शोध Web 3.0 के इकोसिस्टम का अध्ययन करता है, जो blockchains, cryptocurrencies और अन्य distributed technologies पर आधारित है। इन तकनीकों के कारण play-to-earn (P2E) games जैसे applications संभव हुए हैं, जिनमें प्रतिभागियों को gameplay के माध्यम से tokens के रूप में rewards मिलते हैं। ये tokens economic tokens, governance tokens और अन्य virtual assets का मिश्रण हो सकते हैं, जिन्हें cryptocurrency marketplace में real earnings में परिवर्तित किया जा सकता है, या फिर इन्हें platform-based governance अधिकार प्राप्त करने के लिए उपयोग किया जा सकता है। मेरी PhD thesis play-to-earn games की इस उभरती हुई घटना के तीन प्रमुख पहलुओं की जाँच करती है।

पहला अध्ययन P2E games में मिलने वाले rewards की uncertainty का विश्लेषण करता है। खिलाड़ियों को अनिश्चितता दो स्तरों पर अनुभव होती है—(i) खेल में प्रतिद्वंद्वी को हराने की क्षमता, और (ii) प्राप्त rewards को वास्तविक आर्थिक मूल्य में बदल पाने की संभावना। reinforcement-uncertainty effect का सैद्धांतिक संदर्भ इस निर्णय-निर्माण प्रक्रिया को समझने में सहायक रहा है। Axie Infinity के केस के आधार पर, विशेषकर global south में, जहाँ बहुत से individuals ने इसे पूर्ण-कालिक earning activity मानना शुरू किया, इस अध्ययन में semi-structured interviews और thematic analysis (descriptive phenomenological approach) का उपयोग किया गया है। निष्कर्ष बताते हैं कि reward uncertainty के बावजूद उपयोगकर्ता क्यों बार-बार भाग लेते हैं।

दूसरा अध्ययन non-fungible tokens (NFTs) पर केंद्रित है, जो play-to-earn games में भागीदारी के लिए आवश्यक virtual assets हैं। NFTs को खरीदकर या खेल के भीतर breed करके प्राप्त किया जा सकता है, और इनके attributes reward outcomes और marketplace valuations को प्रभावित करते हैं। Axie NFTs के केस के माध्यम से यह अध्ययन buyers और sellers की perceived value को समझता है और pricing को प्रभावित करने वाले attributes की पहचान करता है। qualitative secondary data

और quantitative primary data को मिलाकर mixed-methods approach अपनाई गई है। अध्ययन यह दर्शाता है कि transparent information और information asymmetry को कम करना marketplace में value की shared interpretations को सुनिश्चित नहीं करता।

थीसिस का तीसरा हिस्सा decentralized governance के संदर्भ में play-to-earn games की affordances और उनकी सीमाओं की पहचान करता है। Web 3.0-based platforms का सिद्धांत यह दावा करता है कि वे खिलाड़ियों के बीच governance अधिकार वितरित कर सकते हैं। polycentric governance के theoretical lens का उपयोग करते हुए, आठ play-to-earn games के case studies (whitepapers, news articles और blogs से secondary data) का विश्लेषण किया गया। पहचानी गई affordances को polycentric governance की तीन mechanisms—decision-making autonomy, networked cooperation processes और common rules governance—के आधार पर वर्गीकृत किया गया है।

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Certificate.....	i
Acknowledgements.....	iii
Abstract.....	iv
Abstract in Hindi.....	vi
List of Figures.....	xiv
List of Tables.....	xv
1. Chapter 1: Introduction.....	1
2. Chapter 2: Literature Review.....	16
2.1 Play-to-earn games and participant motivation for uncertain rewards	25
2.1.1 Gamified platforms	25
2.1.2 Gamification of play-to-earn platforms.....	27
2.2 Play-to-earn games and value of non-fungible tokens for buyers and sellers	30
2.2.1 Non-fungible tokens (NFT) in gamified spaces.....	30
2.2.2 Gamified components and their characteristics	31
2.3 Play-to-earn games and distribution of decentralized governance for its participants	35
2.3.1 Centralization as a Model.....	35
2.3.2 Decentralization as a Model.....	36
2.3.3 Challenges for decentralized governance.....	40
2.3.3.1 Technical Challenges: Skills and Capabilities.....	41
2.3.3.2 Residence of “power” on decentralized platforms	42
2.3.3.3 Conflict Resolution.....	43
2.3.4 Theoretical Framework	44
3. Chapter 3: Gamified cryptocurrency and rewards: consumer behaviour during uncertain earnings.....	49
3.1 Introduction.....	50
3.2 Literature Review.....	52

3.2.1 Consumer Engagement on Gamified Platforms.....	52
3.2.2 Reinforcing-uncertainty effect and consumer behaviour	53
3.3 Research Context	58
3.3.1 Play-to-earn games: Axie Infinity	58
3.3.2 Axie Infinity Cryptocurrencies.....	59
3.3.3 Scholarship Programme: Managers and Scholars.....	60
3.4 Methodology	60
3.4.1 Data Collection.....	61
3.4.2. Data Analysis.....	63
3.5 Findings.....	65
3.5.1 Uncertainty	65
3.5.1.1 Uncertainty of winnings and the selection of best scholars.....	65
3.5.1.2 Uncertainty in Conversion of Winnings to Monetary Reward.....	67
3.5.2 Mechanism of Continued engagement on the platform	70
3.5.2.1 Fear of not getting a ‘scholarship’	71
3.5.2.2 Mitigating Uncertainty with Optimism	72
3.5.2.3 Sunk Cost and Ownership	74
3.6 Discussion.....	75
3.6.1 Theoretical Implications.....	75
3.6.2 Managerial Implications.....	78
3.7 Limitations and future research	79
4. Chapter 4: Do perceptions of value for buyers and sellers converge in the absence of information asymmetry: the case of NFT.....	81
4.1 Introduction.....	82
4.2 Research Context	85
4.2.1 Non-fungible token (NFT)	85
4.2.2 Information Asymmetries.....	88

4.2.3 Means end model	91
4.3 Method	94
4.3.1 Research Context.....	96
4.3.2 Data Gathering	97
4.3.3 Data Analysis.....	99
4.4 Findings.....	103
4.4.1 Summary results for the qualitative study.....	103
4.4.1.1 Uniqueness of the traits in an Axie.....	103
4.4.1.2 External factors of the market and user inclination, separate from the actual Axie	104
4.4.1.3 Environment and timing within the community of the platform.....	106
4.4.1.4 Benchmarking according to historical references	107
4.4.2 Findings from Quantitative Analysis.....	108
4.4.2.1 Listing price in the marketplace	112
4.4.2.2 Sold prices of Axies.....	113
4.4.2.3 Robustness Check.....	114
4.5 Discussion.....	114
4.5.1 Theoretical Implications.....	116
4.5.2 Practical Implications.....	119
4.6 Limitations and future research opportunities	122
5. Chapter 5: Decentralized governance in web 3.0 based play-to-earn games.....	124
5.1 Introduction.....	125
5.2 Research Background	128
5.2.1 Web 3.0 based play-to-earn (P2E) games	128
5.2.2 Polycentric governance and its usage.....	131
5.3 Method	135
5.3.1 Collection of data	135

5.3.2 Analysis	137
5.5 Findings.....	140
5.5.1 Decision Making Autonomy	141
5.5.1.1 Tokenizability	141
5.5.1.2 Stakability	143
5.5.1.3 Regulatability.....	145
5.5.2 Processes of Networked Cooperation	147
5.5.2.1 Interactivity.....	147
5.5.2.2 Formalizability.....	150
5.5.3 Common Rules Governance.....	152
5.5.3.1 Automatability	152
5.6 Discussion.....	157
5.6.1 Affordances in Polycentric Governance of P2E Games.....	157
5.6.2 A Model of Polycentric Governance and Community Decentralization in P2E Games	159
5.6.3 Implications for practice.....	162
5.6.4. Limitations and future research.....	164
6. Chapter 6: Conclusion.....	166
6.1 Research Contributions.....	167
6.2 Limitations and directions for future research	172
References.....	175
Appendix I – Interview Questions	202
Appendix II – Descriptive statistics of the Axes.....	206
Appendix III – Robustness check details.....	209
Appendix IV – List of sources and details for the secondary data	210
Appendix V – Technical details of each case.....	218
Appendix VI – Cases	220

VI.A. Alien Worlds.....	220
Overview	220
Token distribution and economic design	220
Structure of governance and decision-making	221
VI.B. Axie Infinity	221
Overview	221
Token distribution and economic design	222
Structure of governance and decision-making	223
VI.C. DeFi Kingdoms	224
Overview	224
Token distribution and economic design	224
Structure of governance and decision-making	225
VI.D. Meta Apes.....	226
Overview	226
Token distribution and economic design	226
Structure of governance and decision-making	227
VI.E. Mobox: NFT Farmer	227
Overview	227
Token distribution and economic design	227
Structure of governance and decision-making	228
VI.F. Planet IX	229
Overview	229
Token distribution and economic design	229
Structure of governance and decision-making	230
VI.G. Second Live.....	232
Overview	232
Token distribution and economic design	232

Structure of governance and decision-making	232
VI.H. Splinterlands.....	233
Overview	233
Token distribution and economic design	234
Structure of governance and decision-making	235
Appendix VII – Data analysis structure.....	236
Appendix VIII – Informal and formal forums of discussion	238
Appendix IX – Categorizing P2E games according to implemented system features and user abilities.....	239
List of Publications from Thesis	241
Journal Publication.....	241
Conference Proceedings	241
Book Chapter.....	241
Bio.....	242

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Interconnecting aspects of a play-to-earn gamified platform.....	4
Figure 2.1. Small Love Potion rewards value.....	29
Figure 2.2. MDE framework of gamification.....	32
Figure 2.3. The economic representation of a play-to-earn games, adapted from the economic design of blockchains.....	39
Figure 3.1. Layers of uncertainty leading to repeated engagement over the platform.....	76
Figure 3.2. Behaviour of consumers adapted from the Reinforcing-Uncertainty Effect.....	79
Figure 4.1. Depicting levels of an Axie (<i>by interviewee</i>).....	105
Figure 5.1. A snapshot of the analysis for the collected data.....	139
Figure 5.2. Variations of tokenizability.....	142
Figure 5.3. Implementation of the decision-making autonomy.....	146
Figure 5.4. A model of decentralized governance in P2E games.....	161

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Overview of literature for each theme of the studies.....	20
Table 2.2. Affordances of blockchain technologies for governance and Ostrom’s principles, (adapted from Rozas et al., (2021)).....	47
Table 3.1. Selected past literature.....	55
Table 3.2. Overview of the sample.....	64
Table 3.3. Fluctuation of price from the earned rewards date to the pay-out date.....	68
Table 4.1. An overview and identification of the research gap from existing research studies on NFTs.....	87
Table 4.2. Reasons for inapplicability.....	91
Table 4.3. Means-end model for sellers and buyers.....	92
Table 4.4. An overview of the approach.....	95
Table 4.5. Axie Attributes with their brief descriptions.....	99
Table 4.6. Aggregate dimensions from illustrative first order categories.....	102
Table 4.7. Lasso regression for Axies listed by sellers.....	112
Table 4.8. Lasso Regression for the Axies sold to buyers.....	113
Table 4.9. Robustness results for the quantitative analysis.....	114
Table 4.10. Differences between the attributes that are significant to buyers and sellers....	115
Table 4.11. Contributions and implications.....	121
Table 5.1. Characteristics of polycentric governance.....	132
Table 5.2. Past literature of Web 3.0 technologies and their contextual differences.....	133
Table 5.3. Data summary.....	136
Table 5.4. Actualization in the cases of eight P2E games.....	155
Table 6.1. Overview of studies and key contribution.....	170
Appendix II.a. Mean and median of health, speed, skill and morale for Axies.....	201
Appendix II.b. Averages for each class of Axies.....	201
Appendix III. Robustness check details.....	205

Appendix IV. List of sources and details for the secondary data.....	204
Appendix V. Technical details of each case.....	213
Appendix VII. Data analysis structure.....	231
Appendix VIII. Informal and formal forums of discussion.....	233
Appendix IX. Categorizing P2E games according to the implanted system features and user abilities.....	234