

**BIOCONVERSION OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE (MSW)
EMPLOYING BIOINOCULANTS AND EARTHWORMS**

KAVIRAJ



**CENTRE FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

HAUZ KHAS, NEW DELHI, 110016

JUNE, 2008

© Indian Institute of technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2008

**BIOCONVERSION OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE (MSW)
EMPLOYING BIOINOCULANTS AND EARTHWORMS**

By

Kaviraj

Centre for Rural Development and Technology

Submitted

In fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

To the



Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

Hauz Khas, New Delhi, 110016

June, 2008

DEDICATED

TO MY

FAMILY

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “*Bioconversion of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) employing bioinoculants and earthworms*” submitted by Mr. Kaviraj has been prepared under our guidance with the rules and regulations of Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, India. The research report and results presented in this thesis have not been submitted for any degree or diploma in any other institute or university.

(Dr. Satyawati Sharma)

(Prof. Santosh Satya)

Centre for Rural Development and Technology
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
Hauz Khas, New Delhi 110016
INDIA

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I take this esteemed opportunity in expressing my profound regards and deep sense of gratitude to my respected supervisor Dr. Satyawati Sharma for her calm approach, benevolent nature, boundless patience, generosity and constant encouragement throughout the course of present work. Her critical assessment at every step of my work made me reach to the finish line. It gives me immense pleasure to express my sincere regards for my supervisor Prof. Santosh Satya for her utmost care, attention, invaluable guidance and incessant encouragement to tide over difficulties during the entire course of the study.

I wish to express my sincere thanks to Prof. P. L. Dhar, Head CRDI, all the faculty members of the centre, Prof. Subash Chand and Prof. J. R. Srekrishnan, D.B.E.B for their constant encouragement and moral support as external members of SRT.

I sincerely thank Prof. J. M. Gulka, ZSI, Solan for their selfless help in identification of indigenous earthworm species.

I am thankful to my seniors Dr. Pervaiz Ahmad, Dr. Suman Kayashap, Dr. Seema Mishra, Dr. Anuja Sharma, for their desk help and suggestions in completing this work.

I am extremely grateful to my friend Lalit, Avinash, Ashok Renu and Shiv Pratap whose unconditional support, valuable suggestions and encouragement enabled me not only to finish my work in time but has also given a wide horizon and vision for future.

I am very much thankful to my wife Pooja who joined me during later days of Ph. D. and always made me feel happy when things went wrong. Her

support and love has major contribution in wading through all the difficult circumstances of my work.

I fail to express my deep sense of regards to my respected parents for their contribution and instilling me in whatever I have achieved till date is beyond expression. It's their love, affection and blessed care that has helped me to move ahead in difficult times and complete my work successfully.

I acknowledge the financial help in the form of JRF from IIT Delhi and SRF from CSIR, New Delhi, India.

Kaviraj

ABSTRACT

The rapid urbanisation world over demands that a suitable and cost effective technology should be identified and evaluated for the environmentally safe treatment and disposal of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW). Bringing this into consideration, MSW could be utilized as a substrate for making better quality vermicompost, and as an alternative substrate for cultivation of edible fungi (mushroom). Bioincolants play an important role in nutrient recycling, rapid composting and also as a biocontrol agent in crop production. So it was considered of interest to explore the possibility of using nitrogen fixing bacteria and phosphate solubilizing fungi along with the earthworms to hasten the rate of MSW stabilization and improving the quality of vermicompost. In general, Eisenia fetida (non-indigenous) species of earthworm is used for vermicomposting, and work on the use of indigenous species for this purpose is very scanty. In view of long term ecological impacts of using non-indigenous species, the studies on the indigenous species assume great significance.

Therefore, in search of an integrated system for MSW management, this study was taken up with three specific objectives: i) To conduct comparative studies on performance and efficacy of indigenous (Lampito mauritii) and non-indigenous species (E. fetida) of earthworms, in vermicomposting of MSW; ii) To assess the best combination of bioinoculants (P. chrysogenum, P. funiculosum and A. chroococcum) and earthworms, and to study their role in quality of compost (Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, enzymes and heavy metals); and iii) To cultivate edible fungi (i.e. P. sajor caju on fresh MSW and A. bisporus on vermicomposted MSW supplemented with lignocellulosic materials) and study the role of bioinoculants and plant growth hormones on the yield and quality of mushroom.

Prior to the vermicomposting experiments, collection and identification of indigenous earthworm species was done from various locations of Delhi. Total 6 indigenous species were found prevailing in Delhi region and among these, L. mauritii was found more widely distributed and hence it was selected for further studies. After composting of MSW for 60 days a maximum number of E. fetida (59.3) and L. mauritii (43.0) was found in the substrate inoculated with A. chroococcum. In this context it may be noted that although the

multiplication rate of L. mauritii is slower than E. fetida, the weight of L. mauritii is greater than E. fetida, suggesting that total L. mauritii earthworm mass is either at par or likely to be slightly lower compared to E. fetida. This interesting experimental observation would help in assessing the true potential of indigenous earthworm species and scope of further enhancement using bioinoculants.

Maximum reduction in percentage of Total Organic Carbon (31.3 to 16.7%) and maximum increase in percentage of Total Kjeldhal Nitrogen (1.23 to 3.35%), phosphorus (0.21 to 1.88%) and potassium (0.32 to 1.53%), were noted for E. fetida inoculated with A. chroococcum and P. chrysogenum. The enzymatic activities of the β -glucosidase, urease and phosphatase, after 60 days, were observed lowest in the substrate inoculated with the consortia of E. fetida and bioinoculants, confirming the role of bioinoculants in vermicomposting. In this context it may be noted that the concentration of heavy metals in matured vermicompost was found to be less than the initial concentration in the MSW mixed substrates. Also, indigenous species of earthworms exhibited better performance in reducing the concentration of certain heavy metals in vermicompost.

The MSW supplemented with R. communis (1:3) produced the maximum BE (60.5%), at par with 60.3% of MSW+Rc (1:2). The chemical characteristics of SMS (Spent Mushroom Substrates) indicated that maximum percent decrease in TOC (26.0%), cellulose (28.3%), hemicellulose (26.4%) and lignin (38.3%), and percent increase in TKN (26.5%), phosphorus (14.3%) and potassium (20.3%) was obtained with the supplementation of R. communis in the ratio of 1:3. Maximum protein content (28.5%) was observed in the mushroom fruit bodies harvested from the substrate MSW+Ma (1:3) and maximum percentage of lipids (3.26%) seen in fruit bodies harvested from MSW+Rc (1:3). Supplementation of the combination of PGHs (IAA, GA₃ and KIN) into the substrates improved BE (72.3%) of P. sajor-caju. The chemical characterization of SMS indicated that inoculation of A. chroococcum gave maximum increased percentage of TKN whereas for increase in phosphorus and potassium, consortia of GA₃+IAA+KIN proved best. The maximum protein content (34.2%) was obtained in the fungi fruit bodies harvested from the substrates inoculated with A. chroococcum.

Possibilities were explored for the cultivation of A. bisporus, by using vermicompost, prepared from decomposition of MSW employing E. fetida and L. mauritii, instead of

synthetic compost prepared from traditional substrate (wheat/rice straw). Maximum yield of A. bisporus in terms of BE (27.7%) was obtained from the substrate combination of MSW+Rc (1:3) which was vermicomposted using E. fetida. The analysis of Spent Mushroom Substrate (SMS) showed maximum increases of nitrogen (23.4%), phosphorus (14.8%) and potassium (27.4%) in the substrates MSW+Rc (1:3), vermicomposted using E. fetida. Similarly, maximum reduction, over initial values in the substrates, of organic carbon (37.3%), cellulose (50.5%), hemicellulose (55.3%), and lignin (54.9%) were observed in MSW+Rc (1:3). With the inoculation of the combination of GA₃, IAA and Kinetin, maximum BE (25.9%) which is 52.3% higher over control, was achieved. The supplementation of PGHs in combination (GA₃, IAA and KIN) caused maximum decrease in TOC, cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. However, maximum increase in nitrogen content (0.96 to 1.78) was observed with the inoculation of A. chroococcum individually.

The concentration of tested heavy metals in the fungi fruit bodies of P. sajor-caju was always found under the permissible limits for food intake. For A. bisporus the maximum concentration of lead (2.12 mg/kg) was found when harvested from E. fetida vermicomposted MSW (100%). The maximum concentration of Zn (11.2 mg/kg), Ni (3.1 mg/kg) and Cu (1.6 mg/kg) was observed in fungi fruit bodies harvested from MSW+Ll (1:2), while the fruit bodies harvested from substrate combination of MSW+Ll (1:3) and MSW+Ll (1:2), showed a maximum concentration of cadmium (0.9 mg/kg). Although BE for L. mauritii was lower than E. fetida but L. mauritii, it was found that caused higher reduction of concentration of certain heavy metals in the fruit bodies. This aspect needs to be investigated further.

The present study clearly reveals the possibilities to use MSW as a good quality of vermicompost and an alternative substrate for the cultivation of edible fungi. The comparative experimental findings for the efficacy of two earthworm species have provided an improved understanding of the potential of previously unexplored indigenous species of earthworms for vermicomposting of MSW and their role in heavy metal uptake.

CONTENTS

	Page no.
Abstract	i
List of figures	iv
List of tables	v
List of plates	vii
Chapter I: Introduction	
1.1 Background	01
1.2 Understanding the nature of waste in urban sector	02
1.3 MSW generation and treatment : Global scenario	03
1.4 MSW generation - The Indian scenario	04
1.4.1 Composition of MSW	05
1.5 Environmental impacts of MSW	08
1.6 Human health impacts of MSW	10
1.7 Legislation on solid waste management in India	11
1.8 MSW management systems in India – current status	12
1.8.1 Collection system	12
1.8.2 Transportation system	12
1.8.3 Treatment and disposal	13
1.9 Solid waste treatment technologies	13
1.9.1 Composting and Vermicomposting	15
1.10 Objectives	18
Chapter II: Review of Literature	
2.1 Vermicomposting of MSW	20
2.1.1 Earthworms: A historical perspective	20
2.1.2 Ecological Categories of earthworms	21
2.1.3 Reproduction of earthworms	21
2.1.4 Effect of key factors on earthworms growth and subsequently on vermicomposting	22

2.1.4.1	Temperature	22
2.1.4.2	Moisture	23
2.1.4.3	pH	24
2.1.4.4	Nature of waste	24
2.1.4.5	C – N ratio of the substrates	25
2.1.5	Role of earthworms in vermicomposting of different types of organic waste	25
2.1.6	Association of micro organisms with earthworms	28
2.1.7	Heavy metals uptake by earthworms during vermicomposting	29
2.1.8	Suitable earthworm species for vermicomposting	30
2.1.9	Potential of indigenous earthworm species for waste management	31
2.1.10	Quality characteristics of vermicompost and vermicast	32
2.1.10.1	Availability of nutrients in vermicompost and vermicast	32
2.1.10.2	Plant growth hormones and regulators in vermicompost	34
2.1.10.3	Enzymatic activities in earthworm's cast and vermicompost	35
2.2	Cultivation of edible fungi on MSW	37
2.2.1	Edible fungi in bioconversion of lignocellulosic waste	37
2.2.2	<i>Pleurotus</i> sp. in biodegradation of waste	38
2.2.2.1	Effect of environmental factors on cultivation of <i>P. sajor-caju</i>	39
2.2.2.2	Growth promotion of edible fungi by supplementation of additives and bioinoculants	40
2.2.3	<i>A. bisporus</i> (button mushroom) in biodegradation of waste	41
2.2.3.1	Compost requirement for cultivation of <i>A. bisporus</i>	42
2.2.3.2	Effect of environmental factors during spawn run	43
2.2.3.3	Casing of substrates for fructification of <i>A. bisporus</i>	44
2.2.3.4	Different supplementations to enhance the yield of <i>A. bisporus</i>	45
2.2.4	Spent mushroom substrates (SMS)	46
2.2.4.1	Recycling of spent mushroom substrates for different	46

	purposes	
2.2.5	Heavy metal uptake by mushroom	48
2.2.5.1	Factors affecting trace elements concentration in fruiting bodies	49
2.2.5.2	Need of an integrated system for MSW treatment based on earthworms and edible fungi to reduce heavy metal concentration in vermicompost and mushroom	49

Chapter III: Materials and Methods

3.1	Collection and identification of indigenous earthworms species of Delhi	51
3.2	Vermiculturing	52
3.2.1	Preparation of different substrates for vermiculturing	52
3.2.2	Analysis and observations	53
3.2.3	Experimental set up for effect of temperature on the earthworms	54
3.2.4	Culturing and sub culturing of bioinoculants	54
3.2.5	Interaction studies between earthworms and bioinoculants	55
3.3	Vermicomposting of MSW employing bioinoculants	55
3.3.1	Effect of different combinations on vermicompost	55
3.3.2	Analysis of vermicompost	56
3.3.2.1	Chemical analysis	56
3.3.2.2	Heavy metal analysis	57
3.3.2.3	Biochemical analysis	57
3.4	Experiments on mushroom cultivation	58
3.4.1	Collection of substrates and preparation of bundles for <i>P. sajor-caju</i>	58
3.4.2	Vermicomposting of MSW for the cultivation of <i>A. bisporus</i>	59
3.4.3	Mushroom yield and biological efficiency	60
3.4.4	Analysis of substrates, spent residues and fruit bodies	59
3.4.5	Heavy metal estimation in substrates and in fruit bodies	60
3.5	Statistical analysis	60

Chapter IV: Results and Discussion

4.1	Vermicomposting of MSW employing bioinoculants and earthworms (exotic	62
-----	---	----

and indigenous species)		
4.1.1	Collection and identification of indigenous earthworm species in Delhi region	62
4.1.2	Optimization of best suitable substrate for culturing of <i>L. mauritii</i> and <i>E. fetida</i>	63
4.1.3	Effect of temperature on growth and mortality of earthworms'	71
4.1.4	Lab level studies on interaction between earthworms and bioinoculants	73
4.1.5	Role of bionoculants in vermicomposting	74
4.1.5.1	Physiochemical characteristics of the substrate used for vermicomposting	74
4.1.5.2	Earthworms development	75
4.1.5.3	Total organic carbon (TOC)	76
4.1.5.4	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	77
4.1.5.5	Available Phosphorus (P) and Potassium (K)	79
4.1.5.6	Cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin	82
4.1.6	Effect of bioinoculants on the enzymatic activity during vermicomposting	88
4.1.6.1	B- glucosidase activity	88
4.1.6.2	Phosphatase activity	89
4.1.6.3	Urease activity	90
4.1.7	Role of earthworms in reduction of heavy metals contamination in vermicomposting	91
4.2	Cultivation of edible fungi on MSW	98
4.2.1	Studies on use of MSW for the cultivation of <i>P. sajor-caju</i>	98
4.2.1.1	Yield of <i>P. sajor-caju</i> on MSW supplemented with other lignocellulosic materials	98
4.2.1.2	Proximate analysis of <i>P. sajor-caju</i> cultivated on MSW, supplemented with other lignocellulosic substrates	100
4.2.1.3	Nutrient content in spent mushroom substrate (SMS) after harvesting of <i>P. sajor-caju</i> from MSW supplemented with	103

	lignocellulosic materials	
4.2.1.4	Cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin content in SMS after cultivation of <i>P. sajor-caju</i> from MSW supplemented with other substrates	106
4.2.1.5	Heavy metal uptake by <i>P. sajor-caju</i> cultivated on MSW supplemented with other substrates	108
4.2.1.6	Yield of <i>P. sajor-caju</i> on MSW supplemented with additives (plant growth promoters and bioinoculant)	113
4.2.1.7	Proximate analysis of <i>P. sajor-caju</i> cultivated on MSW supplemented with additives	115
4.2.1.8	Nutrients in SMS after harvesting the <i>P. sajor-caju</i> from MSW supplemented with additives	116
4.2.2	Studies on the use of MSW for cultivation of <i>A. bisporus</i>	117
4.2.2.1	Yield of <i>A. bisporus</i> on vermicomposted MSW (employing <i>E. fetida</i> and <i>L. mauritii</i>), supplemented with other lignocellulosic substrates	117
4.2.2.2	Nutrient content in SMS after harvesting <i>A. bisporus</i> from vermicomposted MSW supplemented with lignocellulosic materials	120
4.2.2.3	Cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin content in different SMS after cultivation of <i>A. bisporus</i> from MSW supplemented with other substrates	124
4.2.2.4	Proximate analysis of <i>A. bisporus</i> harvested from vermicomposted MSW, supplemented with other substrates	125
4.2.2.5	Heavy metal uptake by <i>A. bisporus</i> cultivated on vermicomposted MSW supplemented with lignocellulosic materials	128
4.2.2.6	Yield of <i>A. bisporus</i> cultivated on vermicomposted MSW (employing <i>E. fetida</i>) supplemented with additives	131
4.2.2.7	Proximate analysis of <i>A. bisporus</i> cultivated on	133

	vermicomposted MSW supplemented with different additives	
4.2.2.8	Nutrients in SMS of vermicomposted MSW and supplemented with additives after harvesting <i>A. bisporus</i>	134
		136
Chapter V: Summary and Conclusions		
5.1	Performance and efficacy of <i>E. fetida</i> (non-indigenous species) and <i>L. mauritii</i> (indigenous species) for MSW treatment	133
5.2	Effect of bioinoculants and growth promoters on mushroom yield and quality of fruit bodies, harvested from different combinations of fresh MSW and phytobiomass	138
5.3	Potential of SMS as good quality manure in agriculture	140
References		142
Bio-data		185