

A STUDY ON SMARTPHONE MANUFACTURING ECOSYSTEM IN INDIA

JADUMANI JENA



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
INDIA**

NOVEMBER 2016

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2016

A STUDY ON SMARTPHONE MANUFACTURING ECOSYSTEM IN INDIA

by

Jadumani Jena

Submitted

in fulfilment of requirements of the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

to



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
INDIA**

NOVEMBER 2016

*Dedicated to my wife **Renuka** who has been a constant Source
of Encouragement for my PhD work*

CERTIFICATE

The thesis entitled “**A Study on Smartphone Manufacturing Ecosystem in India**”; being submitted by **Jadumani Jena** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, for award of the Degree of “**Doctor of Philosophy**” is a record *bona fide* Research Work carried out by him. He has worked under our supervision in conformity with rules and regulations of the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi. The research reports and results presented in the thesis have not been submitted in part or full for the award of any degree or diploma in any other University or Institute.

Prof Ravi Shankar

Professor

Department of Management Studies,
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
Hauz khas, New Delhi

Prof MP Gupta

Professor

Department of Management Studies,
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
Hauz khas, New Delhi

Place: New Delhi

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

At the outset, I would like to thank my supervisors Prof Ravi Shankar and Prof MP Gupta for allowing me to work under their supervision. I am highly indebted to Prof Ravi Shankar, who has been a constant source of Inspiration and supported immensely for completion of this study especially in the absence of much available literature. In many occasions when I reached a blind spot, he guided me out of it with a helping hand and innovative solutions. He always made seemingly difficult jobs simpler. I am also grateful to Prof M. P. Gupta who gave me timely advice and valuable inputs to keep me focused to the problem area. Both of them have been friend, philosopher and guide to me for this work. I am thankful to Prof Mahim Sagar who has been the real motivator for me to join the PhD Program of IIT Delhi.

This thesis certainly would not have been in the current shape without the contributions from my fellow researchers especially Rachita, Vijayta, Ashish, Devendra, Fahima, Monika and my colleagues Ankita, Lalitha, Neeti and Rahul. I am indebted to them for their support. I extend my gratitude to Student Interns of BITS Pilani, Amity University and IIT Delhi who have helped me in data collection and some exploratory work. I also thank Mr. Bijoyanand Mishra, who has been a tremendous support in the initial period of the course work and in organising the experts. I extend my sincere thanks to all the experts and 135 respondents of the survey who have been the major contributor to this study.

I would like to give a standing ovation to my wife Renuka who stood by me in all odds and kept on encouraging me to devote time to traverse the journey that I have embarked upon since 2007, for building a sound telecom ecosystem in India. The creation of Telecom Centres of Excellence (TCOE), Telecom Sector Skill Council (TSSC) and Telecom Standards Development Society, India (TSDSI) from concept to reality, gave me an insight to the existing ecosystem of India and motivated me to explore more for identifying strategic areas, those need to be handled on priority. I also extend my heartfelt thanks to my children Aneema and Jyotirmoy who have tolerated me of parental neglect and have always held me in high esteem.

I would like to thank Mr. Rajan S Mathews, Director General, Cellular Operators Association of India (COAI) who had really understood the importance of the research area and permitted me to enrol as a part time PhD Student.

At last, I would like to thank my SRC chairman Prof SS Yadav and the members Prof Sushil and Prof SK Gupta for their valuable guidance for completing this thesis.

(Jadumani Jena)

Place: New Delhi

ABSTRACT

Telecom Sector in India has traversed through major changes in recent years. More than just a voice communication medium, it is now contributing a lot towards economic growth and financial inclusion of poorest of the poor. There is also significant change towards using telecommunication to play a major role in value added services, such as on-line banking, m-health, m-commerce, m-governance, m-education etc. Many business decisions are now facilitated through telecom infrastructure and therefore it is contributing towards the overall GDP of a nation. However the penetration of mobile tele-density is still far from satisfactory especially in rural and semi urban areas, thus having a high growth potential both in quantitative and qualitative terms.

An important feature of this sector is strong interdependence of different sub systems like handset manufacturing, service delivery, tower technology, application development etc. on one another. With rapid technological convergence, the mobile devices are becoming smarter day by day and smartphone is becoming the ubiquitous platform both for personal and business activities. This device demand in India is growing in geometric progression and many economic/ governance activities are being offered on this platform. However, the weakest link in the entire telecom ecosystem of India is handset manufacturing which is mostly import dependant but has many customer-facing challenges. Therefore there is a need to carefully understand the way we can strengthen smart phone manufacturing in India.

The objective of this research is to analyse smartphone manufacturing ecosystem (SME) and identify key Enabling variables and Inhibitors and establish their hierarchical importance so that these can be appropriately managed to develop the manufacturing ecosystem.

To achieve this main objective, attempt was made to study a few countries like China, USA, Taiwan, Korea and Finland which helped us to understand their respective strength, weakness, opportunity and threat. This knowledge was helpful in synthesis of the results and in drawing conclusions.

Subsequently, a few representative case studies of smartphone manufacturers were undertaken to study their performance parameters under Indian conditions to get an idea

about the manufacturing ecosystem and analysed using SAP-LAP (Situation Actor Process - Learning Action Performance) framework. This helped us in understanding enabler and inhibitor variables of smartphone manufacturing ecosystem. This was further augmented with literature survey and expert opinion to arrive at a set of Enabling and Inhibiting variables. Around these variables, a questionnaire based Survey was conducted and responses were collected from different segment of the telecom sector. Using appropriate statistical tools like factor analysis and regression testing, a few hypotheses were formulated and these hypotheses were tested to get the final set of significant enabling/ inhibiting variables.

Thereafter, Total Interpretive Structural Models (TISM) were developed both for the enabling variables and inhibitors separately, which provided useful information about the driving power and dependence relationships amongst the variables. Thus the strategic enablers and inhibitors (barriers) were identified along with their hierarchical importance level with respect to each other. These enablers and barriers of TISM model were then synthesized with the strength, opportunity obtained from SWOT analysis for India to get the SOEB (Strength-Opportunity-Enabler-Barrier) matrix for decision making in development of smartphone manufacturing ecosystem.

The research ends with documentation of implication of the study for practitioners and academician. Limitations of research and scope of future work were also listed. The thesis has thrown up some revealing facts as to how the policy makers have been focussing on some of the non-strategic areas that have low driving power but give lesser attention to strategic areas. Supportive Govt. policy, entrepreneur development and global competitiveness have been the strategic enablers for developing smartphone manufacturing ecosystem and political corruption coupled with low R&D spending have been the biggest inhibitors to the growth of the ecosystem. This study lays the foundation to the least studied area of ecosystem development for manufacturing mobile handsets, a mass consumed product in India today. Hopefully this work will trigger more studies and research to take place in this area in years to come.

Keywords: Smartphone Manufacturing Ecosystem (SME), Total Interpretive Structural Modelling (TISM), India Case study, Inhibitor, Enabler, Barriers, SOEB.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Title	Pg. No.
	Certificate	i
	Acknowledgement.....	iii
	Abstract.....	v
	Table of Contents.....	vii
	List of Figures.....	xiii
	List of Tables.....	xv
	List of Abbreviations.....	xvii
1.	Introduction	1-12
	1.1 Introduction.....	1
	1.2 Smartphone Ecosystem.....	2
	1.3 Smartphone Manufacturing Ecosystem.....	3
	1.3.1 Smartphone Manufacturing.....	4
	1.3.2 Manufacturing Cost.....	5
	1.4 Key Challenges for the Smartphone Manufacturing in India.....	6
	1.5 Key Motivations to Study Smartphone Manufacturing Ecosystem in India.....	6
	1.6 Research Objectives.....	7
	1.6.1 Research Objectives.....	7
	1.6.2 Research Design.....	7
	1.6.3 Research Tools.....	9
	1.7 Organization of Report.....	10
	1.8 Chapter Summary.....	11
2.	Literature Review.....	13-36
	2.1 Introduction.	13
	2.2 Studies on Telecom Ecosystem.....	13
	2.3 Smartphone Manufacturing Ecosystem.....	14
	2.3.1 What are smartphones and platforms?	14
	2.3.2 The Market Today.....	16
	2.3.3 Key Manufacturers.....	19
	2.3.4 Manufacturers in India.....	23
	2.3.5 Key OS Developers.....	25

	Title	Pg. No.
	2.3.6 Operators Role in the Industry.....	26
	2.3.7 Applications' Role in the Industry.....	26
	2.3.8 Key Platform Developers.....	26
	2.3.9 Key Platform Developers and Manufacturers.....	28
	2.4 Evolution of Competitive Landscape.....	29
	2.5 Total Interpretive Structural Modeling (TISM)	31
	2.6 Case Study	35
	2.7 SAP-LAP.....	35
	2.8 Gap in Literature.....	35
	2.9 Chapter Summary	36
3.	Comparative Study of Indian Telecom Sector with Global Best Practices.....	37-74
	3.1 Introduction.....	37
	3.2 Global Manufacturing Scenario.....	38
	3.2.1 China.....	38
	3.2.2 USA.....	40
	3.2.3 Taiwan.....	41
	3.2.4 South Korea.....	44
	3.2.5 Finland.....	46
	3.2.6 Analysis.....	48
	3.3 Indian Smartphone Market.....	49
	3.3.1 Demand for Smartphones in India.....	51
	3.4 Indian Infrastructure and Policy Scenario.....	51
	3.5 Institutional Framework.....	52
	3.5.1 Telecom Centers of Excellence (TCOE)	54
	3.5.1.1 Importance.....	54
	3.5.1.2 Structure.....	54
	3.5.1.3 Working.....	54
	3.5.1.4 Impact.....	55
	3.5.2 Telecommunications Standards Development Society, India (TSDSI)	56
	3.5.2.1 Importance.....	56

	Title	Pg. No.
	3.5.2.2 Structure.....	56
	3.5.2.3 Working.....	56
	3.5.2.4 Impact.....	56
	3.5.3 Telecom Sector Skill Council (TSSC)	57
	3.5.3.1 Importance.....	57
	3.5.3.2 Structure.....	57
	3.5.3.3 Working.....	57
	3.5.3.4 Impact.....	58
	3.6 Comparative Study of Smartphone Manufacturing Practices.....	58
	3.6.1 India and the United States.....	59
	3.6.1.1 Analysis.....	60
	3.6.2 India and China.....	61
	3.6.2.1 Analysis.....	62
	3.6.3 India and South Korea.....	62
	3.6.3.1 Analysis.....	64
	3.6.4 Indian and Taiwan.....	65
	3.6.4.1 Analysis.....	66
	3.7 SWOT Analysis.....	67
	3.7.1 Strengths.....	68
	3.7.2 Weakness.....	69
	3.7.3 Opportunities.....	70
	3.7.4 Threats.....	71
	3.8 Chapter Summary.....	72
4.	Case Study of Smartphone Manufacturers.....	75-102
	4.1 Introduction.....	75
	4.2 SAP-LAP Methodology.....	75
	4.2.1 SAP-LAP Framework Formation.....	75
	4.2.2 Steps Involved.....	76
	4.2.3 Actor-Process Matrix.....	76
	4.3 Case Study of Nokia.....	77
	4.3.1 Introduction.....	77
	4.3.2 Best Practices at Nokia.....	77

	Title	Pg. No.
	4.3.3 Statistics related to Nokia.....	78
	4.3.4 Controversy at Chennai Plant.....	78
	4.3.5 SAP-LAP Methodology applied on Nokia case study.....	79
	4.3.5.1 Actors involved.....	80
	4.3.5.2 SAP-LAP Hills.....	84
	4.3.5.3 Turning Points.....	84
	4.4 Case Study of Micromax.....	85
	4.4.1 Manufacturing.....	86
	4.4.2 Distribution.....	86
	4.4.3 Best Practices of Micromax.....	86
	4.4.4 SAP-LAP Methodology applied on Micromax.....	87
	4.4.4.1 Actors Involved.....	87
	4.4.4.2 SAP-LAP Hills.....	93
	4.4.4.3 Learning.....	93
	4.4.4.4 Learning and Implications for other handset manufacturers in India.....	94
	4.5 Case Study of Samsung.....	95
	4.5.1 Samsung at present.....	95
	4.5.2 SAP-LAP Model for analysis of Samsung.....	95
	4.5.2.1 Actors involved.....	95
	4.5.2.2 SAP-LAP Hills.....	100
	4.5.2.3 Learning.....	100
	4.5.2.4 Learning and Implications for other handset manufacturers in India.....	101
	4.6 Chapter Summary.....	102
5.	Questionnaire based survey and analysis.....	103-130
	5.1 Introduction.....	103
	5.1.1 Administration of Questionnaire.....	103
	5.1.2 Demographics of Respondents	103
	5.2 Enablers in a smartphone ecosystem.....	104
	5.2.1 Application of statistical tools.....	108
	5.2.1.1 Reliability of data.....	108

	Title	Pg. No.
	5.2.1.2 Factor analysis.....	109
	5.2.2 Hypothesis Formulation and Testing.....	114
	5.3 Inhibitors to Smartphone Manufacturing ecosystem.....	116
	5.3.1 Application of statistical tools.....	119
	5.3.1.1 Reliability of data.....	119
	5.3.1.2 Factor analysis.....	120
	5.3.2 Hypothesis Formulation and Testing.....	125
	5.4 Discussion.....	127
	5.4.1 Significance of Enablers.....	127
	5.4.2 Significance of Inhibitors.....	128
	5.5 Chapter Summary.....	128
6.	Modelling Enablers of Smartphone Manufacturing Ecosystem using TISM.....	131-156
	6.1 Introduction.....	131
	6.2 Enablers for Smartphone Manufacturing ecosystem.....	132
	6.3 TISM on the Enablers	137
	6.4 Analysis and discussions.....	152
	6.5 Managerial implications of research.....	154
	6.6 Conclusion.....	155
	6.7 Chapter Summary.....	155
7.	Modeling inhibitors of Smartphone Manufacturing Ecosystem Development.....	157-172
	7.1 Introduction.....	157
	7.2 Research Methodology.....	157
	7.3 Application of TISM for modeling inhibitors of smartphone manufacturing ecosystem development (SMED).....	158
	7.4 Classification of Inhibitors: MICMAC analysis.....	169
	7.5 Discussion and Implications for Managers.....	170
	7.6 Chapter Summary	171
8.	Synthesis and Conclusions	173-184
	8.1 Introduction.....	173
	8.2 Synthesis of Research.....	174

Title	Pg. No.
8.3 Contribution of this research.....	177
8.4 Implication for practice.....	181
8.5 Implication for research	182
8.6 Limitations of research.....	182
8.7 Scope of Future Work.....	183
8.8 Chapter Summary	184
References.....	185-200
Appendices.....	201-226
Appendix I – Questionnaire 1.....	201
Appendix II – Questionnaire 2.....	205
Appendix III – Interpretive logic knowledge base – Enabling variable.....	209
Appendix IV – Interpretive logic knowledge base – Inhibitors.....	219
Publications	227
Short Biography.....	229

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title of Figures	Pg. No.
1.1	Smartphone Ecosystem	3
1.2	Smartphone Manufacturing Ecosystem.....	4
1.3	Handset Manufacturing Value Chain.....	5
1.4	Research Flow.....	8
2.1	The mobile operating system	15
2.2	Global Cell Phone and Smartphone Shipment Forecast	17
2.3	Mobile handsets and smartphones forecast	18
2.4	Trend for mobile and desktop applications	18
2.5	Compilation of different manufacturers and which platform they use	20
2.6	Worldwide smartphones sales (in Units).....	21
2.7	Worldwide smartphone sales (in percentage).....	21
2.8	Market share of manufacturers in India.....	25
2.9	Different platforms market share in percentage	30
2.10	Worldwide smartphone OS market share.....	31
3.1	SWOT of smartphone manufacturing in China.....	39
3.2	Strengths and weaknesses of smartphone manufacturing in USA...	41
3.3	Strengths and weaknesses of smartphone manufacturing in Taiwan	43
3.4	SWOT of smartphone manufacturing in South Korea.....	45
3.5	Strengths and weaknesses of smartphone manufacturing in Finland	47
3.6	Smartphone sales in India.....	50
3.7	Institutional framework supporting Telecom Ecosystem.....	53
3.8	The three pillars of TCOE.....	54
3.9	TCOE Organizational structure.....	55
3.10	Objectives of TSSC.....	57
3.11	Comparative Study – India and USA.....	60
3.12	Comparative Study – India and China.....	61
3.13	Comparative Study – India and South Korea.....	63
3.14	Comparative Study – India and Taiwan.....	65
3.15	SWOT Matrix for Indian Smartphone Manufacturing Ecosystem.....	68
4.1	SAP-LAP hills of Nokia.....	84

Figure No.	Title of Figures	Pg. No.
4.2	SAP-LAP hills of Micromax.....	93
4.3	SAP-LAP hills of Samsung.....	100
6.1	Basic steps involved in TISM.....	140
6.2	TISM of variables for smartphone manufacturing ecosystem.....	150
6.3	MICMAC analysis of variables.....	151
7.1	Digraph with significant transitive links.....	164
7.2	TISM based model for inhibitors of SMED.....	168
7.3	MICMAC analysis of inhibitors.....	169

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title of Tables	Pg. No.
1.1	Cost of Smartphone Components.....	6
2.1	Top five mobile phone vendor shipments and market shares.....	17
2.2	Major Applications of TISM.....	33
3.1	Manufacturing Data Statistics – China.....	38
3.2	Manufacturing Data Statistics – USA.....	40
3.3	Manufacturing Data Statistics – Taiwan... ..	42
3.4	Manufacturing Data Statistics – South Korea.....	44
3.5	Manufacturing Data Statistics – Finland.....	46
3.6	Comparison of Smartphone Shipments and Market Share....	49
3.7	India’s Global Branding Handset Producers.....	50
3.8	Plants Manufacturing various smartphone components in India	51
3.9	Manufacturing Data Statistics – India vs USA	59
3.10	Manufacturing Data Statistics – India vs China	61
3.11	Manufacturing Data Statistics – India vs South Korea	63
3.12	Manufacturing Data Statistics – India vs Taiwan	65
4.1	SAP-LAP Table of Nokia.....	81
4.2	Actor-Process Quantification Table of Nokia.....	83
4.3	Quantified Actor-Process metrics of Nokia.....	84
4.4	SAP-LAP Table of Micromax.....	89
4.5	Actor-Process Quantification Table of Micromax.....	91
4.6	Quantified Actor-Process metrics of Micromax.....	92
4.7	SAP-LAP Table of Samsung	97
4.8	Actor-Process Quantification Table of Samsung.....	99
4.9	Quantified Actor-Process metrics of Samsung.....	100
5.1	List of Enablers (Variables).....	108
5.2	Output Reliability Statistics.....	109
5.3	Correlation Matrix.....	110
5.4	Final Correlation Coefficient Matrix.....	111
5.5	Output.....	111
5.6	Variance Chart.....	112
5.7	Rotated Component Matrix.....	113
5.8	Reliability Statistics for Factor I.....	114
5.9	Reliability Statistics for Factor II	114
5.10	Reliability Statistics for Factor III.....	114

Table No.	Title of Tables	Pg. No.
5.11	Regression Analysis.....	115
5.12	List of Inhibitors (variables).....	119
5.13	Output.....	120
5.14	Correlation Matrix.....	121
5.15	Final Correlation Coefficient Matrix.....	122
5.16	Output.....	122
5.17	Variance Chart.....	123
5.18	Rotated Component Matrix.....	124
5.19	Reliability Statistics for Factor I.....	125
5.20	Reliability Statistics for Factor II.....	125
5.21	Reliability Statistics for Factor III.....	125
5.22	Regression Analysis.....	126
5.23	Significance of Enablers.....	127
5.24	Significance of Inhibitors.....	128
6.1	Significant Enablers from Factor Analysis & Hypothesis Testing.....	131
6.2	Sample Interpretive logic knowledge-base.....	141
6.3	Initial reachability matrix for smartphone manufacturing ecosystem....	143
6.4	Final reachability matrix for variables of smartphone manufacturing ecosystem.....	145
6.5	Iteration 1.....	146
6.6	Iteration 2.....	146
6.7	Iteration 3.....	147
6.8	Iteration 4.....	147
6.9	Iteration 5.....	147
6.10	Interpretive Matrix.....	149
7.1	Sample Interpretive Logic knowledge-base.....	161
7.2	Final reachability matrix.....	162
7.3	Level Partitioning of reachability matrix.....	163
7.4	Levels for inhibitors.....	164
7.5	Binary interaction matrix.....	165
7.6	Interpretive matrix.....	166
8.1.	Strength-Opportunity-Enabler-Barrier (SOEB) Matrix.....	175
8.2	Enablers of a Smartphone Manufacturing Ecosystem.....	177
8.3	Inhibitors of a Smartphone Manufacturing Ecosystem.....	178
8.4	Hypothesis.....	179

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Meaning
3GPP	3 rd Generation Partnership Project
A	Action
AR	Actor
ARPU	Average Revenue Per User
ATMP	Assembly Testing And Packaging
BOM	Bill Of Materials
CAGR	Compound Annual Growth Rate
CCC	Cheap Chinese Chipset
CDMA	Code Division Multiple Access
CENVAT	Central Value Added Tax
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CFO	Chief Financial Officer
CIF	Cost, Insurance and Freight
CKD	Completely Knocked Down
COAI	Cellular Operators Association Of India
CSF	Critical Success Factor
CTO	Chief Technology Officer
DOT	Department of Telecommunications
E4GI	Early 4G Introduction
ECM	Environmentally Conscious Manufacturing
EMS	Electronic Manufacturing Services
EOU	Export Oriented Unit
EU	European Union
FDI	Foreign Direct Investment
FI'S	Financial Institutions
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GMCI	Global Manufacturing Competitiveness Index
GOI	Government of India
GOVT.	Government

GPS	Global Positioning System
GPU	Graphics Processing Unit
GSM	Global System For Mobile Communication
GSM A	Global System For Mobile Communication Association
GST	Goods And Services Tax
IC	Integrated Circuit
ICT	Information And Communication Technology
IDC	International Data Corporation
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
IIM	Indian Institute Of Management
IISC	Indian Institute Of Science
IIT	Indian Institute Of Technology
IMD	Institute For Management Development
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IPR	Intellectual Property Rights
ISM	Interpretative Structure Modelling
ISP	Internet Service Provider
IT	Information Technology
ITRI	Industrial Technology Research Institute
ITU	International Telecommunications Union
JV	Joint Ventures
KMO	Kaiser Meyer Olkin
L	Learning
LCD	Liquid Crystal Display
LED	Light Emitting Diode
LTE	Long Term Evolution
M2M	Machine to Machine
MAP	Mutual Agreement Process
MFN	Most Favored Nation
MICMAC	Cross Impact Matrix Multiplication Applied to Classification
MIE	Mature Innovation Ecosystem

MIIT	Ministry Of Industry And Information Technology
MNC	Multi National Company
MOSFET	Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor
NA	Not Applicable / Not Available
NFC	Near Field Communication
NGN	Next Generation Network
NSDC	National Skill Development Corporation
ODM	Original Design Manufacturer
OECD	Organization For Economic Cooperation And Development
OEM	Original Equipment Manufacturer
OS	Operating System
PC	Personal Computer
PCB	Printed Circuit Board
PCO	Public Call Office
PDA	Personal Digital Assistant
POITC	Presence of IT Companies
PPP	Public Private Partnership
PR	Process
PWC	Price Waterhouse Coopers
Q1	Quarter-1
R&D	Research And Development
RIM	Research In Motion
S	Situation
SAP-LAP	Situation Actor Process - Learning Action performance
SEZ	Special Economic Zone
SIM	Subscriber Identity Module
SKD	Semi Knocked Down
SME	Smartphone Manufacturing Ecosystem
SMED	Smartphone Manufacturing Ecosystem Development
SMS	Short Message Service
SOEB	Strength Opportunity, Enablers, Barriers
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

SWOT	Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threats
TCOE	Telecom Centre Of Excellence
TFT	Thin Film Transistor
TISM	Total Interpretive Structural Modelling
TSDSI	Telecommunications Standards Development Society, India
TPM	Total Productive Maintenance
TQM	Total Quality Management
TSP	Telecom Service Provider
TSSC	Telecom Sector Skill Council
TV	Television
UHF	Ultra-High Frequency
UI	User Interface
US	United States of America
USA	United States of America
USD	United States Dollar
VAS	Value Added Services
VAT	Value Added Taxes
VLSI	Very Large Scale Integration
VOIP	Voice Over Internet Protocol
WAP	Wireless Access Protocol
WEF	World Economic Forum
WP7	Windows Phone 7