

A SEMI-DISTRIBUTED CATCHMENT YIELD MODEL

A. MANI

***THESIS SUBMITTED TO
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DELHI
FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY***



**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DELHI
HAUZ KHAS, NEW DELHI • 110016, INDIA**

December, 1996

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled '***A SEMI-DISTRIBUTED CATCHMENT YIELD MODEL***' being submitted by ***A. Mani*** to the ***Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi*** for the award of the ***Degree of Philosophy*** is a record of bonafide research work carried out by her my guidance and supervision. The thesis work, in my opinion, has reached the standard, fulfilling the requirements for the said degree. The research report and the results presented in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or in full, to any other University or Institute, for the award of any degree or diploma.



(Dr. A. K. Gosain)
Asst. Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi
New Delhi-110 016, India

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my earnest gratitude to Dr. A. K. Gosain who has supervised my research work with a keen interest. But for his constant encouragement and untiring patience in sitting through a series of discussions, the thesis would not have taken this shape.

It is my humble duty to thank Prof. Subash Chander for his encouragement and help whenever it was required.

I am extremely grateful to Prof. Ubertini and Ing. Stefano Casadei, Istituto di Idraulica, University di Perugia, Italy for allowing me to use the necessary data to validate the model.

My special thanks are also due to the faculty members of Water Resources Engineering for their kind help during the course of study.

I am also thankful to head of the department for providing me the necessary administrative support.

My special thanks are due to Mr. M. M. Rao for his help in developing the application software.

I take this opportunity to express my thanks to Ms. Sandhya Rao and other research scholars who have always been around in time of need during the course of the study.

*A. Mari,
30/12/96.*

The semi-distributed catchment yield model has been developed which is an improvement on the lumped conceptual model. The model developed is physically based and can be used in ungauged catchments. The model has been tested on a catchment in Central Italy. In the process of testing the model a specific purpose application has been developed to study the impact of various scenarios of artificially connecting the adjoining basins to the Trasimeno lake on the lake levels. The model has two components. The first component is Soil-Water balance and the second one is lake balance. The soil-water balance considers various processes, namely, snowmelt, interception, infiltration, evapotranspiration, percolation and lateral flow. The lake balance computes evaporation and seepage taking into consideration the inflows into the lake and any outflow from the lake. The basin is conceptualised into different zones on the basis of landuse. The water yield at each of the landuse is summed up to attain the total yield of the basin. The model is physically based and does not require any conventional calibration.

The semi-distributed model is applied on the Trasimeno basin along with the four other already connected adjoining basins, namely, Tresa, Rigo Maggiore, Moiano and Maranzano to obtain the simulated lake levels. In order to enhance the flexibility and usability of the model, an interactive interface is developed using C++ object oriented programming approach. The interface is completely menu driven and controls all the operations like data input, processing, simulation and display of results through the menus. The entire data sets needed to operate the model are kept in different dBASE IV files which enhances the data security. The semi-distributed model is applied through the interface to simulate the Trasimeno lake levels using the data from 1967 to 1986. The simulated lake levels are compared against the observed lake levels, since there is no measured discharge data available for the individual basins.

The semi-distributed model is compared against the lumped model (SMAR) to ascertain the usefulness of the distributed models over the lumped model. The structure of the SMAR did not contain snowmelt component. The snowmelt component is incorporated in the model to make the comparison sensible. SMAR model needs calibration since it is a lumped model. The model is calibrated on the first three years of records (1967 to 1969) using modified Rosenbrock optimisation technique. The set of parameters obtained from optimisation are incorporated in the model to simulate the Trasimeno lake levels for the remaining years. The results so obtained are compared with that of semi-distributed model. The semi-distributed model performs comparably well with the SMAR model during the calibration, but outperforms during the non-calibration period.

CONTENTS

	Page
Synopsis	i-ix
List of Symbols	x-xiii
List of Tables	xiv
List of Figures	xv-xvii
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1 Focus of the study	3
1.2 Objectives of the study	4
1.3 Organisation of Dissertation	5
Chapter 2. Review of Literature	7
2.1 Overview of hydrological models	8
2.1.2 Deterministic models	11
2.1.3 Conceptual models	13
a. Lumped models	14
b. Distributed models	21
c. Semi-Distributed models	26
2.2 Different processes of the hydrological cycle	28
2.2.1 Snowmelt	29
a. Degree day models	29
b. Energy balance approach	31
2.2.2 Interception	33
2.2.3 Infiltration	40
a. Empirical models	40

b. Approximate and Physical based models	43
2.2.4 Evapotranspiration (ET)	48
a. Reference evapotranspiration	48
b. Potential evapotranspiration	49
c. Actual evapotranspiration	50
2.2.5 Percolation and lateral flow	55
2.2.6 Critique	59
Chapter 3. The study Area	60
3.1 General features of Trasimeno basin	60
3.2 The problem	61
3.3 Data availability	64
3.3.1 Climate	64
3.3.2 Rainfall	64
3.3.3 Temperature	66
3.3.4 Soils	66
3.3.5 Topography	68
3.3.6 Land use	69
3.4 Trasimeno lake	71
3.4.1 Lake area - elevation - capacity curve	72
3.5 Data processing	73
3.5.1 Precipitation	75
3.5.2 Temperature	75
Chapter 4. Model Formulation	
4.1 Objectives of the model	79
4.2 Soil-water balance	81

4.2.1	Snowmelt	82
4.2.2	Interception	83
4.2.3	Runoff	84
4.2.4	Infiltration	86
4.2.5	Percolation and Lateral subsurface flow	89
4.2.5.1	Soil Temperature	92
4.2.6	Evapotranspiration	95
4.3	Lake balance	101
4.4	Sensitivity analysis	106
4.4.1	Depth of soil	106
4.4.2	Leaf area index	107
Chapter 5.	The Application Software	109
5.1	Deficiencies in traditional modelling	109
5.2	Need for new architecture	109
5.3	Development of interactive interface	110
5.4	Different segments of interactive interface	111
5.4.1	Data handling system	112
5.4.1.1	Input/ Updation	113
	(I) Static data	114
	a. Basin layout	114
	b. Location of observation points	115
	c. Basin characteristics	118
	d. Soil characteristics	120
	e. Crop characteristics	121
	f. Gauge discharge relationship	121

g. Area-elevation-capacity curve	122
h. Lake water profiles	123
(ii). Dynamic data	
a. Station precipitation data	124
b. Weather station data	126
c. Basin cropping pattern	127
d. Basin land use	129
5.4.1.2 Validation	129
5.4.1.3 Processing	129
a. Precipitation	129
b. Temperature	130
c. Flow	130
5.4.2 Simulation subsystem	131
5.4.2.1 Calibration	131
(I) Computation	132
(II) Display	133
(III) Tabular display	133
5.4.2.2 Simulation	134
(I) Computation	134
(II) Display	139
(III) Tabular display	140
Chapter 6. Application of the Model to the study area and its intercomparison with the Lumped model	141
6.1 Application of the semi-distributed model	141
6.1.1 Data used for simulation	141

6.1.2	Lake outlet	142
6.1.3	Procedural steps for simulation	142
6.2	Results of semi-distributed model	143
6.3	Semi-distributed model without interception process	150
6.4	Comparison of semi-distributed model with lumped model	154
6.4.1	Selection of the lumped model	154
6.4.2	Structure of the SMAR model	156
6.4.3	Data used for simulation	157
6.4.4	Calibration of the model	157
6.4.5	Procedural steps for simulation	159
6.4.6	Results of the lumped model	159
Chapter 7.	Summary and Conclusions	166
7.1	Summary	166
7.2	Conclusions	167
7.3	Proposal for future work	168
References		169
Annexes		
Appendix A		180
Appendix B		183