

**BROADBAND PLASMONIC NANOSTRUCTURES  
FOR ULTRASENSITIVE SURFACE-ENHANCED  
RAMAN SPECTROSCOPY DETECTION**

**GOVIND KUMAR**



**DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI  
SEPTEMBER 2022**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2022

**BROADBAND PLASMONIC NANOSTRUCTURES  
FOR ULTRASENSITIVE SURFACE-ENHANCED  
RAMAN SPECTROSCOPY DETECTION**

by

**GOVIND KUMAR**

**DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS**

Submitted

in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

to the



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

**NEW DELHI- 110016**

**SEPTEMBER 2022**

## CERTIFICATE

---

*This is to certify that the thesis entitled, “**Broadband plasmonic nanostructures for ultrasensitive surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy detection,**” being submitted by **Mr. Govind Kumar** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy in Physics** is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him under my supervision and guidance. He has fulfilled the requirements for the submission of the thesis, which to the best of my knowledge has reached the requisite standard.*

*The material contained in the thesis has not been submitted in part or full to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.*

**Prof. R. K. Soni**

Thesis Supervisor

Department of Physics,

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi,

Hauz Khas, New Delhi 110016

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

---

Foremost, I am highly obliged to my supervisor, Prof. R.K. Soni, for his constant support, insightful guidance, and consistent encouragement. His expertise in the fields of plasmonics and Raman spectroscopy has inspired me to learn things with a great deal of enthusiasm and to greatly increase my knowledge. I improved as a researcher every day throughout my doctoral studies because of his constructive criticism, invaluable feedback, patience, and constant belief in my abilities. I will be forever thankful to him for his teachings and principles that he imparted on me.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the members of my research committee, Prof. D.S. Mehta, Prof. G. Vijaya Prakash, and Prof. Vamsi K. Komarala for their encouragement and insightful comments.

I would like to acknowledge the central research facilities (CRF) and nanoscale research facilities (NRF) of IIT Delhi for providing characterization facilities. I am thankful to the DST-FIST UFO scheme for providing access to the Raman instrument for SERS measurements. I acknowledge the Defence Research and Development Organization (DRDO) of India for sponsoring the project and making Raman spectrometers available for SERS experiments. I am thankful to the University Grant Commission (UGC), Government of India, for providing me with financial support through a fellowship.

I would like to thank Ashwani for the scholarly discussions we had and for his cooperation during my time in the laboratory. I would like to thank Lokesh for his help in purchasing the laboratory supplies. I thank Arvind for his cooperation in the lab work. I would like to convey my sincere thanks to my former senior lab members, Dr. Jaspal Singh, Dr. Rupali Das, Dr.

Deepak Kumar, and Dr. Preeti Garg, for their support and assistance. I also appreciate the help of former junior lab members: Morpal, Divya, Sanjeev, and Rishikesh.

My stay at IIT Delhi would not have been the same without the few friends I have made along the way. I would like to express my special thanks to Gulshan, whose company has always been a source of delight. A special thanks to Priyanka for being a sincere friend to me and for supporting me in every way imaginable. I would like to express my gratitude to Shashank Sharma for encouraging me through his intellectual conversations and movie suggestions.

I take this opportunity to express my heartfelt affection, respect, and appreciation for my parents, Sher Singh and Meera Devi. My parents' everlasting love, patience, support, and the sacrifices they have made are indebted to me to the core.

Finally, I would like to show my gratitude to everyone who has showered their wishes and blessings on me, either directly or indirectly, during my Ph.D.

**Govind Kumar**

## ABSTRACT

---

Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) offers a reliable sensing platform capable of rapid detection and precise identification of hazardous compounds at ultra-low concentrations. SERS has become the preeminent spectroscopic technique for molecular detection due to its combination of various desired characteristics, including ultra-sensitivity, rapid acquisition speed, low cost, multiplexing capabilities, and portability. The development of SERS substrates with ultrasensitive detection capabilities is now undergoing a technological resurgence leveraging simple and cost-effective fabrication techniques. The detection capabilities of a SERS substrate are greatly enhanced by altering the composition, shape, and size of nanomaterials. However, the most critical aspect in influencing the detection sensitivity of a SERS substrate is the shape of the nanoparticles. The shape-anisotropic metal nanoparticles enable tuning their plasmon resonance wavelength over a broad spectral range and the generation of a high density of electromagnetic hot spots at corners or sharp edges. In addition to the enhancement of the SERS signal offered by plasmonic materials (mostly Ag and Au) in the visible and near-IR regions, harnessing the enhancement due to plasmon excitation in the UV is highly desirable. Employing the UV excitation wavelength in SERS produces a fluorescence-free and resonant Raman signal, making UV-SERS an attractive technique for molecular detection. This work focuses on the design and fabrication of SERS substrates with ultra-sensitive molecular detection capabilities using shape-tailored nanoparticles of different metals having a broadband plasmonic response in the deep-UV and visible to near-infrared regions.

FDTD simulations demonstrate the critical dependence of various important factors, including excitation wavelength, nanoparticle size, and corner curvature radius, on the optical properties of nanoparticles. A significant redshift in the dipolar LSPR peak wavelength (from 507 nm to 632 nm) and the emergence of higher-order multipolar plasmon modes are observed in the

FDTD-calculated Ag nanocube extinction spectra. The redshift (~449 nm) is more prominent in Ag nanoplates and only the in-plane excitations dominate the extinction spectra for large aspect ratio nanoplates. The Ag nanowire demonstrates a single plasmon peak at 413 nm for transverse polarization and Fabry-Pérot resonator characteristics for longitudinal polarization. Further, corner curvature has a significant impact on optical properties, resulting in drastic declines in field enhancement and a blue shift of the LSPR peak. In a dimer system, the strength of the near-field coupling, hence field enhancement, increases with decreasing interparticle separation and decreases with increasing corner roundness. However, in heterodimers, the nanoparticle size is crucial, deciding the degree of hybridization between all plasmon modes.

Shape-anisotropic Ag nanoparticles, including nanocubes, nanowires, and triangular nanoplates, are produced using chemical reduction-based synthetic techniques. Ag nanocubes show higher-order plasmon modes, while large aspect ratio nanowires exhibit exclusively transverse plasmon modes. Ag nanoplates exhibit a strong dipolar plasmon mode, tuned from visible to near-infrared wavelengths (698 nm to 1094 nm). Ag nanocube-based SERS substrate offers an enhancement factor (EF) as high as  $3.6 \times 10^7$  and is higher than the substrate based on Ag nanowire. SERS detection of molecules at ultralow concentrations (LODs of  $3.8 \times 10^{-17}$  M for PATP and  $7.3 \times 10^{-16}$  M for thiram) demonstrates the remarkable detection capabilities of the Ag nanocubes-based substrates. Trace level SERS detection of explosive molecules with EFs of  $10^7$  for PA and  $10^5$  for AN and LOD values of  $2.3 \times 10^{-11}$  M for PA and  $3.1 \times 10^{-8}$  M for AN display the superior field detection capability of the Ag nanoplate-based SERS substrate. The Ag-Au alloy nanocubes with 11.2% Au exhibit the highest EF of  $1.9 \times 10^7$  among the Ag-Au bimetallic nanocubes with varying Au contents generated by a simple galvanic replacement reaction. The Ag-Au alloy nanocubes-based SERS substrate displays remarkable detection sensitivity for explosive compounds, with LODs of  $1.71 \times 10^{-14}$  M for PNBA and  $4.1 \times 10^{-11}$  M for PA.

Three distinct shapes of Rh nanoparticles, triangular nanoplates (TNPs), rectangular nanoplates (RNPs), and concave nanocubes (CNCs), are synthesized using a modified polyol method to develop a deep-UV SERS platform. Rh CNCs have the highest deep-UV SERS activity with an EF of  $4.5 \times 10^5$ , as corroborated by FDTD-calculated extinction spectra and electric field distribution maps, in addition to near-field enhancement in Rh nanoparticle dimers. Further, the detection of trace explosives (LOD of  $4.9 \times 10^{-13}$  M for AN,  $1.3 \times 10^{-10}$  M for PNBA, and  $1.1 \times 10^{-7}$  M for DNT) demonstrates the excellent deep-UV SERS sensitivity of the cost-effective Rh nanoparticles substrate.

सरफेस-एनहांसड रमन स्पेक्ट्रोस्कोपी (एसईआरएस) एक विश्वसनीय संवेदन मंच प्रदान करता है जो अत्यंत-कम सांद्रता में खतरनाक यौगिकों का तेजी से पता लगाने और सटीक पहचान करने में सक्षम है। अत्यंत-संवेदनशीलता, तेजी से अधिग्रहण की गति, कम लागत, मल्टीप्लेक्सिंग क्षमताओं और पोर्टेबिलिटी सहित विभिन्न वांछित विशेषताओं के संयोजन के कारण आणविक संसूचन के लिए एसईआरएस प्रमुख स्पेक्ट्रोस्कोपिक तकनीक बन गई है। अत्यंत-संवेदनशीलता संसूचन क्षमताओं के साथ एसईआरएस सबस्ट्रेट्स का विकास अब सरल और लागत प्रभावी फैब्रिकेशन तकनीकों का लाभ उठाते हुए एक तकनीकी पुनरुत्थान के दौर से गुजर रहा है। एक एसईआरएस सबस्ट्रेट की संसूचन क्षमताओं को नैनोमटेरियल्स की संरचना, कण-आमाप और आकार में परिवर्तन करके बहुत बढ़ाया जाता है। हालांकि, एसईआरएस सबस्ट्रेट की संसूचन संवेदनशीलता को प्रभावित करने में सबसे महत्वपूर्ण पहलू नैनोकणों का आकार है। आकार-अनिसोट्रोपिक धातु नैनोकणों एक व्यापक स्पेक्ट्रम रेंज के साथ-साथ कोनों या तेज किनारों पर विद्युत चुम्बकीय हॉटस्पॉट के उच्च घनत्व के उत्पादन पर उनके प्लास्मोन अनुनाद तरंगदैर्घ्य का समायोजन प्रदान करते हैं। दृश्यमान और निकट-अवरक्त क्षेत्रों में प्लास्मोनिक नैनोमटेरियल्स (ज्यादातर Ag और Au) द्वारा पेश किए गए एसईआरएस सिग्नल की वृद्धि के अलावा, पराबैंगनी प्रक्षेत्र में प्लास्मोन उत्तेजन के कारण आवर्धन का उपयोग करना अत्यधिक वांछनीय है। एसईआरएस में पराबैंगनी उत्तेजन तरंगदैर्घ्य को नियोजित करने से प्रतिदीप्ति-मुक्त और अनुनाद रमन सिग्नल उत्पन्न होता है, जिससे यूवी-एसईआरएस आणविक संसूचन के लिए एक आकर्षक तकनीक बन जाती है। यह कार्य अत्यंत-संवेदनशील आणविक संसूचन क्षमताओं के साथ एसईआरएस सबस्ट्रेट्स के प्रारूप और निर्माण पर केंद्रित है, जिसमें विभिन्न धातुओं के आकार-अनिसोट्रोपिक नैनोकणों का उपयोग किया जाता है, जिसमें गहरा-पराबैंगनी और निकट-अवरक्त से दृश्य क्षेत्रों में ब्रॉडबैंड प्लास्मोनिक प्रतिक्रिया होती है।

फाइनाइट डिफरेंस टाइम डोमेन (एफडीटीडी) अनुकार, नैनोकणों के प्रकाशिक गुणधर्मों पर उत्तेजना तरंग दैर्घ्य, नैनोकण आकार और कोने वक्रता त्रिज्या सहित विभिन्न महत्वपूर्ण कारकों की महत्वपूर्ण निर्भरता को प्रदर्शित करता है। द्विध्रुवीय एलएसपीआर शिखर तरंग दैर्घ्य (507 nm से 632 nm तक) में एक महत्वपूर्ण रेडशिफ्ट और उच्च-क्रम बहुध्रुवीय प्लास्मोन मोड के उद्भव को एफडीटीडी-परिकलित Ag नैनोक्यूब एक्सटिंक्शन स्पेक्ट्रा में देखा जाता है। Ag नैनोप्लेट्स में रेडशिफ्ट (~ 449 nm) अधिक प्रमुख है और बड़े अनुपात नैनोप्लेट्स के लिए एक्सटिंक्शन स्पेक्ट्रा पर केवल इन-प्लेन उत्तेजन हावी है। Ag नैनोवायर अनुप्रस्थ ध्रुवीकरण के लिए 413 nm पर एकल प्लास्मोन शिखर और अनुदैर्घ्य ध्रुवीकरण के लिए फैब्री-पेरोट रेसोनेटर विशेषताओं को प्रदर्शित करता है। इसके अलावा, कोने की वक्रता का प्रकाशिक गुणधर्मों पर महत्वपूर्ण प्रभाव पड़ता है, जिसके परिणामस्वरूप विद्युत् चुंबकीय क्षेत्र आवर्धन में भारी गिरावट आती है और एलएसपीआर शिखर का ब्लूशिफ्ट होता है। एक नैनोकण डिमर व्यवस्था में, निकट-क्षेत्र युग्मन की ताकत, इसलिए विद्युत् क्षेत्र आवर्धन, घटते अंतर-कण पृथक्करण के साथ बढ़ती है और कोने की गोलाई बढ़ने के साथ घटती है। हालांकि, हेटेरो-डिमर में, सभी प्लास्मोन मोड के बीच संकरण की प्रबलता तय करते हुए, नैनोकणों का आकार महत्वपूर्ण है। आकार-अनिसोट्रोपिक Ag नैनोकणों, जिनमें नैनोक्यूब्स, नैनोवायर्स और त्रिकोणीय नैनोप्लेट शामिल हैं, रासायनिक अपचयन-आधारित कृत्रिम तकनीकों का उपयोग करके उत्पादित किए गए हैं। Ag नैनोक्यूब्स उच्च-क्रम प्लास्मोन मोड दिखाते हैं, जबकि बड़े पहलू अनुपात नैनोवायर्स विशेष रूप से अनुप्रस्थ प्लास्मोन मोड प्रदर्शित करते हैं। Ag नैनोप्लेट्स एक मजबूत द्विध्रुवीय प्लास्मोन मोड प्रदर्शित करते हैं, जो दृश्यमान से निकट-अवरक्त तरंग दैर्घ्य (698 nm से 1094 nm) तक समायोजित किया जाता है। Ag नैनोक्यूब्स-आधारित एसईआरएस सबस्ट्रेट  $3.6 \times 10^7$  के रूप में उच्च आवर्धन कारक (ईएफ) प्रदान करता है और Ag नैनोवायर पर आधारित सबस्ट्रेट से अधिक है। अत्यंत-कम सांद्रता पर अणुओं का एसईआरएस संसूचन (पीएटीपी के लिए  $3.8 \times 10^{-17}$  M के एलओडी और थीरम के लिए  $7.3 \times 10^{-16}$  M) Ag नैनोक्यूब्स - आधारित सबस्ट्रेट्स की उल्लेखनीय पहचान क्षमताओं को प्रदर्शित करता है। पिकरिक एसिड (पीए) के

लिए  $10^7$  और अमोनियम नाइट्रेट (एएन) के लिए  $10^5$  के ईएफ और पीए के लिए  $2.3 \times 10^{-11}$  M और एएन के लिए  $3.1 \times 10^{-8}$  M के एलओडी के साथ विस्फोटक अणुओं का अवशेष स्तर एसईआरएस संसूचन से Ag नैनोप्लेट्स-आधारित की बेहतर क्षेत्र संसूचन क्षमता प्रदर्शित होती है एक साधारण गैल्वेनिक प्रतिस्थापन प्रक्रिया द्वारा उत्पन्न 11.2 प्रतिशत Au के साथ Ag-Au मिश्र धातु ननौकयूब्स, विभिन्न Au मात्रा Ag-Au द्विधात्विक ननौकयूब्स के बीच  $1.9 \times 10^7$  का सबसे बड़ा ईएफ प्रदर्शित करता है। Ag-Au मिश्र धातु ननौकयूब्स-आधारित एसईआरएस सब्सट्रेट विस्फोटक यौगिकों के लिए उल्लेखनीय संसूचन संवेदनशीलता प्रदर्शित करता है, जिसमें पीएनबीए (परा-नीट्रोबेन्जोइक एसिड) के लिए  $1.71 \times 10^{-14}$  M और पीए के लिए  $4.1 \times 10^{-11}$  M के एलओडी हैं।

Rh नैनोकणों के तीन अलग-अलग आकार, त्रिकोणीय नैनोप्लेट्स (टीएनपी), आयताकार नैनोप्लेट्स (आरएनपी), और अवतल ननौकयूब्स (सीएनसी) को एक गहरा यूवी-एसईआरएस प्लेटफॉर्म विकसित करने के लिए संशोधित पॉलीओल विधि का उपयोग करके संश्लेषित किया जाता है। Rh सीएनसी में  $4.5 \times 10^5$  के ईएफ के साथ उच्चतम डीप-यूवी एसईआरएस गतिविधि है, जैसा कि Rh नैनोकण डिमर में निकट-क्षेत्र आवर्धन के अलावा एफडीटीडी-गणना एक्सटिक्शन स्पेक्ट्रा और विद्युत क्षेत्र वितरण मानचित्रों द्वारा पुष्टि की गई है। इसके अलावा, अवशेष विस्फोटकों का पता लगाना ((एएन के लिए  $4.9 \times 10^{-13}$  M, पीएनबीए के लिए  $1.3 \times 10^{-10}$  M, और डीएनटी के लिए  $1.1 \times 10^{-7}$  M) लागत प्रभावी Rh नैनोकणों सब्सट्रेट की उत्कृष्ट डीप-यूवी एसईआरएस संवेदनशीलता को प्रदर्शित करता है।

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

Certificate	i
Acknowledgments	iii
Abstract	v
Table of contents	xi
List of figures	xv
List of tables	xxiv
List of abbreviations	xxv
List of symbols	xxvii
<b>Chapter 1: Introduction</b>	<b>2-26</b>
1.1 Optical properties of metals	3
1.2 Surface plasmon resonance (SPR)	6
1.3 Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS)	9
1.3.1 SERS Mechanism: EM and chemical enhancement	11
1.3.2 Electromagnetic hot spots	13
1.4 SERS substrates	14
1.4.1 Desirable features of SERS substrate	15
1.4.2 State-of-art	16
1.5 Shape-selective growth of metal nanoparticles	18
1.6 Trace explosive detection	19
1.7 Challenges and motivation	22
1.8 Objectives	23
1.9 Thesis organization	24
<b>Chapter 2: Experimental Methods and Characterization Techniques</b>	<b>28-59</b>
2.1 Introduction	28
2.2 Experimental methods	29
2.2.1 Polyol process	29
2.2.1.1 Synthesis of silver nanocubes	31
2.2.1.2 Synthesis of silver nanowires	33
2.2.1.3 Synthesis of rhodium nanoplates	33
2.2.1.4 Synthesis of rhodium concave nanocubes	35
2.2.2 Seed-mediated growth method	36
2.2.2.1 Synthesis of silver seed nanoparticles	37
2.2.2.2 Synthesis of triangular silver nanoplates	38
2.2.3 Galvanic replacement reaction	39
2.2.3.1 Synthesis of bimetallic Ag-Au alloy nanocubes	42
2.2.3.2 Synthesis of hollow Ag-Au nanocubes	43

2.3 Materials and chemical reagents .....	44
2.4 Characterization techniques .....	45
2.4.1 Morphological and elemental characterization .....	45
2.4.1.1 Field-emission scanning electron microscopy .....	45
2.4.1.2 Transmission electron microscopy .....	47
2.4.1.3 Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy .....	48
2.4.2 Spectroscopic characterization .....	49
2.4.2.1 UV-Visible spectroscopy .....	49
2.4.2.2 Raman spectroscopy .....	50
2.5 SERS substrate preparation .....	55
2.6 SERS intensity enhancement factor .....	57
2.7 Limit of detection .....	58

### **Chapter 3: The Finite Difference Time Domain (FDTD) Simulations** **61-102**

3.1 Finite-difference time domain (FDTD) method .....	61
3.1.1 FDTD simulation algorithm .....	61
3.1.2 3D-FDTD simulation setup .....	64
3.1.3 Dielectric function in FDTD method .....	66
3.1.4 Validation .....	67
3.2 Shape-anisotropic single metal nanoparticle .....	69
3.2.1 Far-field properties of silver nanocube, triangular nanoplate, nanowire .....	69
3.2.1.1 Shape dependence .....	69
3.2.1.2 Size dependence .....	71
3.2.1.3 Curvature radius dependence .....	77
3.2.1.4 Surrounding dielectric medium dependence .....	80
3.2.2 Near-field properties of silver nanocube, triangular nanoplate, nanowire .....	82
3.2.2.1 Size dependence .....	82
3.2.2.2 Excitation wavelength dependence .....	85
3.2.2.3 Curvature radius dependence .....	88
3.3 Nanoparticle dimer system .....	90
3.3.1 Homo-dimer: Nanogap and curvature radius dependence .....	91
3.3.1.1 Nanoplate homodimer .....	91
3.3.1.2 Nanocube homodimer .....	95
3.3.2 Heterodimer: Nanogap and nanoparticle size dependence .....	96
3.3.2.1 Nanoplate heterodimer .....	97
3.3.2.2 Nanoplate-disk heterodimer .....	99
3.4 Conclusion .....	102

## **Chapter 4: Shape-anisotropic Silver Nanoparticles-based SERS Substrate** **104-134**

4.1 Introduction .....	104
4.2 Growth of shape-anisotropic silver nanoparticles.....	105
4.2.1 Silver nanocubes.....	105
4.2.1.1 Molar ratio (PVP/AgNO <sub>3</sub> ) optimization .....	105
4.2.1.2 Role of etchant.....	107
4.2.2 Silver nanowires .....	110
4.2.3 Triangular silver nanoplates.....	112
4.2.3.1 Growth of seed-particles .....	112
4.2.3.2 Growth of silver nanoplates .....	114
4.3 Silver nanocubes and nanowires-based SERS substrate.....	117
4.3.1 SERS activity of silver nanocubes and nanowires .....	117
4.3.2 Intensity enhancement factor .....	120
4.3.3 Concentration dependent SERS studies.....	122
4.3.4 Limit of detection (LOD).....	124
4.4 Silver triangular nanoplates-based SERS substrate .....	125
4.4.1 SERS activity of silver nanoplates.....	125
4.4.2 SERS detection of explosive molecules .....	127
4.4.3 Intensity enhancement factor .....	130
4.4.4 SERS substrate reproducibility .....	132
4.5 Conclusion.....	133

## **Chapter 5: Bimetallic Ag-Au Alloy Nanocubes-based SERS Substrate for Explosive Detection** **136-152**

5.1 Introduction .....	136
5.2 Ag-Au bimetallic nanocubes .....	138
5.2.1 Growth of Ag-Au alloy nanocubes: Alloying and de-alloying.....	138
5.2.2 Elemental analysis .....	141
5.2.3 Optical properties .....	143
5.3 Ag-Au alloy nanocubes-based SERS substrate .....	145
5.3.1 SERS activities of bimetallic Ag-Au nanocubes .....	145
5.3.2 Intensity enhancement factor .....	147
5.3.3 SERS detection of explosive molecules: Picric acid and PNBA .....	149
5.3.4 SERS reproducibility .....	151
5.4 Conclusion.....	152

**Chapter 6: Rhodium Concave Nanocubes and Nanoplates as Deep-UV Resonant SERS Platform** **154-180**

6.1 Introduction ..... 154

6.2 Growth of shape-anisotropic rhodium nanoparticles ..... 155

    6.2.1 Growth of rhodium triangular nanoplates ..... 155

    6.2.2 Growth of rhodium rectangular nanoplates ..... 158

    6.2.3 Growth of rhodium concave nanocubes ..... 160

    6.2.4 Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy analysis ..... 162

6.3 Rhodium nanoparticles as SERS substrate ..... 163

    6.3.1 SERS activity of rhodium nanoparticles ..... 163

    6.3.2 Deep-UV SERS activity of rhodium nanoparticles ..... 165

    6.3.3 Finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) simulations ..... 167

6.4 Deep-UV SERS detection of explosive molecules ..... 174

    6.4.1 Deep-UV SERS detection of non-nitro explosive: Ammonium nitrate ..... 174

    6.4.2 Deep-UV SERS detection of nitro explosive: PNBA and DNT ..... 177

6.5 Reproducibility and stability of deep-UV SERS substrate ..... 178

6.6 Conclusion ..... 180

**Chapter 7: Summary and Future Scope** **182-188**

7.1 Summary ..... 182

7.2 Future scope ..... 187

**References** **190-215**

**List of Publications** **216-217**

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

<b>Figure 1.1</b>	Real part and imaginary part of the dielectric function of (a,b) silver (c,d) gold.	5
<b>Figure 1.2</b>	Illustration of the localized surface plasmon resonance, where $E$ represents the electric field, $B$ represents the magnetic field, and $k$ is the propagation vector.	6
<b>Figure 1.3</b>	A schematic illustration of surface-enhanced Raman scattering.	10
<b>Figure 1.4</b>	Schematic illustration of the electromagnetic enhancement mechanism in SERS.	11
<b>Figure 1.5</b>	Schematic illustration of the chemical enhancement mechanism in SERS. $E_F$ represents the Fermi level of metal and HOMO (highest occupied molecular orbital) and LUMO (lowest unoccupied molecular orbital) corresponds to molecular orbitals.	13
<b>Figure 1.6</b>	Schematic illustration of various stages of the reaction leading to the formation of noble-metal nanoparticles of different shapes. $R$ is the ratio of the growth rates in the [100] and [111] directions.	19
<b>Figure 1.7</b>	Block diagram of the classification of techniques for trace explosives detection.	20
<b>Figure 2.1</b>	Schematic representation for the synthesis of Ag nanocubes using polyol method.	31
<b>Figure 2.2</b>	Schematic representation of heat-up polyol method for the synthesis of triangular Rh nanoplates.	34
<b>Figure 2.3</b>	Schematic representation of hot-injection polyol method for the synthesis of Rh concave nanocubes.	35
<b>Figure 2.4</b>	Schematic representation of the seed-mediated method for the synthesis of seed nanoparticles and TSNPs.	37
<b>Figure 2.5</b>	Schematic depiction of galvanic replacement reaction for the synthesis of bimetallic Ag-Au alloy nanocubes.	42
<b>Figure 2.6</b>	Schematic diagram of a scanning electron microscope.	46
<b>Figure 2.7</b>	Schematic diagram of the transmission electron microscope.	47
<b>Figure 2.8</b>	Schematic diagram of dual-beam UV-Vis spectrophotometer.	49
<b>Figure 2.9</b>	Schematic diagram of a confocal micro-Raman spectrometer.	52

<b>Figure 2.10</b>	Schematic illustration of dispersion of light by a low and high groove density grating.	53
<b>Figure 2.11</b>	Schematic representation of (a) a blazed grating and (b) frontside and backside illuminated CCDs.	54
<b>Figure 2.12</b>	Schematic illustration for the removal of surfactant for the surface of nanoparticles.	56
<b>Figure 3.1</b>	The three-dimensional computational space composed of Yee cells and the corresponding distribution of electric field and magnetic field component in Yee cell.	62
<b>Figure 3.2</b>	Yee algorithm's space-time sampling demonstrating the implementation of central differences for spatial derivatives and leapfrog stepping for time derivatives.	63
<b>Figure 3.3</b>	The flowchart of the 3D-FDTD algorithm.	63
<b>Figure 3.4</b>	The schematic representation of the FDTD simulation setup.	64
<b>Figure 3.5</b>	(a,c) real and (b,d) imaginary parts of the dielectric function for silver (upper panel) and rhodium (lower panel) taken from Palik's database (squares) and FDTD model fit to the data points (blue curve).	67
<b>Figure 3.6</b>	FDTD-calculated absorption spectra for (a) Ag nanosphere of various radii and corresponding peak absorbance wavelength (table) tested against the reported work in reference [145] (b) Ag nanosphere of radius 20 nm in different surrounding medium validated against reference [146].	68
<b>Figure 3.7</b>	(a) Extinction spectra of an Au nanorod obtained by Starowicz et al. [147] and (b) results reproduced using the FDTD method in this work.	68
<b>Figure 3.8</b>	Calculated absorption, scattering, extinction spectra of (a) Ag nanosphere (b) Ag nanocube (c) Ag triangular nanoplate (d) Ag nanowire.	69
<b>Figure 3.9</b>	(a) Calculated extinction spectra of Ag nanocube for edge length varied from 10 nm to 100 nm. (b) LSPR wavelength variation of plasmon modes as a function of edge length.	73
<b>Figure 3.10</b>	Calculated extinction spectrum of Ag nanocube of edge length (a) 200 nm (b) 500 nm.	74
<b>Figure 3.11</b>	(a) Calculated extinction spectra of Ag nanoplate for edge length varied from 10 nm to 100 nm. (b) LSPR wavelength variation of plasmon modes as a function of edge length.	76

<b>Figure 3.12</b>	Calculated extinction spectra of Ag nanowire for aspect ratio varied from 2 to 25 for polarization of incident light along (a) transversal axis (b) longitudinal axis.	77
<b>Figure 3.13</b>	(a) Pictorial representation of the corner curvature radius for a nanocube (b) calculated extinction spectra of 80 nm Ag nanocube for curvature radius varied from 0 nm to 20 nm and extinction spectrum of Ag nanosphere with diameter 80 nm (c) LSPR wavelength variation of plasmon modes as a function of curvature radius.	78
<b>Figure 3.14</b>	(a) Calculated extinction spectra of 80 nm Ag nanoplate for curvature radius varied from 0 nm to 20 nm. (b) LSPR wavelength variation of plasmon modes as a function of curvature radius.	79
<b>Figure 3.15</b>	(a-c) Calculated extinction spectra of 80 nm Ag nanocube, 80 nm Ag nanoplate and Ag nanowire of AR 20 in different surrounding medium of refractive index from 1 to 1.56. (d) Nanoparticle shape dependence of LSPR wavelength shifts with the refractive index of medium from 1 to 1.56.	80
<b>Figure 3.16</b>	(a) Calculated electric distribution maps of Ag nanoplate at 785 nm excitation wavelength for edge length varied from 10 nm to 100 nm (b) Maximum electric field enhancement as a function of edge length for different excitation wavelength.	83
<b>Figure 3.17</b>	Calculated electric distribution maps of Ag nanocube at dipolar resonance excitation wavelength for edge length varied from 50 nm to 100 nm.	84
<b>Figure 3.18</b>	Calculated electric distribution maps of 80 nm Ag nanoplate common excitation wavelengths and different resonance excitation wavelengths.	86
<b>Figure 3.19</b>	Calculated electric distribution maps of 200 nm Ag nanocube at different resonance excitation wavelengths.	87
<b>Figure 3.20</b>	Calculated electric distribution maps of Ag nanowire (AR 20) at common excitation wavelengths and transverse resonance excitation wavelengths.	88
<b>Figure 3.21</b>	Calculated electric distribution maps of 80 nm Ag nanoplate at resonance excitation wavelength for curvature radius varied from 0 nm to 20 nm.	89
<b>Figure 3.22</b>	Calculated electric distribution maps of 60 nm Ag nanocube for curvature radius varied from 0 nm to 10 nm and Ag nanosphere at the resonance excitation wavelength.	90

<b>Figure 3.23</b>	Calculated (a) extinction spectra (b) fractional plasmon shift of dipolar plasmon mode as a function of interparticle distance normalized to nanoplate size (c) electric distribution maps of Ag nanoplate homodimer for nanogap distances varied from 1 nm to 10 nm. $g$ is the interparticle nanogap distance and $d$ is the size of nanoplate.	91
<b>Figure 3.24</b>	Calculated (a) extinction spectra (b) electric field distribution maps of Ag nanoplate homodimer for curvature radius varied from 0 nm to 10 nm at a fixed nanogap distance of 2 nm.	93
<b>Figure 3.25</b>	Calculated (a) extinction spectra (b) fractional plasmon shift of dipolar plasmon mode as a function of interparticle distance normalized to nanoplate size (c) electric distribution maps of rounded Ag nanoplate (curvature radius = 5 nm) homodimer for nanogap distances varied from 1 nm to 10 nm.	94
<b>Figure 3.26</b>	Calculated (a) extinction spectra (b) fractional plasmon shift of dipolar plasmon mode as a function of interparticle distance normalized to nanocube size (c) electric distribution maps of rounded Ag nanocube homodimer for nanogap distances varied from 1 nm to 10 nm.	96
<b>Figure 3.27</b>	Size-dependent calculated (a) extinction spectra (b) electric field distribution maps of Ag nanoplate heterodimer. The size of one nanoplate is varied from 10 nm to 60 nm at a fixed nanogap distance of 1 nm.	97
<b>Figure 3.28</b>	Nanogap distance-dependent calculated (a) extinction spectra (b) fractional plasmon shift of dipolar plasmon mode as a function of interparticle distance normalized to large nanoplate size (c) electric distribution maps of 50-80 nanoplate heterodimer. The nanogap distance is varied from 0.5 nm to 10 nm. Inset shows the failure of exponential behavior of fractional plasmon shift at 0.5 nm nanogap distance.	98
<b>Figure 3.29</b>	Size-dependent calculated (a) extinction spectra (b) electric field distribution maps of Ag nanoplate-nanodisk heterodimer. The size of the nanodisk is varied from 10 nm to 60 nm at a fixed nanogap distance of 1 nm.	99
<b>Figure 3.30</b>	Nanogap distance-dependent calculated (a) extinction spectra (b) fractional plasmon shift of dipolar plasmon mode as a function of interparticle distance normalized to nanoplate size (c) electric distribution	101

maps of nanoplate-nanodisk heterodimer. The nanogap distance is varied from 1 nm to 10 nm.

- Figure 4.1** FESEM images of Ag nanocubes synthesized for different PVP/AgNO<sub>3</sub> molar ratios (a) 5 (b) 2 (c) 1 (d-f) 0.5. Insets show the magnified images of Ag nanoparticles with a scale bar of 200 nm. 106
- Figure 4.2** FESEM images of Ag nanocubes synthesized for different HCl concentrations (a) 200 mM (b) 10 mM (c,d) 120 mM. (e) EDX spectrum of as-synthesized Ag nanocubes. 107
- Figure 4.3** (a-c) UV-Vis spectra of Ag nanosphere, mixed shapes, and pure nanocubes. The pure Ag nanocubes were obtained for a molar ratio (PVP/AgNO<sub>3</sub>) of 0.5 and HCl concentration of 120 mM. (d) FDTD-calculated extinction spectra of Ag nanocube with an edge length of 200 nm. 109
- Figure 4.4** (a-c) FESEM images (d) EDX spectrum of Ag nanowires synthesized at different magnifications. The Ag nanowires are obtained for a molar ratio (PVP/AgNO<sub>3</sub>) of 1. 110
- Figure 4.5** (a) UV-Vis spectrum of Ag nanowires with a diameter of 220 nm (b) FDTD-calculated extinction spectrum of Ag nanowires with a diameter of 220 nm (AR=20). 111
- Figure 4.6** TEM micrographs and nanoparticles size distribution histograms of (a) 10 nm Ag nanoparticles (b) plate-like seed nanoparticles. 112
- Figure 4.7** UV-Vis spectra of (a) Ag seed nanoparticles at different time duration (b) plate-like Ag seed nanoparticles. The inset is the optical image of the color evolution of Ag seed solution over time. 113
- Figure 4.8** TEM micrographs and corresponding size (red) and curvature radius (blue) distribution histograms of nanoplates for seed volume of (a) 6 mL (b) 4 mL (c) 1 mL. 114
- Figure 4.9** UV-Vis spectra of (a) nanoplates of the edge length of 80 nm (b) nanoplates for different seed volumes increased from 0.5 to 6 mL. 116
- Figure 4.10** Experimental and FDTD comparative extinction spectra for edge length (a) 80 nm (b) 100 nm (c) 40 nm. 116
- Figure 4.11** SERS spectra of 10<sup>-9</sup> M concentration of (a) RhB and (b) thiram deposited on Ag nanocubes (red line) and Ag nanowires (blue line). 117

<b>Figure 4.12</b>	SERS spectra of (a) $10^{-9}$ M concentration of PATP for Ag nanocubes and Ag nanowires (b) $10^{-6}$ M concentration of PATP for Ag nanocubes and nanowires under excitation wavelength of 785 nm and 532 nm.	119
<b>Figure 4.13</b>	SERS spectra of PATP of different concentrations and corresponding characteristics peak ( $1078\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) intensity variation as a function of concentration for (a,b) Ag nanocubes and (c,d) Ag nanowires. The concentration and intensity of the peak are transformed into the logarithmic scale while performing linear regression.	122
<b>Figure 4.14</b>	SERS spectra of thiram of various concentrations and corresponding characteristics peak ( $1386\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) intensity variation as a function of concentration for (a,b) Ag nanocubes and (c,d) Ag nanowires. The concentration and intensity of the peak are transformed into the logarithmic scale while performing linear regression.	123
<b>Figure 4.15</b>	SERS spectra of R6G of concentration $10^{-6}$ M for nanoplates with an edge length of 40 nm (red line), 80 nm (dark grey line), and 100 nm (green line).	125
<b>Figure 4.16</b>	(a) SERS spectra of R6G of different concentrations for 80 nm nanoplates and (b) corresponding characteristics peak (at $1512\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) intensity variation as a function of concentration.	126
<b>Figure 4.17</b>	(a) SERS spectra of PA of different concentrations for 80 nm nanoplates and (b) corresponding characteristics peak (at $821\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) intensity variation as a function of concentration.	128
<b>Figure 4.18</b>	(a) SERS spectra of AN of different concentrations for 80 nm nanoplates and (b) corresponding characteristics peak (at $1042\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) intensity variation as a function of concentration	130
<b>Figure 4.19</b>	(a) SERS spectra of R6G of concentration $10^{-6}$ M recorded from 15 different random spots on the substrate and (b) the corresponding histogram of SERS intensity for peaks at $1360\text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $611\text{ cm}^{-1}$ .	132
<b>Figure 4.20</b>	(a) SERS spectra of DNT of concentration $10^{-6}$ M recorded from six different SERS substrates by averaging five spots on each substrate and (b) the corresponding histogram of SERS intensity at $1351\text{ cm}^{-1}$ .	133

<b>Figure 5.1</b>	TEM (blue background) and SEM images of Ag nanocubes reacted with 0.2 mM HAuCl <sub>4</sub> of volume (a,d) 0 mL (b,e) 0.1 mL (c,f) 0.25 mL (g,j) 0.5 mL (h,k) 0.6 mL and (i,l) 1 mL.	139
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	Schematic representation of the Ag-Au alloying and dealloying process in galvanic replacement reaction.	141
<b>Figure 5.3</b>	FESEM-EDX elemental mapping of Ag nanocube after reacting with (a) 0.1 mL (b) 0.25 mL (c) 0.5 mL (d) 0.6 mL (e) 1 mL aqueous 0.2 mM HAuCl <sub>4</sub> .	142
<b>Figure 5.4</b>	UV-Vis spectra of Ag nanocubes reacted with (a) 0 mL (b) 0.1 mL (c) 0.25 mL (d) 0.5 mL (e) 0.6 mL (f) 1 mL aqueous 0.25 mM HAuCl <sub>4</sub> . Insets show the photographs of aqueous suspension of nanocubes reacted with different volume of HAuCl <sub>4</sub> .	144
<b>Figure 5.5</b>	(a) SERS spectra of 10 <sup>-9</sup> M R6G and (b) maximum SERS intensities corresponding to the peak at 1512 and 612 cm <sup>-1</sup> for Ag nanocube reacted with various volumes of aqueous 0.2 mM HAuCl <sub>4</sub> .	146
<b>Figure 5.6</b>	(a) SERS spectra of PNBA of decreasing concentration from 10 <sup>-6</sup> M to 10 <sup>-13</sup> M adsorbed on Ag-Au alloy nanocubes (b) SERS intensity versus concentration plot for the mode at 1598 cm <sup>-1</sup> .	149
<b>Figure 5.7</b>	(a) SERS spectra of PA of decreasing concentration from 10 <sup>-6</sup> M to 10 <sup>-10</sup> M adsorbed on Ag-Au alloy nanocubes (b) SERS intensity versus concentration plot for the mode at 821 cm <sup>-1</sup> .	150
<b>Figure 5.8</b>	SERS spectra of 10 <sup>-8</sup> M concentration R6G adsorbed on Ag-Au alloy nanocubes. The spectra were recorded from 20 randomly selected sites on the substrate (b) corresponding histogram of SERS intensity for peaks at 1512 cm <sup>-1</sup> and 612 cm <sup>-1</sup> .	151
<b>Figure 6.1</b>	HRTEM image of (a) branched Rh nanoparticles synthesized using 32 mM of Rh precursor (b) irregular-shaped Rh nanoparticles at 20 mM of Rh precursor (c) Rh TNPs using 10 mM of Rh precursor (d, e) magnified images of Rh TNPs (f) single Rh TNP.	156
<b>Figure 6.2</b>	(a) UV-Vis spectrum of as-synthesized Rh TNPs (b) calculated extinction spectra of Rh TNP for different edge lengths.	157
<b>Figure 6.3</b>	HRTEM image of (a) Rh quasi-spherical nanoparticles synthesized without KBr (b) Rh RNPs synthesized using KBr (c) high-resolution	158

	image of single Rh RNP (d) TEM image of Rh RNPs with size distribution histogram.	
<b>Figure 6.4</b>	(a) UV-Vis spectrum of as-synthesized Rh RNPs (b) calculated extinction spectra of Rh RNP for different aspect ratios.	159
<b>Figure 6.5</b>	(a, b) HRTEM image of Rh CNCs (b) enlarged HRTEM image of Rh CNC (d, e) TEM images of mono-disperse Rh CNCs (f) size distribution histogram of Rh CNCs.	160
<b>Figure 6.6</b>	(a) UV-Vis spectrum of as-synthesized Rh CNCs (b) calculated extinction spectra of Rh CNC for different curvature radii. The curvature radius was varied by changing the angle ( $\theta$ ) with a fixed edge length of 27 nm.	162
<b>Figure 6.7</b>	EDX spectrum of as-synthesized (a) Rh TNPs (b) Rh RNPs (c) Rh CNCs.	163
<b>Figure 6.8</b>	(a) SERS spectra of $10^{-5}$ M R6G deposited on Rh CNCs, RNPs, and TNPs at the excitation wavelength of 785 nm and (b) SERS intensities at 611 and $1512\text{ cm}^{-1}$ for Rh CNCs, RNPs, and Rh TNPs. The data represent the average and standard deviation from five measurements.	164
<b>Figure 6.9</b>	(a) Deep-UV SERS spectra of $10^{-6}$ M adenine adsorbed on Rh CNCs, RNPs, and TNPs at the excitation wavelength of 266 nm and (b) SERS intensities at $1316$ and $1582\text{ cm}^{-1}$ for Rh CNCs, RNPs, and Rh TNPs. The data represent the average and standard deviation from six measurements.	165
<b>Figure 6.10</b>	Electric field distribution maps of (a) Rh CNC, (b) Rh RNP, and (c) Rh TNP calculated using the FDTD method at excitation wavelengths of 266 nm and 785 nm.	167
<b>Figure 6.11</b>	FDTD-calculated electric field distribution maps of Rh CNC for different (a) curvature radii varied from 14 nm to 7 nm (b) edge length varied from 5 nm to 40 nm.	169
<b>Figure 6.12</b>	FDTD-calculated electric field distribution maps of (a) Rh TNP for different edge lengths changed from 5 nm to 10 nm (b) Rh RNP for different aspect ratios increased from 1 to 4.	170
<b>Figure 6.13</b>	FDTD-calculated electric field distribution maps of dimers of (a) Rh CNC, (b) Rh RNP, and (c) Rh TNP in two different orientations. The field distribution maps were calculated at the excitation wavelength of 266 nm.	171

<b>Figure 6.14</b>	FDTD-calculated electric field distribution maps and extinction spectra of Rh CNC dimer for varying nanogap distances from 0.1 nm to 5 nm in (a) corner-to-corner (b) edge-to-edge orientations.	172
<b>Figure 6.15</b>	FDTD-calculated electric field distribution maps and extinction spectra of Rh RNP dimer for nanogap distances ranging from 0.1 to 5 nm in the (a) short edge lengths faced orientation and (b) long edge lengths faced orientation.	173
<b>Figure 6.16</b>	FDTD-calculated electric field distribution maps and extinction spectra of TNP dimer for varying nanogap distances from 0.1 nm to 2 nm in (a) corner-to-corner (b) edge-to-edge orientations.	174
<b>Figure 6.17</b>	Normal Raman and SERS spectra of AN acquired at the excitation wavelength of 266 nm and 785 nm.	175
<b>Figure 6.18</b>	(a) Deep-UV SERS spectra of AN of decreasing concentrations from $10^{-6}$ M to $10^{-12}$ M coated on Rh CNCs (b) deep-UV SERS intensities at $1060\text{ cm}^{-1}$ as a function of AN concentration. The intensities and concentrations were plotted on a logarithmic scale.	176
<b>Figure 6.19</b>	(a) Deep-UV SERS spectra of PNBA of decreasing concentrations from $10^{-5}$ M to $10^{-9}$ M adsorbed on Rh CNCs (b) deep-UV SERS intensities at $1602\text{ cm}^{-1}$ as a function of PNBA concentration. The intensities and concentrations were plotted on a logarithmic scale.	177
<b>Figure 6.20</b>	(a) Deep-UV SERS spectra of DNT of concentrations ranging from $10^{-3}$ M to $10^{-6}$ M adsorbed on Rh CNCs (b) deep-UV SERS intensities at $1603\text{ cm}^{-1}$ as a function of DNT concentration. The intensities and concentrations were plotted on a logarithmic scale.	178
<b>Figure 6.21</b>	(a) Deep-UV SERS spectra of $10^{-6}$ M PNBA recorded from 20 random spots on the substrate and (b) histogram of intensities for peaks at $1602\text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $1348\text{ cm}^{-1}$ .	179
<b>Figure 6.22</b>	(a) Deep-UV SERS spectra of $10^{-6}$ M adenine acquired over 35 days from the same substrate and (b) corresponding histogram of intensities for peaks at $1316\text{ cm}^{-1}$ .	179

## LIST OF TABLES

---

<b>Table 2.1</b>	Materials used in experiments and their specifications.	44
<b>Table 3.1</b>	LSPR tuning range and RIS values for shape-anisotropic Ag nanoparticles.	82
<b>Table 3.2</b>	Electric field enhancement and near-field coupling parameters for various dimer configurations of Ag nanoparticles.	100
<b>Table 4.1</b>	Enhancement factor calculated for Ag nanocubes and nanowires.	121
<b>Table 4.2</b>	Summary of the literature survey on detection limits of various substrates for thiram.	124
<b>Table 4.3</b>	Summary of the literature survey on detection limits of various substrates for AN and PA.	128
<b>Table 4.4</b>	Enhancement factor calculated for different sized Ag nanoplates.	130
<b>Table 4.5</b>	Enhancement factor values calculated for 80 nm Ag nanoplates.	131
<b>Table 5.1</b>	EDX analysis of Ag nanocubes reacted with various volumes of aqueous H <sub>2</sub> AuCl <sub>4</sub> .	143
<b>Table 5.2</b>	Enhancement factors calculated for bands at 1512 cm <sup>-1</sup> and 612 cm <sup>-1</sup> of R6G.	148
<b>Table 6.1</b>	Enhancement factors for three different Rh nanoparticles substrate at 785 nm.	164
<b>Table 6.2</b>	Enhancement factors for three different Rh nanoparticles substrate at 266 nm.	166
<b>Table 6.3</b>	Raman band assignments of AN.	175

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

---

AN	Ammonium nitrate
AR	Aspect ratio
CCD	Charge-coupled device
CNC	Concave nanocubes
CT	Charge transfer
CTP	Charge transfer plasmon
CW	Continuous wave
DDA	Discrete-dipole approximation
DI	De-ionized
DNT	2,4-dinitrotoluene
DTC	Dithiocarbamate
EBL	Electron beam lithography
EDAX/EDX	Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy
EF	Enhancement factor
EG	Ethylene glycol
eV	Electronvolt
FDTD	Finite-difference time-domain
FEM	Finite element method
FESEM	Field-emission scanning electron microscopy
FIB	Focused ion beam
FWHM	Full width at half maximum
gr/mm	Grooves/millimetre
HMTD	Hexamethylene triperoxide diamine
HOMO	Highest occupied molecular orbital
HRTEM	High-resolution transmission electron microscopy
LOD	Limit-of-detection
LUMO	Lowest unoccupied molecular orbital
MCA	Multichannel analyser
MW	Molecular weight
mW	Milliwatt
NIR	Near-infrared
PA	Picric acid
PATP	p-aminothiophenol
PETN	Pentaerythritol tetranitrate
PML	Perfectly matched layer
PMT	Photomultiplier tube
PNBA	p-nitrobenzoic acid
ppb	Parts-per billion
ppq	Parts-per quadrillion
PVP	Polyvinylpyrrolidone
R6G	Rhodamine 6G

RDX	Cyclotrimethylenetrinitramine
RhB	Rhodamine B
RIS	Refractive index sensitivity
rpm	Revolutions per minute
RNPs	Rectangular nanoplates
RS	Raman spectroscopy
RSD	Relative standard deviation
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
SERS	Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy
SHE	Standard hydrogen electrode
SPP	Surface plasmon polariton
SRS	Stimulated Raman spectroscopy
LSPR	Localized surface plasmon resonance
TATP	Triacetone triperoxide
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
TFSF	Total-field scattered-field
TNPs	Triangular nanoplates
TNT	2,4,6-trinitrotoluene
TrEG	Triethylene glycol
TSC	Trisodium citrate
UV	Ultraviolet

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

---

$\omega_p$	Plasma frequency
$n_e$	Electron density
$\epsilon_0$	Permittivity of free space
$m_e$	Effective mass of electron
$e$	Charge on electron
$\epsilon(\omega)$	Dielectric function
$\gamma$	Collision frequency
$f_k$	Oscillator strength
$\gamma_k$	Bandwidth
$\omega_k$	Resonance frequency
$\sigma_{sca}$	Scattering cross-sections
$\sigma_{abs}$	Absorption cross-sections
$\sigma_{ext}$	Extinction cross-section
$V$	Nanoparticle volume
$\lambda$	Wavelength of incident light
$\epsilon$	Permittivity of nanoparticles
$\epsilon_1$	Real part complex dielectric function
$\epsilon_2$	Imaginary parts of complex dielectric function
$\epsilon_m$	Permittivity of the surrounding medium
$R$	Radius of nanoparticle
$\omega_{LSPR}$	Dipolar plasmon resonance frequency
$l$	Order of pole
$Q$	Quality factor
$\omega_0$	Frequency of incident field
$\omega_R$	Shifted frequency
$\omega_{vib}$	Frequency of molecular vibration
$k$	Propagation constant
$E_0(\omega_0)$	Incident electric field
$E_{loc}(\omega_0)$	Local electric field
$g$	Enhancement averaged across the surface of the particle
$g'$	Enhancement factor corresponding to Raman-shifted wavelength
$\alpha_0$	Raman polarizability of the isolated molecule
$\alpha_R$	Raman polarizability of molecule adsorbed on metal nanoparticles
$I_{Raman}$	Raman-scattered intensity
$I_{SERS}$	SERS intensity
$C_s$	Original concentration of first metal
$x$	Variable distance from two metal interface
$t$	Time
$C(x, t)$	Concentration of first metal during the alloying process
$D$	Diffusion coefficient
$E_{diff}$	Energy barrier for diffusion
$T$	Temperature

A	Absorbance
$I_0$	Intensity of incident light
I	Intensity of transmitted light
$\epsilon$	Molar extinction coefficient
C	Concentration
$l$	Path length
$N_A$	Avogadro number
$V_m$	Volume of analyte
N	Number of molecules per unit area on the substrate
$N_{\text{Raman}}$	Number of molecules deposited on bare silicon
$N_{\text{SERS}}$	Number of molecules adsorbed on the substrate under the laser spot area
$A_{\text{laser}}$	Area of laser spot
$N_d$	Number of nanoparticles per $\mu\text{m}^2$
$\sigma$	Surface area occupied by single analyte molecule
$A_{\text{NP}}$	Area of single nanoparticle
$t_{\alpha}^{n-2}$	Critical value of the t-distribution
$\alpha$	Significance level of the prediction interval
$y_i$	Measured values
$y_i^p$	Predicted values from regression
$s_y$	Normalized sum of squares of the difference between measured values and predicted values
$\mu\text{M}$	Micromolar
$\text{nM}$	Nanomolar
$\text{pM}$	Picomolar
$\text{fM}$	Femtomolar
$\lambda_p$	Plasma wavelength of the bulk metal
$n_m$	Refractive index of the medium
$\lambda_{\text{LSPR}}$	Plasmon resonance wavelength
$\Delta\lambda/\lambda$	Fractional plasmon shift
$g$	Interparticle nanogap distance
$m$	Coupling strength
$\tau$	Decay constant
$R^2$	Correlation coefficient