

**STRATEGIES TO STABILIZE THE SODIUM METAL ANODE FOR
HIGHLY REVERSIBLE AND STABLE ROOM-TEMPERATURE
SODIUM-SULFUR BATTERIES**

CHHAIL BIHARI SONI



**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

APRIL 2025

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2025

**STRATEGIES TO STABILIZE THE SODIUM METAL ANODE FOR
HIGHLY REVERSIBLE AND STABLE ROOM-TEMPERATURE
SODIUM-SULFUR BATTERIES**

by

CHHAIL BIAHRI SONI

Department of Energy Science and Engineering

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

APRIL 2025

Dedicated to my beloved parents

CERTIFICATE

I am satisfied that the thesis entitled “**Strategies to stabilize the sodium metal anode for highly reversible and stable room-temperature sodium-sulfur batteries**” submitted by **Chhail Bihari Soni** is an ethical work and worthy of consideration for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, and is a record of the original and bonafide research work carried out by him under my supervision. The thesis results have not been submitted in part or full to any other University or Institute for awarding any degree/diploma.

Prof. Vipin Kumar
Department of Energy Science and
Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi,
New Delhi, 110016
India

Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Vipin Kumar, for his unwavering support, guidance, and encouragement throughout my PhD journey. His insightful advice and expertise have been instrumental in shaping this work, and I am truly fortunate to have had the opportunity to learn from him.

I am also immensely grateful to my SRC members for their invaluable feedback and mentorship. I extend my sincere thanks to Prof. S. K. Tyagi, my SRC chairperson from the Department of Energy Science and Engineering (DESE), for his continuous support and constructive criticism that guided my research. My heartfelt thanks go to Prof. Supravat Karak, my internal expert from DESE, whose insightful suggestions greatly enriched my work, and to Prof. Rajendra Singh, my external expert from the Department of Physics, for his critical reviews and encouragement.

I would like to acknowledge my collaborators who played a significant role in the success of this research. I am deeply thankful to Prof. Hemant Kumar from IIT Bhubaneswar for his collaboration, and to his students, Mr. Saheb Bira and Sidhant, for their hard work and contributions to this project. My sincere thanks also go to Prof. Viswanath Balakrishnan and Dr. Nitika Arya from IIT Mandi for their valuable input and support throughout our collaboration.

I am grateful to my lab mates and friends, Sungjemmenla and Vineeth, for their camaraderie and the countless hours we spent together in the lab, which made this journey much more enjoyable. I would also like to thank my juniors; Sanjay, Rahul, Osama, Kundan, Bhupendra, Nishtha, and Lovelesh, for their assistance and for creating a motivating and positive environment in the lab. A special note of thanks goes to my postdoc senior, Dr. Mahesh Chandra, whose support was invaluable during my research. His experience and insights greatly influenced my work, and I am grateful for his valuable discussions on several topics.

My heartfelt thanks to my parents, Mr. Govind Prasad Soni and Mrs. Meena Soni, for their unconditional love, sacrifices, and belief in me. Their guidance and support have always been my greatest source of strength. I would also like to express my deep appreciation to my elder brothers, Mr. Rahul Dev Soni and Mr. Tarun Kumar Soni, for their constant encouragement and support.

I am profoundly grateful to my wife, Pinki Soni, for her endless love, patience, and support throughout this journey. Her encouragement has been a cornerstone of my success. I also owe special thanks to my 4-year-old son, Omaansh Soni, whose smiles and laughter brought joy and balance to my life during this challenging time.

Finally, I extend my gratitude to all my family and friends for their unwavering support and encouragement throughout this journey. This work would not have been possible without the collective support of everyone mentioned above. I am truly grateful to each one of you.



CHHAIL BIHARI SONI

ABSTRACT

This thesis focuses on advancing the performance, stability, and safety of room-temperature sodium-sulfur (RT Na-S) batteries, addressing critical challenges related to the sodium metal anode, particularly dendrite formation, volume expansion during cycling, and the instability of the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI). The research employs a multi-faceted approach involving innovative material design, electrolyte optimization, and advanced characterization techniques to achieve significant improvements in battery performance.

The first objective aims to mitigate dendrite formation and manage volume changes in sodium metal anodes by developing a robust 3D host structure. A micro-architecture carbon nanotube (MACNT) framework design and integrate into the sodium metal anode to serve as a scaffold for sodium plating and stripping. This MACNT@SS anode demonstrates a substantial enhancement in cycling stability, achieving a Coulombic efficiency of over 98% across 300 cycles at a current density of 1 mA cm^{-2} . The 3D structure effectively accommodates the large volume changes associated with sodium metal, preventing the formation of harmful dendrites, which are a major cause of short circuits and capacity degradation in sodium metal batteries.

The second objective focuses on further stabilizing the sodium metal anode by enhancing the uniformity of sodium deposition. To achieve this, a patterned polypropylene interlayer (PPIL) introduces between the sodium metal anode and the separator. The PPIL features alternating dense and sparse fiber regions that effectively regulate sodium ion flux during cycling. This innovative interlayer design results in a significant improvement in the uniformity of sodium deposition, suppressing dendrite growth and thereby enhancing the battery's cycling stability. Symmetric cells with the PPIL demonstrate stable operation for over 1000 hours at a high current density of 5 mA cm^{-2} , with no evidence of short-circuiting, indicating the interlayer's effectiveness in preventing dendrite penetration and ensuring consistent anode performance.

The third objective targets to improve the SEI's mechanical integrity and ionic conductivity, which are critical for the long-term stability of sodium metal anodes. To address this, a novel organic molecule, 9F, employs as an electrolyte additive. The 9F additive facilitates the formation of a stable and robust SEI, composed of a mixture of organic and inorganic species, that effectively protects the sodium metal surface. Electrochemical tests reveal that cells with the 9F-modified electrolyte exhibit a Coulombic efficiency of approximately 99% and

maintained stable cycling performance for over 400 cycles at a current density of 1 mA cm^{-2} . The enhanced SEI suppresses dendrite formation and reduces the interfacial resistance, contributing to improve battery efficiency and longevity.

The fourth objective aims at controlling dendrite growth by altering the sodium-ion solvation environment within the electrolyte. This achieves by introducing a BiI_3 additive, which modifies the solvation structure of sodium ions, thereby reducing the occurrence of side reactions and promoting a more uniform sodium deposition. The introduction of BiI_3 into the electrolyte significantly improved the homogeneity of the SEI and reduces the likelihood of dendrite formation. Cells utilizing the BiI_3 additive demonstrates a Coulombic efficiency of 98.5% and stable cycling for over 500 hours at a current density of 3 mA cm^{-2} . This result highlights the effectiveness of solvation environment manipulation in enhancing the electrochemical stability of sodium metal anodes.

The final objective focuses on developing a multiphasic SEI that could provide both high mechanical stiffness and a low ionic diffusion barrier, ensuring long-term stability for RT Na-S batteries. Methylammonium iodide ($\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{I}$) introduces as a novel electrolyte additive, resulting in the formation of an SEI composed of NaF, NaI, and NaNH_2 . The multiphasic nature of this SEI allows for a unique combination of properties: NaF provides high mechanical stiffness ($\sim 75 \text{ GPa}$), NaI contributes to enhance ionic conductivity, and NaNH_2 offers the necessary ductility to accommodate volume changes. The SEI exhibits a remarkably low sodium ion diffusion barrier of 9.37 kJ mol^{-1} , facilitating efficient and reversible sodium plating and stripping. Symmetric cells equipped with this SEI maintain stability for over 3200 hours at a current density of 1 mA cm^{-2} . Additionally, in full-cell Na-S batteries, this SEI enables consistent operation over 500 cycles, with an initial discharge capacity of approximately 700 mA h g^{-1} , demonstrating its effectiveness in improving both capacity retention and cycling stability.

Throughout the thesis, advanced characterization techniques such as Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM), X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS), Raman spectroscopy, and X-ray Diffraction (XRD) extensively utilizes to analyze the morphological, compositional, and structural changes in the SEI and electrode materials. These techniques provided critical insights into the mechanisms underpinning the improved performance, including the uniformity of sodium deposition, the chemical stability of the SEI, and the interaction between the electrolyte and electrode materials.

In conclusion, this thesis successfully meets its objectives by addressing the key challenges in RT Na-S battery technology. The development of innovative 3D host structures, patterned interlayers, electrolyte additives, and multiphase SEI layers has led to significant advancements in cycling stability, Coulombic efficiency, and the safety of sodium-based batteries. These findings contribute valuable knowledge to the field of energy storage and offer promising pathways for the development of more efficient, reliable, and commercially viable RT Na-S batteries.

सार

यह थीसिस कमरे के तापमान पर सोडियम-सल्फर (RT Na-S) बैटरियों के प्रदर्शन, स्थिरता और सुरक्षा को आगे बढ़ाने पर केंद्रित है, जो सोडियम मेटल एनोड से संबंधित महत्वपूर्ण चुनौतियों, विशेष रूप से डेन्ड्राइट गठन, साइक्लिंग के दौरान वॉल्यूम विस्तार और ठोस इलेक्ट्रोलाइट इंटरफेस (SEI) की अस्थिरता को संबोधित करती है। अनुसंधान एक बहुआयामी दृष्टिकोण का उपयोग करता है जिसमें बैटरी के प्रदर्शन में महत्वपूर्ण सुधार प्राप्त करने के लिए अभिनव सामग्री डिजाइन, इलेक्ट्रोलाइट अनुकूलन और उन्नत लक्षण वर्णन तकनीक शामिल हैं।

पहला उद्देश्य एक मजबूत 3D होस्ट संरचना विकसित करके सोडियम मेटल एनोड में डेन्ड्राइट गठन को कम करना और वॉल्यूम परिवर्तनों का प्रबंधन करना है। एक माइक्रो-आर्किटेक्चर कार्बन नैनोट्यूब (MACNT) फ्रेमवर्क डिजाइन और सोडियम प्लेटिंग और स्ट्रिपिंग के लिए मचान के रूप में काम करने के लिए सोडियम मेटल एनोड में एकीकृत करता है। 3D संरचना सोडियम धातु से जुड़े बड़े आयतन परिवर्तनों को प्रभावी ढंग से समायोजित करती है, जिससे हानिकारक डेन्ड्राइट्स के निर्माण को रोका जा सकता है, जो सोडियम धातु बैटरी में शॉर्ट सर्किट और क्षमता में गिरावट का एक प्रमुख कारण है।

दूसरा उद्देश्य सोडियम जमाव की एकरूपता को बढ़ाकर सोडियम धातु एनोड को और अधिक स्थिर करने पर केंद्रित है। इसे प्राप्त करने के लिए, सोडियम धातु एनोड और विभाजक के बीच एक पैटर्नयुक्त पॉलीप्रोपाइलीन इंटरलेयर (PPIL) पेश किया है। PPIL में बारी-बारी से घने और विरल फाइबर क्षेत्र होते हैं जो साइक्लिंग के दौरान सोडियम आयन प्रवाह को प्रभावी ढंग से नियंत्रित करते हैं। इस अभिनव इंटरलेयर डिजाइन के परिणामस्वरूप सोडियम जमाव की एकरूपता में महत्वपूर्ण सुधार होता है, डेन्ड्राइट वृद्धि को दबाता है और इस तरह बैटरी की साइक्लिंग स्थिरता को बढ़ाता है। PPIL के साथ सममित सेल 5 mA cm^{-2} के उच्च धारा घनत्व पर 1000 घंटे से अधिक समय तक स्थिर संचालन प्रदर्शित करते हैं, जिसमें शॉर्ट-सर्किटिंग का कोई सबूत नहीं होता है, जो डेन्ड्राइट प्रवेश को रोकने और लगातार एनोड प्रदर्शन सुनिश्चित करने में इंटरलेयर की प्रभावशीलता को दर्शाता है।

तीसरा उद्देश्य SEI की यांत्रिक अखंडता और आयनिक चालकता में सुधार करना है, जो सोडियम धातु एनोड की दीर्घकालिक स्थिरता के लिए महत्वपूर्ण हैं। इसे संबोधित करने के लिए, एक नया कार्बनिक अणु, 9F, इलेक्ट्रोलाइट योजक के रूप में काम करता है। 9F योजक कार्बनिक और अकार्बनिक प्रजातियों के मिश्रण से बना एक स्थिर और मजबूत SEI के गठन की सुविधा देता है, जो सोडियम धातु की

सतह को प्रभावी ढंग से सुरक्षित रखता है। इलेक्ट्रोकेमिकल परीक्षणों से पता चलता है कि 9F-संशोधित इलेक्ट्रोलाइट वाले सेल लगभग 99% की कूलम्बिक दक्षता प्रदर्शित करते हैं और 1 mA cm^{-2} के वर्तमान घनत्व पर 400 से अधिक चक्रों के लिए स्थिर साइक्लिंग प्रदर्शन बनाए रखते हैं। बढ़ा हुआ SEI डेंड्राइट गठन को दबाता है और इंटरफेसियल प्रतिरोध को कम करता है, जिससे बैटरी की दक्षता और दीर्घायु में सुधार होता है।

चौथा उद्देश्य इलेक्ट्रोलाइट के भीतर सोडियम-आयन सॉल्वेशन वातावरण को बदलकर डेंड्राइट वृद्धि को नियंत्रित करना है। यह एक BiI_3 योजक को पेश करके प्राप्त किया जाता है, जो सोडियम आयनों की सॉल्वेशन संरचना को संशोधित करता है, जिससे साइड रिएक्शन की घटना कम हो जाती है और अधिक समान सोडियम जमाव को बढ़ावा मिलता है। इलेक्ट्रोलाइट में BiI_3 की शुरुआत ने SEI की समरूपता में उल्लेखनीय सुधार किया और डेंड्राइट गठन की संभावना को कम किया। BiI_3 एडिटिव का उपयोग करने वाली कोशिकाओं ने 3 mA cm^{-2} की वर्तमान घनत्व पर 98.5% की कूलम्बिक दक्षता और 500 घंटे से अधिक समय तक स्थिर साइकलिंग का प्रदर्शन किया। यह परिणाम सोडियम धातु एनोड की विद्युत रासायनिक स्थिरता को बढ़ाने में विलयन पर्यावरण हेरफेर की प्रभावशीलता को उजागर करता है।

अंतिम उद्देश्य एक बहु-चरणीय SEI विकसित करने पर केंद्रित है जो उच्च यांत्रिक कठोरता और कम आयनिक प्रसार अवरोध दोनों प्रदान कर सकता है, जिससे RT Na-S बैटरियों के लिए दीर्घकालिक स्थिरता सुनिश्चित होती है। मिथाइलमोनियम आयोडाइड ($\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{I}$) एक नए इलेक्ट्रोलाइट एडिटिव के रूप में पेश किया गया है, जिसके परिणामस्वरूप NaF , NaI और NaNH_2 से बना SEI बनता है। इस SEI की बहु-चरणीय प्रकृति गुणों के एक अद्वितीय संयोजन की अनुमति देती है: NaF उच्च यांत्रिक कठोरता ($\sim 75 \text{ GPa}$) प्रदान करता है, NaI आयनिक चालकता को बढ़ाने में योगदान देता है, और NaNH_2 आयतन परिवर्तनों को समायोजित करने के लिए आवश्यक लचीलापन प्रदान करता है। SEI 9.37 kJ mol^{-1} का उल्लेखनीय रूप से कम सोडियम आयन प्रसार अवरोध प्रदर्शित करता है, जो कुशल और प्रतिवर्ती सोडियम प्लेटिंग और स्ट्रिपिंग की सुविधा प्रदान करता है। इस SEI से सुसज्जित सममित सेल 1 mA cm^{-2} के वर्तमान घनत्व पर 3200 घंटे से अधिक समय तक स्थिरता बनाए रखते हैं। इसके अतिरिक्त, पूर्ण-सेल Na-S बैटरियों में, यह SEI लगभग 700 mA h g^{-1} की प्रारंभिक डिस्चार्ज क्षमता के साथ 500 चक्रों से अधिक तक लगातार संचालन को सक्षम बनाता है, जो क्षमता प्रतिधारण और साइकलिंग स्थिरता दोनों को बेहतर बनाने में इसकी प्रभावशीलता को प्रदर्शित करता है।

पूरे शोध प्रबंध में, फील्ड एमिशन स्कैनिंग इलेक्ट्रॉन माइक्रोस्कोपी (FESEM), एक्स-रे फोटोइलेक्ट्रॉन स्पेक्ट्रोस्कोपी (XPS), रमन स्पेक्ट्रोस्कोपी, और एक्स-रे विवर्तन (XRD) जैसी उन्नत लक्षण वर्णन तकनीकों का व्यापक रूप से उपयोग किया गया है। एसईआई और इलेक्ट्रोड सामग्रियों में रूपात्मक, संरचनागत और संरचनात्मक परिवर्तनों का विश्लेषण किया गया है। इन तकनीकों ने बेहतर प्रदर्शन को रेखांकित करने वाले तंत्रों में महत्वपूर्ण अंतर्दृष्टि प्रदान की, जिसमें सोडियम जमाव की एकरूपता, एसईआई की रासायनिक स्थिरता और इलेक्ट्रोलाइट और इलेक्ट्रोड सामग्रियों के बीच परस्पर क्रिया शामिल है।

निष्कर्ष में, यह थीसिस RT Na-S बैटरी तकनीक में प्रमुख चुनौतियों को संबोधित करके अपने उद्देश्यों को सफलतापूर्वक पूरा करती है। अभिनव 3D होस्ट संरचनाओं, पैटर्न वाली इंटरलेयर्स, इलेक्ट्रोलाइट एडिटिक्स और मल्टीफ़ेज़िक SEI परतों के विकास ने साइक्लिंग स्थिरता, कोलोम्बिक दक्षता और सोडियम-आधारित बैटरी की सुरक्षा में महत्वपूर्ण प्रगति की है। ये निष्कर्ष ऊर्जा भंडारण के क्षेत्र में मूल्यवान ज्ञान का योगदान करते हैं और अधिक कुशल, विश्वसनीय और व्यावसायिक रूप से व्यवहार्य RT Na-S बैटरी के विकास के लिए आशाजनक मार्ग प्रदान करते हैं।

Table of Contents

Certificate	i
Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iv
List of figures	xv
List of tables	xxxiii
List of acronyms	xxxiv

Chapter-1 Introduction

1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2. Literature Review.....	4
1.2.1 Historical Development of the Sodium-Sulfur (Na-S) Batteries	5
1.2.2 Working principle of Room Temperature Na-S batteries	7
1.2.3 Challenges with Room Temperature Na-S batteries	9
1.2.3.1 Challenges with sodium metal anode in RT Na-S batteries	9
1.2.3.1.1 Unstable and Irreversible Solid Electrolyte Interphase (SEI).....	9
1.2.3.1.2 Dendrite Growth	11
1.2.3.1.3 Gas Evolution.....	13
1.2.3.1.4 Volume Change	14
1.2.3.1.5 Accumulation of Inactive Sodium	15
1.2.3.2 Challenges with sulfur cathode in RT Na-S batteries.....	16
1.2.3.2.1 Polysulfide Formation and shuttle effect	16
1.2.3.2.2 Electrical Isolation	16
1.2.3.2.3 Cathode Dissolution and poor utilization of sulfur.....	17
1.2.3.3 Challenges with electrolyte and full cell in RT Na-S batteries	17

1.2.4 Strategies to stabilize the Sodium metal anode.....	18
1.2.4.1 Host modification to guide uniform sodium deposition.....	18
1.2.4.2 Interface modification to inhibit sodium dendrite growth.....	21
1.2.4.3 Electrolyte engineering to alter the formation of Solid Electrolyte Interphase (SEI).....	23
1.3. Research Objectives.....	34
References.....	36

Chapter-2 Experimental methods and characterization techniques

2.1 Introduction.....	42
2.2 Materials	44
2.3 Experimental Procedure.....	44
2.3.1 Preparation of the Sodium Metal Anode.....	44
2.3.2 Preparation of the Cathode Material	45
2.3.3 Preparation of the Electrolytes	46
2.4 Electrochemical Analysis.....	47
2.4.1 Fabrication of 2032-Coin Cells	47
2.4.2 Battery Cycling Tests	48
2.4.3 Cyclic Voltammetry (CV).....	49
2.4.4 Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS)	50
2.5 Material Characterizations	51
2.5.1 Sample Preparation	51
2.5.2 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	51
2.5.3 Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM) and Energy-Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy (EDS).....	52
2.5.4 X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS).....	54
2.5.5 Raman Spectroscopy	55

2.5.6 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)	56
2.5.7 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) Spectroscopy	57
2.6 <i>In-situ</i> Optical Cell Testing.....	57
2.7 Theoretical Calculations	59
References.....	61

Chapter-3 Micro-architectures of Carbon Nanotubes for Reversible Na Plating/Stripping towards the Development of Room-temperature Na-S Batteries

3.1 Introduction.....	64
3.2 Experimental Procedure.....	67
3.2.1 Growth of MACNT on stainless steel (SS) mesh	67
3.2.2 Fabrication of Na-MACNT@SS composite electrode.....	70
3.3 Results and Discussion	71
3.4 Conclusion	82
References.....	82

Chapter-4 Patterned Interlayer Enables a Highly Stable and Reversible Sodium Metal Anode for Sodium-Sulfur Batteries

4.1 Introduction.....	85
4.2 Experimental procedures	87
4.2.1 Procedure to incorporate PPIL	87
4.3 Result and Discussion	87
4.4 Conclusion	101
References.....	102

Chapter-5 Novel Organic Molecule Enabling a Highly-stable and Reversible Sodium Metal Anode for Room-temperature Sodium-Sulfur Batteries

5.1 Introduction.....	104
5.2 Experimental procedures	107
5.2.1 Electrolyte and electrode preparation.....	107
5.3 Results and Discussion	109
5.4 Conclusion	126
References.....	127

Chapter-6 Altering Na-ion Solvation to regulate Dendrite growth for a Reversible and Stable Room-temperature Sodium-Sulfur Battery

6.1 Introduction.....	132
6.2 Experimental procedures	134
6.2.1 Electrolyte and electrode preparation.....	135
6.3 Result and Discussion	135
6.4 Conclusion	152
References.....	152

Chapter-7 Multiphasic Interphase Enabled by a Novel Electrolyte Additive for Stable Room-Temperature Sodium-Sulfur Batteries

7.1 Introduction.....	156
7.2 Experimental procedures	159
7.2.1 Electrolyte and electrode preparation.....	159
7.3 Results and Discussion	159
7.4 Conclusion	175
References.....	174

Chapter-8 Summary and Future Outlook

8.1 Summary	177
8.2 Future Outlook	182
References	186
Annexure-1 Figure permissions	188
List of publications	189
List of patents	192
List of book chapters	192
Brief Bio-data/ Curriculum Vitae	193

List of Figures

Chapter-1

Figure No.	Figure caption	Page No.
Figure-1.1	(a) Illustration of the Li-ion batteries applications (b) Li-ion batteries global market size status and forecast (c) Comparison of the performance parameter of Li-ion batteries with other rechargeable batteries.	2
Figure-1.2	(a) Specific capacity and nominal voltage comparison for different rechargeable battery anode materials (b) Element abundance and raw material price comparison for Li and Na minerals (c) Number of publications for year on sodium ion battery and room temperature sodium-sulfur battery.	3
Figure-1.3	Timeline of the development of Na metal-based batteries from 1960 to 2017+ with their representative cathode.	5
Figure-1.4	(a) Schematic illustration of the working of a room temperature sodium-sulfur battery (b) A typical discharge curve of RT Na-S battery.	7
Figure-1.5	Overview of the main challenges associated with each of the RT Na-S battery's components.	9
Figure-1.6	(a) Typical solid electrolyte interphase formation on sodium metal anode (b) Fermi-Energy levels limits for anode and cathode contributing to the SEI and CEI formation	10

- Figure-1.7 (a) Schematic of inhomogeneous SEI formation on rough sodium metal surface with dendrite growth and well-defined SEI formation on the smooth metal surface (b) Uniform metal ion rich SEI after stripping, and during plating a multilayer well-defined SEI is shown (c) AFM images of Na metal before polishing and after polishing (0-200 nm color bar) (d) Optical images of polished and soaked Na metal after 400 cycles (e) Coulombic efficiency for soaked Na and polished Na metal (f-h) Deposition of Na and gassing in DEC/FEC. (f) First deposition half cycle (g) First dissolution half cycle (h) Second deposition half cycle, where yellow color shows the Na layer generating beneath the first deposition. (i) Na deposition and gassing in PC/FEC, which shows needles and gassing in the second deposition half cycle. 13
- Figure-1.8 Schematic illustration of the strategies to stabilize the sodium metal anode 18
- Figure-1.9 Schematic representation of sodium ion deposition (a) on bare sodium metal, leading to uneven deposition (b) on the 3D host, leading to uniform deposition 21
- Figure-1.10 Schematic diagrams of Na stripping/plating on bare Na foil and Na foil with ALD coating. (b) Schematics showing traditional electrolyte (TE), high-concentration electrolyte (HCE), and localized high-concentration electrolyte (LHCE). (c) Electrochemical characteristics and physical properties of 1 M NaFSI in EC/PC (1/1) or FEC. Schematic drawing of Na deposition at the beginning of the Na plating process in (d) 1 M NaFSI–EC/PC (1/1) and (e) 1 M NaFSI–FEC. 23

Chapter-2

Figure No.	Figure caption	Page No.
Figure-2.1	Summary of methods and characterization techniques used in this study	43
Figure-2.2	Assembly and components of 2032 coin cell	48
Figure-2.3	Schematic of the working mechanism of Field emission scanning electron microscope	53
Figure-2.4	(a) Schematic illustration of the Working principle of XPS (b) surface elemental composition investigation with XPS	54
Figure-2.5	Different ion pair formation in the electrolyte solvation shell	56
Figure-2.6	(a) Desktop to monitor the dendrite growth and voltage profiles during deposition (b) optical microscope used to visualize the dendrite growth (c) in-house designed optical cell to study the dendrite growth patterns in liquid electrolytes.	58

Chapter-3

Figure No.	Figure caption	Page No.
Figure-3.1	Schematic illustration of sodium deposition on (a) a bare sodium metal anode surface, which results in a non-uniform deposition and dendrite growth after a few cycles; (b) micro-architectures of carbon nanotubes (MACNT) surface, representing a uniform sodium deposition without any noticeable dendrites	66
Figure-3.2	Microstructural FESEM images of CNT growth on SS mesh (a-c) show the as-received SS mesh at different length scales (d-f) representing the FESEM images of SS mesh after treatment with HCl and acetone (g-i) showing SS mesh grown with MACNT at different length scale, inset image showing MACNT	68
Figure-3.3	(a-c) Optical images of the as-grown materials on the SS mesh, (d-f) FESEM micrographs of the micro-architectures of CNTs, (g-i) cross-sectional FESEM micrographs, and (j-k) TEM and HRTEM of the micro-architectures of CNTs	70
Figure-3.4	Schematic representation of synthesis and fabrication of Na-MACNT@SS composite anode (a) growth of MACNT on ss mesh by chemical vapor deposition (b) bare ss mesh and mesh grown with MACNT, further confirmed by FESEM image (c) fabrication process of Na-MACNT@SS composite by cling approach	71

- Figure-3.5 (a) Optical image of MACNT@SS without sodium (b) SEM 73
micrographs of Na-MACNT@SS metal anode at 500 μm (c-d)
corresponding X-ray mapping of the elements. (e-f) high magnification
FESEM images of MACNT@SS electrode (e) before cling (f) after
cling, showing microarchitecture of carbon nanotube in the composite.
- Figure-3.6 Digital micrographs of the (a) MACNT@SS that can be moulded into 73
any shape and size, and (b) Na-MACNT@SS composite with excellent
flexibility without any noticeable fracture.
- Figure-3.7 Galvanostatic test of the half-cell (Na//Cu) with and without the 3D 75
host (a) Coulombic efficiency vs cycle life, and (b-c) stripping/plating
of sodium and the respective overpotential for Na-MACNT@SS/Cu
half cell (d) Nucleation overpotential in Na-Cu half cells at 1 mA cm^{-2}
current density, with MACNT@SS and reference sodium (e) SEM
image of Na-MACNT@SS electrode used in Na-Cu half cell studies.
- Figure-3.8 Galvanostatic stripping/plating of the Na-MACNT@SS and Na 76
symmetric cells at a current density of (a) 1 mA cm^{-2} , where (b)
represents the selected region of Fig. a, (c) 3 mA cm^{-2} , where (d)
represents the selected region of Fig. c, (e) Nyquist plots of the Na-
MACNT@SS and Na symmetric cells after various stripping/plating
cycles. The controlled cell, i.e., Na-SS/Na-SS, was tested for
comparison (inset equivalent circuit model)

Figure-3.9 Post-mortem analysis of the metal anode surface after 50 stripping/plating cycles at a current density of 5 mA cm⁻² for (a-d) reference cell, (e-g) Na-MACNT@SS symmetric cell, (h) FESEM micrograph shows a uniform sodium deposition on the MACNT@SS wire. FESEM micrograph of the Na metal surface (i-j) stripping and plating at 10 mA cm⁻² and (k, l) stripping and plating at 20 mA cm⁻². The areal capacity was fixed to 1 mAh cm⁻², (m) Schematic illustration of the sodium stripping/plating process 79

Figure-3.10 The high-resolution XPS spectrum of Na1s, C1s, O1s, and F1s for (a) Na, and (b) Na-MACNT@SS electrodes. The spectra are recorded during the stripped condition of the electrodes 80

Figure-3.11 Galvanostatic charge/discharge curves of (a) reference cell (Na//FeS₂), (b) Na-MACNT@SS full cell, and (c) Specific capacity and Coulombic efficiency of Na-MACNT@SS full cell, (d) rate capability test of Na//FeS₂ and Na-MACNT@SS full cell 81

Chapter-4

Figure No.	Figure caption	Page No.
Figure-4.1	(a) Schematic illustration of the (a) sodium symmetric cell with sodium dendrite growth, (b) sodium symmetric cell with interfacial layer, i.e., PPIL, over the sodium metal anode and its effect on the sodium dendrite growth, (c, d) FESEM surface micrographs of PPIL showing a uniform patterned microstructure (e) FESEM cross-sectional micrographs of PPIL (f) FESEM micrograph of a single microfibre of PPIL	88

Figure-4.2 (a) Different types of polypropylene fibers used in the textile industry and PPE kits (b) PPIL without dense sites (c) PPIL with the patterned structure of dense sites and spare sites (d) PPIL with highly dense sites (e) sodium ion migration mechanism from patterned PPIL (f) electrolyte drop over PPIL (g) electrolyte drop over dense sites showing electrophobicity. 90

Figure-4.3 (a, b) Micro-structure of PPIL fibre, cross-sectional image of as received PPIL after treatment (c) Thickness of PPIL fibre after applying maximum pressure to compress (d) Celgard (PP-PE-PP) and PPIL fibre in dry condition (e) Celgard and PPIL fibre after putting 20 μl of electrolyte (f) original PPIL fibre without loading (g) PPIL fibre in tensile test with a mass of 70 gm (h) puncture test on PPIL fibre. All these tests are in dry condition of PPIL fibre (i) original PPIL fibre without loading (j) PPIL fibre in tensile test with a mass of 70 gm (k) puncture test on PPIL fibre. These all tests are in a wet condition of PPIL fibre. 91

Figure-4.4 (a) FTIR spectrum of PPIL fibre (b) XRD of PPIL fibre 92

Figure-4.5 (a) Schematic illustration of Na//Na symmetric cells without and with PPIL, (b) Galvanostatic stripping/plating of sodium with multilayers of PPIL at 1 mA cm^{-2} current density and 1 mA h cm^{-2} capacity, (c) Galvanostatic stripping/plating of sodium with a single layer of PPIL at 1 mA cm^{-2} current density and 1 mA h cm^{-2} capacity. The Galvanostatic tests were conducted in sodium-symmetric cells. 94

Figure-4.6	Morphological analysis of Na metal surface with PPIL after running 10 cycles at 5 mA cm ⁻² current density and 1 mA h cm ⁻² capacity (a) Na metal surface with PPIL after cycling (b) EDX elemental map of the surface with C and (c) with Na (d) EIS of Na//Na symmetric cell in a reference system (e) EIS of Na//Na symmetric cell in PPIL protected system.	95
Figure-4.7	Schematic illustration of sodium deposition on the sodium metal anode (a) without, and (c) with the PPIL. The post-cycle FESEM micrographs of sodium deposition (b) without, and (d) with the PPIL. The FESEM micrographs are captured during the plating condition at the 5 th and 50 th cycles of the plating/stripping process. The stripping/plating was conducted at a current density of 5 mA cm ⁻² and a capacity of 1 mA h cm ⁻² . (e) overpotential vs capacity for Na//Na symmetric cell with and without PPIL (f) diffusion coefficient as a function of scan rate for full cells.	98
Figure-4.8	XPS high-resolution spectrum of Na1s, C1s, O1s, and F1s for (a) Na-PPIL, and (b) controlled Na electrodes. The spectra are recorded during the stripped condition of the electrodes.	98
Figure-4.9	(a) Galvanostatic charge and discharge curves for the first cycle and first discharge with PPIL. (b) rate capability for the cells showing from 300 mA g ⁻¹ to 1200 mA g ⁻¹ rate. (c) Cyclic performance of Na//SPAN full cell with PPIL protective layer (d) Cyclic performance of Na//SPAN cell with and without PPIL at 300 mA g ⁻¹ current density.	100

Chapter-5

Figure No.	Figure caption	Page No.
------------	----------------	----------

- Figure-5.1 The protection mechanism of sodium metal anode by 9-Fluorenone additive (a) Formation of typical SEI on Na metal anode cycled in a conventional SEI forming additive-based electrolyte, which drives uneven interfacial ion transfer, which may lead to uneven deposition. (b) uniform sodium deposition by deposition regulating additive, i.e., 9-Fluorenone additive system (1 M NaOTf + Diglyme + 9-Fluorenone), leading to a uniform plating–stripping and dendrite-free morphology. 107
- Figure-5.2 Half-cell electrochemical performance for reference and 9-Fluorenone-based system (a) Coulombic efficiency for Na/Cu half-cell at 1 mA cm⁻² current density for both the cells (b-c) Cyclic voltammetry (CV) profiles and plating-stripping profiles for reference cell, (d-e) 9-Fluorenone based cell, all the measurements were carried out using a 3-electrodes cell at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹ with Cu as the working electrode and Na as the reference and counter electrode. 110
- Figure-5.3 SEM images of deposited Cu electrode after 50 cycles (a, b) for reference system (c, d) for 9-Fluorenone based system showing uniform and dense deposition. (e) Time-voltage curve for Na//Cu half-cell with 9-Fluorenone additive 1 mA cm⁻² current density. (f) enlarge view of Fig (e). (g) nucleation overpotential curve for Na//Cu half cells with (blue) and without additive (red). 110
- Figure-5.4 Optical cell testing for Na//SS cell (a) Locally assembled transparent optical cell for in situ optical images for Na//SS half-cell to investigate the dynamic evaluation of surface including dendrites and gas evolution, if any (b) in the reference system (c) in 9-Fluorenone based system. The assembly contains stainless steel current collectors, a capillary glass tube of 0.5 cm diameter, and Teflon sealing. 111

- Figure-5.5 DFT calculations for electrolytes. The reductive decomposition pathways of dimer complexes are investigated in the gas phase. The Gibbs free energies are calculated at $P = 1$ atm and $T = 298$ K for the unreduced state (DD_0 and DF_0). The molecules marked as DD and DF represent the dimer complexes as combinations of Diglyme and 9-fluorenone. Here, (a) shows the reduction decomposition pathways of the bi-Diglyme solvation shell, and (b) the effect of additives on the decomposition of the Diglyme in a solvation shell. (c) FTIR spectra for reference and 9-Fluorenone-based electrolyte. 113
- Figure-5.6 DFT calculations (a) Reaction pathways of reduction decomposition of $DG-Na^+$ were investigated with the PCM-B3PW91/6-311++G(p,d) method. Here DA and DB represent two possible reduction states. The Gibbs free energies are calculated at $T = 298$ K and $P = 1$ atm for unreduced structure (D_0) (b) Reaction pathways of reduction decomposition of $9\text{-Fluorenone-}Na^+$ investigated with the PCM-B3PW91/6-311++G(p,d) method. The Gibbs free energies are calculated at $T = 298$ K and $P = 1$ atm for unreduced structure (F_0). 114
- Figure-5.7 Galvanostatic cyclic performance of Na//Na symmetric cell in additive-based electrolyte for different additive concentrations ranging from 20 mM to 500 mM. 20 to 100 mM electrolyte additive concentration was stable with much less overpotential. Higher to this, the additive was soluble, but overpotential was increased may be because of the increased viscosity of the electrolyte. (b) Linear sweep voltammetry for Na//SS cell at 5 mV/s scan rate for 9F based electrolyte. 115
- Figure-5.8 SEM images of the Na metal surface after 20 cycles at 5 mA cm^{-2} current density for different concentrations of electrolyte additive (a-c) 200 mM (d-f) 300 mM (g-i) 100 mM (j-l) 50 mM. (9F: 9-Fluorenone). 116

- Figure-5.9 Galvanostatic cyclic performance for Na//Na symmetric cell in both 117
the electrolyte systems. (a) at 1 mA cm⁻² current density and 1 mA h cm⁻² capacity (b) 10 mA cm⁻² current density and 1 mA h cm⁻² capacity (c) 20 mA cm⁻² current density and 1 mA h cm⁻² capacity. Righthand side images show the enlarged view of the selected region of voltage profiles.
- Figure-5.10 FESEM images for the Na surface after 10 cycles in Na//Na symmetric 119
cell at 5 mA cm⁻² current density and 1 mA h cm⁻² capacity with and without additive. (a, b) cross-sectional images of Na surface showing sharp dendrite generation in reference cell (c) Na surface after non-uniform deposition in the reference cell, showing cracks and porous surface (d, e) cross-sectional images of Na surface showing smooth and uniform deposition without any noticeable dendrites in additive based electrolyte system (f) showing uniform and dense Na surface in the additive electrolyte (g-h) Nyquist plot for reference and additive based symmetric cell after a certain number of cycles.
- Figure-5.11 Galvanostatic stripping/plating of Na//Na with (a) 2 M NaOTf + 121
Diglyme + 9-Fluorenone (b) 1 M NaPF₆ + EC/DMC + FEC + 9-Fluorenone.
- Figure-5.12 XPS high-resolution spectra of C1s, Na1s, and F1s for (a) reference 122
and (b) additive-based system. Atomic concentration vs. sputtering time for the reference (c) and additive-based system (d). Showing uniform solid electrolyte interphase with minimal SEI thickness with an additive-based system. The etch rate was fixed at 10 nm min⁻¹ throughout the depth profiling.

Figure-5.13	XPS high-resolution spectra of (a) C1s (b) Na1s and (c) F1s recorded at various etch times of the sodium electrode with 9-Fluorenone-based electrolyte. The electrodes were retrieved after 10 cycles of strip/plate test conducted in symmetric cell configuration. The electrodes were etched by Ar ⁺ ions with an etch rate of ~ 10 nm min ⁻¹ .	123
Figure-5.14	XPS high-resolution spectra (a) C1s (b) Na1s and (c) F1s recorded at various etch times of the sodium electrode without additive (in the blank electrolyte, reference system). The electrodes were retrieved after 10 cycles of strip/plate test conducted in symmetric cell configuration. The electrodes were etched by Ar ⁺ ions with an etch rate of ~ 10 nm min ⁻¹ .	124
Figure-5.15	Electrochemical testing of Na//FeS ₂ battery consisting of 9-Fluorenone-based electrolyte and reference cell without additive. The Galvanostatic charging-discharging of Na//FeS ₂ cells operated in a potential range of 0.6 to 2.6 V vs. Na/Na ⁺ at 80 mA g ⁻¹ (a) specific capacity and Coulombic efficiency of cells concerning cycle number. (b, c) voltage profile of the cells at 80 mA g ⁻¹ showing first discharge and first and second cycle for (b) reference cell and (c) 9-Fluorenone based cell (d) Rate performance analysis for Na//FeS ₂ full cells. Where 1-7 corresponding to 80 mA g ⁻¹ , 120 mA g ⁻¹ , 200 mA g ⁻¹ , 280 mA g ⁻¹ , 400 mA g ⁻¹ , 600 mA g ⁻¹ , 800 mA g ⁻¹ current density respectively.	124

Chapter-6

Figure No.	Figure caption	Page No.
Figure-6.1	Raman spectra of solvation shell (a) reference electrolyte and (b) with additive ions, (c-d) Model structure of the population of different ion pairs in solvation shell of the electrolyte without additive and with	136

additive, and (e) calculated relative distribution of different ion pairs in the electrolyte with and without additive. The model structure of the solvation shell consists of one diglyme molecule and a Na^+ ion.

- Figure-6.2 ^1H NMR spectra of (a) pure diglyme solvent, (b) diglyme with sodium salt (NaCF_3SO_3), (c) diglyme with sodium salt (NaCF_3SO_3) and additives (BiI_3). Deuterated DMSO is used as a reference solvent for all three tests. 138
- Figure-6.3 (a) X-ray diffraction of sodium metal anode with and without electrolyte additive. The inset shows digital micrographs of sodium metal with and without electrolyte additive (b) top view and (c) cross-sectional view after reaction with BiI_3 -added ether electrolyte. (d and e) cross-sectional EDX mapping images of BiI_3 -treated Na metal with (d) Na and (e) Bi elemental signals. High-resolution XPS (f) Bi 4f, (g) Na 1s spectra of BiI_3 -treated Na metal. 139
- Figure-6.4 Additive concentration optimization in Na//Na symmetric cell at 1 mA cm^{-2} current density. Concentration is varying from 50 to 200 mM 140
- Figure-6.5 (a) Coulombic efficiency of Na//Cu half cells with and without additive at 1 mA cm^{-2} current density and 1 mA h cm^{-2} capacity (b) Stripping plating cycling performance of Na//Na symmetric cell at 1 mA cm^{-2} current density and 1 mA h cm^{-2} capacity, and the cyclic voltammogram of Na//Na symmetric cell (c) with and (d) without additive. The CV scans were conducted at a sweep rate of 5 mV s^{-1} . 141
- Figure-6.6 FESEM images of Cu working electrode from half cells after 50 stripping/plating cycles at 1 mA cm^{-2} current density and 1 mA h cm^{-2} capacity, while stripped to 1 V. (a, b) without additive (d, e) with additive. Voltage vs time plots for Na//Cu half cells (c) without additive and (f) with additive at 1 mA cm^{-2} current density, 1 mA h cm^{-2} specific capacity, and stripping up to 1 V. 143

- Figure-6.7 Schematic representation illustrating the horizontal and vertical plating mechanisms of Na on (a) bulk Na-metal and (b) Na₃Bi surfaces. The graphs below show the variation in energy difference between vertical and horizontal plating in response to varying Na deposition concentrations for (c) bulk Na-metal and (d) Na₃Bi surfaces. 145
- Figure-6.8 In-situ optical testing for visualizing dendrite growth pattern over sodium metal anode (a) In conventional electrolyte without additive with mossy dendrites and bubble formation (b) In additive-based electrolyte after a different time at 5 mA cm⁻² current density. 146
- Figure-6.9 FESEM images of sodium metal surface after 50 plating/stripping cycles in symmetric cell configuration (a) without additive (b) with additive 147
- Figure-6.10 High-resolution XPS spectra of Na metal cycled in 100 mM BiI₃-added electrolyte for 20 cycles. (a) C 1s, (b) O 1s, (c) Na 1s, (d) I 3d, (e) Bi 4f – S 2P and (f) F 1s spectra. 148
- Figure-6.11 The Galvanostatic charging/discharging of Na//SPAN cell operated in a potential window of 0.6 to 2.6 V vs. Na/Na⁺ at 160 mA g⁻¹ (a) first discharge with additive and without additive (b, c) voltage profile of the cells at 160 mA g⁻¹ showing 1st and 100th cycle with additive and without additive (d) Rate performance analysis for Na//SPAN full cells. Where 1-5 corresponds to 160 mA g⁻¹, 320 mA g⁻¹, 640 mA g⁻¹, 800 mA g⁻¹, and 1000 mA g⁻¹ current density, respectively. (e) the specific capacity and Coulombic efficiency of cells concerning cycle number. 150

Chapter-7

Figure No.	Figure caption	Page No.
Figure-7.1	Schematic illustration of the interphases on the sodium electrode, showing the dendrite growth owing to organic-rich traditional SEI. In other cases suppression of large dendrite formation (due to stiff NaF interphase), allowing fast ion transfer owing to ion-conducting NaI SEI and accommodation of large volumetric expansion owing to ductile NaNH ₂ interphase during sodium deposition.	158
Figure-7.2	(a) Linear sweep voltammetry of the Na//SS cell with and without MI additives. Chronoamperometry of sodium symmetric cell (b) without and (c) with MI additives. A polarization voltage of 10 mV was used. The inset figure shows the Nyquist plots of symmetric cells before and after polarization. (d, e) Raman spectra for solvation shell for both the electrolyte systems.	161
Figure-7.3	Electrochemical evaluation of Na//Cu Half Cells (a) Coulombic efficiency of the cell with additive and without additive at 1 mA cm ⁻² (b-c) Overpotential analysis of the cell with and without additive, (d-e) Corresponding FESEM micrographs of Na deposition on the Cu electrode after 20 cycles.	162

- Figure-7.4 Galvanostatic stripping/plating of Na//Na symmetric cell (a) with 163
 varying concentrations of the additives, i.e., 50 mM to 150 mM, (b, c)
 Stripping/plating of the cell with and without additive (i.e., 100 mM).
 The performance is evaluated under various conditions: (b) Operating
 at a current density of 1 mA cm^{-2} and a capacity of 1 mA h cm^{-2} (c)
 Operating at a higher current density of 5 mA cm^{-2} with a capacity of
 1 mA h cm^{-2} . (d) Overpotential as a function of cycle number at
 different current densities with and without additive. (e) Galvanostatic
 stripping/plating of Na//Na symmetric cell with varying concentrations
 of the additives, i.e., 50 mM to 150 mM upto 300 cycles
- Figure-7.5 (a) Raman spectrum of sodium metal anode after 20 plating/stripping 165
 cycles in a symmetric cell configuration, theoretically predicted (b)
 Stress vs Strain curve for different components of the SEI, (c)
 Diffusion barriers in NaF, NaI, and NaNH₂ (d, e, and f) Polyhedral
 models of NaI, NaF, and NaNH₂ crystal lattices, respectively. The
 arrows in the model structures represent thermodynamically favorable
 diffusion paths predicted by DFT calculations.
- Figure-7.6 *In-situ* optical cell testing of sodium metal anode in both the electrolyte 167
 systems. (a and e, without additive) at the starting stage of deposition
 (b and f) after nucleation from 0 to 3 min of deposition (c and g)
 nucleation to growth region (d and h) sodium dendrite growth region
 up to 10 min. (i-m, with additive) at the starting stage of deposition (j
 and n) after nucleation from 0 to 3 min of deposition, no indication of
 nucleation (k and o) deposition region (l and p) deposition region up to
 10 min.

- Figure-7.7 FESEM images of the sodium surface after 20 plating/stripping cycles 168
in a Na//Na symmetric cell at 1 mA cm^{-2} current density. (a, b) Na
surface cycled in MI-based electrolyte (c-f) corresponding EDX
mapping of sodium anode comprising N, F, Na, and I in the deposited
layer. (g, h) Na surface in the reference electrolyte cell (i, j) EDX
mapping of sodium anode comprising Na and F in the deposited layer.
- Figure-7.8 XPS high-resolution spectra of Na metal anode after the 20 169
stripping/plating cycles at 1 mA cm^{-2} with additive (a) N 1s, (b) Na 1s,
(c) F 1s, and (d) I 3d. The presence of Na-N and N-H bonds reveals the
formation of NaNH_2 , other components like Na-F and Na-I are visible.
(g) percentage of compounds present in the SEI for additive-based
systems.
- Figure-7.9 Nyquist plots at different temperatures (T in $^{\circ}\text{C}$): (a) The cell with the 171
additive. (b) The reference cell without additive. The data has been
subjected to fitting procedures. Equivalent circuit model for the EIS of
Na//Na symmetric cell is shown in inset. (c) a bar graph showcases the
fitted values of the charge transfer resistance (R) for both the
electrolyte with additives and the reference cell, providing a
comparative view at different temperatures. (d) A linearly fitted graph
illustrates the relationship between the natural logarithm of (R^{-1}) and
the reciprocal of temperature (T^{-1}). (e) Nyquist plots for the Na//Na
symmetric cells containing additive (f) the reference Na//Na symmetric
cells, at varying cycle numbers.

Figure-7.10 Electrochemical evaluation of Na//SPAN batteries incorporating MI-based electrolyte, along with a reference cell without additive. The galvanostatic charging and discharging tests were carried out within a potential window of 0.6 to 2.6 V vs. Na/Na⁺ at a current density of 160 mA g⁻¹. (a) The specific capacity and Coulombic efficiency of the Na//SPAN cells are tracked across cycles. (b, c) Voltage profiles of the cells are depicted at 80 mA g⁻¹, showcasing the first discharge as well as the 1st and 100th cycle for both the reference cell (b) and the MI-based cell (c). (d) An analysis of rate performance is presented for the Na//SPAN full cells, with performance evaluated at different current densities ranging from 160 mA g⁻¹, 240 mA g⁻¹, 400 mA g⁻¹, 540 mA g⁻¹, 800 mA g⁻¹, and 1600 mA g⁻¹, labeled as 1-6 respectively.

Chapter-8

Figure No.	Figure caption	Page No.
Figure-8.1	Highlights of the strategies explored in the present thesis	178
Figure-8.2	Schematic illustrations of the SEI stabilizing buffer interphases	183

List of Tables

Chapter-1

Table No.	Table caption	Page No.
Table-1.1	A comparative assessment of all potential methods/ approaches/ technologies to stabilize the Na metal anode (Literature review)	26
Table-1.2	Fundamental comparison for sodium metal anode advantages	34

Chapter-3

Table-3.1	Comparison of electrochemical performance of symmetric cell comprising Na-MACNT@SS electrode with other reported literature based on carbon host for sodium metal anode.	78
-----------	--	----

Chapter-5

Table No.	Table caption	Page No.
Table-5.1	Performance comparison of the Na//Na symmetric cell in additive-based systems for Na-based batteries.	118
Table-5.2	Performance comparison of Na//FeS ₂ full-cell with reported literature.	126

Chapter-6

Table No.	Table caption	Page No.
Table-6.1	XPS data is tabulated in the form of elements, peak position, peak assignment, and possible species.	150

List of Acronyms

Acronyms	Full name
AFM	Atomic force microscopy
AIMD	Ab initio molecular dynamics
AIP	Aggregate ion pairs
ALD	Atomic layer deposition
BCC	Body-centered cubic
BI	Bismuth iodide
CE	Coulombic efficiency
CEI	Cathode electrolyte interphase
CIP	Contact ion pair
CNT	Carbon nanotubes
CV	Cyclic voltammetry
CVD	Chemical vapor deposition
DEC	Diethyl carbonate
DFT	Density functional theory
DME	Dimethyl ether
DMSO	Dimethyl sulfoxide
E/S	Electrolyte to sulfur ratio
EC	Ethylene carbonate
EDS	Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy
EIS	Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy
EV	Electric vehicle
FEC	Fluoroethylene carbonate
FESEM	Field emission scanning electron microscopy
GAA	Generalized gradient approximation
HCE	High concentration electrolyte
HOMO	Highest occupied molecular orbital
HT Na-S	High-temperature sodium-sulfur battery

IPA	Iso-propylene alcohol
IRC	Intrinsic reaction coordinate
K	Potassium
LHCE	Localized high-concentration electrolyte
LIB	Lithium-ion battery
LUMO	The lowest unoccupied molecular orbital
MACNT	Microarchitecture of carbon nanotubes
Mg	Magnesium
MI	Methylammonium iodide
Na ₂ O	Sodium oxide
NaF	Sodium fluoride
NaFSI	Sodium Bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide
NaOTf	Sodium triflate
NaPF ₆	Sodium hexafluorophosphate
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy
PAW	Projector-augmented wave
PCM	Polarizable continuum model
PE	Polyethylene
PP	Polypropylene
PPIL	Polypropylene interlayer
PVDF	Polyvinylidene fluoride
RT Na-S	Room temperature sodium sulfur
SEI	Solid electrolyte interphase
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
SHE	Standard hydrogen electrode
SMB	Sodium metal battery
SME	Sodium metal electrode
SPAN	Sulfurized polyacrylonitrile
SS	Stainless steel
TEGDME	Tetraethyl glycol dimethyl ether
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
VASP	Vienna ab initio simulation program

VdW	Van der wall
XPS	X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy
XRD	X-ray diffraction
9-F	9 Fluorenone