

**HYDROLOGICAL MODELLING USING APEX MODEL FOR AN
EXPERIMENTAL AGRICULTURAL WATERSHED IN
UPPER YAMUNA BASIN**

GHANSHYAM AGRAWAL



**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
DECEMBER 2022**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2022

**HYDROLOGICAL MODELLING USING APEX MODEL FOR AN
EXPERIMENTAL AGRICULTURAL WATERSHED IN
UPPER YAMUNA BASIN**

by
GHANSHYAM AGRAWAL
Department of Civil Engineering

Submitted
in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
DECEMBER 2022

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, “**HYDROLOGICAL MODELLING USING APEX MODEL FOR AN EXPERIMENTAL AGRICULTURAL WATERSHED IN UPPER YAMUNA BASIN**” being submitted by **Mr. Ghanshyam Agrawal** to the **Indian Institute of Technology Delhi** for the award of the degree of **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**, is a record of bona fide research work carried out by him under our supervision and guidance. This thesis work, in my opinion, has reached the standard, fulfilling the requirement of **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY** degree. The research report and the result presented in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or in full, to any other university or institute, for the award of any degree or diploma.

(Prof. B R Chahar)
Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

(Prof. A K Gosain)
Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to thank the supreme power - the **Almighty God**, who is the one who has always guided me to walk on the right path of the life, for the everlasting blessings, kindness, and inspiration in lending me to accomplish this research work. I could complete this task with the blessings of the Almighty as well as blessings of my **Late Father**.

My deepest gratitude to my respected supervisors **Prof. A K Gosain** and **Prof. B R Chahar**, as their continuous inspiration, motivation, support, encouragement and endless enthusiasm has made this work possible and complete. Thank you so much Sirs for driving me to look at my research in different ways and for developing the ideas in my mind. It was yours kind help, creative criticism, and caring me during this research that has enabled this work to achieve its present form and standard.

I would also like to thank my SRC Chairman **Prof. Tanusree Chkraborty** and SRC members **Prof. R. Khosa** and **Prof. S V Veeramali**, for their insightful observations and encouragement, but also for the difficult questions which incepted me to widen my research from various perspectives. I would also like to extend my sincere heartiest gratitude to the faculty, colleagues and staff of the Civil Engineering Department, IIT Delhi for their support and help rendered me during the study period.

Thanks and appreciation to the University authorities and my seniors, colleagues and staff of the Department of Soil Science and Water Management, UHF, Nauni for their generous support and co-operation me directly or indirectly to complete this task.

Special heartiest thanks and gratitude to all my near and dear friends, seniors and colleagues for their best wishes and time to time motivation given to me for completing this degree programme from a prestigious institute.

Special mention to **Dr. Luca Doro**, Research Scientist at Texas and member of model developers' team for providing me continuous help and endless support for attending and resolving my queries and doubts faced during the modelling. I am highly thankful to him.

Last but not the least, I am highly grateful for the blessings of my **Mother** and relatives, without their blessings, the thesis work could not be completed. I wish to heartiest thanks to my dearest ones, my beloved wife **Radhika** and our lovely children **Aaruni** and **Arnav** for their unconditional love, support, patience, sacrifice and better understanding to inspire and motivate me constantly to complete this task.

NEW DELHI
DECEMBER 2022

GHANSHYAM AGRAWAL

ABSTRACT

Land and water are the precious natural resources that are essential for the existence of life. The management of these resources has become most crucial and simultaneously difficult to manage. The development and management of water resources require thorough understanding of basic hydrologic processes and simulation capabilities at a watershed level. An accurate understanding of the hydrological behavior of a watershed is important for effective management. Various hydrological models have been developed to predict runoff, soil loss, and nutrient losses from agricultural watersheds ranging from plot level to basin level. APEX (Agricultural Policy/Environmental eXtender) model is one of them which has the capability to model the watershed at both plot and field scale effectively and efficiently.

The overall goal of the present study was to understand various hydrological processes at field or micro watershed level and applicability of APEX model in Indian scenario for simulating runoff, sediment and crop yields and validating them through field experimentation at local level and to study the available hydrologic processes and highlight the shortcomings of the model.

An experimental agricultural watershed at Dr Y S Parmar University of Horticulture & Forestry was selected under the present study. The study area has an area of 0.4 ha in the Research Farm, Department of Soil Science and Water Management, College of Forestry, Dr Y S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni – Solan, Himachal Pradesh – India. The historical meteorological data and comprehensive information on land and crop management practices for crops grown in the study area were collected for preparing APEX model input files. The various laboratory and field experiments were conducted to determine the accurate values of soil properties of different soil layers to provide the soil properties input values in the

APEX model and also to categorize the soil productivity class of the experimental agricultural watershed.

The soil of experimental agricultural watershed was categorized sandy clay loam at surface and loam at subsurface layer, medium quality soil structure, ideal bulk density, neutral pH, normal EC, medium to high SOC, low to medium available N and available K, medium to high available P, medium CEC, high profile water storage capacity, moderate infiltration rate, moderately slow saturated hydraulic conductivity, erodible soil surface in nature. The physical health rating index (PRI) value for the experimental agricultural watershed was estimated as 0.9025. The experimental agricultural watershed was rated as Productivity Class I, which is very suitable for productive cultivation and capable to provide high yields of the crops grown in the watershed.

An experimental set up comprising a 90° V- notch was constructed and installed with an automatic stage level recorder at the outlet for measuring continuous discharge and taking runoff samples for estimating sediment yield from the experimental agricultural watershed. The continuous runoff and sediment yield data were measured during the rainy season from year 2015 to year 2021 for performing calibration and validation of the APEX model.

The mean rainy season rainfall, runoff, ratio of runoff to rainfall and soil loss were observed 732.29 mm, 161.68 mm, 21.99 percent and 2.68 t ha⁻¹, respectively during the entire study period from year 2015 to year 2021 for the experimental agricultural watershed, which is lower than permissible soil loss tolerance limit of the country.

The correlation equation between runoff (Y) and rainfall (X) as $Y = 0.5512X - 8.3443$ ($R^2 = 0.6994$), correlation equation between soil loss (Y) and rainfall (X) as $Y = 0.01X - 0.1594$ ($R^2 = 0.7018$) and correlation equation between soil loss (Y) and runoff (X) as $Y = 0.0164X - 0.0061$ ($R^2 = 0.8352$) were established for the experimental agricultural watershed.

The APEX model for simulating runoff, sediment yield and crop yield from the experimental agricultural watershed were calibrated for the period of year 2015 – 2018, while the model performance were evaluated by considering a validation period from year 2019- 2021. The water balance components for the experimental agricultural watershed were also simulated using APEX model.

The evaluation of model performance revealed that the APEX model performed very well at field scale for predicting surface runoff, soil loss and crop yields for calibration and validation periods for daily, monthly and seasonal time scales in the Indian perspective due to acceptable values of coefficient of determination (R^2), Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE), Pearson's Correlation coefficient (r), RMSE observations standard deviation ratio (RSR), Index of Agreement (d) and lower values of Percent Bias (PBIAS), Root mean square error (RMSE), Mean absolute error (MAE).

The calibrated and validated APEX model would be helpful to assess the effect of various prevailing land and water management practices on runoff, sediment and crop yield and would be beneficial in agricultural water management as well as various soil and water conservation practice in Indian scenario similar to the study area.

Keywords: APEX model, agricultural watershed, land management, water management, crop management, soil loss.

सारांश

भूमि और जल अनमोल प्राकृतिक संसाधन हैं जो जीवन के अस्तित्व के लिए अतिआवश्यक हैं। इन संसाधनों का प्रबंधन सबसे महत्वपूर्ण हो गया है और साथ ही साथ इनका प्रबंधन करना मुश्किल हो गया है। जल संसाधनों के विकास और प्रबंधन के लिए जलसंभरण (watershed) स्तर पर बुनियादी जल विज्ञान सम्बंधी प्रक्रियाओं और अनुकार क्षमताओं की गहन समझ की आवश्यकता है। प्रभावी प्रबंधन के लिए जलसंभरण के जल विज्ञान संबंधी व्यवहार की यथार्थ समझ महत्वपूर्ण है। प्लॉट स्तर से लेकर बेसिन स्तर तक कृषि जलसंभरणों से जल अपवाह, मृदा हानि और पोषक तत्वों की हानि सम्बन्धी पूर्वानुमान के लिए विभिन्न जल विज्ञान सम्बंधी मॉडल विकसित किए गए हैं। APEX (कृषि नीति/पर्यावरण विस्तारक) मॉडल उनमें से एक है जिसमें प्लॉट और क्षेत्र स्तर दोनों पर प्रभावी और कुशलता से जलसंभरण को प्रतिरूपण करने की क्षमता है।

वर्तमान अध्ययन का समग्र लक्ष्य, क्षेत्र या सूक्ष्म जलसंभरण स्तर पर विभिन्न जल विज्ञान संबंधी प्रक्रियाओं को समझना और जल अपवाह (runoff), मृदा तलछट (sediment) और फसल पैदावार का अनुकरण करने के लिए भारतीय परिदृश्य में APEX मॉडल की प्रयोज्यता को समझना और स्थानीय स्तर पर क्षेत्र प्रयोगों के माध्यम से उन्हें सत्यापित करना और उपलब्ध जल विज्ञान संबंधी प्रकर्मों का अध्ययन करना प्रक्रिया और APEX मॉडल की कमियों को चिन्हांकित करना था।

वर्तमान अध्ययन के अंतर्गत डॉ वाई एस परमार उधानिकी और वानिकी विश्वविद्यालय में एक प्रयोगात्मक कृषि जलसंभरण का चयन किया गया था। एक 0.4 हैक्टेयर क्षेत्रफल का अध्ययन क्षेत्र अनुसंधान फार्म, मृदा विज्ञान और जल प्रबंधन विभाग, वानिकी महाविद्यालय, डॉ वाई एस परमार उधानिकी और वानिकी विश्वविद्यालय, नौणी, हिमाचल प्रदेश, भारत में लिया गया।

APEX मॉडल की आगत (इनपुट) फ़ाइलों को बनाने के लिए ऐतिहासिक मौसम संबंधी आकड़ें, अध्ययन क्षेत्र में उगाई जाने वाली विभिन्न फसलों के लिए प्रयोग में लाई गई सभी भूमि और फसल प्रबंधन संबंधी प्रक्रियाओं पर व्यापक जानकारी एकत्रित की गई। APEX मॉडल में विभिन्न मृदा परतों में मृदा गुणों की आगत मान प्रदान करने के लिए और प्रायोगिक कृषि जलसंभरण की मृदा उत्पादकता श्रेणी वर्गीकृत करने के लिए विभिन्न प्रयोगशाला और अध्ययन क्षेत्र में प्रयोग किए गए।

प्रायोगिक कृषि जलसंभरण की मृदा को सतह पर रेतीली चिकनी दोमट और उपसतह परत पर दोमट मिट्टी, मध्यम गुणवत्ता वाली मृदा संरचना, आदर्श थोक घनत्व (bulk density), उदासीन पीएच, सामान्य विद्युत चालकता (EC), मध्यम से उच्च मृदा जैविक कार्बन (SOC), निम्न से मध्यम उपलब्ध नाइट्रोजन (N) और उपलब्ध पोटेशियम (K), मध्यम से उच्च उपलब्ध फास्फोरस (P), मध्यम धनात्मक आदान प्रदान क्षमता (CEC), उच्च प्रोफाइल जल भंडारण क्षमता, मध्यम रिसाव दर (Infiltration rate), मध्यम धीमी संतृप्त जलीय चालकता (hydraulic conductivity), प्रकृति में अपरदन योग्य मृदा सतह पाई गई। प्रायोगिक कृषि जलसंभरण के लिए भौतिक स्वास्थ्य श्रेणी सूचकांक (PRI) मान 0.9025 अनुमानित किया गया था। प्रायोगिक कृषि जलसंभरण को उत्पादकता वर्ग प्रथम का दर्जा दिया गया, जो उत्पादक खेती के लिए बहुत उपयुक्त है और जलसंभरण में उगाई जाने वाली फसलों की उच्च पैदावार प्रदान करने में सक्षम है।

प्रायोगिक कृषि जलसंभरण से निरंतर जल अपवाह को मापने और मृदा तलछट उपज को आंकलित करने के लिए जल अपवाह के नमूने लेने के लिए जलसंभरण निकास पर एक प्रायोगिक सेट स्थापित किया गया जिसमें की एक 90° V- नॉच (notch) का निर्माण किया गया और एक स्वचालित स्टेज लेवल रिकॉर्डर लगाया गया। APEX प्रतिरूप को अंशांकित (calibrated) एवं

सत्यापित (validated) करने के लिए वर्ष 2015 - 2021 में वर्षा ऋतु के दौरान सतत जल अपवाह और मृदा तलछट उपज के आकड़े लिए गए।

प्रायोगिक कृषि जलसंभरण के लिए संपूर्ण अध्ययन अवधि वर्ष 2015 से वर्ष 2021 में मानसून के दौरान औसत वर्षा, जल अपवाह, जल अपवाह और वर्षा अनुपात एवं मृदा हानि क्रमशः 732.29 मिमी, 161.68 मिमी, 21.99 प्रतिशत एवं 2.68 टन प्रति हैक्टेयर आंकलित की गई, जो कि देश की अनुमेय मृदा हानि सहनशीलता सीमा से कम है।

प्रायोगिक कृषि जलसंभरण के लिए जल अपवाह (Y) एवं वर्षा (X) के बीच सहसंबंध समीकरण $Y = 0.5512X - 8.3443$ ($R^2 = 0.6994$), मृदा हानि (Y) एवं वर्षा (X) के बीच सहसंबंध समीकरण $Y = 0.01X - 0.1594$ ($R^2 = 0.7018$) और मृदा हानि (Y) एवं जल अपवाह (X) के बीच सहसंबंध समीकरण $Y = 0.0164X - 0.0061$ ($R^2 = 0.8352$) स्थापित किए गए।

प्रायोगिक कृषि जलसंभरण के लिए APEX मॉडल को जल अपवाह, मृदा तलछट उपज और फसल पैदावार मानों को अनुकरित करने के लिए अवधि वर्ष 2015 - 2018 के लिए अंशांकित किया गया जबकि मॉडल दक्षता का मूल्यांकन करने के लिए वर्ष 2019 - 2021 के लिए प्रतिरूप को सत्यापित किया गया। प्रायोगिक कृषि जलसंभरण के लिए APEX मॉडल का उपयोग करके विभिन्न जल संतुलन घटकों के मानों को अनुकरित किया गया।

मॉडल दक्षता मूल्यांकन के लिए आंकलित की गई निर्धारण गुणांक (R^2), नैश स्ट्रिक्लिफ दक्षता (NSE), पियर्सन सहसंबंध गुणांक (r), मूल मध्य वर्ग त्रुटि प्रेक्षण मानक विचलन अनुपात (RSR), समझौता सूचकांक (d) के स्वीकार्य मानों और बायस प्रतिशत (PBAIS), मूल मध्य वर्ग त्रुटि (RMSE) और मुख्य शुद्ध त्रुटि (MAE) के निम्न मानों से पता चला कि भारतीय परिप्रेक्ष्य में APEX मॉडल ने क्षेत्र स्तर पर सतही अपवाह, मृदा हानि और फसल पैदावार मानों को बहुत अच्छे प्रकार से पूर्वानुमानित किया।

अंशांकित और सत्यापित APEX मॉडल जल अपवाह, मृदा तलछट उपज और फसल पैदावार पर विभिन्न प्रचलित भूमि और जल प्रबंधन तकनीकीयों के प्रभाव का आकलन करने में सहायक होगा और भारतीय परिप्रेक्ष्य में अध्ययन क्षेत्र के समरूप क्षेत्र में कृषि जल प्रबंधन के साथ- साथ विभिन्न मृदा एवं जल प्रबंधन तकनीकीयों में भी लाभदायक होगा।

कुंजीशब्द : APEX मॉडल, कृषि जलसंभरण, भूमि प्रबंधन, जल प्रबंधन, फसल प्रबंधन, मृदा हानि।

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Certificate	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents	x
List of Figures	xiv
List of Tables	xvi
Acronyms and Abbreviations	xix
1 INTRODUCTION	1-11
1.1 General	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	3
1.3 Soil Erosion Scenario in India	4
1.4 Research Gaps	7
1.5 Objectives	9
1.6 Organization of the Thesis	10
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	12-66
2.1 General	12
2.2 Hydrological Models	13
2.2.1 Historical Perspective of Hydrological Models	14
2.2.2 Classification of Hydrological Models	16
2.3 Description of APEX Model	18
2.3.1 APEX Model Data Structure	20
2.3.2 APEX Model Components	22
2.3.2.1 Runoff	22
2.3.2.2 Evapotranspiration	23
2.3.2.3 Percolation	25
2.3.2.4 Water routing	25
2.3.2.5 Sediment routing	26
2.3.2.6 Soil erosion	26
2.3.2.7 Crop yield	27
2.3.3 Development of APEX Interfaces	32
2.4 APEX Applications Worldwide	35

2.4.1	Soil Properties Studies	35
2.4.2	Model Calibration and Validation	37
2.4.3	Evaluation of Best Management Practices	42
2.4.4	Model Sensitivity and Uncertainty Analysis	53
2.5	APEX Applications in India	56
2.6	APEX Model Performance Evaluation Criteria	58
2.6.1	Coefficient of Determination	59
2.6.2	Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency	59
2.6.3	Percent Bias	60
2.6.4	Pearson's Correlation Coefficient	61
2.6.5	Index of Agreement	61
2.6.6	Root Mean Square Error	62
2.6.7	RMSE Observations Standard Deviation Ratio	62
2.6.8	Mean Absolute Error	63
2.7	Strength and Weakness of APEX Model	65
3	DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA	67-80
3.1	General	67
3.2	Location and Site	67
3.3	Configuration of Experimental Agricultural Watershed	70
3.4	Climate	71
3.5	Landuses	72
3.5.1	Forest Landuse	78
3.5.2	Grassland Landuse	78
3.5.3	Agricultural Landuse	79
3.5.4	Scrub Landuse	79
3.6	Concluding Remarks	80
4	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS ON SOIL PROPERTIES	81-115
4.1	General	81
4.2	Determination of Soil Properties	82
4.3	Soil Sampling	83
4.4	Laboratory Analysis	85
4.4.1	Soil Texture	85
4.4.2	Aggregate Size Distribution and Mean Weight Diameter	85
4.4.3	Bulk Density	88
4.4.4	Particle Density	88

4.4.5	Porosity	89
4.4.6	Soil pH	90
4.4.7	Electrical Conductivity	90
4.4.8	Soil Organic Carbon	91
4.4.9	Available Nitrogen	91
4.4.10	Available Phosphorus	92
4.4.11	Available Potassium	92
4.4.12	Available Sulphur	93
4.4.13	Exchangeable Calcium	93
4.4.14	Exchangeable Magnesium	94
4.4.15	Exchangeable Sodium	94
4.4.16	Exchangeable Potassium	95
4.4.17	DTPA Extractable Iron	95
4.4.18	DTPA Extractable Manganese	96
4.4.19	DTPA Extractable Copper	96
4.4.20	DTPA Extractable Zinc	97
4.4.21	Cation Exchange Capacity	97
4.4.22	Moisture Retention Characteristics	98
4.4.23	Plant Available Water Capacity	102
4.4.24	Maximum Water Holding Capacity	102
4.4.25	Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity	103
4.5	Field Experiments	104
4.5.1	Infiltration Characteristics	104
4.6	Erodibility Indices	107
4.6.1	Dispersion Ratio	107
4.6.2	Erosion Ratio	107
4.6.3	Erosion Index	107
4.6.4	Erodibility Factor	107
4.7	Physical Health Rating Index (PRI) of Soils of the Experimental Agricultural Watershed	109
4.8	Concluding Remarks	114
5	EXPERIMENTAL OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS ON RUNOFF, SOIL LOSS AND CROP YIELD	116-135
5.1	General	116
5.2	Experimental Set Up and Data Collection	117
5.2.1	Runoff Data	118
5.2.2	Sediment Data	119
5.2.3	Land and Crop Management Practices Data	119
5.2.4	Crop Yield Data	122
5.3	Analysis of Observed Data	122

5.3.1	Analysis of Runoff Data	122
5.3.2	Sediment Analysis	129
5.3.3	Crop Yield Analysis	134
5.4	Concluding Remarks	135
6	HYDROLOGICAL MODELLING BY APEX MODEL	136-168
6.1	General	136
6.2	APEX Model Set Up	136
6.2.1	Data Used for Setting up APEX Model	137
6.2.2	Preparation of Input Files	138
6.3	Model Calibration and Validation	143
6.4	Water Balance of the Experimental Agricultural Watershed	154
6.5	Performance Evaluation of APEX Model	155
6.6	Shortcomings/limitations observed in the APEX Model	165
6.6.1	Shortcomings Observed and Errors Fixed in the APEX Model	165
6.6.2	Shortcomings Observed and Errors to be Fixed in the Next Updated Version of the APEX Model	166
6.7	Concluding Remarks	167
7	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	169-176
7.1	Summary	169
7.2	Conclusion	171
7.3	Scope for Future Research	173
7.4	Major Research Contributions from the Present Study	174
7.5	Limitations of the Study	176
	REFERENCES	178-201
	Appendix-A	202-231
	Appendix-B	232-246
	Brief Bio-Data of the Author	247

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	APEX input and output file structure	21
2.2	Major components of APEX model	30
3.1	Location Map showing study area draining into the Giri River Catchment	68
3.2	Index Map of study area showing the experimental agricultural watershed in Ga3a micro watershed of Giri River Catchment	69
3.3	Mean annual rainfall and seasonal rainfall during monsoon (1984-2021) in the experimental agricultural watershed	74
3.4	Percentage ratio of rainy season rainfall to annual rainfall (1984-2021) in the experimental agricultural watershed	75
3.5	Monthly mean maximum and minimum temperature (1984-2021) in the experimental agricultural watershed	76
3.6	Daily maximum and minimum temperature trend (2012-2021) in the experimental agricultural watershed	77
3.7	Monthly rainfall variation (2012-2021) in the experimental agricultural watershed	78
4.1	Moisture retention characteristics curve for different subareas at 0-15 cm depth	100
4.2	Moisture retention characteristics curve for different subareas at 15-30 cm depth	100
4.3	Moisture retention characteristics curve for different subareas at 30-45 cm depth	101
4.4	Moisture retention characteristics curve for different subareas at 45-60 cm depth	101
4.5	Infiltration characteristics for the experimental agricultural watershed	105
5.1	Installation of automatic stage level recorder set up to observe runoff in the experimental agricultural watershed	117
5.2	Runoff observations recorded using automatic stage level recorder	123
5.3	Rainfall and observed runoff from all runoff generated events during year 2015	125
5.4	Rainfall and observed runoff from all runoff generated events during year 2016	125
5.5	Rainfall and observed runoff from all runoff generated events during year 2017	126
5.6	Rainfall and observed runoff from all runoff generated events during year 2018	126
5.7	Rainfall and observed runoff from all runoff generated events during year 2019	127
5.8	Rainfall and observed runoff from all runoff generated events during year 2020	127
5.9	Rainfall and observed runoff from all runoff generated events during year 2021	128

5.10	Relationship between rainfall and runoff for the experimental agricultural watershed	128
5.11	Relationship between rainfall and observed soil loss for the experimental agricultural watershed	132
5.12	Relationship between observed runoff and observed soil loss for the experimental agricultural watershed	132
5.13	Year wise observed crop yields in the experimental agricultural watershed	134
6.1	Water balance components for the experimental agricultural watershed	154
6.2	Daily observed versus daily simulated runoff for calibration period	156
6.3	Monthly observed versus monthly simulated runoff for calibration period	156
6.4	Daily observed versus daily simulated runoff for validation period	157
6.5	Monthly observed versus monthly simulated runoff for validation period	157
6.6	Observed versus simulated soil loss for calibration period	160
6.7	Observed versus simulated soil loss for validation period	160
6.8	Observed and simulated crop yields for calibration period	162
6.9	Observed and simulated crop yields for validation period	162
6.10	Statistical analysis of observed and simulated crop yields for calibration period	163
6.11	Statistical analysis of observed and simulated crop yields for validation period	163

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Options available in the APEX model	31
3.1	Detailed information on subareas of the experimental agricultural watershed	71
3.2	Area under different landuses in the Ga3a Micro watershed	73
4.1	Standard methods used for determination of soil properties	84
4.2	Percentage mechanical composition and texture of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	87
4.3	Percent aggregate size distribution of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	87
4.4	Water stable aggregates of soils in the experimental agricultural watershed	87
4.5	Bulk density of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	88
4.6	Particle density of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	89
4.7	Porosity of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	89
4.8	pH of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	90
4.9	Electrical conductivity (EC) of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	90
4.10	Soil organic carbon (SOC) in the experimental agricultural watershed	91
4.11	Available N of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	92
4.12	Available P of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	92
4.13	Available K of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	93
4.14	Available S of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	93
4.15	Exchangeable Ca of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	94
4.16	Exchangeable Mg of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	94
4.17	Exchangeable Na of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	95
4.18	Exchangeable K of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	95

4.19	DTPA extractable Fe of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	96
4.20	DTPA extractable Mn of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	96
4.21	DTPA extractable Cu of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	96
4.22	DTPA extractable Zn of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	97
4.23	Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	97
4.24	Moisture retention characteristics of soils in the experimental agricultural watershed	99
4.25	Plant available water capacity of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	102
4.26	Maximum water holding capacity of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	103
4.27	Saturated hydraulic conductivity of soil in the experimental agricultural watershed	104
4.28	Experimental results obtained by conducting double ring infiltrometer tests in the experimental agricultural watershed	106
4.29	Erosion Indices of surface soil (0-15 cm soil depth) in the experimental agricultural watershed	108
4.30	Rating values of various parameters of physical health rating index for the experimental agricultural watershed	110
4.31	Rating criteria for soil depth for different texture	111
4.32	Rating criteria for bulk density for different textured soils	111
4.33	Rating criteria for final infiltration rate	112
4.34	Rating criteria for available water storage capacity	112
4.35	Rating criteria for organic matter content in 0-10 cm layer	112
4.36	Rating criteria for non-capillary pores in 0-60 cm	112
4.37	Rating criteria for water table depth	113
4.38	Rating criteria for land slope	113
4.39	Interpretation for soil physical health index	113
5.1	Summary of total no. of runoff events observed in the experimental agricultural watershed	118
5.2	Land and crop management practices in the experimental agricultural watershed	120
5.3	Observed crop yields in the experimental agricultural watershed	122
5.4	Sample calculation for the rainfall event occurred on 5 th August 2017 for obtaining total runoff volume	123
5.5	Sediment yield and soil loss rate from the experimental agricultural watershed	130

5.6	Total observed rainfall, runoff and soil loss during monsoon season in the experimental agricultural watershed	133
6.1	Type and source of input data used for APEX model set up	137
6.2	One season operation schedule for capsicum crop followed in the experimental agricultural watershed	139
6.3	One season operation schedule for tomato crop followed in the experimental agricultural watershed	140
6.4	One season operation schedule for French bean crop followed in the experimental agricultural watershed	141
6.5	One season operation schedule for cucumber crop followed in the experimental agricultural watershed	142
6.6	Modified input parameters in APEXCONT.DAT File used in APEX model set up	144
6.7	Modified input parameters in SUBAREA.SUB File used in APEX model set up	145
6.8	Influential input or sensitive parameter in surface runoff process	146
6.9	Influential input or sensitive parameter in crop yield process	146
6.10	Influential input or sensitive parameter in sediment yield process	147
6.11	Final APEX parm parameter values in PARM.DAT file for calibrated APEX model	149
6.12	Initial and calibrated crop parameters for calibrated model for the experimental agricultural watershed	150
6.13	Status of adjusted minimum stress occurred during crop growth period for simulating capsicum yield	151
6.14	Status of adjusted minimum stress occurred during crop growth period for simulating tomato yield	151
6.15	Status of adjusted minimum stress occurred during crop growth period for simulating French bean yield	152
6.16	Status of adjusted minimum stress occurred during crop growth period for simulating cucumber yield	152
6.17	Observed and simulated fresh marketable and dry basis crop yields	153
6.18	APEX model performance statistics for runoff from the experimental agricultural watershed at different time scales	159
6.19	APEX model performance statistics for sediment yield from the experimental agricultural watershed at different time scales	161
6.20	APEX model performance statistics for crop yield from the experimental agricultural watershed	164
A.1	Meteorological data for the experimental agricultural watershed used for APEX model run for the period 2012-2021	202
B.1	Stage level recorder data used for calibration and validation of the APEX model	232

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Symbol	Definition
ACTMO	Agricultural Chemical Transport Model
ALMANAC	Agricultural Land Management Alternatives with Numerical Assessment Criteria
ANSWERS	Areal Non-point Source Watershed Environmental Response Simulation
APEX	Agricultural Policy/Environmental Extender
APEX-CUTE	Agricultural Policy Environmental eXtender Auto-Calibration and UncerTainty Estimator
ARM	Agricultural Runoff Management
AS	Aeration Stress
BD	Bulk Density
BGWS	Beginning Ground Water Surface
BMA	Bayesian Model Averaging
BMPs	Best Management Practices
BSW	Beginning Soil Water Content
Ca	Calcium
CEC	Cation Exchange Capacity
CHL	Distance from subarea outlet to most distant point of subarea
CI	Cumulative Infiltration
CN	Curve Number
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
CREAMS	Chemicals, Runoff, and Erosion from Agricultural Management Systems
Cu	Copper
d	Index of agreement
DAP	Di-Ammonium Phosphate

DDS-AU	Dynamically Dimensioned Search – Approximation of Uncertainty
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DF	Difference in Error
DLAI	Fraction of growing season when leaf area declines
DMLA	Maximum potential leaf area index
DR	Dispersion Ratio
DTPA	Diethylene Triamine Penta Acetic Acid
EC	Electrical Conductivity
EI	Erosion Index
EPIC	Environmental Policy Impact Climate / Erosion Productivity Impact Calculator
ER	Erosion Ratio
ET	Evapo-Transpiration
EVRT	Evaporation from flow during routing
Fe	Iron
FGWS	Final Ground Water Surface
FSW	Final Soil Water Content
FYM	Farm Yard Manure
GIS	Geographical Information System
GLEAMS	Groundwater Loading Effects of Agricultural Management Systems
GLUE	Generalized Likelihood Uncertainty Estimation
GUI	Graphical User Interface
HI	Harvest Index
HRU	Hydrologic Response Unit
IBUNE	Integrated Bayesian Uncertainty Estimator

ICAR	Indian Council of Agricultural Research
IDSS	Integrated Decision Support System
IR	Infiltration Rate
IRG	Irrigation
IRGA	Irrigation Applied
IRLD	Irrigation Distribution Losses
ISSS	International Soil Science Society
K	Potassium / Erodibility Factor
KS	Potassium Stress
LAI	Leaf Area Index
MAE	Mean Absolute Error
MCMC	Markov Chain Monte Carlo
MCS	Monte Carlo Simulation
Mg	Magnesium
M ha	Million Hectare
mm	Millimetre
Mn	Manganese
MOP	Muriate of Potash
MUSLE	Modified Universal Soil Loss Equation
MWD	Mean Weight Diameter
MWHC	Maximum Water Holding Capacity
N	Nitrogen
Na	Sodium
NPP	National Pilot Project

NPS	Nonpoint Source Runoff
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NS	Nitrogen Stress
NSE	Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency
NTT	Nutrient Tracking Tool
OPV	Operation value
P	Phosphorus
PAWC	Plant Available Water Capacity
PBIAS	Percent BIAS
PCP	Precipitation
PD	Particle Density
PER	Percent error
PHU	Potential Heat Units
PRI	Physical health Rating Index
PRK	Percolation
PS	Phosphorus Stress
QDRN	Soluble nitrogen in drainage outflow
QGIS	Quantum Geographical Information System
QN	Nitrogen in runoff
QNW	Nitrogen in runoff from watershed
QRFN	Nitrogen in quick return flow
r	Pearson's Correlation Coefficient
R ²	Coefficient of Determination
RCHL	Distance of routing reach flowing through the subarea

RDF	Recommended Dose of Fertilizers
RMSD	Root Mean Square Deviation
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
ROTO	Routing Outputs to Outlet
RS	Remote Sensing
RSFN	Soluble nitrogen yield in surface runoff
RSR	Root mean square error observations Standard deviation Ratio
RUSLE	Revised Universal Soil Loss
S	Sulphur
SCS	Soil Conservation Service
SHC	Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity
SMZ	Stream Management Zones
SOC	Soil Organic Carbon
SS	Salt Stress
SSI	Small Scale Irrigation
SSP	Single Super Phosphate
SUFI-2	Sequential Uncertainty Fitting -2
SW	Soil Water
SWAT	Soil and Water Assessment Tool
SWRRB	Simulator for Water Resources in Rural Basins
TBS	Minimum temperature for plant growth
TGA	Total Geographical Area
t ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	Tons per hectare per year
TN	Total Nitrogen

TOP	Optimal temperature for plant Growth
TP	Total Phosphorus
TS	Temperature Stress
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
USA	United States of America
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USLE	Universal Soil Loss Equation
VBA	Visual Basic for Applications
VFS	Vegetative Filter Strips
VSC	Variable Storage Coefficient
WA	Biomass energy ratio
WEPP	Water Erosion Prediction Project
WS	Water Stress
WSA	Watershed Area/ Water Stable Aggregates
WUE	Water Use Efficiency
WYLD	Water Yield
Zn	Zinc