

**STUDY OF PHOTO-ASSISTED ELECTROLYSIS
OF WATER**

SANGEETA



DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

OCTOBER 2016

©Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2016

**STUDY OF PHOTO-ASSISTED ELECTROLYSIS OF
WATER**

by

SANGEETA

Department of Chemical Engineering

Submitted

In partial fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

OCTOBER 2016

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Study of Photo-assisted Electrolysis of Water**” being submitted by **Sangeeta** to the Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Chemical Engineering, is a record of bonafide work carried out by her. She has worked under my guidance and supervision and has fulfilled the requirements, which to my knowledge, has reached the requisite standard for the submission of the thesis.

The research report and results presented in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or full, to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Ashok N. Bhaskarwar

Professor

Department of Chemical Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi

New Delhi 110016, India

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my guide and mentor **Prof. Ashok N. Bhaskarwar**, Department of Chemical Engineering, under whose guidance, inspiration, keen interest and support, I had the opportunity to conduct this study. I shall be indebted to him forever for inculcating within me the qualities of confidence, perseverance and a sense of commitment towards one's work. I wish to thank my SRC members, Prof. Ratan Mohan, Dr. Anupam Shukla and Prof. Manju Mohan, for their valuable suggestions and requisite guidance on technical issues during the research work. I am also grateful to all the faculty members for their help and cooperation whenever needed.

I wish to thank Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) for financial support during the execution of my research work. I thank all the non-teaching staff of Chemical Engineering Department for their help and support, Centre for Polymer Science and Engineering for providing me SEM and EDX facilities, and Departments of Chemistry and of Physics for TEM and XRD facilities, respectively. I am thankful to Maneesha Pande, Guncha Munjal, Garima Dvivedhi, Sudheshna Moka, Amishi, Madhur, Gaurav Toora, Amita, and all other lab members. I would like to thank Mr. Sanjay Nagar and Mrs. Manju Chauhan for their kind support during entire period. The support and strength provided by my friends was immense. I would like to thank all of them. When it comes to personal support and strength, I wish to express my heartfelt thanks to my father late Shri Om Prakash and my beloved mother Mrs. Krishna Devi, and my brother Mr. Sanjeev Kumar and his family. I am thankful to my husband Dr. Amit Kumar for giving me a supportive hand all the time. Last but not least, this work would never be complete without my

little one “Sarvika” who makes my stressful moments lighter. Their unflinching support, patience, and love have helped me pursue my dreams.

Sangeeta

ABSTRACT

The interest in extracting hydrogen from water is fueled by the need to find a renewable, sustainable, and environmentally safe alternative energy source. **Photo-Electrochemical Cells (PECs)** convert solar energy into chemical energy (hydrogen) using a light-absorbing and semiconducting material to generate the necessary photovoltage to split water into hydrogen and oxygen. However, the acceptance of this technology will depend on the development of stable and cheap semiconductor electrodes with high efficiencies. The objective of this thesis is to develop a photoelectrochemical system for hydrogen generation using solar energy. Films of different semiconducting oxides have been prepared and analyzed by standard photochemical and photoelectrochemical methods. The physical characterizations of semiconducting materials have been done by X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), and Energy Dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX).

Cuprous oxide on copper has been tested as a photocathode in a *PEC* with brine as an electrolyte. Effects of different parameters, namely, nature of substrate, thickness of nickel layer, nature of electrolyte, and deposition potential have been studied. The deposition of a 5 μ m-thick nickel layer on the photocathode, i.e. cuprous oxide, increased its photoefficiency 3.5 times.

Films of two materials, viz. copper titanate and strontium titanate have been tested as a photoanode. Unlike with a photocathode, the performance of both of these photoanodes decreases on deposition of a nickel layer. Deposition potential affects the crystallinity of the films, and the maximum photoefficiency for copper titanates has been obtained for the films which have a high crystallinity in a single plane and a wide range of absorption spectrum.

To provide the remaining bias voltage required for splitting of water, dye-sensitized solar (DSSCs) cells have been looked at as an alternative, thereby making the whole process completely solar dependent and hence sustainable. Performance of nine new ruthenium sensitizers and two redox shuttles, i.e. iodine and cobalt have been analyzed in DSSCs by IV characteristics, transient photo-voltage measurements, electron-recombination, dye-adsorption, and IPCE studies. Bulky substitutions in a cyclopenta(2,1-b;3,4-bA)dithiophene (CDT) ruthenium(II) bipyridyl metal complex, coded TT-230, have been found to give a high open-circuit potential in the dye-sensitized solar cell with cobalt redox couple. DSSC with TT230 as sensitizer and Y960 as electrolyte gave an efficiency of 6.06 %.

The recombination studies have shown that the devices with TT-230 as a sensitizer exhibit a lower recombination rate. This opens up an avenue to engineer a new generation of highly efficient sensitizers.

DSSC, with TT230 dye as a sensitizer and Y960 as an electrolyte has finally been connected with the *PEC* having nickel/cuprous oxide/copper as a photocathode, strontium titanate/copper as a photoanode and 0.5 M brine as an electrolyte. For the coupled system, hydrogen is generated with an overall efficiency of 44 %, which is comparable to the commercially available silicon solar cells.

CONTENTS

Certificate	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract	v
Contents	vii
List of abbreviations and symbols	xi
List of figures	xiii
List of tables	xvi
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Coupled reactor of photo-electrochemical cell and dye sensitized solar cell	3
1.2. Photo-electrochemical cell	4
1.2.1. Photo-voltaic electrochemical cell	4
1.2.2. Photo-electrosynthetic cell	4
1.3. Principle of photo-assisted electrolysis	6
1.4. Reaction mechanism of photo-assisted electrolysis	7
1.5. Photo-electrode	9
1.5.1. Structure of semiconductor and semiconductor/electrolyte interface	10
1.6. Electrolyte	13
1.7. Bias potential	13
1.8. Dye-sensitized Solar Cells (DSSCs)	14
1.9 . Objective of the present research	18
CHAPTER 2.LITERATURE SURVEY	20
2.1. Introduction	20
2.2. Titanium oxide	23
2.3. Other oxides	35
2.4. Pervoskites	40
2.5. Photochemical diodes and twin-photosystem configurations for water splitting	46
2.6. Coatings on photoelectrode	47
2.7. Dye-sensitized solar cell	50
2.8. Concluding remarks	53

CHAPTER 3. COUPLED REACTOR-DYE-SENSITIZED SOLAR CELL BIASED PHOTO-ELECTROCHEMICAL CELL	54
CHAPTER 4. EXPERIMENTAL WORK	61
4.1. Preparation of different photoelectrodes	61
4.1.1. Preparation of coatings of p-cuprous oxide on conducting substrates	62
4.1.1.1. Chemicals/materials used	62
4.1.1.2. Experimental procedure	62
4.1.1.3. Mechanism of the process of electro-deposition	63
4.1.2. Preparation of coatings of strontium titanate on conducting substrates	64
4.1.2.1. Chemicals/materials used	64
4.1.2.2. Experimental procedure	64
4.1.2.3. Electro-deposition current profile	65
4.1.3. Preparation of coatings of platinum on glass	67
4.1.3.1. Chemicals/materials used	67
4.1.3.2. Experimental procedure	68
4.1.4. Preparation of coatings of nickel	68
4.1.4.1. Chemical/materials used	69
4.1.4.2. Experimental procedure for the deposition of a layer of nickel	69
4.1.4.3. Mechanism of the process of photochemical bath deposition	70
4.1.5. Preparation of coatings of copper titanate on a conducting substrate	70
4.1.5.1. Chemicals/materials used	70
4.1.5.2. Experimental procedure	70
4.2. Fabrication of dye-sensitized solar cells	71
4.2.1. Preparation of working electrode	71
4.2.2. Preparation of counter electrode	71
4.2.3. Composition of electrolyte	72
4.2.3.1. Cobalt redox couple	72
4.2.3.2. Iodine redox couple	72
4.2.4. Sensitizer	72
4.2.5. Assembling of DSSC	72
4.3. Methods and Measurements	73
4.3.1. Physical characterization	73
4.3.1.1. Scanning Electron Microscopy	73

4.3.1.2. Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDX)	73
4.3.1.3. X-Ray Diffraction Analysis (XRD)	74
4.3.1.4. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	74
4.3.2. Photochemical characterization	75
4.3.3. Photo-electrochemical characterization	75
4.3.3.1. Linear sweep voltametry by potentiostat/galvanostat	75
4.3.3.2. Galvanometric analysis	75
4.3.3.3. Manual linear sweep voltametry	77
4.3.4. Characterization of DSSC	78
4.3.5. Combined photoelectrochemical system	79
4.4. Efficiency calculation	79
CHAPTER 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	81
5.1. Cuprous oxide	81
5.1.1. Physical characterization of a layer of cuprous oxide	81
5.1.2. Performance of cuprous oxide/copper as a photocathode	87
5.1.3. Effect of nickel on the performance of cuprous oxide/copper	89
5.1.4. Effect of nature of electrolyte on the performance of nickel/cuprous oxide/copper photocathode	93
5.1.5. Photo-electrolysis of brine	94
5.1.6. Effect of thickness of nickel on the performance of cuprous oxide as photocathode in PEC. (section added as per suggestions of examiner)	97
5.2. Strontium titanate	100
5.2.1. Performance of strontium titanate/copper as a photoanode	100
5.2.2. Effect of substrate on the performance of strontium titanate/copper	102
5.2.3. Effect of the thickness of nickel on the performance of strontium titanate/copper.	107
5.2.4. Effect of deposition potential on the performance of strontium titanate/copper in PEC	110
5.3. Copper titanate	115
5.3.1. Performance of copper titanate/copper as a photoanode	115
5.3.2. Effect of thickness of nickel on the performance of copper titanate/copper	117
5.3.3. Effect of deposition potential on the performance of copper titanate/copper	121
5.4. Electrolysis of brine with dc power supply	124
5.5. Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSC)s	135
5.5.1. Structure of dyes	136

5.5.2. Performance of ruthenium sensitizers in DSSC with iodine redox couple	138
5.5.3. Effect of deaggregating agent on the performance of DSSC with iodine redox couple	143
5.5.4. Effect of surfactant on the performance of DSSC with iodine redox couple	145
5.5.5. Co-sensitization	146
5.5.6. Effect of electrolyte on the performance of DSSC with TT dyes	147
5.5.7. Nitrogen as linkage group of dye	148
5.5.8. Bulky ancillary group to block the electron back reaction in a cyclopentadithiophene (CDT)-functionalized Ruthenium(II) bipyridyl sensitizer and cobalt electrolyte system	151
5.6. Coupling of PEC and DSSC	159
CHAPTER 6.CONCLUSION	164
References.....	166
Appendices.....	202
APPENDIX A: ELCTROLYSIS OF BRINE WITH SILICON SOLAR PANEL	202
APPENDIX B: PREPARATION OF LAYERS MOLYBDENUM DI SULPHIDE ON GLASS SLIDE	203
APPENDIX C: PREPARATION OF COATINGS OF ZnO ON GLASS SLIDE	206
APPENDIX D: CALCULATION OF BAND GAP	207
APPENDIX E : CUPROUS-OXIDE NANOCUBES	207
Preparation of nano-cubes of cuprous oxide	207
Chemicals/materials used	207
Experimental procedure	208
Mechanism of the process of synthesis of cuprous-oxide nanocubes	208
APPENDIX F: CALCULATION OF SURFACE AREA OF CRACKS IN NICKEL LAYER.	210
APPENDIX G: XRD OF NICKEL/CUPROUS OXIDE/COPPER PHOTOELECTRODE	211
Curriculum vitae	213
EDUCATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS:	213

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

c = velocity of light, (ms^{-1}).

E_g = band gap, (eV).

ϵ_0 = molar extinction coefficient, ($\text{mole}^{-1}\text{dm}^3\text{cm}^{-1}$).

h = Planck's constant, (6.6×10^{-34} Js).

I_p = photocurrent density, (Am^{-2}).

$IPCE$ = incident photon to current conversion efficiency, (dimensionless).

J = current density, (Am^{-2}).

J_{max} = photocurrent density from the cell at the maximum power conversion, (Am^{-2}).

J_{sc} = short circuit current, (Am^{-2}).

η = overall efficiency, (dimensionless).

η_p = photoefficiency, (dimensionless)

$P_{illumination}$ = power density of source of illumination, (Wm^{-2}).

P_{total} = total power density input, (Wm^{-2}).

V = potential, (V).

V_b = bias potential, (V).

V_{rev}^0 = standard-state reversible potential for the water-splitting reaction, (1.229 V).

V_{oc} = open circuit potential, (V).

V_{max} = photovoltage derived from the cell at the maximum power conversion, (V).

ν = frequency of radiation, (s^{-1}).

λ_{\max} = absorption maximum, (nm).

e^- = electrons.

h^+ = holes.

DSSC = Dye-Sensitized Solar Cell.

EDX = Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy Analysis.

PEC = photoelectrochemical cell.

SEM = Scanning electron microscopy.

XRD = X- Ray diffraction.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Coupled system of photo-electrochemical cell and DSSC.	3
Figure 1.2. Principle of photo-assisted electrolysis.	6
Figure 1.3. Band bending at the semiconductor/electrolyte interface: (a) n-type, (b) p-type.	12
Figure 1.4. Working principle of dye-sensitized solar cells.....	15
Figure 3. 1. (a) Direct PV electrolysis (b) Photo-assisted electrolysis of water.	55
Figure 3. 2. Different types of bias used in photo-assisted electrolysis of water.....	58
Figure 4. 1. Schematic diagram of the set-up used for the electroplating of cuprous oxide on steel/copper electrode.....	63
Figure 4. 2. Schematic diagram of the set-up for the preparation of coatings of strontium titanate.	65
Figure 4. 3. Profile of current during electro-deposition of films of strontium titanate on different substrates.....	66
Figure 4. 4. I-V profile of the electroplating cell for the deposition of strontium titanate on copper at different deposition potentials.....	67
Figure 4. 5. Schematic diagram of the apparatus for the photochemical-bath deposition of nickel layer. .	69
Figure 4. 6. Schematic diagram of the set-up for photoelectrolysis.....	76
Figure 4. 7. Schematic diagram of the set-up for photo-electrochemical characterization of photocatalyst.	77
Figure 5. 1. SEM of nickel/cuprous oxide/copper with different thicknesses of nickel layer: (a) 0 μm , (b) 1.5 μm , (c) 3.3 μm , (d) 5 μm , and (e) 6.8 μm	82
Figure 5. 2. EDX of cuprous oxide/copper: (a) without layer of nickel, (b) with layer.....	83
Figure 5. 3. XRD of nickel/cuprous oxide/copper with different thicknesses of nickel layer: (a) 0 μm , (b) 1.5 μm , (c) 3.3 μm , (d) 5 μm , and (e) 6.8 μm	85
Figure 5. 4 . Orientation of the plane with miller indices (a) 2 0 0 (b) 1 1 1	85
Figure 5. 5. Performance of cuprous oxide/copper under illumination with power density of 25 mW/cm^2 : (a) J-V profile, (b) Overall efficiency vs. Bias potential, and (c) Photoefficiency vs. Bias potential.....	88
Figure 5. 6. Band structure of cuprous oxide.....	89
Figure 5. 7. Effect of nickel coating on the performance of cuprous oxide/copper: (a) J-V profile, (b) overall efficiency vs. bias potential, and (c) photoefficiency vs. bias potential.	91
Figure 5. 8. Comparison of current densities in cell for cuprous oxide/steel as cathode under different intensities of illumination.	95
Figure 5. 9. Effect of thickness of nickel layer, on cuprous oxide/steel, on current in <i>PEC</i> under illumination with power density of 25 mW/cm^2	96
Figure 5. 10 Effect of thickness of nickel layer on performance of cuprous oxide as photocathode in <i>PEC</i>	99
Figure 5. 11. (a) J-V profile of strontium titanate/copper. (b) Overall efficiency vs. applied potential for strontium titanate/copper under illumination of power density 25 mW/cm^2	101

Figure 5. 12. Photoefficiency vs. Bias potential for strontium titanate/copper under illumination of power density 25 mW/cm ²	102
Figure 5. 13. EDX of the films of strontium titanate deposited on (a) copper substrate, and (b) steel substrate.	104
Figure 5. 14. SEM of a layer of strontium titanate deposited on (a) steel substrate, and (b) copper substrate.	104
Figure 5. 15. Absorption spectra of the films of strontium titanate deposited on different substrates.....	105
Figure 5. 16. J-V profile of strontium titanate with: (a) steel substrate, and (b) copper substrate.	106
Figure 5. 17. Comparison of photo-activities of strontium titanate with different substrates.....	107
Figure 5. 18. J-V plots for strontium titanate/copper with different thicknesses of nickel.	108
Figure 5. 19. Overall efficiency vs. voltage for strontium titanate/copper with different thicknesses of nickel.....	109
Figure 5. 20. (a) Linear sweep voltametry of films of strontium titanate/copper deposited at different deposition potentials. (b) Performance of the films of strontium titanate/copper deposited at different deposition potentials.	112
Figure 5. 21. XRD of the films of strontium titanate/copper deposited at (a) 0.4 V, (b) 0.6 V, (c) and 0.8 V.....	114
Figure 5. 22. Performance of copper titanate/copper. (a) J-V profile of copper titanate/copper in dark and illumination (b) Overall efficiency vs bias potential	116
Figure 5. 23. Photoefficiency vs. bias potential for copper titanate/copper.....	117
Figure 5. 24. (a)J-V profiles of copper titanate/copper for different thicknesses of nickel. (b) JV profile of copper titanate/copper under dark and illumination.....	119
Figure 5. 25. Overall efficiency vs. bias potential for copper titanate/copper with different thicknesses of nickel.....	120
Figure 5. 26. Linear sweep voltametry of the films of copper titanate/copper deposited at different deposition potentials under an illumination of power density 25 mWcm ⁻²	122
Figure 5. 27. Performance of films of copper titanate/copper deposited at different deposition potentials under an illumination of power density 25 mWcm ⁻²	123
Figure 5. 28. XRD of copper titanate/copper deposited at deposition potential of (a) 0.4 V, (b) 0.6 V, and (c) 0.8 V.	124
Figure 5. 29. Current vs. time for the cell with different concentrations of brine.	127
Figure 5. 30. Variation of pH of electrolyte in cathodic compartment with time for different concentrations of brine.....	128
Figure 5. 31. Variation of pH of electrolyte in anodic compartment at different intervals of time for different concentrations of brine.....	129
Figure 5. 32. Comparison of theoretical and experimental values of loss of moles of iron for different concentrations of brine.....	131
Figure 5. 33. Total moles of hydrogen produced for steel electrodes for different concentration of brine.	133
Figure 5. 34. Structure of different dyes (a) TT222 (b)TT223 (c) TT229(d)TT230 (e) TT231(f) TTFL234 (g)TTFL233 (h)TTFL225 (i) TTFL224.....	137
Figure 5. 35. Absorption spectra of (a) TT230, and (b) T222	139

Figure 5. 36. Performance of TT222 and TT230 under different intensities of illumination. (b) Change in Voc with different intensity of illumination for the two DSSCs	140
Figure 5. 37. Photocurrent action spectra of cell with different dyes.	141
Figure 5. 38. Transient photovoltage decay studies.	143
Figure 5. 39. Structure of chenodicyclohexane.	144
Figure 5. 40. Structure of TT59.	149
Figure 5. 41. Absorption spectra of TT59.....	149
Figure 5. 42. Structure of TT60.	150
Figure 5. 43. Absorption spectra of TT60.....	150
Figure 5. 44. Structures of ruthenium dyes studied in this work, TT-230 and benchmark C101.	154
Figure 5. 45. J-V characteristics of the dye-sensitized solar cell under dark and under illumination (AM 1.5 G solar irradiance, 100 mWcm ⁻² photon flux).	155
Figure 5. 46. The distribution of surface electronic trap states in the titanium-dioxide film sensitized with TT-230 and C101 dye molecules.	157
Figure 5. 47. The recombination rate of the photogenerated electrons measured as a function of charge density for TT230 and C101 dye sensitizers.....	158
Figure 5. 48. Photocurrent Decay with time for coupled system biased with DSSC having sensitizer TT222.....	161
Figure 5. 49. Photocurrent Decay with time for coupled system biased with DSSC having sensitizer TT230.....	162
Figure 5. 50. Schematic diagram of coupling of DSSC with PEC.	163

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. 1. History of photovoltaics.....	2
Table 2.1. Ideal limits for efficiency of water photo-electrolysis as estimated by various authors.	22
Table 2.2. Work on titanium oxide as photoelectrode in <i>PEC</i>	24
Table 2.3. Efficiency of photoelectrochemical cells of different structures with titanium oxide as a photoanode.....	33
Table 2.4. Semiconducting oxides (other than titanium oxide) as photoelectrode in <i>PEC</i>	36
Table 2. 5. Miscellaneous multinary oxides for the photodecomposition of water.	40
Table 2.6. Studies on the use of strontium titanate for the photoelectrolysis of water.	41
Table 2.7. Types of coatings used on different semiconductors.	48
Table 5. 1. Maximum efficiency obtained with cuprous oxide/copper in brine with different thicknesses of nickel.....	92
Table 5. 2. Comparison of maximum efficiency obtained by nickel/cuprous oxide/copper in brine and sodium sulphite.	94
Table 5. 3. Maximum photoefficiency obtained by nickel/strontium titanate/copper with different thicknesses of nickel.	109
Table 5. 4. Band gap of strontium titanate grown at different deposition potentials.	110
Table 5. 5. Maximum photoefficiency obtained by the films of strontium titanate/copper grown at different deposition potentials.....	112
Table 5. 6. Maximum photoefficiency obtained by nickel/copper titanate/copper with different thicknesses of nickel.	120
Table 5. 7. Band gap of the films of copper titanate grown at different deposition potentials.	121
Table 5. 8. Comparison of maximum photoefficiency obtained by the films of copper titanate/copper which were grown at different deposition potentials.	123
Table 5. 9. Results of the experiments carried out with different concentrations of brine.	126
Table 5. 10 .Comparison of theoretical and experimental value of moles of sodium hydroxide produced in the cell.....	132
Table 5. 11. Comparison of theoretical and experimental values for hydrogen production in different concentrations of brine.....	134
Table 5. 12. Performance of dyes in DSSC under 1 sun illumination.	138
Table 5. 13. Dye uptake studies for DSSC with iodine redox couple.....	142
Table 5. 14. Effect of cheno on the performance of dyes in DSSCs (AM 1.5 G solar irradiance (100 mW/cm ²)).....	144
Table 5. 15. Effect of surfactants on the performance of dyes in DSSCs with iodine redox couple (AM 1.5 G solar irradiance (100 mW/cm ²)).....	146
Table 5. 16. Effect of cosensitization on the photovoltaic parameters of DSSCs (AM 1.5 G solar irradiance (100 mW/cm ²)).	147

Table 5. 17. Comparison of photovoltaic data of DSSC of TT230 with iodine and cobalt electrolyte (AM 1.5 G solar irradiance (100 mW/cm ²)).	148
Table 5. 18. Comparison of photovoltaic parameters of the DSSC with two different dyes (AM 1.5 G solar irradiance, 100 mW/cm ² photon flux).	151
Table 5. 19. Photovoltaic parameters measured at AM 1.5 G solar irradiance (100 mW/cm ²).	156