

**IMPACT OF TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT
STRATEGIES – A CASE STUDY OF ODD-EVEN POLICY
IN DELHI, INDIA**

RANJANA SONI



**TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH AND INJURY PREVENTION CENTRE
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
JULY 2025**

© **Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2025**

**Impact of Transportation Demand Management Strategies—A Case Study
of Odd-Even Policy in Delhi, India**

by

Ranjana Soni

Transportation Research and Injury Prevention Centre (TRIPC)

Submitted

In fulfillment of the requirement of the Doctor of Philosophy
to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

JULY 2025

Dedicated to

Mrs. Vipin Arora (My mother in heaven)

whose love, values, and blessings continue to inspire me every day

With sincere appreciation for

Prof. Geetam Tiwari (My supervisor)

for her invaluable guidance, constant encouragement, and belief in me

I owe special thanks to

Mr. K.K. Arora (My father)

(for always being my guiding light and constant source of strength)

Mr. Krishan Soni (My husband)

and

Hrisheek and Akshaj (My lovely kids)

(Your unwavering support and encouragement made this journey possible)

Certificate

This is to certify the thesis entitled “**Impact of Transportation Demand Management Strategies on Pollution, Congestion, and Mobility –A Case Study of Odd-Even Policy in Delhi, India**” being submitted by **Ms. Ranjana Soni** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, India, for the award of the degree of **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**, is a record of original bonafide research work carried out by her. Ms. Ranjana Soni has worked under our guidance and supervision. To our knowledge, the thesis has reached the requisite standard. The material in this thesis has not been submitted, in part or in whole, to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Prof. Geetam Tiwari

Professor (Emeritus)

Transportation Research and Injury
Prevention Centre (TRIPC),
Indian Institute of Technology,
New Delhi, India

Dr. Manoj M

(Professor)

Department of Civil Engineering,
Indian Institute of Technology,
New Delhi, India

Acknowledgement

I consider myself truly fortunate to have had the opportunity to pursue PhD at TRIPC after a long sabbatical. I am deeply grateful to Almighty and the universe for guiding me towards such an enriching academic journey that blessed me with the most supportive supervisors and an institute of great repute.

I am grateful to my supervisor, **Prof. Geetam Tiwari**, for her guidance, dedication, constant encouragement, and immense patience throughout my PhD journey. Her support and belief in my abilities helped me navigate some of the challenging phases of this academic pursuit. I remain deeply indebted to her for her motivation and mentorship during my tenure at IIT Delhi. Working under her supervision has been an enriching and transformative learning experience.

I am grateful to my second supervisor, **Prof. Manoj M**, for his consistent guidance, valuable time, and insightful feedback, which enriched my doctoral journey. His observations and suggestions were instrumental in shaping the direction and clarity of my research.

This study is a part of the project under the “Woman Scientist Scheme (WOS-A)” funded by the Department of Science and Technology (DST) under the Ministry of Science and Technology, New Delhi. I would like to extend my gratitude to **DST** for providing the opportunity and support to undertake this research.

I would also like to express my sincere thanks to all the faculty members of TRIPC and the Department of Civil Engineering, whose valuable feedback, particularly during progress presentations, played a vital role in improving the quality of my research. I am grateful to my SRC members, **Prof. Puneet Mahajan**, **Prof. Nomesh Bolia**, and **Prof. Gazala Habib** for their thoughtful inputs and suggestions, which helped me move forward in my work.

This thesis would not have been possible without the support and encouragement of my colleagues and well-wishers. I am thankful to **Deotima** for her guidance, **Neba** for helping me with formatting. I also made many good friends during my tenure at IIT. Heartfelt thanks to

Aishwarya, Rashmeet, Srishti, Asha, Akanksha, Preeti, Ashley, Richa and Ruchi for their support and chit chats. I am indebted to **Mr. Mahesh Gaur** who always rendered a helping hand whenever I needed. I am thankful to **Ms. Hema** for being with me as a supportive friend through thick and thin. I express gratitude to **Ms. Suman** for readily offering help whenever I needed. I truly appreciate everyone's patience, encouragement, and cooperation throughout my journey at IIT. I am also thankful to all the helping staff of IIT who made sure that we worked on a clean desk and a clean room.

My special thanks to **Mr. Hari Chand** for taking care of the smallest things. Special thanks to all the students who contributed to the data extraction process, whose efforts were integral to the completion of this work. I would like to extend my thanks to **Mr. Ashok Srinivasan**, who is always a pleasure to talk to in our department.

This journey would not have been possible without the unwavering love and support of my family. I am deeply indebted to my **mother** and **father** for always believing in me and standing by me throughout my life. My mother would proudly tell everyone that her daughter was pursuing a PhD from IIT Delhi. I am sure she is watching from heaven, smiling at this accomplishment. I am thankful to my father for instilling in me the perseverance to keep going despite the odds. It is he who taught me the value of resilience, hard work, and integrity. I am also thankful to my father for reading my thesis and sharing his feedback which helped me improve my work.

I am grateful to my husband, **Mr. Krishan Soni**, for his constant support and patience throughout this journey. His cooperation in sharing the responsibilities at home and with the children made this journey much smoother and balanced. In fact, it was he who planted this thought of pursuing PhD in my mind many years back. I also extend my thanks to my **in-laws (Mr. Mehar Chand Soni and Mrs. Sunita Soni)** for their help at home. Their contributions behind the scenes played an important role in helping me navigate the demands of both

academic and family life. I am thankful to my dear brother, **Mr. Ashish Arora**, for his unwavering encouragement and faith in my abilities. He has always been my pillar of strength.

This journey would not have been possible without the support of my two little champs, **Hrisheek** and **Akshaj**, who grew up alongside my PhD. I cherish their sweet contributions in the little ways they could help their mother fulfil her dream. Watching them become independent and responsible during these years has been one of the most rewarding parts of this journey.

Finally, I am thankful to my friends and other close family members who, in their own meaningful ways, contributed to the successful completion of this journey.

Last but not least, I would like to acknowledge **myself** for having the courage to return to academics after a long break, for not giving up despite the challenges, and for truly embracing this enriching journey at IIT Delhi!

Ranjana Soni
(July 2025)

Abstract

The reliance on motorized vehicles and inadequate infrastructure planning has led to a significant increase in congestion and pollution levels. Conventionally, the transportation planners predicted transport growth trends based on demographic changes and provided road facilities to match this growth. However, the traditional “predict and provide” approach could not cater to the present situation's needs. The need arose for better transport system management, after which the “Transport Demand Management (TDM)” was introduced. Therefore, the alternative solution to supply was to manage the travel demand and induce a behavioural change in commuters by introducing some policies. The impact of TDM strategies affected a small portion of total travel, but their cumulative impacts were found to be significant. Some of the TDM policies implemented worldwide are Congestion charging, car-free day, and the odd-even policy. The odd-even policy was implemented in the National Capital Territory (NCT) of Delhi thrice, each time between 8 am and 8 pm: January 2016 (phase I), April 2016 (phase II), and 4th to 15th of November 2019 (phase III). The scheme was introduced under stage 4 of GRAP (Graded Response Action Plan), an incremental emergency measure that kicks in to prevent further deterioration of air quality. Under this policy, odd-numbered cars drive on odd dates, and even-numbered cars drive on even dates of the month. Some of the exemptions announced during the policy were motorized two-wheelers (M2W), vehicles of the President and Prime Minister, emergency vehicles, vehicles carrying school children, women traveling alone, and electric cars, equalling twenty-nine categories.

This thesis evaluated the effectiveness of the odd-even policy implemented in the NCT of Delhi, focusing on its impact on traffic volume, commuter behaviour, congestion, and pollution levels. The study examined variations in traffic volume, speeds, and car occupancy rates between the policy and non-policy periods. It analyzed mode and route shifts among commuters and explored the changes in the daily travel behaviour in the short and long-term. The second choice of public transport commuters was also interpreted. Most of the metro users

chose M2W as their second mode choice, and bus users chose the metro as their second mode choice. Comparisons between policy and non-policy days provided insights into the policy's role in altering travel patterns. The study also aimed to assess the policy's influence on reducing pollution and congestion. Additionally, it analyzed the implications of the policy on observed changes in pollution levels in Delhi and surrounding cities, where the policy was not applicable.

Data for this study was collected using both primary surveys and extracted from secondary sources for the policy and non-policy periods. Traffic volume data was obtained through observational counts and videography at ten locations in Delhi. Car occupancy data was collected in 15-minute intervals at the same locations during morning, afternoon, and evening peak hours. Speed data was extracted using the Google API for 39 origin-destination pairs, every 30 minutes. The travel behaviour data was collected, firstly through an online survey, secondly through a road car survey, and thirdly on-board metro and bus commuters. Pollution data were extracted from the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) archives for the pollutants. $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} , SO_2 and NO_2 . The data about the meteorological parameters' temperature, wind direction, wind speed, and humidity were also extracted from CPCB. This data was extracted for Delhi and nearby cities: Sonipat, Noida, Gurugram, Ghaziabad, and Rohtak. The data analysis was done using descriptive, statistical analysis, and the development of models.

The results showed that the policy led to a reduction in the total traffic volume. Car usage decreased across all locations, which aligned with the policy's aim to reduce reliance on private vehicles. An increase in M2W was observed across all locations, indicating a modal shift. The impact on the other modes was mixed, indicating an increase and a decrease across locations. The policy had a limited effect on improving vehicular speeds between policy and non-policy areas. Speeds were higher on longer road segments, intercity roads and slower on short length roads. The car occupancy rates did not improve during the policy period. Location-

wise, the car occupancy rates showed variations. The online survey showed that 41 % of the commuters shifted their modes. The car commuters survey observed that most of the commuters shifted to the metro during the restricted days of their car. The commuters stated a potential shift towards public transport if the policy were to continue in the long run. The policy did not have much impact on route shifts, with most car commuters maintaining their usual travel routes. The travel distance remained the same for men, but their travel time and cost increased. The policy contributed to a temporary reduction in emissions due to decreased car usage. $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} reduced in the during and the after period, and SO_2 and NO_2 did not show much change. The impact of the policy on pollution, considering neighbouring cities, showed that pollution between Delhi and nearby cities changed during different policy periods, and meteorological factors could have had an impact. The congestion reduced at some locations during the policy period. In summary, the thesis indicated a mixed impact of the odd-even policy. The insights from the thesis could inform policymakers in refining existing policies for future implementation.

सारांश

मोटर चालित वाहनों पर बढ़ती निर्भरता और अपर्याप्त बुनियादी ढांचे की योजना ने जाम और प्रदूषण के स्तर में उल्लेखनीय वृद्धि की है। पारंपरिक रूप से, परिवहन योजनाकार "पूर्वानुमान और उपलब्धता" दृष्टिकोण अपनाते थे—जिसमें जनसंख्या संबंधी रुझानों के आधार पर परिवहन की मांग का अनुमान लगाकर सड़क अवसंरचना का विस्तार किया जाता था। हालांकि, यह तरीका वर्तमान शहरी परिवहन समस्याओं से निपटने में अपर्याप्त साबित हुआ है। इसके परिणामस्वरूप ध्यान परिवहन मांग प्रबंधन की ओर गया, जिसका उद्देश्य केवल आपूर्ति बढ़ाने के बजाय यात्रा की मांग को नियंत्रित करना है। रणनीतियाँ यात्रियों के व्यवहार में परिवर्तन लाने के लिए विभिन्न नीतियों के माध्यम से उन्हें अधिक कुशल और सतत यात्रा विकल्प अपनाने के लिए प्रेरित करती हैं। यात्रा की कुल मात्रा में परिवहन मांग प्रबंधन रणनीतियों का प्रभाव सीमित हिस्से पर ही पड़ा, लेकिन इन रणनीतियों का संचयी प्रभाव महत्वपूर्ण पाया गया। दुनिया भर में लागू की गई कुछ नीतियों में कंजेशन चार्जिंग, कार-फ्री डे और ऑड-ईवन नीति शामिल हैं। ऑड-ईवन नीति राष्ट्रीय राजधानी क्षेत्र दिल्ली में तीन बार लागू की गई—हर बार सुबह 8 बजे से रात 8 बजे तक: जनवरी 2016 (चरण I), अप्रैल 2016 (चरण II), और 4 से 15 नवंबर 2019 (चरण III)। यह योजना ग्रेडेड रिस्पांस एक्शन प्लान के चरण 4 के तहत शुरू की गई थी, जो वायु गुणवत्ता के और अधिक बिगड़ने से रोकने के लिए अपनाया जाने वाला एक क्रमिक आपातकालीन उपाय है। इस नीति के तहत, विषम नंबर वाली कारें महीने की विषम तिथियों पर चलती हैं, और सम नंबर वाली कारें सम तिथियों पर चलती हैं। इस नीति के दौरान कुछ श्रेणियों को छूट दी गई थी, जैसे मोटर चालित दोपहिया वाहन, राष्ट्रपति और प्रधानमंत्री के वाहन, आपातकालीन सेवाओं के वाहन, स्कूल बच्चों को ले जाने वाले वाहन, अकेली यात्रा कर रही महिलाएं, और इलेक्ट्रिक वाहन। कुल मिलाकर, ऐसी छूट वाली श्रेणियों की संख्या उनतीस (29) थी।

इस शोध में राष्ट्रीय राजधानी क्षेत्र दिल्ली में लागू की गई ऑड-ईवन नीति की प्रभावशीलता का मूल्यांकन किया गया, जिसमें इसका प्रभाव यातायात की मात्रा, यात्रियों के व्यवहार, जाम की स्थिति और

प्रदूषण स्तर पर केंद्रित रहा। अध्ययन में नीति और गैर-नीति अवधि के बीच यातायात की मात्रा, गति और कार में सवारियों की संख्या में होने वाले बदलावों का विश्लेषण किया गया। इसमें यात्रियों के यात्रा साधनों और मार्गों में हुए परिवर्तनों के साथ-साथ उनकी दैनिक यात्रा आदतों में अल्पकालिक और दीर्घकालिक बदलावों की भी जांच की गई। सार्वजनिक परिवहन उपयोगकर्ताओं की द्वितीयक यात्रा विकल्पों की भी व्याख्या की गई। अधिकांश मेट्रो यात्रियों ने (मोटर चालित दोपहिया वाहन) को अपना दूसरा विकल्प चुना, जबकि बस यात्रियों ने मेट्रो को अपना दूसरा विकल्प चुना। नीति और गैर-नीति दिनों की तुलना ने यात्रा व्यवहार में नीति के प्रभाव को समझने में महत्वपूर्ण अंतर्दृष्टि प्रदान की। इस अध्ययन का एक उद्देश्य प्रदूषण और जाम की स्थिति में कमी लाने में नीति के प्रभाव का मूल्यांकन करना भी था। इसके अतिरिक्त, यह अध्ययन दिल्ली और आस-पास के शहरों में प्रदूषण स्तर में देखे गए बदलावों पर इस नीति के प्रभाव की भी जांच करता है, जहाँ यह नीति लागू नहीं की गई थी। इस अध्ययन के लिए डेटा संग्रहण प्राथमिक सर्वेक्षणों और द्वितीयक स्रोतों से नीति और गैर-नीति अवधियों के लिए किया गया। यातायात की मात्रा का डेटा दिल्ली के दस स्थानों पर प्रत्यक्ष अवलोकन और वीडियोग्राफी के माध्यम से प्राप्त किया गया। कार में सवारियों की संख्या का डेटा इन्हीं स्थानों पर सुबह, दोपहर और शाम के पीक घंटों के दौरान 15-15 मिनट के अंतराल पर एकत्र किया गया। गति से संबंधित डेटा 39 ओरिजिन-डेस्टिनेशन जोड़ों के लिए हर 30 मिनट पर Google API के माध्यम से निकाला गया। यात्रा व्यवहार संबंधी डेटा तीन तरीकों से एकत्र किया गया: पहला, ऑनलाइन सर्वेक्षण के माध्यम से; दूसरा, सड़क पर कार सर्वेक्षण; और तीसरा, मेट्रो और बस यात्रियों से ऑन-बोर्ड सर्वेक्षण के माध्यम से। प्रदूषण से संबंधित डेटा केंद्रीय प्रदूषण नियंत्रण बोर्ड के अभिलेखों से निकाला गया, जिसमें $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , SO_2 और NO_2 जैसे प्रदूषक शामिल थे। इसके साथ ही, तापमान, हवा की दिशा, हवा की गति और आर्द्रता जैसे मौसम से संबंधित मापदंड भी CPCB से लिए गए। यह डेटा दिल्ली सहित आस-पास के शहरों — सोनीपत, नोएडा, गुरुग्राम, गाज़ियाबाद और रोहतक — से एकत्र किया गया। डेटा विश्लेषण वर्णनात्मक, सांख्यिकीय तकनीकों और मॉडल विकास की सहायता से किया गया।

परिणामों से पता चला कि नीति के लागू होने के बाद कुल यातायात मात्रा में कमी आई। सभी स्थानों पर निजी कारों का उपयोग घटा, जो नीति के उद्देश्य — निजी वाहनों पर निर्भरता कम करने — के अनुरूप था। सभी स्थानों पर मोटर चालित दोपहिया वाहनों में वृद्धि देखी गई, जो एक मोडल शिफ्ट (यात्रा साधन में बदलाव) का संकेत है। अन्य यात्रा साधनों पर प्रभाव मिला-जुला रहा, कुछ स्थानों पर वृद्धि तो कुछ पर कमी देखी गई। वाहन गति में नीति और गैर-नीति अवधि के बीच सुधार सीमित था। लंबी सड़क खंडों और अंतर-शहरी सड़कों पर गति अधिक रही, जबकि छोटी सड़कों पर गति धीमी रही। कार में सवारी दर नीति अवधि में नहीं बढ़ी, और यह स्थान-विशेष पर भिन्न रही। ऑनलाइन सर्वेक्षण से पता चला कि 41% यात्रियों ने अपना यात्रा साधन बदला। कार यात्रियों के सर्वेक्षण में पाया गया कि अधिकांश यात्रियों ने प्रतिबंधित दिनों में मेट्रो को अपनाया। कई यात्रियों ने कहा कि यदि नीति लंबे समय तक जारी रहती, तो वे सार्वजनिक परिवहन की ओर स्थायी रूप से स्थानांतरित हो सकते थे।

मार्ग परिवर्तन पर नीति का प्रभाव सीमित रहा, क्योंकि अधिकांश कार उपयोगकर्ताओं ने अपने सामान्य मार्गों को बरकरार रखा। पुरुष यात्रियों की यात्रा दूरी तो समान रही, परंतु यात्रा समय और लागत में वृद्धि हुई। नीति के चलते कार उपयोग में आई कमी के कारण उत्सर्जन में अस्थायी गिरावट दर्ज की गई। $PM_{2.5}$ और PM_{10} के स्तर नीति के दौरान और बाद की अवधि में घटे, जबकि SO_2 और NO_2 में कोई विशेष बदलाव नहीं देखा गया। दिल्ली और उसके आस-पास के शहरों में नीति के दौरान प्रदूषण स्तर में बदलाव आया, जिसमें मौसमी कारकों की भूमिका हो सकती है। कुछ स्थानों पर नीति अवधि के दौरान भीड़भाड़ में कमी देखी गई। कुल मिलाकर, इस शोध में नीति का मिला-जुला प्रभाव सामने आया। हालांकि, इस शोध से प्राप्त अंतर्दृष्टियाँ भविष्य में नीति निर्माण और सुधार में नीति-निर्माताओं के लिए उपयोगी सिद्ध हो सकती हैं।

Contents

Certificate.....	i
Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	v
सारांश.....	viii
List of Figures	xvi
List of Tables	xix
List of Abbreviations	xxiii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Problem Statement	2
1.3. Traffic Problem Solutions (Conventional & Alternate).....	4
1.4. Transportation Demand Management: Alternative solution.....	6
1.5. Broader Objectives.....	8
1.6. Objective and Research Questions.....	8
1.6.1. Objective 1: Impact on Traffic Operations	8
1.6.2. Objective 2: Impact on Travel Behaviour.....	8
1.6.3. Objective 3: Impact Assessment.....	9
1.7. Scope of the work	9
1.8. Thesis organization	9
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	11
2.1. Background	11
2.2. Review Approach.....	12
2.3. Transportation Demand Management.....	13
2.4. Open Street Events.....	22
2.5. Car-Free Day.....	24
2.6. Odd-Even policy	26
2.6.1. Impact on traffic speeds and volume	27
2.6.2. Impact on Car Occupancy.....	29
2.6.3. Impact on Mode and Route Shift	30
2.6.4. Impact on Mobility	31
2.6.5. Impact on Congestion and Pollution.....	33

2.7.	Conclusions from the literature review	36
2.8.	Gaps	38
2.9.	Odd-Even policy in the NCT of Delhi	39
2.10.	Summary	43
3.	DATA AND METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK	45
3.1.	Introduction.....	45
3.2.	Methodological Framework.....	45
3.3.	Study area and characteristics	46
3.4.	Research Data	50
3.5.	Data Collection Survey Design.....	51
3.5.1.	Speed survey data	52
3.5.2.	Classified Traffic Volume Survey	55
3.5.3.	Car Occupancy Survey Data	61
3.5.4.	Opinion survey data	62
3.5.5.	Pollution survey data.....	66
3.6.	Summary	70
4.	IMPACT OF THE POLICY ON TRAFFIC OPERATIONS.....	71
4.1.	Impact of the policy on Traffic Volume	71
4.1.1.	Comparison of Total Traffic Volume between policy and non-policy days ...	72
4.1.2.	Diurnal variations in Traffic Volume	74
4.1.3.	Location-specific Traffic Volume.....	77
4.1.4.	Mode-specific Traffic Volume	84
4.1.5.	Location and Mode-specific combined impact.....	89
4.1.6.	Model for location-specific impact on Traffic Volume	92
4.1.7.	Comparison of Traffic Volume with past policy phases	96
4.2.	Impact of the policy on Speeds	99
4.2.1.	Comparison of average speeds between policy and non-policy	99
4.2.2.	Diurnal variations in Traffic speed	101
4.2.3.	Comparison of speed between consecutive Tuesdays	104
4.2.4.	Spatial variation of speeds	106
4.2.5.	Variation in Speed for the length of trips.....	108
4.2.6.	Variation of Speeds for different road categories	111
4.2.7.	Comparison of Speed with past policy phases.....	115

4.2.8.	Comparison of Speeds between consecutive November months	118
4.2.9.	Relationship between Speeds and Volume	120
4.3.	Impact of the policy on Car Occupancy	123
4.3.1.	Comparison of the Car Occupancy rates between the policy and non-policy	123
4.3.2.	Direction-wise comparison of the car occupancy	129
4.3.3.	Diurnal variations in Car Occupancy rates	133
4.3.4.	Comparisons of Car occupancy rates with the past policy phases.....	137
4.3.5.	Relationship between Car Occupancy and Traffic Volume	139
4.4.	Summary	143
5.	IMPACT OF POLICY ON TRAVEL BEHAVIOUR OF COMMUTERS	148
5.1.	Background	148
5.2.	Impact of the policy on the Travel Behaviour of web-based respondents.....	149
5.2.1.	Socio-demographic characteristics	153
5.2.2.	Travel pattern characteristics of commuters	153
5.2.3.	Mode shift/Route shift of commuters	153
5.2.4.	Perceptions about the policy	160
5.2.5.	Model	161
5.2.6.	Mode shift model	162
5.2.7.	Short-term Mode Shift Model.....	164
5.2.8.	Potential Long-term Mode Shift Model.....	170
5.3.	Impact of the policy on the behaviour of Car commuters	175
5.3.1.	Travel pattern characteristics of car commuters	179
5.3.2.	Perceptions about the policy	179
5.3.3.	Mode shift/Route shift of commuters	179
5.3.4.	Short-term Mode Shift Model.....	183
5.3.5.	Long-term Mode Shift Model.....	190
5.4.	Impact of policy on the second choice of Public Transport users	193
5.4.1.	Socio-demographic characteristics	194
5.4.2.	Travel pattern characteristics of the public transport users	194
5.4.3.	Second mode choice of public transport users.....	195
5.4.4.	Comparison of travel aspects of public transport users	197
5.4.5.	Perceptions about the policy	198
5.4.6.	Bus users	198

5.4.7.	Metro users.....	201
5.4.8.	Model.....	205
5.5.	Impact of the policy on Mobility	210
5.5.1.	Mobility Indicators from Car Commuter Surveys	210
5.5.2.	Modelling of Mobility for Car Commuters survey.....	212
5.5.3.	Mobility of commuters from web-based survey variables	214
5.5.4.	Gender-Differentiated Mobility Impact	215
5.5.5.	Vehicle Ownership's Mobility Impact.....	218
5.5.6.	Impact on Trips by Purpose	221
5.5.7.	Income Impact	223
5.5.8.	Relationship between Gender and Mobility Indicators	225
5.6.	Summary.....	228
6.	IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF THE POLICY	231
6.1.	Background.....	231
6.2.	Impact of the policy on Congestion.....	231
6.2.1.	Congestion Indices.....	232
6.2.2.	Diurnal variations in the congestion indices.....	236
6.2.3.	Location-specific congestion indices.....	239
6.3.	Impact of the policy on pollution.....	243
6.3.1.	Summary Statistics of pollutants	244
6.3.2.	Location-specific variation in pollutants	250
6.3.3.	Impact of Neighbouring Cities.....	256
6.3.4.	Impact of Speed and Volume on Pollution.....	270
6.4.	Summary.....	277
7.	CONCLUSIONS AND CONTRIBUTIONS	281
7.1.	Summary of Chapters	281
7.2.	Conclusions.....	282
7.2.1.	Impact on Traffic Operations.....	282
7.2.2.	Impact on Travel Behaviour	286
7.2.3.	Impact Assessment.....	288
7.3.	Contributions of the thesis	290
7.4.	Limitations and Future Scope	291
7.5.	Final Words.....	292

References	294
APPENDIX	313
Survey form for Car users	313
Survey form for metro/bus users	315
Survey form for Public Transport users (Hindi)	317
CONFERENCES	319
MANUSCRIPTS	320
BIODATA	321

List of Figures

Figure 1-1 Induced demand	5
Figure 2-1: Literature Review Framework	11
Figure 2-2: Overview of the databases for selecting relevant articles	12
Figure 3-1: Methodological Framework.....	45
Figure 3-2: Study Area and Locations	47
Figure 3-3: Percentage of workers in different trip length categories	49
Figure 3-4: Mode Share for motorized trips – 2018	50
Figure 3-5: Map showing OD pairs	53
Figure 3-6: Survey Locations.....	56
Figure 3-7: Survey in progress.....	59
Figure 3-8: Pollution survey locations	69
Figure 4-1: Box and whisker plot comparing traffic volume between the policy and non-policy period	73
Figure 4-2: Box and whisker plot showing traffic volume diurnal variations	75
Figure 4-3: Traffic volume with % change at all locations	77
Figure 4-4: Location-wise traffic volume between policy and non-policy period	84
Figure 4-5: Spatial variations in traffic volume	84
Figure 4-6: Mode-specific box and whisker plot	89
Figure 4-7: Comparison of traffic volume between phases I, II, and III	98
Figure 4-8: Comparison of speeds between policy and non-policy period	100
Figure 4-9: Diurnal variations of speeds between policy and non-policy period	102
Figure 4-10: Variation of speeds for different times of the day at 95 % CI (phase I and III)	103
Figure 4-11: Comparison of speeds consecutively for three Tuesdays	105
Figure 4-12: Spatial representation of speeds	106
Figure 4-13: Box and whisker plot depicting variation in speeds for different road lengths	109
Figure 4-14: Box and whisker plot for speeds in different road categories.....	114
Figure 4-15: Chart depicting % change in speeds between phase I and III	117
Figure 4-16: Box and whisker plot depicting comparison of speeds for consecutive Novembers	120
Figure 4-17: Relationship between car traffic volume and car average speed	120
Figure 4-18: Relationship between diurnal average speed and volume	121
Figure 4-19: Box and whisker plot showing car occupancy rates for the policy and non-policy	124

Figure 4-20: Box and whisker plot showing car occupancy rate between policy and non-policy period	127
Figure 4-21: Box and whisker plot showing car occupancy rates for directions 1 and 2	130
Figure 4-22: Box and whisker plot showing direction-wise car occupancy rates for all locations	131
Figure 4-23: Chart showing % change in directional car occupancy rates for ten locations.	132
Figure 4-24: Box and whisker plot showing diurnal variations in car occupancy rate.....	134
Figure 4-25: Box and whisker plot showing car occupancy rates across locations diurnally.....	135
Figure 4-26: Column charts comparing car occupancy rate between phases III, II, and I	138
Figure 4-27: Location-wise % change in car occupancy rate between phases I, II, and III ..	138
Figure 4-28: Line graph showing the relationship between car occupancy and volume.....	140
Figure 5-1: Percentage distribution of potential long-term options from web-based questionnaire	158
Figure 5-2: Comparisons between different aspects of travel from the web-based questionnaire	159
Figure 5-3: Comparison of travel aspects from web-based questionnaire.....	160
Figure 5-4: Comparison of perceptions about the policy from web-based questionnaire	161
Figure 5-5: Stated short-term mode shift option of car commuters.....	180
Figure 5-6: Potential long-term mode shift of car commuters.....	181
Figure 5-7: Second mode choice of public transport users from public transport survey	197
Figure 5-8: Comparison of travel aspects from the public transport users survey	197
Figure 5-9: Second mode choice of bus users from public transport commuter survey.....	200
Figure 5-10: Second choice of metro commuters from public transport users survey	203
Figure 5-11: Travel time, cost, and distance from car commuter survey	211
Figure 5-12: Mobility of commuters based on gender from the web-based questionnaire survey	216
Figure 5-13: Mobility of commuters based on vehicle ownership from the web-based questionnaire	219
Figure 5-14: Mobility of commuters based on trip purpose from the web-based questionnaire	221
Figure 5-15: Mobility of commuters based on income from the web-based questionnaire survey	224
Figure 6-1: Diurnal variations in SRI and SPI for the policy and non-policy period.....	237
Figure 6-2: Variation in $PM_{2.5}$ across all three periods.....	247

Figure 6-3: Variation in <i>PM</i> ₁₀ across all three periods	248
Figure 6-4: Variation in <i>NO</i> ₂ across all three periods	248
Figure 6-5: Variation in <i>SO</i> ₂ across all three periods.....	249
Figure 6-6: Spatial representation of <i>PM</i> _{2.5} for all three periods	254
Figure 6-7: Spatial representation of <i>PM</i> ₁₀ for all three periods	255
Figure 6-8: Spatial representation of <i>NO</i> ₂ for all three periods	255
Figure 6-9: Spatial representation of <i>SO</i> ₂ for all three periods	256
Figure 6-10: Spatial representation of the buffer zones around Delhi	257
Figure 6-11: Hourly variation in <i>PM</i> _{2.5} for Delhi and nearby cities for all the periods	263
Figure 6-12: Hourly variations in <i>PM</i> _{2.5} for Delhi and nearby cities.....	264
Figure 6-13: Hourly variations in <i>PM</i> _{2.5} for Delhi and cities within the buffer of 20 and 40 km	265
Figure 6-14: Hourly variation in <i>PM</i> ₁₀ for Delhi and nearby cities for all the periods	265
Figure 6-15: Hourly variation in <i>PM</i> ₁₀ for Delhi and nearby cities	266
Figure 6-16: Hourly variation in <i>PM</i> ₁₀ for Delhi and cities within the buffer of 20 and 40 km	266
Figure 6-17: Hourly variation in <i>SO</i> ₂ for Delhi and nearby cities for all the periods.....	267
Figure 6-18: Hourly variation in <i>SO</i> ₂ for Delhi and nearby cities	268
Figure 6-19: Hourly variation in <i>SO</i> ₂ for Delhi and cities within the buffer of 20 and 40 km	268
Figure 6-20 : Hourly variation in <i>NO</i> ₂ for Delhi and nearby cities for all the periods	269
Figure 6-21: Hourly variation in <i>NO</i> ₂ for Delhi and nearby cities	269
Figure 6-22: Hourly variation in <i>NO</i> ₂ for Delhi and cities within the buffer of 20 and 40 km	270
Figure 6-23: Correlation between % change in pollutants and speed.....	273
Figure 6-24: Correlation between % change in pollutants and volum.....	275
Figure 6-25: Column graph showing % change in pollutants, speed, and volume at all locations	276

List of Tables

Table 1-1: TDM strategies practiced worldwide	7
Table 2-1: Comparison of push and pull policies	15
Table 2-2: Unique features of Car-Free Days in Paris, Madrid, Gurugram, and Sandton.....	25
Table 2-3: Odd-Even policies practiced worldwide	27
Table 2-4: Summary of previous studies on odd-even in Delhi	38
Table 2-5: Mapping of research gaps and objectives.....	39
Table 2-6: Salient features of odd-even policy phases (I, II, and III).....	40
Table 2-7: Comparison of odd-even phase I and II (Delhi).....	42
Table 2-8: Critical issues faced and prospective implications in NCT.....	43
Table 3-1: Mode-Wise Trip Length.....	49
Table 3-2: Overview of Methods and Analysis	50
Table 3-3: Description of survey locations	57
Table 3-4: Survey days	60
Table 3-5: Modes extracted from videographic recordings.....	61
Table 3-6: Variables extracted from the commuter questionnaire.....	64
Table 3-7: Key variables extracted from the opinion survey.....	65
Table 3-8: Sampling rate for two locations during the survey.....	65
Table 3-9: Sample size calculations.....	66
Table 3-10: Description of pollutants	67
Table 3-11: Survey stations around Delhi	70
Table 4-1: Summary statistics of the traffic volume between the policy and non-policy period	72
Table 4-2: Summary statistics of diurnal traffic volume variations	74
Table 4-3: t-test results of diurnal traffic volume	76
Table 4-4: Summary statistics of location-wise traffic volume	78
Table 4-5: Result of t-test of traffic volume between policy and non-policy	78
Table 4-6: Mode-wise summary statistics and % change.....	85
Table 4-7: Result of t-test of mode wise traffic volume between policy and non-policy.....	85
Table 4-8: Inferences from the location and mode-wise traffic volume interaction.....	89
Table 4-9: Interaction of location and mode-wise traffic volume	90
Table 4-10: Specifications of survey locations	92
Table 4-11: Reference for model pertaining to % changes in car and M2W	93
Table 4-12: Location-specific impact on % change in car and M2W	93

Table 4-13: Location-specific interaction between variables	94
Table 4-14: Common locations and modes between phases I, II, and III.....	97
Table 4-15: Percentage change in traffic volume across phases I, II, and III.....	97
Table 4-16: Summary statistics and paired-sample t-test results of speeds between the policy and non-policy	100
Table 4-17: Comparison of speeds on three consecutive Tuesdays	105
Table 4-18: Summary of spatial variation in speeds.....	107
Table 4-19 : Lengthwise variation in speeds between policy and non-policy period.....	109
Table 4-20: Result for t-test of speeds for different road lengths between policy and non-policy	110
Table 4-21: Summary statistics of speeds for different road categories	111
Table 4-22: Variation of speeds for different road categories	112
Table 4-23: ANOVA table for comparison of speeds in different road categories	115
Table 4-24: Comparison of speeds between phase I and III for the policy and non- policy period	116
Table 4-25: Summary of average speeds in consecutive Novembers.....	119
Table 4-26: Regression results between % change in traffic volume and % change in speeds	122
Table 4-27: Summary Statistics of car occupancy rates during policy and non-policy.....	124
Table 4-28: Location-wise car occupancy rate for the policy and non-policy period	125
Table 4-29: Relationship between car traffic volume and car occupancy rate	128
Table 4-30: Location-wise percentage change in directional car occupancy rate	131
Table 4-31: Location-wise percentage change in diurnal car occupancy rate between policy and non-policy	135
Table 4-32: ANOVA table results for % diurnal variations of car occupancy rate between policy and non-policy	137
Table 4-33: Car occupancy rates of phase I, II, and III for three common locations	137
Table 4-34: ANOVA table to compare % change in Car occupancy rates between three policy phases.....	139
Table 4-35: Regression results between car occupancy rate and traffic volume for the non-policy period	140
Table 4-36: Regression results between car occupancy and traffic volume for the policy period	141
Table 5-1: Descriptive statistics of web-based questionnaire.....	150

Table 5-2: Mode shift of web-based respondents	154
Table 5-3: Segregation of data mode-wise from web-based questionnaire	155
Table 5-4: Segregation of only car-to-other modes data from web-based questionnaire	156
Table 5-5: Shifting of metro commuters to other modes from a web-based questionnaire...	157
Table 5-6: Parameter estimates table for mode shifted model from a web-based questionnaire	163
Table 5-7: Model fit information for the short-term model from the web-based questionnaire	165
Table 5-8: Parameter Estimates for the short-term mode shift model from the web-based questionnaire	166
Table 5-9: Model fit information for potential long-term model from web-based questionnaire	171
Table 5-10: Parameter estimates of Potential Long-term mode shift model from web based questionnaire	173
Table 5-11: Descriptive statistics of the car commuter survey	177
Table 5-12: Model fit information for short-term mode shift from the car commuter survey	183
Table 5-13: Parameter estimates of short-term mode shift from the car commuter survey ..	186
Table 5-14: Model fit information for potential long-term mode shift from the car commuter survey	190
Table 5-15: Parameter estimates table for potential long-term mode-shift from car commuter survey	190
Table 5-16: Summary statistics of public transport users	193
Table 5-17: Descriptive Statistics of bus transport commuters from public transport survey	200
Table 5-18: Descriptive statistics of metro user commuters from the public transport survey	204
Table 5-19: MNL model for the second choice of public transport users	206
Table 5-20: Descriptive statistics of travel indicators from the car commuter survey	210
Table 5-21: Travel time and cost for unit distance for modes	211
Table 5-22: Parameter estimates of the MNL model for the mobility of car commuters.....	212
Table 5-23: Mobility descriptives from the web-based questionnaire survey	214
Table 5-24: Results of gender-based hypothesis tests from the web-based questionnaire survey	218

Table 5-25: Results of vehicle ownership-based hypothesis tests from the web-based questionnaire	219
Table 5-26: Results of trip purpose-based hypothesis test from the web-based questionnaire	222
Table 5-27: Results of income-based hypothesis tests from the web-based questionnaire survey	225
Table 5-28: Relationship between gender and mobility indicators	226
Table 5-29: Regression results between gender and time.....	227
Table 5-30: Regression results between gender and cost	228
Table 6-1: Measurement of congestion index SRI for policy and non-policy period	232
Table 6-2: Measurement of congestion index SPI for policy and non-policy period.....	234
Table 6-3: Correlation between speed, road length ,SRI and SPI for policy and non-policy period	235
Table 6-4: Maximum and minimum values of SRI and SPI indices for policy and non-policy period	238
Table 6-5: Location-wise measurement of SRI and SPI indices for the policy and non-policy period	239
Table 6-6: Summary Statistics of the pollutants with permissible limits in Delhi	244
Table 6-7: Correlation matrix between pollutants and meteorological parameters in Delhi.	249
Table 6-8: Average pollutants for the before, during, and after policy period	251
Table 6-9: Percentage change in the pollutants between the three before, during and after policy periods.....	253
Table 6-10: Neighbouring cities near the NCT of Delhi for pollution analysis	257
Table 6-11: Summary statistics of pollutants and meteorological parameters for Delhi and nearby cities	258
Table 6-12: Correlation of city pairs with pollutants and meteorological parameters	261
Table 6-13: Correlation between Delhi and nearby city interaction.....	262
Table 6-14: Relationship of pollutants with speed	272
Table 6-15: Relationship between % change in pollutants and traffic volume	274
Table 6-16: Summary of percentage changes in pollutants, speed, and volume	276

List of Abbreviations

AP	After Policy
ACO	Average car occupancy
AIC	Akaike information criterion
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
API	Application Programming Interface.
AQI	Air Quality Index
Arc GIS	Arc Geographical Information System
ASCE	American Society of Civil Engineers
AT	Ambient Temperature
ATL	Average Trip Length
AV	Anand Vihar
BP	Before Policy
Con	Congested
CBD	Central Business District
CNG	Compressed Natural Gas
CO	Carbon monoxide
CPCB	Central Pollution Control Board
CRRI	Central Road Research Institute
CSV	Comma-separated values
CV	Commercial System
DP	During policy
Dec	Decrease in Volume
dof	Degree of freedom
DIMTS	Delhi Integrated Multi-Modal Transit System Limited
DTC	Delhi Transport Corporation
ERP	Electronic road pricing
FFPT	Free Fare Public Transport
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
GRAP	Graded Response Action Plan
H ₀	Null hypothesis

H _a	Alternative hypothesis
HCV	Heavy commercial vehicle HCV
HOV priority	Highway Occupancy Vehicles
Inc	Increase in Volume
IBTDM	Incentive-Based Traffic Demand Management
INR	Indian Rupees
IQR	Inter Quartile Range
JS	Jia Sarai
LCV	Light commercial vehicles LCV
LL_NP	Long length during non-policy
LL_P	Long Length during policy
LPRC	License Plate Restriction Charging
M2W	Motorized Two-Wheeler
M3W	Motorized Three-Wheeler
ML_NP	Medium length during non-policy
ML_P	Medium length during policy
MLAs	Member of Legislative Assembly
MNL	Multinomial Logistic Regression
NAMP	National Air Quality Monitoring Programme
Nagelkerke R ²	Pseudo R-squared
NC	Non-congested
NCR	National Capital Region
NCT	National Capital Territory
NH	National Highway
NMT	Non-motorized transport
NO	Nitric oxide
NO _x	Nitrogen oxides NO _x
NP	Non-policy
O ₃	Ozone
OD	Origin-Destination
ODPW	One day per week
OED	Odd even day
P	Policy

$PM_{2.5}$	Particulate Matter with PM below 2.5µm
PM_{10}	Particulate Matter with PM below 10µm
PT	Public Transport
PCTR	Per Capita Trip Rate
RH	Relative Humidity
SD	Standard Deviation
SL_NP	Short length during non-policy
SL_P	Short length during policy
SOV	Single-occupant vehicles
SPI	Speed Reduction Index SRI
SRI	Speed Reduction Index SRI
STMS	Short-term Mode Shift
TDM	Transport demand system
TDMS	Transportation Demand Management Strategies
TSI	Transport sustainability indicators
TSM	Transportation system management
VIPs	Very Important Persons
VKT	Vehicle kilometers travelled
VS	Very smooth
VTPI	Victoria Transport Policy Institute
VVIPs	Very Important Person
WFH	Work from Home
WHO	World Health Organization
WD	Wind Direction
WS	Wind Speed
CO_2	Carbon Dioxide
NO_2	Nitrogen dioxide
SO_2	Sulfur dioxide
SBM	Sai Baba Mandir