

MULTISCALE MODELLING OF GRAPHENE SHEETS AND CARBON NANOTUBES

SANDEEP SINGH



**DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MECHANICS
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
FEBRUARY 2017**

©Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2017

MULTISCALE MODELLING OF GRAPHENE SHEETS AND CARBON NANOTUBES

by

SANDEEP SINGH
DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MECHANICS

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of *Doctor of Philosophy*

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
FEBRUARY 2017

Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Multiscale Modelling of Graphene Sheets and Carbon Nanotubes**” being submitted by **Mr. Sandeep Singh** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** in Applied Mechanics is a record of original, bonafide research work carried out by him under my supervision and guidance. The thesis work, in my opinion, has reached the requisite standard fulfilling the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

The results contained in this thesis have not been submitted in part or in full, to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Date: February ____, 2017

(B. P. Patel)

Place: New Delhi

Professor, Department of Applied Mechanics

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

New Delhi, 110016, India

Acknowledgements

The real spirit of achieving a goal is through the way of excellence and strict discipline. We would have never succeeded in completing our task without the co-operation, encouragement and help provided to us by various personalities.

I would like to express my deep sense of indebtedness and gratitude to my respected supervisor Prof. B. P. Patel, Department of Applied Mechanics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, for his inspiring guidance, encouragement and invaluable help throughout the course of this work. Words are inadequate to express the great care and interest taken by him in all aspects of the present work, without which the work would not have reached its present form.

My appreciation and thanks are also expressed to my research committee members for their reviews, discussions and comments to improve the quality of the thesis. I would also like to express sincere thanks and gratitude to all the faculty members of Department of Applied Mechanics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, for their kind help and support.

Finally, I want to express my gratitude to parents and my family members for shaping my career, for their endless love, continuous encouragement, moral support and blessing to complete the work smoothly. Sincere thanks are due to my friends and research scholars of the department for their wonderful company and for making my stay a very enjoyable and enriching experience at Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi.

(Sandeep Singh)

Abstract

The multiscale modelling of graphene sheets and carbon nanotubes is computationally efficient and has almost the same accuracy as that of the atomistic simulation. It is concluded from the literature review that the nonlinear constitutive behaviour at finite strain and curvatures, nonlinear static/dynamic behaviour of graphene sheets including material and geometric nonlinearities have not been investigated. Further, there is a need to develop computationally efficient locking free finite element in cylindrical coordinate system for multiscale modelling of carbon nanotubes.

The present work deals with the multiscale modelling of the graphene sheets and carbon nanotubes in the finite element framework incorporating material and geometric nonlinearities through interatomic potential and strain–displacement relations, respectively. The deformations at atomic and continuum levels are coupled through Cauchy–Born rule including the effect of curvature tensor on the bond length. The nonlinear constitutive law is derived from the strain energy density function obtained through Tersoff–Brenner potential. The four noded Kirchhoff rectangular element is employed to discretise the graphene sheet at continuum level. The four/eight noded Kirchhoff rectangular and improved discrete Kirchhoff quadrilateral membrane inconsistent/consistent elements are developed and employed to discretise the carbon nanotubes.

The nonlinear static and dynamic response of graphene sheets under transverse and in– plane loading and buckling/postbuckling response of carbon nanotubes under axial compressive loading are investigated with/without material and geometric nonlinearities. The transverse bending response of graphene sheets depicts softening behaviour with the inclusion of material nonlinearity. The frequency response curves of graphene sheets,

obtained using shooting technique in conjunction with Newmark's time integration scheme, depict greater effect of geometric nonlinearity as compared to material nonlinearity for the loading parameters considered.

The investigation on free vibration and buckling characteristics of carbon nanotubes revealed that the consistent interpolation of transverse displacement in circumferential strain or quadratic interpolation of in-plane displacements significantly improves the convergence rate as compared to four noded inconsistent element. In order to trace equilibrium path with the presence of limit points for carbon nanotubes under axial compressive loading, Newton-Raphson method in conjunction with the adaptive displacement control and a computationally efficient asymptotic numerical method are employed. The asymptotic numerical method is directly applied to cubic nonlinear problem without converting it into quadratic form for the first time. The efficacy and accuracy of the asymptotic numerical method is investigated considering transverse bending of a plate, snap-through buckling of a cylindrical panel under central point load and bending/postbuckling of graphene sheets. The significant increase in the limit point load is observed with the inclusion of material nonlinearity due to hardening nature of constitutive law under compressive strains.

सारांश

ग्राफीन चादरों एवं कार्बन की अतिसूक्ष्म नलिकाओं का बहुपैमानीय प्रतिरूपण गणनात्मक द्रष्टि से तीव्र एवं परमाणवीय आकलन के लगभग समान है। इस विषय पर साहित्य समीक्षा करने पर पता चलता है कि ग्राफीन चादरों का अशून्य विकृति एवं वक्रता पर अरेखीय प्रतिबल-विकृति संबंध तथा अरेखीय स्थैतिक/गत्यात्मक व्यवहार, असमानुपातिक प्रतिबल-विकृति एवं विकृति-विस्थापन संबंधों के साथ अध्ययन नहीं किये गये हैं। इसके अतिरिक्त, कार्बन की अतिसूक्ष्म नलिकाओं का बहुपैमानीय प्रतिरूपण करने के लिए गणनात्मक द्रष्टि से तीव्र एवं अव्यवहारिक दृढ़ता मुक्त बेलनीय समन्वय में अल्पांशों के विकास क्री जरूरत है।

वर्तमान लेखन, ग्राफीन चादरों एवं कार्बन की अतिसूक्ष्म नलिकाओं का बहुपैमानीय प्रतिरूपण अल्पांश विधि के ढांचे में अरेखीय प्रतिबल-विकृति एवं विकृति-विस्थापन संबंधों के साथ प्रस्तुत करता है। अरेखीय प्रतिबल-विकृति संबंध, टेरासॉफ-ब्रेनर अंतरपरमाणवीय स्थितिज ऊर्जा से व्युत्पन्न किया गया है। वक्रता का आणविक बंध लम्बाई पर प्रभाव के साथ परमाणवीय एवं सतत पैमानीय विस्थापनों को कौशी-बोर्न नियम के तहत संबंधित किया गया है। ग्राफीन चादरों को सतत पैमाने पर चार नोड वाले किरकोफ आयताकार अल्पांश से विभाजित किया गया है। चार/आठ नोड वाले किरकोफ आयताकार एवं उन्नत असतत किरकोफ चतुष्कोणीय संगत/असंगत मेम्ब्रेन विकृति अंतर्वेशन वाले अल्पांशों का विकास एवं उपयोग कार्बन की अतिसूक्ष्म नलिकाओं को विभाजित करने के लिए किया गया है।

ग्राफीन चादरों का अनुप्रस्थ एवं इन-प्लेन बलों के अधीन स्थैतिक एवं गत्यात्मक व्यवहार और कार्बन की अतिसूक्ष्म नलिकाओं का धुरी के समानान्तर बलों के अधीन बकलिंग/पोस्टबकलिंग व्यवहार रेखीय/अरेखीय प्रतिबल-विकृति एवं विकृति-विस्थापन संबंधों के साथ अध्ययन किया गया है। ग्राफीन चादरों का अनुप्रस्थ बलों के अधीन अरेखीय प्रतिबल-विकृति गुणधर्म नरम प्रकृति दर्शाता है। शूटिंग एवं न्यूमार्क की समय समाकलन विधियों के अनुप्रयोग से निकाले गये आवर्ती व्यवहार पर विकृति-विस्थापन संबंध की अरेखीयता का प्रभाव प्रतिबल-विकृति संबंध की अरेखीयता के प्रभाव से ज्यादा पाया गया है।

कार्बन की अतिसूक्ष्म नलिकाओं की आज़ाद कम्पन एवं बकलिंग विशेषतायें दर्शाते हैं कि परिधीय विकृति में अनुप्रस्थ विस्थापन का संगत अथवा द्विघातीय अंतर्वेशन करने से अभिसरण दर चार नोड वाले असंगत अल्पांश से महत्वपूर्ण ढंग से बेहतर हुयी है। कार्बन की अतिसूक्ष्म नलिकाओं का धुरी के समानान्तर दाबक बलों के अधीन प्रतिबंध बिंदुओं वाले संतुलन पथ को निकालने के लिए अनुकूलक विस्थापन नियंत्रण के साथ न्यूटन-राफसेन एवं अभिकलनात्मकता की द्रष्टि से कुशल एसिम्पटोटिक न्यूमेरिकल तरीका अपनाया गया है। एसिम्पटोटिक न्यूमेरिकल तरीका त्रिघातीय अरेखीय समीकरण को सीधे तौर पर बिना द्विघातीय रूप में बदले ही पहली बार इस लेखन में इस्तेमाल किया है। एसिम्पटोटिक न्यूमेरिकल तरीके की क्षमता और कुशलता का निरूपण करने के लिए चादर का अनुप्रस्थ नमन, केन्द्रित बल के अधीन बेलनीय पैनल का सैप-थ्रू बकलिंग एवं ग्राफीन चादरों का नमन/पोस्टबकलिंग का अध्ययन किया गया है। दबावी विकृति के अधीन बढ़ती दृढ़ता वाले प्रतिबल-विकृति संबंध के प्रभाव से, प्रतिबंध बिन्दुओं के बल के परिमाण में महत्वपूर्ण ढंग से वृद्धि पायी गयी है।

Contents

Particulars	Page No.
Certificate	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xix
Nomenclature and Abbreviations	xxi
Chapter 1 Introduction and Literature Review	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Classifications of Carbon Nanotubes and Graphene Sheets	1
1.3. Modelling of Carbon Nanotubes and Graphene Sheets	3
1.3.1. Continuum Modelling	3
1.3.1.1. Beam Model of Carbon Nanotubes	4
1.3.1.2. Shell Model of Carbon Nanotubes	7
1.3.1.3. Plate Model of Graphene Sheets	8
1.3.1.4. Nonlocal Continuum Modelling	11
1.3.2. Atomistic Simulations	11
1.3.2.1. <i>Ab initio</i> and Tight Binding Methods	12
1.3.2.2. Molecular Mechanics / Dynamics	
Simulation	13
1.3.3. Space Frame Approach	16
1.3.4. Multiscale Modelling	17

1.4.	Motivation and Objectives	20
1.5.	Organization of the Thesis	23
Chapter 2	Mathematical Formulation	24
2.1.	Constitutive Law	24
2.2.	Governing Equations	29
2.3.	Finite Element Description	32
2.3.1.	Kirchhoff Rectangular Element	34
2.3.2.	Improved Discrete Kirchhoff Quadrilateral (IDKQ) Element	36
2.4.	Solution Methodology	38
2.4.1.	Adaptive Displacement Control Method	38
2.4.2.	Asymptotic Numerical Method	39
2.4.3.	Shooting Method	41
2.4.4.	Molecular Mechanics	43
Chapter 3	Nonlinear Elastic Properties of Graphene Sheets	45
3.1.	Elastic Properties and Energy	45
3.2.	Constitutive Behaviour at Finite Strains	47
3.3.	Constitutive Behaviour at Finite Curvatures	52
3.4.	Constitutive Behaviour at Finite Strains and Curvatures	56
3.5.	Conclusions	57
Chapter 4	Nonlinear Static Response of Graphene Sheets	58
4.1.	Response under Transverse Loading	60
4.1.1.	Convergence and Validation	60

4.1.2.	Effect of Material Nonlinearity	62
4.1.3.	Effect of Boundary Conditions	67
4.2.	Response under In-Plane Loading	69
4.3.	Nanoindentation of Circular Graphene Sheets	74
4.4.	Conclusions	75
Chapter 5	Nonlinear Dynamic Response of Graphene Sheets	77
5.1.	Convergence and Validation	77
5.2.	Linear Free Vibration Frequencies	78
5.3.	Effect of Material and Geometric Nonlinearities	79
5.4.	Effect of Damping and Load Amplitude	87
5.5.	Effect of Boundary Conditions	88
5.6.	Conclusions	90
Chapter 6	Dynamic Characteristics of Carbon Nanotubes	92
6.1.	Free Vibration of Carbon Nanotubes	93
6.2.	Nonlinear Dynamic Response of Carbon Nanotubes	102
6.3.	Conclusions	107
Chapter 7	Asymptotic Numerical Method for Nonlinear Response of Graphene Sheets and Carbon Nanotubes	109
7.1.	Bending of Isotropic Rectangular Plate	110
7.2.	Snap-through Buckling of Cylindrical Panel	112
7.3.	Bending and Postbuckling of Graphene Sheets	113
7.4.	Buckling and Postbuckling of Carbon Nanotubes	119
7.5.	Conclusions	130

Chapter 8	Conclusions and Future Scope	131
8.1.	Conclusions from the Present Work	132
8.2.	Scope for Future Research	134
Appendix A		135
Appendix B		137
References		143
List of Publications		168
About the Author		170

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Planer view of graphene sheet and geometric characteristics of zigzag, chiral and armchair carbon nanotubes.	2
Figure 1.2	The configuration of zigzag ($n, 0$), armchair (n, n) and chiral nanotubes (n, m).	2
Figure 2.1	Mapping of deformation at atomic level to that at continuum level using Cauchy–Born rule.	24
Figure 2.2	Schematic arrangement of carbon atoms in a unit cell, A and B represent atoms from two different sub–lattices.	25
Figure 2.3	Schematic diagram of armchair CNT and coordinate system.	30
Figure 3.1	Potential energy per atom of graphene sheet for zigzag configuration ($\beta = 0$) with uniaxial tensile strain E_{xx} and bending curvature K_{xx} .	45
Figure 3.2	Comparison of stress versus strain curve for zigzag graphene ($\beta = 0$) sheet with DFT calculation.	46
Figure 3.3	Tangent extensional stiffness coefficients ($A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{12}, A_{33}$) of GS with uniaxial tensile strain E_{xx} .	48
Figure 3.4	Tangent extensional stiffness coefficients (A_{13}, A_{23}) of GS with uniaxial tensile strain E_{xx} .	49
Figure 3.5	Variation of tangent extensional stiffness coefficients (a) A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{33} and (b) A_{13}, A_{23} with shear strain E_{xy} .	50
Figure 3.6	Tangent extensional stiffness coefficient A_{11} of zigzag ($\beta = 0$) GS for different combinations of strains.	51

Figure 3.7	Tangent extensional stiffness coefficients (A_{11} , A_{22} , A_{12}) of GS with biaxial tensile/compressive strains ($E_{xx} = -E_{yy} = E$).	51
Figure 3.8	Tangent bending stiffness coefficient D_{11} of graphene sheet with uniaxial tensile strain E_{xx} .	52
Figure 3.9	Tangent bending stiffness coefficients (D_{12} , D_{33}) of GS with curvature K_{xx} .	53
Figure 3.10	Tangent bending stiffness coefficients (D_{11} , D_{22}) of zigzag ($\beta = 0$) GS with curvatures under spherical bending ($K_{xx} = K_{yy} = K$).	53
Figure 3.11	Tangent bending stiffness coefficient (D_{11}) of zigzag ($\beta = 0$) GS with curvatures under different combinations of bending.	54
Figure 3.12	Tangent extensional stiffness coefficient A_{11} of graphene sheet with curvature K_{xx} under cylindrical bending.	54
Figure 3.13	Tangent coupling stiffness coefficient B_{11} of graphene sheet with curvature K_{xx} under cylindrical bending.	56
Figure 3.14	Tangent extensional stiffness coefficient A_{11} of armchair GS with tensile strains E and curvatures K (\bullet : $E_{xx} = E$, $K_{xx} = K$ and \circ : $E_{xx} = E_{yy} = E$, $K_{xx} = K_{yy} = K$).	56
Figure 4.1	Configuration of graphene sheet (S_3) having 19 cells in armchair direction (x direction) and 20 cells in zigzag direction (y direction).	60
Figure 4.2	Central deflection versus load curves of graphene sheet (S_3) depicting convergence (a) with element size (3×3 Gauss quadrature integration) (b) with number of Gauss points (Mesh: 8×8).	61

Figure 4.3	Central deflection versus load curves of graphene sheet (S_1) without considering geometric nonlinearity.	63
Figure 4.4	Central deflection versus load curves of (a) SSSS and (b) CCCC graphene sheets under uniformly distributed transverse load with geometric nonlinearity (●: with material nonlinearity, ○: without material nonlinearity).	64
Figure 4.5	Variation of stress (S_{xx} , S_{yy}) and moment (M_{xx} , M_{yy}) resultants at centre of SSSS graphene sheet (S_1) with load (●: with material nonlinearity, ○: without material nonlinearity).	65
Figure 4.6	Central deflection versus load curves of S_1 and S_3 sheets with CCCC boundary conditions depicting the effect of individual strain and curvature terms in material nonlinearity.	65
Figure 4.7	Comparison of central deflection versus load curves of different CCCC graphene sheets (●: multiscale materially linear model, ○: Kirchhoff continuum plate theory).	67
Figure 4.8	Deflection versus load curves with different boundary conditions (a) S_1 sheet (b) S_3 sheet.	68
Figure 4.9	Variation of transverse displacement along x/y directions of the graphene sheet S_3 (a) SSSS (b) SFSS (c) SFSF with (●) and without (○) material nonlinearity.	69
Figure 4.10	In-plane displacement at $x = a$ versus load curves for CFFF graphene sheets under in-plane uniformly distributed tensile load along the edge $x = a$ with geometric nonlinearity (●: with material nonlinearity, ○: without material nonlinearity).	70
Figure 4.11	Central transverse deflection versus strain E_{xx} curve for the GS with CFCF boundary conditions.	71

Figure 4.12	Central deflection versus load curves of graphene sheets under uniaxial in-plane compressive load with (a) SSSS and (b) CCCC boundary conditions.	72
Figure 4.13	Variation of stiffness coefficients (A_{11} , A_{22} , D_{11} , D_{22}) with in-plane compressive load at centre of S_1 sheet with CCCC boundary conditions (○: buckling point).	73
Figure 4.14	Central deflection versus percentage in-plane strain curve for graphene sheets with CFCF boundary conditions.	73
Figure 4.15	(a) Circular graphene sheets meshed with 192 IDKQ elements (b) Comparison of load versus deflection curves of circular graphene sheets under central point load with experimental results.	75
Figure 5.1	Comparison of frequency response curves of aluminium plate for different damping and uniformly distributed transverse harmonic force amplitude.	78
Figure 5.2	Frequency response curves of SSSS and CCCC graphene sheets without (a) and with (b) geometric nonlinearity under uniformly distributed transverse harmonic force ($Q_0 = 0.0001 \text{ nN/nm}^2$, $\zeta = 0.01$).	80
Figure 5.3	Steady state time histories of kinetic energy \tilde{T} , strain energy W , work of excitation and dissipative forces at peak amplitude point in frequency response curve of SSSS graphene sheets ($Q_0 = 0.0001 \text{ nN/nm}^2$, $\zeta = 0.01$).	81
Figure 5.4	Phase plane plots corresponding to peak amplitude points of frequency response curves for SSSS and CCCC graphene sheets ($Q_0 = 0.0001 \text{ nN/nm}^2$, $\zeta = 0.01$).	82

Figure 5.5	Maximum strain energy and work of external force versus excitation frequency curves (a) SSSS and (b) CCCC graphene sheet ($Q_0 = 0.0001 \text{ nN/nm}^2$, $\zeta = 0.01$).	83
Figure 5.6	Response history at the centre of the simply supported sheet S_1 with and without material nonlinearity and damping ($Q_0 = 0.05 \text{ nN/nm}^2$).	84
Figure 5.7	Phase plan plots (a), Poincare map (b), steady state response spectra (c) and energy distribution in response harmonics (d) for S_1 sheet with material and geometric nonlinearities at different excitation frequencies ($Q_0 = 0.05 \text{ nN/nm}^2$, $\zeta = 0.01$).	85
Figure 5.8	Variation of stiffness coefficients A_{11} , A_{22} , D_{11} and D_{22} at centre with time for sheet S_1 under harmonic excitation.	86
Figure 5.9	Response history at centre of the S_1 sheet without geometric and material nonlinearities under harmonic forces ($\omega_F/\omega_{n1} = 1$).	87
Figure 5.10	Frequency response curves of simply supported graphene sheets for different values of damping and excitation amplitude (a) S_1 sheet (b) S_3 sheet.	88
Figure 5.11	Frequency response curves of graphene sheets for different boundary conditions ($\zeta = 0.01$) (a) S_2 sheet (b) S_3 sheet.	89
Figure 5.12	Deformed shape of S_2 sheet with SFSS boundary at points A and B marked in Figure 5.11 (a).	90
Figure 6.1	Free vibration mode shapes of simply supported 5.5126 nm long (14, 0) zigzag CNT ($L/D = 4.9231$) obtained through (a) MM simulation (b) multiscale shell model.	98

Figure 6.2	Comparison of fundamental frequency of 12 nm long armchair and zigzag CNTs for different diameters.	99
Figure 6.3	Variation of fundamental frequency with number of cells (m) in circumferential direction of zigzag ($m, 0$) CNT (a) SS and (b) SF boundary conditions. (— multiscale shell model, --- MM simulation).	101
Figure 6.4	Variation of fundamental frequency with number of cells ($m = n$) in circumferential direction of armchair (m, m) CNT (a) SS and (b) SF boundary conditions. (— multiscale shell model, --- MM simulation).	101
Figure 6.5	Response history of simply supported 8.1238 nm long (14, 0) zigzag CNT under point load with and without material nonlinearity and damping ($Q_0 = 5$ nN, $\omega_F = \omega_{1,1} = 0.3004$ THz).	102
Figure 6.6	Steady state cycle of simply supported CNT corresponding to time t_0 marked in Figure 6.5 (a) with and without material nonlinearity.	103
Figure 6.7	Deformed shapes of the CNT with material nonlinearity corresponding to points (a, b, c, d, e) marked in Figure 6.6.	104
Figure 6.8	Steady state response spectra of radial displacement of CNT with material nonlinearity.	104
Figure 6.9	Response history of simply supported 8.1238 nm long (18, 0) zigzag CNT under point load with and without material nonlinearity and damping ($Q_0 = 5$ nN, $\omega_F = \omega_{2,2} = 0.6742$ THz).	105

Figure 6.10	Response history of simply supported 2.030 nm long (30, 0) zigzag CNT under point load with and without material nonlinearity and damping ($Q_0 = 3$ nN, $\omega_F = \omega_{1,3} = 0.9104$ THz).	106
Figure 6.11	Steady state cycle of simply supported CNT corresponding to time t_0 marked in Figure 6.10 (a) with and without material nonlinearity.	106
Figure 6.12	Deformed shapes of the CNT (a) with and (b) without material nonlinearity corresponding to points (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h) marked in Figure 6.11.	107
Figure 7.1	Comparison of central deflection versus load curves of CCCC isotropic plate under transverse central point load using ANM with different expansion order (M) and Newton–Raphson method (NRM).	110
Figure 7.2	Central deflection versus load curves of isotropic plate under transverse central point load using piecewise ANM with $M = 4$ and step length $a_{\max} = 3.2$ (\bullet : Newton–Raphson solution).	111
Figure 7.3	Central deflection versus load curves of cylindrical panel with FSFS boundary conditions under central transverse point load using single step ANM with different M and Newton–Raphson method.	112
Figure 7.4	Central deflection versus load curves of cylindrical panels under central transverse point load with FSFS boundary conditions using piecewise ANM with different M (a) $h = 12.7$ mm (b) $h = 6.35$ mm (\circ : end points of ANM steps).	113

Figure 7.5	Central deflection versus load curves of GSs under uniform transverse load with (a) CCCC and (b) SSSS boundary conditions obtained using piecewise ANM with $M = 4$ (●: Newton–Raphson solution for S_1 sheet).	114
Figure 7.6	Central deflection versus load curves of GSs with SSSS boundary conditions under in–plane uniaxial compression obtained using piecewise ANM with $M = 4$ (●: Newton–Raphson solution).	115
Figure 7.7	Central deflection versus load curves of GSs with (a) CCCC and (b) SSSS boundary conditions under in–plane uniaxial compression with and without material nonlinearity (MNL) obtained using Newton–Raphson method.	116
Figure 7.8	Deformed shape of sheet S_1 at different points (a, b, c, d, e) marked in Figure 7.7 (a).	117
Figure 7.9	Variation of stiffness coefficients ($A_{11}, A_{22}, D_{11}, D_{22}$) with load parameter at centre of S_1 sheet with SSSS boundary conditions (○: buckling point).	118
Figure 7.10	Maximum transverse displacement / axial shortening versus in–plane axial compressive load curves for 8.7 nm long (18, 0) zigzag nanotube considering (a) Green Lagrange and (b) von–Karman nonlinearities (●: with material nonlinearity, ○: without material nonlinearity).	124
Figure 7.11	Deformed shapes of 8.77 nm long CNT with and without material nonlinearity considering Green–Lagrange and von–Karman type geometric nonlinearities.	125

Figure 7.12	Maximum transverse displacement / axial shortening versus in-plane axial compressive load curves for 4.42 nm long (18, 0) zigzag nanotube considering (a) Green Lagrange and (b) von-Karman nonlinearities (●: with material nonlinearity, ○: without material nonlinearity).	126
Figure 7.13	Deformed shapes of 4.42 nm long CNT with and without material nonlinearity considering Green-Lagrange and von-Karman type geometric nonlinearities.	127
Figure 7.14	Maximum transverse displacement / axial shortening versus in-plane axial compressive load curves for 1.2595 nm long (18, 0) zigzag nanotube considering (a) Green Lagrange and (b) von-Karman nonlinearities (●: with material nonlinearity, ○: without material nonlinearity).	128
Figure 7.15	Deformed shapes of 1.81 nm long CNT with and without material nonlinearity considering Green-Lagrange and von-Karman type geometric nonlinearities.	129

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Elastic properties of GSs/CNTs reported in the literature using different techniques.	19
Table 2.1	Description of the different four/eight noded finite elements developed/employed.	33
Table 3.1	Elastic properties of graphene sheet at reference configuration with/without internal relaxations.	46
Table 3.2	Tangent bending–stretching coupling stiffness coefficients of graphene sheet for different combinations of curvatures.	55
Table 4.1	Graphene sheets considered in the study for bending response.	58
Table 4.2	Comparison of central deflection of SSSS graphene sheets under uniformly distributed load.	62
Table 4.3	Bending stiffness coefficients obtained through multiscale and continuum plate model.	67
Table 4.4	Percentage in–plane strain versus transverse central deflection curve for CFCF graphene sheets.	74
Table 5.1	Linear free vibration frequencies ω_{ni} (THz) of graphene sheet calculated using Kirchhoff plate theory.	78
Table 5.2	Linear free vibration frequencies ω_{ni} (THz) of GSs with different boundary conditions.	79
Table 6.1	The free vibration frequencies of simply supported 2.0310 nm long (8, 0) zigzag CNT ($L/D = 3.174$) using multiscale shell model.	94

Table 6.2	Free vibration frequencies of simply supported 8.1238 nm long (14, 0) zigzag CNT ($L/D = 7.2552$) using multiscale shell model.	96
Table 6.3	Effect of boundary conditions on the frequencies of CNTs with different lengths/diameters.	100
Table 7.1	Computational time and number of ANM steps required to trace the equilibrium path up to $\lambda \approx 90$ with different fixed values step length.	111
Table 7.2	Buckling load (first five modes) of 8.77 nm long (18, 0) zigzag CNT ($L/D = 6.0963$) under axial compression with clamped and simply supported boundary conditions.	120
Table 7.3	Buckling load (first five modes) of 4.42 nm long (18, 0) zigzag CNT ($L/D = 3.0733$) under axial compression with clamped and simply supported boundary conditions.	121
Table 7.4	Buckling load (first five modes) of 1.81 nm long (18, 0) zigzag CNT ($L/D = 1.2595$) under axial compression with clamped and simply supported boundary conditions.	122

Nomenclature and Abbreviations

English Notations

a, b	:	Dimensions of the graphene sheet along x and y directions, respectively
a_i	:	Constants of Newmark's time integration scheme
\tilde{a}	:	Path parameter in asymptotic numerical method
$\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$:	Lattice vectors of the unit cell
$\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{D}$:	Tangent extensional, bending–extension coupling and bending stiffness coefficient matrices, respectively
$\mathbf{B}_L, \mathbf{B}_{NL}$:	Linear and nonlinear strain/curvature–displacement matrix, respectively
\mathbf{C}_h	:	Chiral vector
\mathbf{C}_e, \mathbf{C}	:	Elemental and global damping matrix
$\mathbf{d}_e, \dot{\mathbf{d}}_e, \ddot{\mathbf{d}}_e$:	Elemental displacement, velocity and acceleration vector
$\mathbf{d}, \dot{\mathbf{d}}, \ddot{\mathbf{d}}$:	Global displacement, velocity and acceleration vector
$\bar{\mathbf{d}}_w, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_w$:	Vector of transverse and rotational degrees of freedom for Kirchhoff rectangular and IDKQ elements, respectively
$\bar{\mathbf{D}}$:	Tangent constitutive matrix

$D_0, S, R_e,$ a_0, c_0, d_0	:	Parameters of interatomic potential function
$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}, \mathbf{E}$:	Green–Lagrange strain tensor
E_1	:	Young’s Modulus along x direction
\mathbf{F}_e, \mathbf{F}	:	Elemental and global external load vectors
$\mathbf{F}_{ine}, \mathbf{F}_{in}$:	Elemental and global internal load vectors
\mathbf{F}_e^b	:	Force vector due to stress and moment resultants along the boundary of an element
\mathbf{F}_0	:	Global external load vector corresponding to unit load
$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}$:	Deformation gradient tensor
h	:	Thickness of the graphene sheet along z direction
\mathbf{H}	:	Transverse displacement interpolation vector
$\mathbf{K}_{Te}, \mathbf{K}_T$:	Elemental and global tangent stiffness matrices
$\mathbf{K}_{Ge}, \mathbf{K}_G$:	Elemental and global geometric stiffness matrices
\mathbf{K}_L	:	Linear global stiffness matrix
$\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_G$:	Global geometric stiffness matrix due to unit axial force $\bar{S}_{xx} = 1$ nN/nm at $x = L$
$\mathbf{K}_1, \mathbf{K}_2, \mathbf{K}_3$:	Restoring forces linearly, quadratically and cubically dependent on displacement vector
$\tilde{\mathbf{K}}, \mathbf{K}$:	Curvature tensor
l_x, l_y	:	Length of the element along x and y directions

L, D, R	:	Length, diameter and radius of the nanotube, respectively
m, n	:	Integer numbers
m_c	:	Mass of the carbon atom
M	:	Order of expansion in asymptotic numerical method
$\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_e, \tilde{\mathbf{M}}$:	Elemental and global mass matrices
$\mathbf{M}^m, \mathbf{d}^m, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^m, \mathbf{F}^m$:	Diagonal mass matrix, global degrees of freedom vector, modal amplitude vector and external load vector in MM simulation, respectively
\mathbf{n}_{ij}^0	:	Unit vector along the undeformed bond vector
$N_i, H_i, \bar{H}_i, \tilde{G}_i, \tilde{H}_i$:	Interpolation functions used in finite element interpolation
Q, \bar{S}_{xx}	:	Transverse/radial and in-plane loads
r_{ij}, r^0	:	Deformed and undeformed bond lengths
$\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{r}_{ij}^0$:	Deformed and undeformed bond vectors
\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{M}	:	Second Piola–Kirchhoff stress and moment resultant tensors
$\tilde{T}, \Phi, \tilde{W}$:	Kinetic energy, strain energy and virtual work of external loads
T, t	:	Time period and any arbitrary time
u, v, w	:	Displacements along x, y and z directions, respectively
\mathbf{U}	:	Interpolation matrix of displacement field

V	:	Interatomic potential function between two atoms
W	:	Strain energy per unit area or strain energy density function

Greek Notations

β	:	Chiral angle
β_0, δ	:	Parameters of interatomic potential function
η	:	Internal relaxation vector
$\tilde{\eta}$:	State vector consisting of displacement and velocity vectors
ε_d	:	Infinitesimal number
Γ, Γ_e	:	Total surface area and area of a finite element
λ	:	Load multiplier
$\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\delta}$:	Constants of Newmark's time integration scheme
ν_{12}	:	Poisson's ratio in x - y plane
ω_F, ω_{ni}	:	Forcing frequency and natural frequency of i th mode
Ω	:	Area of the unit cell of the graphene sheet
ρ	:	Area mass density of a unit cell of graphene sheet
θ_{ijk}	:	Angle between bonds

Abbreviations

ANM	:	Asymptotic numerical method
AFM	:	Atomic force microscope
CNT	:	Carbon nanotube
DFT	:	Density functional theory
DLGS	:	Double layered graphene sheet
DWCNT	:	Double walled carbon nanotube
FFT	:	Fast Fourier transform
GS	:	Graphene sheet
IDKQ	:	Improved discrete Kirchhoff quadrilateral
MLGS	:	Multi layered graphene sheet
MM/MD	:	Molecular mechanics/ Molecular dynamics
MNL	:	Material Nonlinearity
MWCNT	:	Multi walled carbon nanotube
REBO	:	Reactive empirical bond order
SLGS	:	Single layer graphene sheet
SWCNT	:	Single walled carbon nanotube
UFF	:	Universal force field
vdW	:	Van der Waals